



HAL
open science

Genetic parameters of the twisted legs syndrome in broiler chickens (meat-type chicken, unordered categorical trait, multinomial logistic transformation)

Elisabeth Le Bihan-Duval, Catherine C. Beaumont, J Jacques J. J. Colleau

► To cite this version:

Elisabeth Le Bihan-Duval, Catherine C. Beaumont, J Jacques J. J. Colleau. Genetic parameters of the twisted legs syndrome in broiler chickens (meat-type chicken, unordered categorical trait, multinomial logistic transformation). *Genetics Selection Evolution*, 1996, 28 (2), pp.177-195. hal-02683793

HAL Id: hal-02683793

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02683793>

Submitted on 1 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Genetic parameters of the twisted legs syndrome in broiler chickens

E Le Bihan-Duval¹, C Beaumont¹, JJ Colleau²

¹ *Station de recherches avicoles, Institut national de la recherche agronomique, 37380 Nouzilly;*

² *Station de génétique quantitative et appliquée, Institut national de la recherche agronomique, 78352 Jouy-en-Josas cedex, France*

(Received 14 November 1994; accepted 15 December 1995)

Summary – Genetic parameters of two types of angulations, described in the twisted legs syndrome as ‘valgus’ and bilateral or unilateral ‘varus’, were investigated in two commercial broiler strains. In the first line, 14 264 chickens of both sexes born from 111 sires, 76 maternal grandsires and 768 dams were studied. In the second line, corresponding figures were 8 164 chickens of both sexes born from 94 sires, 71 maternal grandsires and 553 dams. Chickens were classified at 6 weeks according to the type of pathology. Since deformities under study were unordered categorical traits, a generalized linear model using a multinomial logistic transformation as link function was applied. Location parameters were estimated by ‘maximum a posteriori’, and variance components by ‘maximum marginal likelihood’ using a tilde-hat approximation. The model of analysis took into account the fixed effects of the hatch and the sex as well as the random effects of the sire, maternal grandsire and dam within maternal grandsire. ‘Pseudoheritability’ of latent susceptibility to valgus was equal to 0.16 and 0.29 in lines A and B respectively, when estimated from the sire/maternal-grandsire component, and to 0.40 and 0.35 respectively, when estimated from the dam component; for varus, estimates of the pseudoheritability were equal to 0.21 and 0.24 in lines A and B when estimated from the sire/maternal-grandsire component and to 0.30 and 0.26 when estimated from the dam component. Higher values of the dam heritabilities could suggest the existence of maternal or dominance effects. The average estimated genetic correlations between valgus and varus obtained from sire/maternal-grandsire and dam components were small to moderate (–0.31 in line A and 0.07 in line B). This agrees with clinical and anatomical evidence which suggests that each deformity could be linked to distinct causes. Moreover, this result questions the practice of pooling deformities when selecting against leg disorders.

meat-type chicken / twisted legs / genetic parameter / unordered categorical trait / multinomial logistic transformation

Résumé – Paramètres génétiques des déformations du syndrome pattes tordues du poulet de chair. Les paramètres génétiques des deux types de déformations osseuses rencontrées dans le syndrome des pattes tordues, les valgus et varus, ont été estimés

dans deux lignées de poulet de type chair. Les différents défauts ont été diagnostiqués à l'âge de 6 semaines sur 14 264 animaux des deux sexes issus de 111 pères, 76 grand-pères maternels et 768 mères dans la première lignée et sur 8 164 animaux des deux sexes issus de 94 pères, 71 grand-pères maternels et 553 mères dans la seconde. Les caractères étudiés correspondant à des données discrètes exclusives et non ordonnées, un modèle linéaire généralisé utilisant la transformation logistique multinomiale a été appliqué. Les paramètres de position ont été estimés par le « mode a posteriori », et les composantes de la variance obtenues par une approximation « tilde-chapeau » du « maximum de vraisemblance marginale ». Le modèle d'analyse comportait les effets fixés des lots d'éclosion et du sexe ainsi que ceux aléatoires des père, grand-père maternel et mère intra grand-père maternel. Les « pseudohéritabilités » de la sensibilité au valgus pour les lignées A et B étaient respectivement de 0,16 et 0,29 pour la voie père/grand-père maternel et de 0,40 et 0,35 pour la voie mère; pour le varus, les pseudohéritabilités étaient respectivement dans les deux lignées de 0,21 et 0,24 pour la voie père/grand-père maternel et de 0,30 et 0,26 pour la voie mère. Les valeurs plus élevées des héritabilités mère pourraient suggérer l'existence d'effets maternels ou de dominance. La moyenne des estimations de la corrélation génétique entre sensibilités au valgus et varus obtenues par les voies père/grand-père maternel ou mère était égale dans la lignée A à (-0,31 et dans la lignée B à 0,07. Ceci confirme les résultats concernant les différences cliniques et anatomiques entre les deux tableaux cliniques suggérant que valgus et varus pourraient correspondre à deux étiopathogénies différentes. Enfin, ce résultat remet en cause l'utilisation de la note globale de présence/absence du syndrome pattes tordues comme critère de sélection.

poulet de chair / syndrome pattes tordues / paramètre génétique / caractère polytomique non ordonné / transformation logistique multinomiale

INTRODUCTION

Selection of meat-type chickens has been aimed until now mainly at increasing growth rate. Phenotypic change of growth rate during the past 40 years has been spectacular: according to L'Hospitalier et al (1986), who compared eight commercial crosses from four countries, mean daily gain between hatching day and 42nd day increased from 20 to 47 g/day between 1962 and 1985. Even if this increase can be partly explained by improvements in nutrition and management, it seems that a great part of the progress is due to selection. Annual genetic gain for body weight measured at 6 weeks estimated in two commercial meat-type strains on large data sets, was equal to 94.6 g for the sire strain and to 72.6 g for the dam strain (Jego et al, 1995). However, leg disorders have appeared at higher frequencies concomitantly to this selection on growth performance. Hartmann and Flock (1979) compared the incidence of twisted legs in commercial lines between 1963-1968 and 1977-1978. Between these two periods, the incidence measured on male offspring at slaughter had increased from 20 to 32% (70% when including slight deformities).

Leg disorders have important economic consequences, such as a decrease of body weight (Hartmann and Flock, 1979; Leenstra et al, 1984; Leterrier and Nys, 1992), and culling of the most affected birds. Furthermore, as discussed by Sorensen (1989), decreasing leg disorders should contribute to improving animal welfare. Twisted legs are one of the most frequent deformities among leg disorders (Stuart, 1989). The

goal of this study was to estimate in two meat-type strains, genetic parameters of the two main deformities observed in this syndrome, ie, 'valgus' and 'varus' angulations.

