



HAL
open science

Identification of the two common alleles of the bovine k-casein locus by the RFLP technique, using the enzyme hind III

Hubert H. Levéziel, L. Metenier, M Francois Mahe, J. Choplain, J-Pierre J.-P. Furet, Gilles Paboeuf, J.C. Mercier, F. Grosclaude

► To cite this version:

Hubert H. Levéziel, L. Metenier, M Francois Mahe, J. Choplain, J-Pierre J.-P. Furet, et al.. Identification of the two common alleles of the bovine k-casein locus by the RFLP technique, using the enzyme hind III. *Génétique sélection évolution*, 1988, 20 (2), pp.247-254. hal-02719763

HAL Id: hal-02719763

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02719763>

Submitted on 1 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Note

Identification of the two common alleles of the bovine κ -casein locus by the RFLP technique, using the enzyme Hind III

H. LEVÉZIEL, Liliane MÉTÉNIER, Marie-Françoise MAHÉ, J. CHOPLAIN, J.-P. FURET, G. PABÉUF, J.-C. MERCIER and F. GROSCLAUDE

Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique, Laboratoire de Génétique Biochimique, Centre de Recherches de Jouy-en-Josas, 78350 Jouy-en-Josas, France

Summary

As could be predicted from a comparison of the cDNA sequences established by STEWART *et al.* (1984) and GORODETSKIY & KALEDIN (1987) the two common alleles of the bovine κ -casein locus, κ -Cn^A and κ -Cn^B, can be identified by the restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) technique using either Hind III or Taq I. The latter endonuclease also detects a polymorphism of the DNA strand carrying the allele κ -Cn^A. However, for determination of both alleles, the use of Hind III is preferable because, according to the data of the above authors, the RFLP detected by that enzyme is specific for the amino-acid substitution responsible for the difference in charge of the two κ -casein variants. When DNA is prepared from blood leucocytes, the occurrence of chimaerism in twins may cause difficulties in interpretation.

Key words : cattle, κ -casein, genetic variants, RFLP.

Résumé

Identification des deux allèles communs du locus de la caséine κ -bovine par un polymorphisme de longueur des fragments de restriction obtenus avec l'enzyme Hind III

Comme on pouvait le prédire par comparaison des séquences d'ADN complémentaire établies par STEWART *et al.* (1984) et GORODETSKIY & KALEDIN (1987), les deux allèles communs du locus de la caséine κ bovine, κ -Cn^A et κ -Cn^B, sont identifiables par un polymorphisme de longueur des fragments de restriction, en utilisant soit Hind III, soit Taq I. Cette dernière endonucléase révèle aussi un polymorphisme du brin d'ADN portant l'allèle κ -Cn^A. Pour la détermination des deux allèles, l'utilisation de Hind III est préférable car, d'après les données des auteurs ci-dessus, le polymorphisme détecté par cet enzyme est spécifique de la substitution d'acides aminés responsable de la différence de charge entre les deux variants de la caséine κ . Si l'ADN a été préparé à partir de leucocytes du sang, l'existence d'un chimérisme chez les jumeaux peut causer des difficultés d'interprétation.

Mots clés : bovins, caséine κ , variants génétiques, polymorphisme de longueur des fragments de restriction.

II. Materials and methods

A. Animals

Forty-five *Normande* or *Holstein* cows from the INRA experimental herd at Le Pin-au-Haras, in Normandy, comprising the female progeny of 25 different bulls, were investigated (table 1). Only 14 cows formed dam-daughter pairs: 6 dams and their 8 daughters, including 2 half-sisters and 2 twins.

TABLE 1

Distribution of investigated cows by breed and κ -casein genotype

Genotype	Breed		
	<i>Normande</i>	<i>Holstein</i>	
<i>A/A</i> (*)	1	13	14
<i>A/B</i>	3	10	13
<i>B/B</i>	15	3	18
	19	26	45

(*) For *A/A*, read κ -Cn^{A/A}, etc.

B. Preparation and phenotype analysis of whole casein

Bovine whole casein was prepared by isoelectric precipitation of individual skim-milk samples and analyzed by starch gel electrophoresis at pH 8.6, as previously described (GROSCLAUDE *et al.*, 1965).

C. κ -casein cDNA probe

A 648 bp long ovine κ -casein cDNA starting at the 211th nucleotide of the full-length counterpart, at the level of the 47th codon (FURET *et al.*, 1988, submitted), was radiolabelled with (α^{32} P)dCTP to a specific activity of 10⁹ dpm/ μ g, using the « multi-prime DNA labelling system RPN 1601 » of Amersham.

