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Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been used for millennia in winemaking, but little is known about the selective forces acting on the wine yeast genome. We sequenced the complete genome of the diploid commercial wine yeast EC1118, resulting in an assembly of 31 scaffolds covering 97% of the S288c reference genome. The wine yeast differed strikingly from the other S. cerevisiae isolates in possessing 3 unique large regions, 2 of which were subtelomeric, the other being inserted within an EC1118 chromosome. These regions encompass 34 genes involved in key wine fermentation functions. Phylogeny and synteny analyses showed that 1 of these regions originated from a species closely related to the Saccharomyces genus, whereas the 2 other regions were of non-Saccharomyces origin. We identified Zygosaccharomyces bailii, a major contaminant of wine fermentations, as the donor species for 1 of these 2 regions. Although natural hybridization between Saccharomyces strains has been described, this report provides evidence that gene transfer may occur between Saccharomyces and non-Saccharomyces species. We show that the regions identified are frequent and differentially distributed among S. cerevisiae clades, being found almost exclusively in wine strains, suggesting acquisition through recent transfer events. Overall, these data show that the wine yeast genome is subject to constant remodeling through the contribution of exogenous genes. Our results suggest that these processes are favored by ecologic proximity and are involved in the molecular adaptation of wine yeasts to conditions of high sugar, low nitrogen, and high ethanol concentrations.

The yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been associated with human activity for thousands of years. The earliest evidence of winemaking has been dated to ~7,000 years ago (1). The fermentation of grape juice exposes yeast cells to harsh environmental conditions (high sugar concentration, increasing alcohol concentration, acidity, presence of sulfites, anaerobiosis, and progressive depletion of essential nutrients, such as nitrogen, vitamins, and lipids). These conditions, as well as unwitting selection by man for optimal winemaking traits (fermentation performance, alcohol tolerance, and good flavor production) have generated hundreds of strains that are currently used in the wine industry. As a result, wine yeast isolates belong to a well-defined lineage (2–6).

Deciphering the mechanisms that participate to these evolutionary processes and identifying the variations contributing to the properties of wine yeast remains challenging issues. Wine yeasts are often diploid, heterozygous, and homothallic (4, 7, 8). They have a large capacity for genome reorganization through chromosome rearrangements (9–11), promoting rapid adaptation to environmental changes. Comparative genomics is a suitable approach for cataloguing multiple types of sequence variation between yeast strains. Comparative genome hybridization analysis of several S. cerevisiae genomes has resulted in the identification of gene deletions and amplifications common to most wine yeast strains (7, 12). Analyses of the genome sequences of yeast strains of various origins have shown that nucleotide polymorphism may be the main source of phenotypic variation (5, 6, 13–15).

With a view to deciphering the genetic basis of winemaking traits, we determined the complete genome sequence of the diploid commercial wine yeast strain EC1118. The full genome repertoire of EC1118 was established and provided evidence for several gene transfer events, which were analyzed in detail. These findings provide unprecedented insight into the molecular mechanisms contributing to the adaptation of yeast to winemaking.

Results

Genome Sequence and Analysis. The diploid EC1118 genome was sequenced and assembled using a Sanger/pyrosequencing hybrid approach [supporting information (SI) Table S1 and SI Materials and Methods]. Early in the assembly process it became clear that distinct haplotypes could not be obtained in most cases, because heterozygosity levels were very low (approximately 0.2%). An 11.7-Mb high-quality “pseudohaploid” assembly with 31 scaffolds was obtained (Table S1), corresponding to 96.7% of the S288c nuclear genome, as determined from genome alignments. Nucleotide alignments with other S. cerevisiae strains (Table S2) revealed a similar level of nucleotide polymorphism between EC1118 and S288c or the clinical isolate derivative YJM789 (46,825 and 47,253, respectively) and, as expected, a much lower level of nucleotide variation compared with the wine yeast derivatives RM11–1a and AWRI1631 (19,142 and 18,315, respectively).