Different angulations were scored as disjoint categories, and a multinomial sampling model was assumed. Since usual linear methods are not optimal for such traits, the generalized linear model theory (McCullagh and Nelder, 1989) was employed. Because scoring was considered as an unordered polytomy, a multivariate logit transformation (Cox, 1970), previously applied in a mixed-model context by Gianola (1980), provided the relevant link function between continuous latent variates and expected occurrences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals

The present study was conducted on 14 264 chickens born from 111 sires, 76 maternal grandsires and 768 dams in line A and 8 164 chickens born from 94 sires, 71 maternal grandsires and 553 dams in line B. Both male and female animals were considered. Chickens in the A and B lines were kept on the floor in 14 and 11 hatches respectively. Animals were examined for twisted legs at 6 weeks of age and the gravity of the deformity was recorded as mild or severe. According to the suggestions of Leterrier and Nys (1992), 'valgus' and 'varus' angulations of the tibiotarsal articulation were distinguished. The former is often bilateral, and displaced tendons are observed only in the most severe cases. The latter is most often associated with a medial tendinous displacement. 'Unilateral' or 'bilateral' varus were further distinguished as suggested by Riddell (1983) and Leterrier and Nys (1992), but these data were pooled for the analysis. All animals were thus classified as healthy, valgus or varus.

Statistical model

Let $\boldsymbol{\pi}_i = (\pi_{i1}, \pi_{i2}, \dots, \pi_{ic})'$ be the vector of the probabilities of the different discrete categories in the i th ($i = 1, \dots, s$) stratum (ie, combination of levels of the factors involved in the model). Because an animal can only be assigned to one category at a time, the probability of observing n_{ik} animals in the k th ($k = 1, \dots, c$) category was assumed to be given by the multinomial distribution:

$$\text{Prob}(n_{i1}, n_{i2}, \dots, n_{ic}) = n_i! \prod_{k=1}^c \frac{\pi_{ik}^{n_{ik}}}{n_{ik}!}; \quad i = 1, \dots, s \quad [1]$$

where n_i was the total number of observations in the i th stratum.

McCullagh and Nelder (1989) distinguished between ordinal and nominal polytomous data. In the ordinal case, various categorical responses can be classified and considered as expressions of a single latent variate in reference to several thresholds. In the nominal case, such a classification is impossible. This is clearly our situation because physiological studies on leg disorders have led to the conclusion that

valgus and varus could correspond to different defects (Leterrier and Nys, 1992; Riddell, 1992), ie, each of them would be related to one specific susceptibility. In this case, the appropriate link between the latent risk variates and the observations is less easy to set up than in the threshold model. The aforementioned logit multinomial model corresponds to one possible situation, in which discrete expression corresponds to the result of a competition between latent variates: Gianola (1982), Judge et al (1985), and Albert and Chib (1993) remind us that the discrete observed code corresponds to the largest value among the c underlying logistic latent susceptibility variates. Let us assume these c standardized logistic latent variates to be $y_1^*, y_2^*, \dots, y_c^*$ with means $\mu_1^*, \mu_2^*, \dots, \mu_c^*$, so that $y_i^* = \mu_i^* + \varepsilon_i^*$, with $\text{Var}(\varepsilon_i^*) = \pi^2/3$ and $\text{Cov}(\varepsilon_i^*, \varepsilon_j^*) = \pi^2/6$. The differences between the variates y_j^* and a given variate y_i^* ($j \neq i$) are still logistic variates with the same standardized variance and covariance (Johnson and Kotz, 1970). Assuming that y_i^* is the largest amongst all the y^* values, the probability of observing the i th category corresponds to:

$$\pi_i = \text{Prob}\left(\bigcap_{j \neq i} (y_j^* - y_i^*) < 0\right)$$

When μ_j^* and μ_i^* are known, the probability of such an event is given by the cumulative distribution function (denoted by F) of the $c - 1$ variates $\varepsilon_j^* - \varepsilon_i^*$ ($j \neq i$), following a multivariate standardized logistic distribution. Indeed, $y_j^* < y_i^*$ implies: $\mu_j^* + \varepsilon_j^* < \mu_i^* + \varepsilon_i^*$ and $\varepsilon_j^* - \varepsilon_i^* < \mu_i^* - \mu_j^*$. Therefore (Johnson and Kotz, 1970):

$$\pi_i = F_{j \neq i}(\dots, \mu_i^* - \mu_j^*, \dots) = \left[1 + \sum_{j \neq i} \exp(\mu_j^* - \mu_i^*) \right]^{-1} = \frac{\exp \mu_i^*}{\sum_{k=1}^c \exp \mu_k^*}$$

Considering category c as a reference, and after reparameterization, one can write:

$$\frac{\pi_j}{\pi_c} = \exp(\mu_j^* - \mu_c^*) = \exp \mu_j, \quad j = 1, \dots, c - 1$$

Similar developments can be found in Bock and Jones (1968) and Gianola (1982). Since only $c - 1$ categories are independent, only $c - 1$ logits corresponding to the differences between the expectations of the various logistic latent variates and the expectation of the logistic latent variate chosen as the reference can be estimated.

Provided that the baseline 'risk' associated with the healthy category (noted here as the c th category) was chosen as this reference, the probabilities of response for the i th stratum are:

$$\pi_{ik} = \pi_{ic} \exp \mu_{ik}; \quad k \neq c \quad [2a]$$

where $\mu_{ik} = \log(\pi_{ik}/\pi_{ic})$ was the k th ($k = 1, \dots, c - 1$) logit for stratum i .

Hence,

$$\sum_{k \neq c} \pi_{ik} = \pi_{ic} \sum_{k \neq c} \exp \mu_{ik}$$

and, as

$$\sum_{k \neq c} \pi_{ik} = 1 - \pi_{ic}$$

$$\pi_{ic} = \frac{1}{1 + \sum_{k \neq c} \exp \mu_{ik}} \quad [2b]$$

Inferences on location and dispersion parameters pertain to latent susceptibility variates related to each deformity (ie, 'latent valgus' and 'latent varus'), bearing in mind that these parameters depend on the relevant deformity and on the reference category. For this reason, reference to valgus and varus hereafter should be considered as applying to the corresponding latent variables.

Genetic model

The genetic model used for logits assumed additive genetic effects and no maternal effects. In this context, the statistical model used for logits, assuming three categories, namely valgus, varus and healthy, can be represented by:

$$\boldsymbol{\mu}_k = \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}_k + \mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{u}_{1k} + \mathbf{Z}_2\mathbf{u}_{2k}; \quad k = 1, 2 \quad [3]$$

where $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ is an $(s \times 1)$ vector, \mathbf{b}_k a $(p \times 1)$ vector pertaining to the fixed effects of the hatch (numbers of levels were 14 and 11 in lines A and B respectively) and sex, \mathbf{u}_{1k} a $(q_1 \times 1)$ vector pertaining to random effects of sires and maternal grandsires and \mathbf{u}_{2k} a $(q_2 \times 1)$ vector pertaining to random effects of dams (within maternal grandsires) on the k th logit. \mathbf{X} , \mathbf{Z}_1 and \mathbf{Z}_2 are, respectively, $(s \times p)$, $(s \times q_1)$ and $(s \times q_2)$ known incidence matrices. Sire elements of the vector \mathbf{u}_{1k} represent one half of their additive direct genetic value. The maternal grandsire effect represents a quarter of his additive genetic value, so that it is expressed as 0.5 times the corresponding sire effect. Therefore, $\mathbf{Z}_1 = \mathbf{Z}_S + 0.5 \mathbf{Z}_{MGS}$, where \mathbf{Z}_S and \mathbf{Z}_{MGS} were incidence matrices pertaining to sires and maternal grandsires respectively, with the appropriate number of zero columns to give them the same $(s \times q_1)$ dimensions. Elements of the dam within maternal-grandsire vector \mathbf{u}_{2k} represented one half of her additive direct genetic value for trait k deviated from the contribution of her sire effect, which itself was equal to one quarter of his genetic value (eg, see Manfredi et al, 1991).