D. Preparation and Southern blot analysis of genomic DNA

Southern blot analysis was performed according to the 10th HLA workshop's reference protocol (MARCADET *et al.*, 1988). Briefly, 20 ml blood samples were collected in EDTA, and after elimination of red cells by lysis, the leucocytes were incubated overnight at 42 °C in lysis buffer containing proteinase K. Genomic DNA was then isolated by two phenol-chloroform-isoamyl alcohol extractions, then precipitated by isopropanol with NaCl (60 mM), and after three washes with 70 % ethanol, resuspended in Tris-EDTA (1 mM ; 0.1 mM ; pH 7.6). The endonucleases Hind III and Taq I were used as specified by the manufacturer (Boehringer), but spermidine (2 mM) was added to Hind III digestions and the enzymes were always added in three stages, to

give a total of 5 U/ μ g DNA. After 43 h electrophoresis (0.9 % agarose ; 25 V), and alkaline transfer (0.4 M NaOH, 18 h at room temperature) onto nylon membrane (Biotrace), the blots were incubated for 5 h at 42 °C in individual plastic bags containing 30 ml prehybridizing solution : 50 % formamide, 5 % dextran sulfate, 0.1 % denhardt, 5 X SSPE (0.9 M NaCl ; 50 mM NaH₂ PO₄ ; 5 mM EDTA ; pH 7.7), 1 % SDS and 200 μ g salmon sperm DNA/ml. Hybridization was carried out in 20 ml of the above (fresh) solution containing 25 ng of the radiolabelled cDNA probe (40 h ; 42 °C). Membranes were washed twice with 2 X SSPE (room temperature ; 5 min), once with 2 X SSPE, 0.5 % SDS (65 °C ; 15 min), and finally once with 0.5 X SSPE (65 °C ; 15 min) before autoradiography (X-OMAT-AR films ; Kodak). Sizes of restriction fragments were estimated according to SCHAFFER & SEDEROFF (1981) by running both " Hind III/SmaI " and " KpnI/BstEII " phage λ DNA fragments in parallel, as well as the standard BRL " 5615 SA/SB " molecular size marker (data not shown).

III. Results

Figure 2 shows examples of patterns found after hybridization of Hind III digests. Besides a 0.8 kb fragment present in all samples, a polymorphism made up of fragments of approximately 2.2, 3.3 and 5.5 kb may be observed. A comparison of this polymorphism with the genotypes deduced from electrophoresis of the protein indicated




FIG. 2

Identification of κ -casein genotypes by Southern blot hybridization of Hind III digests of bovine genomic DNA. One fragment of 0.8 kb occurs in all samples, whereas the other fragments are polymorphic. The restriction patterns suggest that the 2.2 and 3.3 kb fragments characterizing allele κ -Cn^B arise from the cleavage of the 5.5 kb fragment occurring only in allele κ -Cn^A. These RFLP phenotypes match the κ -casein genotypes (lower line) deduced from the electrophoretic analysis of whole casein samples obtained from the same cows, with one exception, not shown.

that the genotype κ -Cn^{A/A} gave only the 5.5 kb fragment, while the genotype κ -Cn^{B/B} gave both the 2.2 and 3.3 kb fragments, a result compatible with the existence of an additional Hind III site in allele κ -Cn^B. As expected, the heterozygous genotype, κ -Cn^{A/B}, gave all three fragments, except in one case (*Holstein* cow 042, a twin) for which the DNA pattern was that otherwise associated with the κ -Cn^{B/B} genotype.




FIG. 3

Identification of κ -casein genotypes by Southern blot hybridization of Taq I digests of bovine genomic DNA. Animals of genotype κ -Cn^{B/B}, as deduced from the phenotypic analysis of both κ -casein and Hind III RFLP, are characterized by the occurrence of two Taq I fragments of 2.6 and 5.3 kb (lanes 3, 4, 9, 11, 14* and 16). Those of genotype κ -Cn^{A/A} fall into three subgroups on the basis of the observed Taq I patterns since they contain either a unique 12.5 (lane 8) or 8.6 kb (lane 1) fragment or both 8.6 and 5.8 kb fragments (lanes 2 and 10). Heterozygous κ -Cn^{A/B} cows show comparable heterogenous A patterns: occurrence of a unique 8.6 (lanes 5-7, 13 and 15) or 5.8 kb fragment (lane 12). Lane 14* refers to a chimaeric cow (see text). Fig. 3b represents a partial view of the gel, but all animals lacked the 12.5 kb fragment.