A total of 5,728 ORFs (except dubious and Ty-associated genes) has been predicted for the nuclear genome of EC1118 (Table S3), of which 5,685 are common to EC1118 and S288c. Several of these ORFs are predicted to be affected by frameshifts.
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Genes Present in S288c but Missing from EC1118. In total, 111 of the genes present in S288c were not found in the EC1118 genome (Table S4). Most of these genes are repeated and located in subtelomeric regions, which have not been accurately assembled, making it difficult to estimate copy number precisely. However, several of these genes (e.g., HXT16, PAU21, and SOR1) are known to vary in copy number between strains (7, 12, 14). A large 17-kb telomeric region on chromosome VI encompassing YFL052W to YFL058W was absent in EC1118. Nontelomeric genes (21 genes) were also found absent from EC1118. They consist mainly of genes that are present in tandem duplicated arrays (ENA25, MST27, PRM8, ASP3, and FCY22) or in a 20.5-kb region of chromosome XII adjacent to the rDNA array, including 4 copies of ASP3. Most of the missing nontelomeric genes were found frequently deleted in other S. cerevisiae strains (Table S4). Two missing genes, MST27 and PRM8, belonging to the DUF240 family, have been found deleted in other wine yeasts (12, 16).

Function of the EC1118 ORFs Encompassed by the Unique Regions. Within the three unique EC1118 regions, 34 ORFs predicted to code for proteins of >150 aa in length and with homologs in other species were identified (Table S5). These genes were classified according to the Munich Information Center for Protein Sequences (MIPS) functional catalog and were found to be involved mostly in key functions of the winemaking process, such as carbon and nitrogen metabolism, cellular transport, and the stress response (Fig. 2).

During wine fermentation, yeast cells must convert large amounts of glucose and fructose into alcohol. This process is also limited by nitrogen. Twenty of the 34 newly identified genes were found to encode proteins potentially involved in the metabolism and transport of sugar or nitrogen. These genes included genes similar to those encoding a Kluyveromyces thermotolerans glucose transporter, the S. cerevisiae high-affinity transporter HXT13, and the S. pastorianus–specific fructose symporter FSY1. Several of these genes have homologs with known functions in amino acid metabolism, such as a transcription factor involved in proline utilization (PUT3), a S. cerevisiae permease potentially involved in the export of ammonia (ATO3), and 2 tandem-repeated genes encoding permeases of neutral amino acids. Another example of genes encoding proteins with nitrogen-related functions is provided by the gene encoding 5-oxo-L-

(303 ORFs), in-frame stop codons (25 ORFs), or the absence of start or stop codons due to the presence of SNPs or indels (15 ORFs). We identified 11 Ty elements in the assembly (2 Ty1, 7 Ty2, 1 Ty4, and 1 Ty5), whereas 50 such elements have been identified in the S288c genome. We detected no Ty3 elements. This depletion of Ty elements is consistent with the results of comparative genome hybridization for the EC1118 strain (12). This overall picture was further supported by a direct estimate of the overall Ty abundance from sequencing reads (1.8%), much lower than that in S288c (3.4%), which was found to have the highest Ty abundance in a previous population study (5). This analysis also confirmed a clear inversion in the proportions of Ty1 and Ty2 in EC1118 compared with S288c.

Identification and Localization of Large Chromosomal Regions Unique to EC1118. Three large regions of the EC1118 genome, a total of 120 kb in length, which could not be aligned with the S288c reference genome, were identified (Fig. 1).