As usual, genetic effects of male and female ancestors were assumed to follow a multivariate normal distribution with $E(\mathbf{u}_1) = \emptyset$, $E(\mathbf{u}_2) = \emptyset$ and

$$\text{Var} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}_1 \\ \mathbf{u}_2 \end{pmatrix} = \Sigma_u = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{G}_1 \otimes \mathbf{A}_1 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{G}_2 \otimes \mathbf{A}_2 \end{pmatrix} \quad [4]$$

with $\mathbf{u}'_1 = (\mathbf{u}'_{11}, \mathbf{u}'_{12})$ and $\mathbf{u}'_2 = (\mathbf{u}'_{21}, \mathbf{u}'_{22})$. \mathbf{G}_1 and \mathbf{G}_2 are (2×2) matrices of the genetic variance-covariance components for the male ancestors (either sires or maternal grandsires) and dam within maternal grandsire effects respectively. From previous considerations, it can be shown that element (i, j) of \mathbf{G}_1 (denoted g_{1ij}) and element (i, j) of \mathbf{G}_2 (denoted g_{2ij}) have expectations respectively equal to $1/4$ and $3/16$ of the genetic variance (or covariance) pertaining to logits i and

j . \mathbf{A}_1 is the relationship matrix among sires and maternal grandsires of recorded animals. This was computed by considering relationships created by common male ancestors occurring in the pedigree (Henderson, 1975); there were totals of 376 and 357 male breeding animals in lines A and B respectively. \mathbf{A}_2 was the relationship matrix among the dams created by considering relationships due to common female ancestors available in the pedigree information, ie, totals of 1 625 and 1 466 females in the two lines respectively.

The linear logistic model presents peculiarities in contrast to the probit model for ordered categorical data. In the latter, the residual variance is equal to 1, and the marginal distribution of the underlying variate is normal. In the logistic distribution, the residual variance is $\pi^2/3$, as noted earlier. Although the conditional (given the random effects) distribution of the latent variate is logistic, the unconditional distribution is not, because the random effects are normal. However, the total variance in the latent scale decomposes additively. Because distribution of the unobserved latent variate corresponds to the sum of a normal logit and a standardized logistic residual, we shall use the term 'pseudoheritability'. In this study, pseudoheritabilities based on the variance components were: $h_{1i}^2 = 4g_{1ii}/\sigma_{pi}^2$, and $h_{2i}^2 = (16/3)g_{2ii}/\sigma_{pi}^2$, where the phenotypic variance $\sigma_{pi}^2 = g_{1ii}$ (ie, variance between sire groups) $+1/4g_{1ii}$ (ie, variance between maternal grandsire groups) $+g_{2ii}$ (ie, variance between dam groups within maternal grandsire) $+\pi^2/3$ (ie, residual variance), ie, $\sigma_{pi}^2 = 1.25g_{1ii} + g_{2ii} + \pi^2/3$. Genetic correlations were calculated as $g_{1ij}/(g_{1ii} g_{1jj})^{0.5}$ and $g_{2ij}/(g_{2ii} g_{2jj})^{0.5}$ respectively, from sire/maternal-grandsire and dam components. As mentioned above, the residual correlation is forced to be 0.5 in the logit model. Consequently, phenotypic correlations (not given) should be considered as pseudocorrelations.

Estimation of location parameters by maximum a posteriori (MAP)

Location parameters were defined as $\theta' = (\mathbf{b}', \mathbf{a}')$, with $\mathbf{b}' = (\mathbf{b}'_1, \mathbf{b}'_2)$ and $\mathbf{a}' = (\mathbf{u}'_{11}, \mathbf{u}'_{21}, \mathbf{u}'_{12}, \mathbf{u}'_{22})$ where \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{u}_{ij} are defined in equation [3]. Following a Bayesian approach, they were estimated by maximizing the log of the posterior density for known dispersion matrices \mathbf{G}_1 and \mathbf{G}_2 according to Bayes theorem:

$$\ln p(\theta|\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2) = \ln p(\mathbf{y}|\theta, \mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2) + \ln p(\theta|\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2) + \text{constant}$$

Such an estimation is therefore MAP. As prior information about the distribution of \mathbf{b} was considered to be vague, the a priori density of \mathbf{b} , $p(\mathbf{b})$, was flat. From [4], the log of the a priori density of \mathbf{a} is:

$$\ln p(\mathbf{a}|\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2) = -1/2\mathbf{a}'\Sigma_a^{-1}\mathbf{a} + \text{constant}$$

where Σ_a was obtained from Σ_u after sorting by trait.

For given \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{a} , the probabilities of each category in each population can be obtained from expressions [2a] or [2b]; equation [1] then gives the conditional probability of the observed data. Hence, the logarithm of the posterior density is

equal to:

$$L(\theta|\mathbf{G}_1, \mathbf{G}_2) = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^c n_{ik} \log(\pi_{ik}) - 1/2\mathbf{a}'\Sigma_a^{-1}\mathbf{a} + \text{constant} \quad [5]$$

Because finding the mode of $L(\theta|\Sigma_a)$ led to non-linear equations, the iterative Newton-Raphson algorithm was used; first and second derivatives of $L(\theta)$ with respect to fixed and random effects are described in *Appendix A*. After rearrangement, the system of equations providing solutions is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{11}^{[t]}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{12}^{[t]}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{11}^{[t]}\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{12}^{[t]}\mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{21}^{[t]}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{22}^{[t]}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{21}^{[t]}\mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{22}^{[t]}\mathbf{Z} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{11}^{[t]}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{12}^{[t]}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{11}^{[t]}\mathbf{Z} + \Sigma_a^{11} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{12}^{[t]}\mathbf{Z} + \Sigma_a^{12} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{21}^{[t]}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{22}^{[t]}\mathbf{X} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{21}^{[t]}\mathbf{Z} + \Sigma_a^{21} & \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{22}^{[t]}\mathbf{Z} + \Sigma_a^{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{b}_1^{[t+1]} \\ \mathbf{b}_2^{[t+1]} \\ \mathbf{a}_1^{[t+1]} \\ \mathbf{a}_2^{[t+1]} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}_1^{[t]} \\ \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{V}_2^{[t]} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{V}_1^{[t]} \\ \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{V}_2^{[t]} \end{bmatrix} \quad [6]$$