Figure 3 shows examples of patterns found after hybridization of Taq I digests. With this enzyme, κ -Cn^{B/B} homozygotes all produced two fragments of approximately 2.6 and 5.3 kb. Furthermore, 9 out of the 14 κ -Cn^{A/A} homozygotes produced one fragment of about 8.6 kb (fig. 3a, sample 1). Those results were in accordance with the existence of an additional Taq I site in allele κ -Cn^B. However, two further patterns were observed among the 5 remaining κ -Cn^{A/A} homozygotes with fragments of about 5.8 and 12.5 kb (fig. 3a and b samples n° 2, 8 and 10). As a whole, those results suggested that three different Taq I fragments of approximately 5.8, 8.6 and 12.5 kb respectively could represent allele κ -Cn^A. Again with the same exception (cow 042), the patterns observed with κ -Cn^{A/B} heterozygotes did not disagree with the above hypothesis: in 10 out of the 13 individuals, allele κ -Cn^A was represented by the 8.6 kb band, in two

individuals, by the 5.8 kb band, the DNA pattern of the heterozygous cow 042 again being that otherwise associated with the κ -Cn^{B/B} genotype (fig. 3b, n° 16). Note finally that sample n° 14 (fig. 3b) was from a twin of genotype κ -Cn^{B/B} and the existence of a faint 8.6 kb band is very likely due to a chimaerism of white cells.

IV. Discussion

Taken as a whole, these observations are in accordance with the existence of an additional Hind III site and an additional Taq I site in allele κ -Cn^B and agree with expectations. Nevertheless, the patterns obtained are less simple with Taq I than with Hind III, because Taq I leads to a subdivision of the DNA strand bearing allele κ -Cn^A, a phenomenon which was not *a priori* totally unexpected. In fact it is possible that, even with Hind III, the analysis of more samples might disclose other subdivisions, but this would not basically alter the conclusions of the present work.

The exception observed with cow 042 may have two different explanations. On the one hand, the existence of a second κ -CnA variant, differing from κ -CnB by a substitution other than 148 Asp → Ala would be possible. On the other hand, twin 042 may show an extreme chimaerism of white cells, being of genotype κ -Cn^{A/B} but with only white cells of type κ -Cn^{B/B} transmitted by her co-twin. Attempts to detect chimaerism at the red cell level by the absorption technique were unsuccessful, but this does not definitively exclude the phenomenon. Conclusive results can only be expected from a biochemical analysis of the κ -CnA variant of cow 042, which is in progress in our laboratory.

In conclusion, the two common alleles of the bovine κ -casein locus, κ -Cn^A and κ -Cn^B, can be detected at the DNA level by the RFLP technique, using either Hind III or Taq I. However the use of Hind III is preferable because the DNA polymorphism produced by that enzyme is specific to the amino acid substitution responsible for the difference in charge of the two casein variants, 148 Asp (κ -CnA) → Ala (κ -CnB). It is not known whether the second amino acid substitution, 136 Thr (κ -CnA) → Ile (κ -CnB), whose specific mutation is detected by Taq I, is always associated with the former, because it was only analysed in a few casein samples. Secondly the possible existence of two κ -casein variants of type A is under study. Finally, when using DNA prepared from blood leucocytes, attention should be paid to possible difficulties in interpretation, due to the occurrence of chimaerism in dizygotic twins.

Received December 7, 1987.

Accepted January 26, 1988.

Acknowledgements

We thank A. MULLER and Y. GALLARD, INRA, Domaine du Pin-au-Haras, 61310 Exmes, for providing the milk and blood samples.

References

- GORODETSKIY S.I., KALEDIN A.S., 1987. Nucleotide sequence analysis of cow κ -casein cDNA. *Genetika*, **23**, 596-604.
- GROSCLAUDE F., 1988. Le polymorphisme génétique des principales lactoprotéines bovines. Relations avec la quantité, la composition et les aptitudes fromagères du lait. *INRA Prod. Anim.*, **1**(1), 5-17.
- GROSCLAUDE F., PUJOLLE J., GARNIER L., RIBADEAU-DUMAS B., 1965. Déterminisme génétique des caséines κ du lait de vache ; étroite liaison du locus κ -Cn avec les loci α_{s1} -Cn et β -Cn. *C.R. Acad. Sci., Paris*, **261**, 5229-5232.
- GROSCLAUDE F., MAHÉ M.-F., MERCIER J.-C., RIBADEAU-DUMAS B., 1972. Localisation des substitutions d'acides aminés différenciant les variants A et B de la caséine κ bovine. *Ann. Génét. Sél. Anim.*, **4**, 515-521.
- MARCADET A., O'CONNEL P., COHEN D., 1988. Southern reference protocole. In : DUPONT B. (ed.), *Histocompatibility testing, 1987*, Munksgaard, Copenhagen (in press).
- MERCIER J.-C., BRIGNON G., RIBADEAU-DUMAS B., 1973. Structure primaire de la caséine κ B bovine. Séquence complète. *Eur. J. Biochem.*, **35**, 222-235.
- SCHAFFER H.E., SEDEROFF R.R., 1981. Improved estimation of DNA fragment lengths from agarose gels. *Anal. Biochem.*, **115**, 113-122.
- STEWART A.F., WILLIS I.M., MACKINLAY A.G., 1984. Nucleotide sequences of bovine α_{s1} and κ -casein cDNAs. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **12**, 3895-3907.