The first of these regions was 38 kb long (region A) and was located in the subtelomeric region of the left arm of chromosome VI. The extremity of this chromosome displays a high degree of rearrangement (Fig. 1). A 23-kb fragment in the left arm of chromosome VI (including YFL052W to YFL062W in S288c) is absent. An internal part of this region encompassing the genes from YFL059W to YFL062W (5 kb) was found inserted into the right telomeric end of chromosome X. Second, a 12-kb fragment originating from chromosome VIII (including YHR211W to YHR217C) was found in the 3’ region of YFL051C (Fig. 1) resulting in YFL051C being fused to YHR211W (gene EC1118.1F14.0155g). The sequences of YFL051C and of YHR211W are highly similar, suggesting that the translocation was mediated by homologous recombination. Similar translocations to chromosome X were also found in strains YJM789 and RM11–1a. PCR, sequencing, and Southern blot analysis on EC1118 chromosomes confirmed these rearrangements.

We also found another 34 genes and 5 pseudogenes to be absent in S288c. Only 6 of these ORFs were kept in EC1118 annotation (Table S3), thanks to the presence of identified orthologs in S. cerevisiae strains YJM789, RM11–1a, and AWRI1631 and conserved genomic sequences in Saccharomyces sensu stricto: EC1118.1H19.0562g, present in most of these strains and species, EC1118.1G1.0023g, highly conserved in S. mikatae, the duplicated EC1118.1M36.0034g and EC1118.1M36.0045g, present in a single copy in S. mikatae and in AWRI1631 and in 2 copies in Schizosaccharomyces japonicus, and two other genes with a defined function. The first gene, KHR1 (EC1118.1H12.1684g), which encodes a heat-resistant killer toxin, is located in a 1.6-kb fragment inserted into EC1118 chromosome IX and flanked by 2 LTR elements. KHR1 was also found at the same location in the genome of YJM789. The second gene, EC1118.1O30.0012g, is predicted to encode Mpr1, a protein with N-acetyltransferase activity conferring resistance to oxidative stress and ethanol tolerance (17). This ORF has been identified in the Σ278b strain and, interestingly, also in other wine yeasts (RM11–1a and AWRI1631).

We also found another 34 genes and 5 pseudogenes to be present in EC1118 but missing from S288c. Unlike the genes described above, these genes were organized into 3 large clusters that have been analyzed in detail (see below).
prolinase, which catalyzes the ATP-dependent cleavage of 5-oxoproline to give L-glutamate.

We also identified 5 pseudogenes in subtelomeric regions A and C. In region A, we found a highly degenerate relic displaying sequence similarity to S. cerevisiae ARB1 and an intriguing pseudogene, EC1118.1F14.0067g, very similar to the AGL26W gene of Eremothecium gossypii encoding a bacterial transposase. These 2 genes do not encode hAT-like transposases, whereas genes 10980010 of AWRI1631 (15) and various S. cerevisiae ARB1 were identified in region C and shown to display similarity to S. cerevisiae ARB1, SOR2, and NPTI. Rapid changes in coding sequences leading to gene inactivation are more frequent at the telomeres in Saccharomyces (19), resulting in relics being largely concentrated in the subtelomeric regions (20), as observed here.

**Origin of the Unique Genes of EC1118.** The existence of genes unique to EC1118 suggests the loss of these genes from other S. cerevisiae strains or their acquisition from non–S. cerevisiae donors. Blastp analysis supported the second of these hypotheses, because the closest relatives were found in species belonging to a clade containing the Lachancea, Zygosaccharomyces, Kluyveromyces, Saccharomyces, and Eremothecium genera (21) (clade I) and species belonging to a large, recently reassessed clade (22) containing Debaryomyces, some Pichia, and a number of medically important Candida species (clade II) (Table S5). For accurate identification of the hypothetical donor species for these genes, we carried out a combined phylogeny and synteny analysis. From these analyses, we observed different situations for each region.

Region A shows 2 different syntenic blocks: the first block has genes most closely related to Zygosaccharomyces rouxii genes, and the second block, whose synteny is conserved in species from both clade I and clade II, carries genes with their closest relatives belonging to clade II species (Fig. S1).

Genes of region B were systematically grouped with Z. rouxii in phylogenetic analysis, consistent with Z. rouxii genes being the best hits in blastp analysis (Fig. 3). In agreement with this observation, region B gene organization was rather well conserved with the related Zygosaccharomyces and Kluyveromyces species (Fig. 3). The exception is EC1118.1N26.0034g, which only shows a good match to RM11–1a strain.