where $\mathbf{Z} = [\mathbf{Z}_1\mathbf{Z}_2]$ and $\Sigma_a^{ll'}$ contained elements of Σ_a^{-1} corresponding to traits l and l' . \mathbf{W}_{kk} ($k = 1, 2$) and $\mathbf{W}_{kk'}$ ($k = 1, 2; k' = 1, 2; k' \neq k$) were $(s \times s)$ diagonal matrices:

$$\mathbf{W}_{kk}^{[t]} = \text{Diag} \left\{ n_i \pi_{ik} (1 - \pi_{ik}) | \theta = \hat{\theta}^{[t]} \right\}$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{kk'}^{[t]} = \text{Diag} \left\{ -n_i \pi_{ik} \pi_{ik'} | \theta = \hat{\theta}^{[t]} \right\},$$

$$\mathbf{V}_k^{[t]} \text{ was obtained by } \mathbf{V}_k^{[t]} = \mathbf{v}_k^{[t]} + \sum_{j=1}^2 \mathbf{W}_{kj}^{[t]} \boldsymbol{\mu}_j^{[t]}$$

where \mathbf{v}_k was an $(s \times 1)$ vector, $\mathbf{v}_k^{[t]} = \{ n_{ik} - n_i \pi_{ik} | \theta = \hat{\theta}^{[t]} \}$.

Conditional probabilities π_{ik} ($k = 1, 2$) were calculated from [2a], using estimates of \mathbf{b} and \mathbf{a} obtained at the round t . As already described in analyses of discrete traits with a threshold model (Gianola and Foulley, 1983), the system of equations providing MAP estimates can also be written in a form similar to linear mixed-models equations; indeed, the right hand side of [6] can be expressed as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^2 \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{1j}\mathbf{y}_j^{[t]} \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 \mathbf{X}'\mathbf{W}_{2j}\mathbf{y}_j^{[t]} \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{1j}\mathbf{y}_j^{[t]} \\ \sum_{j=1}^2 \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{W}_{2j}\mathbf{y}_j^{[t]} \end{bmatrix}$$

where the \mathbf{y}_j 's are the following working variates:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{y}_1^{[t]} \\ \mathbf{y}_2^{[t]} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}_1^{[t]} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{a}_1^{[t]} \\ \mathbf{X}\mathbf{b}_2^{[t]} + \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{a}_2^{[t]} \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}_{11} & \mathbf{W}_{12} \\ \mathbf{W}_{21} & \mathbf{W}_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}_1^{[t]} \\ \mathbf{v}_2^{[t]} \end{bmatrix}$$

Foulley (1993) presented similar results when reviewing methodologies pertaining to generalized linear models.

Estimation of variance-covariance components

As proposed by Foulley et al (1987) in the case of the multivariate threshold model, the dispersion parameters can be estimated by maximizing their marginal posterior distribution using a flat prior for these parameters. Because of computational limitations, the tilde-hat approach of Van Raden and Jung (1988) for linear models was used in the present study instead of a more desirable expectation-maximization algorithm approach. This method, extended to a multitrait analysis, is described in *Appendix B*; approximate (or 'tilde') solutions for the genetic effects were computed as:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}}_k = \mathbf{D}_k \mathbf{Rhs}_k \quad (k = 1, 2)$$

where \mathbf{D}_k is the inverse of the block diagonal part of the coefficient matrix pertaining to the genetic effects of sex k , which is obtained from the coefficient matrix in [6] after absorbing all the other effects and considering a block per breeding animal (so that approximation for $\tilde{\mathbf{u}}$ is better than when using a diagonal matrix since it takes into account correlation between the traits); \mathbf{Rhs}_k is a vector corresponding to the right-hand-side terms in [6] after absorption of the fixed effects and the effects of the ancestors of the other sex.

Expectation of the quadratic form $q_{kij} = \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{ki} \mathbf{A}_k^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_{kj}$ ($k = 1, 2$) is analogous to the form obtained by Van Raden and Jung (1988):

$$E(q_{kij}) = \sum_{m=1}^{c-1} \sum_{l=1}^{c-1} \text{tr}(\mathbf{D}_{kjm} \mathbf{Z}'_k \mathbf{M}_{kml} \mathbf{Z}_k) g_{kli} \quad (k = 1, 2)$$

where \mathbf{D}_{kjm} is the submatrix of \mathbf{D}_k ($k = 1, 2$) pertaining to traits j and m , and \mathbf{M}_{kml} is the submatrix of the absorption matrix pertaining to traits m and l . This algorithm did not recover standard errors, and methods based on second derivatives should be considered. An even better description of uncertainty could stem from a Monte-Carlo Markov chain implementation but would imply heavy calculations.

Numerical aspects

As described by Manfredi et al (1991), the complete analysis required three levels of nested iterations: outermost iterations for estimation of the variance and covariance components, the Newton-Raphson iterations for estimation of the location parameters of the model and innermost iterations for solving the system of linear equations corresponding to one iteration of [6]. In our case, this system was solved using a Gauss-Seidel algorithm; these innermost iterations, as well

as iterations, for the calculation of the MAP estimates, were continued until the following condition was reached:

$$\left\{ \sum_l \left(\hat{\theta}_l^{[t+1]} - \hat{\theta}_l^{[t]} \right)^2 / \sum_l \left(\hat{\theta}_l^{[t]} \right)^2 \right\} < 10^{-6} \quad [7]$$

Outermost iterations were stopped when the following condition was satisfied:

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{i,j \geq i} (g_{kij}^{[t]} - g_{kij}^{[t-1]})^2 / \sum_{k=1}^2 \sum_{i,j \geq i} (g_{kij}^{[t]})^2 \right) < 10^{-10} \quad [8]$$

where $g_{kij}^{[t]}$ was the estimate of the covariance component relative to sire/maternal-grandsire ($k = 1$) and dam within maternal grandsire ($k = 2$) effects and pertaining to traits i and j at the round t .

RESULTS

Frequencies of the deformities

Valgus and varus deformities were first diagnosed at 3 weeks; incidences at this age are reported in table I (severity was not recorded at this early age). Frequencies at the age under study, 6 weeks, are reported in table II. While valgus incidence was already high at 3 weeks in both lines and sexes, varus deformity rarely appeared at this age. At 6 weeks of age, both sexes differed in the incidence of valgus deformity, which was twice as high in males (respectively equal to 63.0 and 63.8 in lines A and B) as in the females (respectively equal to 33.8 and 35.1 in lines A and B); this resulted from different frequencies of severe cases, which were more than 30% in the males and close to 6% in the females. Although prevalence of varus increased with age, this defect was markedly less developed than valgus deformity at 6 weeks. Total frequency of varus defects was rather homogeneous between sexes; incidences varied, according to line and sex, from 7.3 to 12.4% (table II). Moreover, very few severe cases of varus deformities were diagnosed at 6 weeks.

Table I. Incidence (%) of valgus and varus deformities recorded at 3 weeks of age.

