



**HAL**  
open science

## Water quality: differences of perception and management between poultry and pig producers... a new way to reduce antibiotic usage

Mily Leblanc-Maridor, Sophie Brilland, Catherine C. Belloc, Patrick Gambade

### ► To cite this version:

Mily Leblanc-Maridor, Sophie Brilland, Catherine C. Belloc, Patrick Gambade. Water quality: differences of perception and management between poultry and pig producers... a new way to reduce antibiotic usage. 3. International Society for Economics and Social Sciences of Animal Health (ISESSAH), Jul 2019, Atlanta, Georgia, United States. , 3ème ed., 2019, International Society for Economics and Social Sciences of Animal Health (ISESSAH). hal-02734310

**HAL Id: hal-02734310**


**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02734310>**

Submitted on 13 Jun 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Water quality: differences of perception and management between poultry and pig producers... a new way to reduce antibiotic usage



Mily Leblanc-Maridor<sup>1\*</sup>, Sophie Brilland<sup>2</sup>,  
Catherine Belloc<sup>1</sup>, Patrick Gambade<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> BIOEPAR, INRA, Oniris, 44 307 Nantes, France  
<sup>2</sup> CEVA Santé Animale, 53 000 Laval, France  
<sup>3</sup> UNIVET Santé Elevage, 22 600 Loudéac, France



\*Corresponding author: [mily.leblanc-maridor@oniris-nantes.fr](mailto:mily.leblanc-maridor@oniris-nantes.fr)

## INTRODUCTION

- Drinking water is an essential nutrient for animals. Correct and safe water supply, in terms of both quality and quantity, allows optimization of animal performances while maintaining their health
- On field, waterlines cleaning protocols seem to be more frequent in poultry farms than in pig farms



- Indeed, when the physiological animal's requirements are not satisfied, performances can decrease and/or diseases may appear, both having an economical impact for pig and poultry productions.

Is it a reality?  
Are poultry farmers more aware of water quality than pig producers?

In order to assess the different approaches for water management in pig and poultry farms, a study was conducted to compare water supplies, their optimization and the different management practices for piglets in post-weaning rooms and broiler chickens

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Phone survey of 45 farmers (Sanders Bretagne)

- 20 pig producers
  - 20 poultry farmers
  - 5 with both activities
- In the west region in France

Randomly selected  
Inclusion's criteria

- Presence of post-weaning rooms
- At least one building with broilers



### Main themes in the questionnaire

- Water quality perception, water supplies and analyses

- Animal sanitary status

- Water management practices  
Water treatment,



Monitoring for water consumption,  
Waterline cleaning protocols

### Statistical analysis

- The association between practices and production characteristics was analyzed with chi-square tests

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### Water quality perception, water supplies and analyses

Water quality is a main concern in all interviews

Both bacteriological and chemical parameters are regarded as important for water quality, even if chemical analysis is less frequently performed.

Water mainly comes from drilling (bore water)



Repertition of the questionnaires' answers


### Water management practices

Water is an **administration route** : antibiotics, anthelmintics, vaccines, nutritional factors.

For continuous water disinfection, 60% of the pig farmers use chlorination whereas 80% of the poultry farmers perform it with different disinfectants or electrolysis and the remaining 20% used tap water.


### Animal sanitary status

% of poultry farmers



### Frequency of health disorders linked to water quality cited by farmers in our survey

% of pig farmers



When animal's are present, no pig farmers perform pipes' draining while 72% of poultry farmers do.

During the down period, all the poultry farmers set up protocols with mechanical and chemical procedures (flushing, draining, use of base, acid and/or disinfectant) whereas 24 out of 25 pig farmers only clean the troughs in post-weaning rooms.

Estimated Parameters	Pig farmers (n=25)	Poultry farmers (n=25)
<b>Criteria use for water quality</b>		
Bacteriological/chemical analyses	20	20
Only bacteriological analysis	2	4
« Drinking water »	3	1
<b>Water origin</b>		
Bore water	12	13
Tap water	5	7
Well water	6	5
Mix	2	0
<b>Water as an administration route</b>		
Nutritional factors*	3	25
Antibiotics	21	25
Vaccines*	0	24
Anthelmintics*	8	0
Others (AINS, acid, disinfectant)	3	1
<b>Continuous water disinfection</b>		
Physical/chemical treatment	5	9
<b>Water cleaning protocols</b>		
<i>When animals are present</i>		
Waterline washing	0	4
Line flushing*	0	12
<i>During the down period</i>		
Waterline washing*	14	25
Line flushing*	7	21
Use of a base*	2	20
Use of an acid*	3	22
Disinfectant*	3	15

\*Statistically different, (type of animal production)

- This study underlined that the control of water management is more settled in poultry farming compared to the pig industry.
- The main differences concern the monitoring of water consumption and the waterpipe maintenance (including cleaning measures to eliminate the biofilm).
- The improvement of water management could help to prevent health disorders and thus to reduce antibiotic consumption.