Finally, genes in region C displayed some synteny with the genes
of species closely related to the *Saccharomyces* clade, consistent with the observed phylogenetic relationships (Fig. S2).

**Donor Species of the Unique Regions.** All natural hybrids discovered to date in *Saccharomyces* have involved species from the same genus (23–26). The phylogenetic analysis described above identified at least 1 potential donor of genetic material not closely related to *Saccharomyces*. We tried to identify the origin of the foreign genes found in EC1118, by carrying out PCR amplification with primers based on the sequences of genes from regions A, B, and C on genomic DNA isolated from strains (mostly type strains) belonging to 77 species from clade I or clade II (Table S6).

Only the type strain of *Zygosaccharomyces bailii* CBS 680T gave positive results with primers based on region B. All of the primer pairs amplified specific fragments of the expected size. We therefore checked by PCR whether the organization of the various foreign genes detected in EC1118 was similar to that in *Z. bailii*. The organization of genes in region B was found similar in EC1118 and *Z. bailii* CBS 680T, with the exception of gene EC1118/H14061/0012, which was located upstream from EC1118/H14061/0012 in *Z. bailii*, in an arrangement similar to that found in *Z. rouxii* (Fig. 3). The gene organization in *Z. bailii* was confirmed by sequencing a 14-kb nucleotide sequence (European Molecular Biology Laboratory accession no. FN295481) that was found to be 99.7% identical to that of EC1118, confirming the identification of *Z. bailii* as a donor of unique EC1118 genes. In addition, we also detected the presence of this region in 7 other strains of *Z. bailii* of various origins (Table S6).

Analyses of phylogeny and synteny suggested that regions A and B might have a common origin (Figs. 3 and S1). However, no amplification was obtained when primers based on region A genes were used with DNA from *Z. bailii*. Similarly, no positive results were obtained for any of the other 46 species tested. The contributor of region A must therefore be an unidentified species related to clade I or II, as suggested by the 2 synteny blocks detected (Fig. S1). The presence, in region A, of a gene encoding a protein resembling a bacterial DNA transposase found only in the clade I species *Eremothecium gossypii* and the higher level of synteny with clade I than with clade II species strongly suggest that the contributor of region A belongs to clade I.

No amplification was observed with primers based on the 16 genes of region C, with any of the 44 species tested (Table S6), including 26 species either found in the wine microflora or belonging to the group previously known as *Saccharomyces sensu lato* (21). The contributor of this region may be a non-described species very closely related to the *Saccharomyces* genus, as suggested by synteny and phylogeny analysis. Consistent with this hypothesis, we have shown that EC1118/H1O4.6645g and EC1118/H1O4.6656g (right end of region C), also found in strain AWR11631, display some similarity to S288c telomeric Y′ element-encoded DNA helicases (Table S5). Y′ elements are only found in *S. cerevisiae* and in its closest relative, *S. paradoxus* (27).

Thus, EC1118 contains gene clusters from *Z. bailii* and from 2 other species that we have not identified or that have not yet

---

**Fig. 4.** Dendrogram showing the presence of newly characterized genes among a set of 120 strains isolated from different sources. The 120 strains shown here include the 35 strains of the *S. cerevisiae* Genome Resequencing Project (S). The full name of each strain is available in Table S6. The neighbor-joining tree was constructed from the Dc chord distance between strains based on polymorphism at 11 loci, and is rooted according to the midpoint method. Source or geographic origin of the strains is denoted by colored branches: green for wine, pink for sake, gold for oak and opuntia isolates (America), blue for fermented fruits (Malaysia and Netherlands), brown for palm and bilu wine (Africa), violet for rum (French Indies), yellow for bread, pearl gray for clinical, and brown for soil. The distribution of unique EC1118 regions is represented by colored squares: yellow for region A, pink for region B, blue for region C, and blue for the KHR1 gene. Half-filled squares indicate that at least 1 gene of the corresponding region is absent.
been described, one belonging to clade I and the other to the Saccharomyces genus.