	<i>Males</i>	<i>Females</i>
<i>Line A</i>		
Valgus	68.0	56.8
Varus	2.7	1.7
Total	70.7	58.5
<i>Line B</i>		
Valgus	63.4	43.5
Varus	2.9	2.4
Total	66.3	45.9

Table II. Incidence (%) of valgus and varus deformities recorded as mild (M) or severe (S) at 6 weeks of age.

	<i>Gravity of the deformity in males</i>			<i>Gravity of the deformity in females</i>		
	<i>M</i>	<i>S</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>M</i>	<i>S</i>	<i>Total</i>
<i>Line A</i>						
Valgus	23.7	39.3	63.0	27.4	6.4	33.8
Varus	5.7	3.9	9.6	9.0	0.9	9.9
Total	29.4	43.2	72.6	36.4	7.3	43.7
<i>Line B</i>						
Valgus	31.6	32.2	63.8	28.7	6.4	35.1
Varus	4.8	2.5	7.3	10.7	1.7	12.4
Total	36.4	34.7	71.1	39.4	8.1	47.5

Estimates of variance and covariance components

Estimates of variance and covariance components are presented in table III: estimates of heritabilities and genetic correlations are given in table IV. These results support the hypothesis that the twisted legs syndrome exhibits a genetic component, although the precision of our estimates is unknown. For valgus, estimates of the sire/maternal-grandsire pseudoheritabilities were equal to 0.16 and 0.29 respectively. Dam pseudoheritabilities were equal to 0.40 and 0.35 in the two lines respectively.

Table III. Genetic variances (diagonals) and covariances estimated from sire/maternal-grandsire (upper triangle) or dam (lower triangle) components in the logit scale, in line A (1st line) and B (2nd line).

	<i>Valgus</i>	<i>Varus</i>	<i>Valgus</i>	<i>Varus</i>
Valgus	0.28		0.15	-0.03
	0.26		0.28	0.06
Varus	0.10	0.21		0.20
	-0.02	0.1		0.22

For varus, estimates of the sire/maternal-grandsire pseudoheritabilities were equal to 0.21 and 0.24 respectively. Estimates of dam pseudoheritabilities were 0.30 and 0.26 for the two lines respectively.

Estimates of the genetic correlation between valgus and varus were negative in line A and equal to -0.19 when estimated from the sire/maternal-grandsire component and -0.43 when estimated from the dam component. In line B, the genetic correlation estimated from the sire/maternal-grandsire component was positive and equal to 0.23, but the genetic correlation estimated from the dam component was negative and equal to -0.10.

Table IV. Heritabilities^a (diagonals) and genetic correlations estimated from sire/maternal-grandsire (upper triangle) or dam (lower triangle) components in the logit scale, in line A (1st line) and B (2nd line).

	<i>Valgus</i>	<i>Varus</i>	<i>Valgus</i>	<i>Varus</i>
<i>Valgus</i>	0.40		0.16	-0.19
	0.35		0.29	0.23
<i>Varus</i>	-0.43	0.30		0.21
	-0.10	0.26		0.24

^a To be considered as pseudoheritabilities (see text).

DISCUSSION

Deformities measured in the present study could not be considered as ordered categorical traits; therefore, use of the threshold model developed by Gianola and Foulley (1983) was precluded here. In contrast, the multiple logistic model is appealing for unordered categorical traits. Whereas many applications of the threshold model in animal breeding with a mixed model are now reported in the literature, use of the logistic model in this area is rare; it has been restricted until now to cattle breeding for analysis of survival data (measured as an 'all-or-none' trait) by De Lorenzo and Everett (1986) and for analysis of unordered categorical responses with a mixed model by Gianola (1980). In the latter case, logits pertained to ratios of observed counts and not to ratios of probabilities.

Previous studies of the genetics of twisted legs syndrome have not taken advantage of advances in methods of analysis of discrete traits. Moreover few estimates of genetic parameters are available in the literature. However, all studies conclude that twisted legs are heritable. Hartmann and Flock (1979) estimated the heritability of twisted legs by the analysis of variance proposed by Robertson and Lerner (1949). Estimates ranged between 0.10 and 0.30 for the first period under study, and between 0.40 and 0.51 for the second period, for male and female offspring respectively. Leenstra et al (1984) compared three lines selected for increased body weight at 6 weeks or decreased incidence of twisted legs or for both. After three generations of selection, they obtained a significant decrease of twisted legs in both lines selected against this disease. Mercer and Hill (1984) provided estimates of heritability of 'splay' and 'bow' deformities, analogous to valgus and varus respectively, in three meat-type strains. Both full and half-sibs analyses were conducted. Using the proband method (Falconer, 1965), heritability of splay (or valgus) deformity ranged between 0.14 and 0.29 when estimated from half-sib analysis; the mean value for this angulation was 0.21. When computed from dam components, heritability estimates ranged between 0.20 and 0.26 with a mean value of 0.23. For bow leg (or varus), heritability estimates were more variable between lines and ranged between 0.05 and 0.26, with a mean value of 0.11 when obtained from paternal half-sib analysis. When full-sibs were considered, estimates were between 0.18 and 0.24, with a mean value of 0.20. With the exception of

valgus in line A based on the sire/maternal-grandsire component, our heritability estimates were slightly higher than those reported by Mercer and Hill (1984).

In their study, Mercer and Hill (1984) indicated that leg problems (including leg and keel defects) were positively correlated but noticed the possible exception of bow and splay deformities. The means of the estimates of the genetic correlation obtained by an analysis of variance were -0.12 and -0.06 when based on half-sibs and full-sibs respectively. Our estimates of the genetic correlation between valgus and varus also appeared to be very small or slightly negative. These values cannot be attributed to the fact that valgus and varus are mutually exclusive: the logistic model takes this into account. Indeed, when simulating data (on two traits) whose underlying variates were positively correlated, the mean of the estimates of this parameter was positive (and close to the true value, when incidences of the two traits were higher than 0.10). When considering leg defects, a positive genetic correlation would correspond to a favourable situation in which selecting against one of the deformities would allow a decrease in incidence of all the various deformities (and thus an increase in the incidence of healthy animals as shown by the expression for the probability of this category given in [2b]). This situation was not encountered because valgus and varus seemed, according to our estimates, to be approximately independent. This was also supported by studies on clinical and anatomical differences between the two deformities (Letierrier and Nys, 1992) which suggested that they correspond to different aetio-pathogeneses. However, the results obtained here cannot be considered as clear evidence that valgus and varus should be recorded separately for selection purposes. This should be established from considerations of selection responses which are beyond the scope of the present paper. It should be noted that, for the purpose of this study, unilateral and bilateral varus were grouped. Indeed, previous analyses suggested substantial genetic correlations between both defects: estimates obtained with a sire model ranged between 0.69 and 0.75. This result supports the observations of Letierrier and Nys (1992) of the clinical similarities between these two deformities. However, more intensive research on larger data sets and considerations of responses to selection should make it clear whether or not our grouping procedure was fully optimal.

A sensible question to be answered is whether mild or severe expressions of the same deformity should be considered as different traits and the list of logits modified accordingly. Preliminary analyses according to a simplified model (ie, a sire model) were carried out in the males for each of the two selected lines. Estimates of the genetic correlation between susceptibilities to mild or severe defects appeared very high, between 0.88 and 0.91 in line A, and between 0.73 and 0.96 in line B. These results justify classification of mildly affected birds as ill. It made it possible to group together mild and severe symptoms, which avoided very extensive computing costs and the consequences of extreme levels of incidence observed for varus deformities.

In this analysis leg defects of both males and females were considered as the same trait. Since the incidence of deformities and the balance between mild and severe forms varied significantly according to sex (as shown specially for valgus in table II), suggesting genetic determinisms possibly different between sexes, a preliminary analysis was conducted to estimate genetic correlations between susceptibilities of males and females. A sire model was used because convergence on genetic parameters could not be reached with a sire, maternal grandsire and dam model.

Whatever the deformity, genetic correlation between susceptibilities of males and females was very high: estimates were between 0.71 and 0.88 in line A, and between 0.71 and 0.91 in line B. This result suggests that genes common to both sexes are involved in susceptibility to twisted legs syndrome, although the moderate accuracy of our estimates (standard deviations were between 0.05 and 0.16 in line A, and between 0.04 and 0.24 in line B) does not exclude the possibility of specific genetic effects for each sex, and particularly of sex-linked effects. Sexes were pooled together when considering genetic effects but distinguished when considering fixed effects.

A discrepancy between sire/maternal-grandsire and dam heritabilities was observed; the latter were higher. In the same way, in the study of Mercer and Hill (1984), heritability obtained from full-sibs correlations was usually greater than heritability given by half-sibs analysis. Because permanent environmental maternal effects are unlikely in present systems of chick rearing, this trend could suggest the possible existence of genetic maternal additive effects and/or dominance effects. Genetic maternal effects could be assessed from a sire, maternal grandsire and dam within maternal grandsire model in which sire and grandsire variances would be distinguished, as in Manfredi et al (1991). However, the estimation of variance-covariance components for direct and maternal effects requires the estimation of the covariance between sire and grandsire effects, which is available only if some male ancestors are sire and grandsire at the same time. Here, there were very few (less than five) such ancestors. Further investigations on more comprehensive data sets should allow testing of the hypothesis of the presence of maternal or dominance effects.

No explanation has been found yet for the origin of the twisted legs syndrome. It is highly probable that some of the genes coding for bone, tendon or cartilage growth and quality may be involved in variations of susceptibility to these disorders. Polygenic determinism was assumed in the present study; testing the hypothesis of the involvement of a major gene would be interesting although no evidence of such stems from our analysis.

CONCLUSION

These results indicate that selection against the various types of twisted legs can be effective. It is likely that a simplified selection scheme based on the presence or absence of twisted legs would reduce valgus deformity because of its larger incidence, while changes in incidence of varus would most probably be small or even unfavourable because of the negative genetic correlation between the two defects. However, further work is now needed to derive the expected response to selection on unordered categorical traits. Indeed, genetic parameters on the logit scale are not connected to response to selection in the same way as in the Gaussian situation, primarily because the observed response arises from a competition between latent variates. Moreover, before defining the best breeding strategy to implement in practice, further investigations should be carried out in order to estimate genetic relationships between these deformities and traits of economical importance, such as growth rate and meat conformation traits.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Comments and suggestions made by reviewers and D Gianola (University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI, USA) are gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- Albert JH, Chib S (1993) Bayesian analysis of binary and polychotomous response data. *J Am Stat Assoc* 88, 669-679
- Bock RD, Jones LV (1968) *The Measurement and Prediction of Judgement and Choice*. Holden-Day, San Francisco
- Cox DR (1970) *The Analysis of Binary Data*. Chapman and Hall, London
- DeLorenzo MA, Everett RW (1986) Prediction of sire effects for probability of survival to fixed ages with a logistic linear model. *J Dairy Sci* 69, 501-509
- Falconer DS (1965) The inheritance of liability to certain diseases, estimated from the incidence among relatives. *Ann Hum Genet London* 29, 51-76
- Foulley JL, Gianola D, Hoschele I (1987) Empirical Bayes estimators of parameters for n polygenic binary traits. *Genet Sel Evol* 19, 197-224
- Foulley JL (1993) Utilisation du modèle linéaire généralisé pour l'analyse de variables discrètes (Cours Inra de formation permanente en statistique), 15-18 nov, 6-9 déc 1993, Mimeo, 90 p
- Gianola D (1980) Genetic evaluation of animals for traits with categorical responses. *J Anim Sci* 51, 1272-1276
- Gianola D (1982) Theory and analysis of threshold characters. *J Anim Sci* 54, 1079-1096
- Gianola D, Foulley JL (1983) Sire evaluation for ordered categorical data with a threshold model. *Genet Sel Evol* 15, 201-224
- Hartmann W, Flock DK (1979) Line and family effects on the incidence of 'twisted legs' in meat chickens. In: *Proceedings of the British Poultry Breeders Roundtable, 20-22 November 1979, Glasgow*, 1-8
- Henderson CR (1975) Inverse of a matrix of relationships due to sires and maternal grandsires. *J Dairy Sci* 58, 1917-1921
- Jego Y, Besbes B, Donal JL (1995) Analyse de la variabilité génétique et de la réponse à la sélection dans deux lignées commerciales de poulet de chair. In: *1^{res} Journées de la recherche avicole, 28-30 mars 1995, Angers*, 125-127
- Johnson NL, Kotz S (1970) *Distributions in Statistics: Continuous Multivariate Distributions*. John Wiley and Sons, New York
- Judge GG, Griffiths WE, Carter Hill R, Lütkepohl H, Lee TC (1985) *The Theory and Practice of Econometrics*. 2nd ed, John Wiley and Sons, New York
- L'Hospitalier R, Bougon M, Le Menec M, Quemeneur P (1986) Évolution des performances des poules reproductrices de type-chair et de leur descendance, de 1962 à 1985. *Bull Inf STN Ploufragan* 26, 3-11
- Leenstra F, Van Voorst A, Haye U (1984) Genetic aspects of twisted legs in a broiler sire strain. *Ann Agric Fenn* 23, 261-270
- Leterrier C, Nys Y (1992) Clinical and anatomical differences in varus and valgus deformities of chick limbs suggest different aetio-pathogenesis. *Avia Pathol* 21, 429-442
- Manfredi E, Ducrocq V, Foulley JL (1991) Genetic analysis of dystocia in dairy cattle. *J Dairy Sci* 74, 1715-1723
- McCullagh P, Nelder JA (1989) *Generalized Linear Models*, 2nd ed. Chapman and Hall, London