### Distribution of the Unique Genes and Regions Among S. cerevisiae Strains of Different Origins

In a previous study of yeast diversity based on multilocus microsatellite typing, we showed that wine yeast strains clustered in a distinct phylogenetic group (4). We investigated the distribution of unique EC1118 regions among yeast populations, and particularly among wine yeasts, by carrying out PCR analysis on strains representative of the established clades (4).

A phylogenetic tree based on 120 strains was obtained (Fig. 4), including 66 wine strains and 19 isolates from various other origins (e.g., laboratory, palm wine, bakery, distillery, clinic, and sake). The 35 strains recently sequenced by Liti et al. (5) were also included in the analysis.

Unique genes were searched in 53 strains, with a set of probes used for each unique region. Region A was found in only 2 groups—the Champagne group, containing EC1118-related strains, and a closely related group containing flor yeasts—suggesting that this region was acquired recently. Region B was found in the same groups and in more distantly related strains. Region C was found to be as widespread as region B among S. cerevisiae strains. Regions B and C were found to be incomplete in several strains, the missing genes differing between strains (Fig. 4). These data suggest that these regions are unstable in S. cerevisiae.

Most strains carrying the unique regions were closely related to the wine yeast group. Overall, regions B and C were found to be present in almost half the 53 strains tested. The 3 regions are exclusively (region A) or mostly (regions B and C) found in wine strains (29 of 35 wine strains contain at least 1 of the 3 unique regions). The differential presence of the genes from regions A, B, and C in a number of wine yeast isolates may be accounted for by the progressive diffusion of these events by outcrossing inside the wine yeast population. The transfers of regions B and C seem to be older than that of region A, but the timing of these events cannot be determined, because the subtelomeric location of regions A and C is a source of instability. The KHR1 gene was found to be widespread among strains, suggesting an ancient acquisition event that has subsequently been lost from many strains. The presence of LTR flanking KHR1 might account for this instability.

To obtain a broader view of the distribution of regions A, B, and C within the S. cerevisiae species, we performed a blastn survey of the unique genes in the genome of YJM789 (13), RM11–1a, AWRI1631 (15), and the 36 S. cerevisiae strains sequenced by Liti et al. (5) (Fig. S3). The region A was absent from all strains, consistent with the local distribution of this region in the “Champagne/Flor yeasts” cluster (Fig. 4). Region B was found in the wine yeast derivative RM11–1a, in strains of the clusters called “Wine/European” and “mosaic genomes” (5). Region C was also found in the latter strains, in only 1 strain belonging to the “Wine/European” cluster, and in strain AWRI1631. The fact that this region was largely found in wine yeasts in our PCR survey (Fig. 4) suggests that the “Wine/European” group of Liti et al. (5) is not fully representative of the wine yeast community. It is also possible that because of heterozygosity, some regions are present in the parental strains but not in the derivative strains whose genome was sequenced. The different distribution of regions B and C suggests that these regions have a different history. Interestingly, region C was almost exclusively found in strains of European origin.

### Discussion

Various mechanisms are known to be involved in the adaptive evolution of yeasts to the fermentation process, such as gene duplication, polyploidy, chromosomal rearrangements, interspecific hybridization, and introgression (28). Recent analyses have shown that yeast hybrids may be more abundant in both natural and industrial environments than previously thought. Indeed, almost 10% of Saccharomyces strains previously classified as sensu stricto seem to be hybrids between different species (29). Larger brewing yeasts are natural hybrids generated by interspecific hybridization between S. cerevisiae, S. bayanus, and an as-yet non-described species (30–32). Double and triple hybrids of S. cerevisiae with S. warum, S. kudriavzevii, or both were recently identified in yeast populations isolated from grape and cider fermentations [for a review see Sipiczki (33)]. However, most of the hybrids described to date have either the genome of each parental species or chimeric genomes, and all of the donor species belong to the Saccharomyces genus.