- Mercer JT, Hill WG (1984) Estimation of genetic parameters for skeletal defects in broiler chickens. *Heredity* 53, 193-203
- Riddell C (1983) Pathology of the skeleton and tendons of broilers chickens reared to roaster weights. I. Crippled chickens. *Avian Dis* 29, 950-962
- Riddell C (1992) Non-infectious skeletal disorders of poultry: an overview. In: *Bone Biology and Disorders in Poultry, 23rd Poultry Science Symposium, 18-20 September 1991, Edinburgh* (CC Whitehead, ed), Carfax Publishing Company, Abingdon, UK, 119-145
- Robertson A, Lerner IM (1949) The heritability of all-or-none traits: viability of poultry. *Genetics* 34, 395-411
- Shi MJ, Laloë D, Ménissier F, Renand G (1993) Estimation of genetic parameters of preweaning performance in the French Limousin cattle breed. *Genet Sel Evol* 25, 177-189
- Sorensen P (1989) Broiler selection and welfare. In: *Proceedings of the 3rd European Symposium on Poultry Welfare Tours, 11-14 June 1989* (JM Faure, D Mills, eds), The French Branch of the World's Poultry Science Association, Tours, 45-58
- Stuart JC (1989) Non nutritional implications on leg weakness problems in broilers. In: *Proceedings of the 7th European Symposium on Poultry Nutrition, Lloret de Mar, 19-21 June 1989*, IRTA, Barcelone, 153-157
- Van Raden PM, Jung YC (1988) A general purpose approximation to restricted maximum likelihood: the tilde-hat approach. *J Dairy Sci* 71, 187-194

APPENDIX A

Derivation of the MAP estimates for given dispersion parameters

MAP estimates of θ maximize the logarithm of the posterior density $L(\theta)$ written in [6]. Setting the first derivatives to 0 leads to a non-linear system. Since expressions of first and second derivatives were straightforward, estimates were computed using an iterative Newton-Raphson algorithm. We denoted the two components of $L(\theta)$, neglecting the constant term, by $L_1(\theta)$ and $L_2(\theta)$ with

$$L_1(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^c n_{ik} \log(\pi_{ik})$$

$$\text{and } L_2(\theta) = -1/2\mathbf{a}'\Sigma_a^{-1}\mathbf{a}$$

First derivatives

Noticing that: $\log(\pi_{ik}) = \mu_{ik} + \log(\pi_{ic})$ ($k < c$), $L_1(\theta)$ can be written as:

$$L_1(\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} n_{ik}\mu_{ik} + \sum_{i=1}^s n_i \cdot \log(\pi_{ic}) \quad [\text{A1}]$$

Considering any parameter λ , we have:

$$\frac{\delta \log(\pi_{ic})}{\delta \lambda} = - \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \frac{\delta \mu_{ik}}{\delta \lambda} \pi_{ik} \quad [\text{A2}]$$

Then,

$$\frac{\delta L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \lambda} = \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \frac{\delta \mu_{ik}}{\delta \lambda} (n_{ik} - n_i \pi_{ik}) \quad [\text{A3}]$$

One can easily infer from [A3] that the first derivative with respect to the fixed effects for the k th ($k = 1, 2$) trait is:

$$\frac{\delta L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta b_k} = \sum_{i=1}^s \frac{\delta \mu_{ik}}{\delta b_k} (n_{ik} - n_i \pi_{ik}) = \sum_{i=1}^s (n_{ik} - n_i \pi_{ik}) \mathbf{x}_i \quad k = 1, 2 \quad [\text{A4}]$$

where \mathbf{x}_i is a $(p \times 1)$ vector containing the elements of the i th row of the $(s \times p)$ incidence matrix \mathbf{X} .

[A4] can be written in matrix form as

$$\frac{\delta L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{b}_k} = \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{v}_k \quad [\text{A5}]$$

where \mathbf{v}_k is the $(s \times 1)$ vector:

$$\mathbf{v}_k = \{n_{ik} - n_i \pi_{ik}\} \quad [\text{A6}]$$

Similarly:

$$\frac{\delta L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{a}_k} = \mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{v}_k \quad [\text{A7}]$$

First derivatives of $L_2(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ with respect to \mathbf{a}_k ($k = 1, 2$) are:

$$\frac{\delta L_2(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{a}_k} = -\Sigma_a^{k1} a_1 - \Sigma_a^{k2} a_2 \quad [\text{A8}]$$

where $\Sigma_a^{jj'}$ is the submatrix of Σ_a^{-1} pertaining to traits j and j' .

Finally, $\frac{\delta L(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{b}_k}$ is obtained from [A5], and $\frac{\delta L(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{a}_k}$ from the addition of [A7] and [A8].

Second derivatives

Working on any parameter γ , the following result holds:

$$\frac{\delta \pi_{ik}}{\delta \gamma} = \frac{\delta \mu_{ik}}{\delta \gamma} \pi_{ik} - \pi_{ik} \sum_{k'=1}^{c-1} \frac{\delta \mu_{ik'}}{\delta \gamma} \pi_{ik'} \quad [\text{A9}]$$

Using [A5] and [A6]

$$\frac{\delta^2 L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{b}_k \delta \mathbf{b}_{k'}} = \mathbf{X}' \left\{ \frac{\delta \mathbf{v}_{ik}}{\delta \mathbf{b}_{k'}} \right\} = \mathbf{X}' \left\{ -n_i \frac{\delta \pi_{ik}}{\delta \mathbf{b}_{k'}} \right\} \quad [\text{A10}]$$

Using [A9], second derivatives are thus:

$$\frac{\delta^2 L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{b}_k \delta \mathbf{b}'_k} = \mathbf{X}' \{-n_i \pi_{ik} (1 - \pi_{ik}) x'_i\} = -\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{W}_{kk} \mathbf{X} \quad [\text{A11a}]$$

where

$$\mathbf{W}_{kk} = \text{diag}\{n_i \pi_{ik} (1 - \pi_{ik})\} \quad [\text{A11b}]$$

and

$$\frac{\delta^2 L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{b}_k \delta \mathbf{b}'_{k'}} = \mathbf{X}' \{n_i \pi_{ik} \pi_{ik'} x_i\} = -\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{W}_{kk'} \mathbf{X} \quad [\text{A12a}]$$

where

$$\mathbf{W}_{kk'} = \text{diag}\{-n_i \pi_{ik} \pi_{ik'}\} \quad \text{for } k' \neq k \quad [\text{A12b}]$$

Similarly, second derivatives with respect to the \mathbf{a}_k vectors are:

$$\frac{\delta^2 L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{a}_k \delta \mathbf{a}_k} = -\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{W}_{kk} \mathbf{Z} \quad [\text{A13}]$$

$$\frac{\delta^2 L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{a}_k \delta \mathbf{a}'_{k'}} = -\mathbf{Z}' \mathbf{W}_{kk'} \mathbf{Z} \quad \text{for } k' \neq k \quad [\text{A14}]$$

In the same way, derivatives of $L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})$ with respect to \mathbf{b}_k and \mathbf{a}'_k are given by:

$$\frac{\delta^2 L_1(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{b}_k \delta \mathbf{a}'_k} = -\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{W}_{kk'} \mathbf{Z} \quad [\text{A15}]$$

where $\mathbf{W}_{kk'}$ is defined as previously for $k = k'$ [A11b] or $k \neq k'$ [A12b].

Finally, differentiating $\delta L_2(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \delta \mathbf{a}_k$ with respect to $\mathbf{a}'_{k'}$ leads to:

$$\frac{\delta^2 L_2(\boldsymbol{\theta})}{\delta \mathbf{a}_k \delta \mathbf{a}'_{k'}} = -\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_a^{kk'} \quad [\text{A16}]$$

The coefficient matrix $-\delta^2 L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \delta \boldsymbol{\theta} \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}'$, described in [7], was built using equations [A11] to [A16].

APPENDIX B

Extension of the tilde-hat method to a multi-trait analysis for variance-covariance components estimation

For the sake of simplicity, equations are presented in the case of a model with one random factor (\mathbf{u}). After absorbing fixed effects, MAP estimates of the genetic effects were computed iteratively from:

$$(\mathbf{Z}'_T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{Z}_T + \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_u^{-1}) \hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{Z}'_T \mathbf{M} \mathbf{y} \quad [\text{B1}]$$

where \mathbf{M} is the absorption matrix, \mathbf{Z}_T is the $(s(c-1) \times q(c-1))$ block diagonal matrix $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{I}_{(c-1)} \otimes \mathbf{Z}$, and \mathbf{y} is the vector of working variates here defined for any value of c , $\mathbf{y}' = [\mathbf{y}'_1, \mathbf{y}'_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}'_{c-1}]$. [B1] was expressed as:

$$\mathbf{B}\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{Rhs} \quad [\text{B2}]$$

or, equivalently:

$$\hat{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{C}\mathbf{Rhs} \quad [\text{B3}]$$

Tilde-hat solutions were computed from:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{u}} = \mathbf{D}\mathbf{Rhs} \quad [\text{B4}]$$

where \mathbf{D} is the inverse of the block diagonal part of \mathbf{B} if sorted by sire and grandsire. As suggested by Van Raden and Jung (1988), $(c-1) \times c/2$ quadratic forms were considered:

$$Q_{ij} = (\hat{\mathbf{u}}'_i \mathbf{A}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}'_j + \hat{\mathbf{u}}_j \mathbf{A}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_i) / 2 \quad i = 1, \dots, c-1; j = i, \dots, c-1$$

Expectation of $q_{ij} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}'_i \mathbf{A}^{-1} \tilde{\mathbf{u}}_j$ was based on the following developments. From [B4], q_{ij} was:

$$q_{ij} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}'_i \mathbf{A}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \mathbf{D}_{jk} \mathbf{Rhs}_k \quad [\text{B5}]$$

where \mathbf{D}_{jk} was the $(q \times q)$ submatrix of \mathbf{D} pertaining to traits j and k , \mathbf{Rhs}_k was the $(q \times 1)$ vector containing \mathbf{Rhs} terms pertaining to the k th trait. Considering [B2], [B5] could be rewritten as:

$$q_{ij} = \hat{\mathbf{u}}'_i \mathbf{A}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \mathbf{D}_{jk} \sum_{l=1}^{c-1} \mathbf{B}_{kl} \hat{\mathbf{u}}_l \quad [\text{B6}]$$

where \mathbf{B}_{kl} contained the elements of \mathbf{B} relative to traits k and l , and $\hat{\mathbf{u}}_l$ were MAP estimates for the l th trait. From the previous result, it can be shown that:

$$E(q_{ij}) = \text{tr} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \mathbf{D}_{jk} \sum_{l=1}^{c-1} \mathbf{B}_{kl} \text{cov}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}_i, \hat{\mathbf{u}}_l) \right) \quad [\text{B7}]$$

Asymptotically, $(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} | \mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{G}) \rightarrow N(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \mathbf{H}_{(\boldsymbol{\theta})})$ where $\mathbf{H}_{(\boldsymbol{\theta})} = -\{E_{\mathbf{y}}(\delta^2 L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \delta \boldsymbol{\theta} \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}')\}^{-1}$.

It should be noticed that in our case, for a given $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, $\delta^2 L(\boldsymbol{\theta}) / \delta \boldsymbol{\theta} \delta \boldsymbol{\theta}'$ does not depend on \mathbf{y} (in contrast with the threshold model) but only on overall population sizes and $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ (therefore, Newton-Raphson and Fisher-scoring methods would yield the same iterates). An approximation to \mathbf{H} is $\mathbf{H}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})$ where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}$ is the vector of the MAP estimates. Therefore, $\text{Var}(\hat{\mathbf{u}}) = \mathbf{G} \otimes \mathbf{A} - \mathbf{C}$ where, as defined previously, \mathbf{C} is the part of \mathbf{H} pertaining to $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$. Consequently:

$$E(q_{ij}) = \text{tr} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{D}_{jk} \sum_{l=1}^{c-1} \mathbf{B}_{kl} (\mathbf{A} g_{li} - \mathbf{C}_{li}) \right) \quad [\text{B8}]$$

where g_{li} is the (co)variance component between traits l and i .

Recalling that,

$$\mathbf{B}_{kl} = \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{M}_{kl}\mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{A}^{-1}g^{kl}$$

where \mathbf{M}_{kl} is the submatrix of \mathbf{M} pertaining to traits k and l and g^{kl} is the (k, l) element of \mathbf{G}^{-1} ($k = 1, 2; l = 1, 2$), [A8] can be partitioned into:

$$\begin{aligned} E(q_{ij}) &= \text{tr} \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \mathbf{D}_{jk} \sum_{l=1}^2 \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{M}_{kl}\mathbf{Z} g_{li} \right) \\ &+ \text{tr} \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{D}_{jk} \sum_{l=1}^2 g^{kl} g_{li} \right) \\ &- \text{tr} \left(\sum_{k=1}^2 \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{D}_{jk} \sum_{l=1}^2 \mathbf{B}_{kl}\mathbf{C}_{li} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The last two terms cancel each other out; recalling that $\mathbf{G}^{-1}\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{I}_2$ and $\mathbf{BC} = \mathbf{I}_{2q}$, $\sum_{l=1}^2 g^{kl} g_{li}$ and $\sum_{l=1}^2 \mathbf{B}_{kl}\mathbf{C}_{li}$ are equal to 1 and \mathbf{I}_q when $k = 1$ and are equal to 0 and \mathbf{O} otherwise, respectively. Therefore, expectation of q_{ij} is:

$$E(q_{ij}) = \text{tr} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{c-1} \mathbf{D}_{jk} \sum_{l=1}^{c-1} \mathbf{Z}'\mathbf{M}_{kl}\mathbf{Z} g_{li} \right)$$

This result is analogous to the result of Shi et al (1993) but a little more complicated since the tilde solutions are no longer obtained using a diagonal matrix but a block-diagonal matrix, where blocks pertain to breeding animals.