Horizontal gene transfers have rarely been described in yeast, and all previous examples have involved bacterial single genes (13, 34, 35). The introgression of 23 kb from S. cerevisiae into S. paradoxus has also been described (24) and resembled our findings, in particular for region C. The most likely explanation for this region is that a cross has occurred between S. cerevisiae and a Saccharomyces species to yield the present hybrid. It is generally thought that such hybrids are resolved by the gradual loss of one of the contributing genomes (33).

The situation is clearly different for regions A and B, which represent the first example of gene transfer between S. cerevisiae and non-Saccharomyces species. The phylogenetic topologies for genes of regions A and B indicate that the non-Saccharomyces species are the donors and S. cerevisiae the recipient. The presence of region B in Z. bailii strains from various origins further supports this hypothesis. Z. bailii is a major yeast contaminant of wine. It tolerates common food preservatives, high concentrations of sugar and ethanol, and low pH. These properties confer on this species an outstanding capacity to survive during wine fermentations. With S. cerevisiae, it is one of the rare yeasts able to persist until the end of the fermentation process (36). It is therefore found in close contact with S. cerevisiae in many natural fermentations. This proximity may have favored genetic transfer, either in a direct lateral transfer or through introgression after hybridization. Although Z. bailii has been described as a diploid that does not undergo meiosis but produces tetrads with mitotic spores (37) neither of the 2 hypotheses can be excluded.

This strategy of evolution by gene transfer is an important aspect of yeast diversification and may play a major role in adaptation to the wine fermentation ecosystem. Two of the unique regions are located in subtelomeric regions, which are known to be enriched in genes involved in adaptation (29). In some situations, hybrids between species show increased fitness and acquire unique properties compared with the parental species. For example, hybrids between different Saccharomyces species have been shown to grow over a broader range of temperatures or to produce larger amounts of glycerol or aroma compounds than the parental strains (25, 38, 39). The potential functions associated with the transferred genes, such as those related to fructose utilization, oxidative stress, or nitrogen metabolism, may contribute to the adaptation to the fermentation of high-sugar, low-nitrogen grape musts and may confer a selective advantage during wine fermentation.

### Materials and Methods

#### Strains and Media
Lalvin EC1118 (EC1118), also known as “Prise de mousse,” is a S. cerevisiae wine strain isolated in Champagne (France) and manufactured by Lallemand Inc. EC1118 has been deposited in the Collection Nationale de Cultures de Microorganismes (Institut Pasteur, France) as strain I-4215. This strain is one of the most frequently used fermentation starters worldwide and has been extensively studied as a model wine yeast (40–42). The other yeast isolates used are detailed in Table S6. The non-Saccharomyces isolates were obtained from the Centre International de Ressources Microbiennes-Levures.
in France, and from the Centraalbureau voor Schimmelmolecules in the Netherlands. Cells were routinely grown in YPD medium (1% yeast extract, 1% peptone, and 1% glucose) at 28 °C, with shaking.

Gene Prediction and Annotation. Genome annotation was based on a combination of methods including ORF calling (minimum size, 150 bp), gene prediction with GlimmerHMM (43), and direct mapping of 5288c ORFs from the Saccharomyces Genome Database. The detailed annotation procedure and a complete annotation file are available in SI Materials and Methods and Table S3.

Microsatellite Analysis. The 120 strains were characterized for allelic variation at 11 microsatellite loci, as described by Legras et al. (4). The chord distance Dc between strains was calculated, as described by Cavalli-Sforza and Edwards (44). The neighbor-joining tree was constructed with the PHYLIP 3.67 package Microsatellite Analysis.

Genome Database. The detailed annotation procedure and a complete annotation file are available in SI Materials and Methods and Table S3.

Additional Materials and Methods. Further details are available in SI Materials and Methods.
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