



**HAL**  
open science

# Relationships between diversity of natural enemy communities and pest predation levels in different farming and landscape contexts in hedgerow network landscapes

Stéphanie Aviron, El Aziz Djoudi, Audrey Alignier, Manuel Plantegenest, Julien Petillon

## ► To cite this version:

Stéphanie Aviron, El Aziz Djoudi, Audrey Alignier, Manuel Plantegenest, Julien Petillon. Relationships between diversity of natural enemy communities and pest predation levels in different farming and landscape contexts in hedgerow network landscapes. 5. International Symposium on Biological Control of Arthropods, Sep 2017, Langkawi, Malaysia. 348 p. hal-02734896

**HAL Id: hal-02734896**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02734896>**

Submitted on 2 Jun 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

## 5.4 Relationships Between Diversity of Natural Enemy Communities and Pest Predation Levels in Different Farming and Landscape Contexts in Hedgerow Network Landscapes

S. Aviron<sup>1</sup>, E.A. Djoudi<sup>2</sup>, A. Alignier<sup>3</sup>, M. Plantegenest<sup>4</sup> and J. Pétilion<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup> LTER France Zone Atelier Armorique, INRA UMR BAGAP, Rennes, FRANCE, stephanie.aviron@inra.fr, <sup>2</sup> INRA UMR IGEPP & EA Biodiversité et Territoires, Rennes, FRANCE, djoudizz@gmail.com, <sup>3</sup> LTER France « Zone Atelier Armorique », INRA UMR BAGAP, Rennes, FRANCE, audrey.alignier@inra.fr, <sup>4</sup> INRA UMR IGEPP, Le Rheu, FRANCE, manuel.plantegenest@agrocampus-ouest.fr, <sup>5</sup> EA Biodiversité et Territoires, Rennes, FRANCE, julien.petillon@univ-rennes1.fr

Biological control of pests by their natural enemies is considered as a key ecological process to reduce pesticide use in modern agricultural systems. A problematic issue in actual research on biological control is the absence of a consensus regarding the relationships between biodiversity of natural enemies and levels of pest control (Loreau *et al.*, 2001). While some studies have shown the importance of predator diversity, in terms of species richness, abundance or functional diversity (based on ecological traits such as body size) (Snyder *et al.*, 2006; Rudolf *et al.*, 2014), others have highlighted the role of predator species identity in pest predation levels (Cardinale *et al.*, 2003; Straub and Snyder, 2006). One major difficulty lies in relating results from small-scale experimental approaches where only a few predator species are manipulated, and the effective diversity of natural enemies and levels of pest controls in "real" landscapes at large spatial scales (Kremen, 2005; Tschnartke *et al.*, 2007). Another crucial issue is to determine the key environmental factors that drive predator biodiversity and pest predation processes themselves. Most studies have investigated either the effect of local management or the effect of landscape heterogeneity. Existing literature reports the positive influence on pest predation levels of low input farming practices (especially organic farming) at the field or farm scale (Bengtsson *et al.*, 2005), and of spatial landscape heterogeneity related to the amount of semi-natural habitats (SNH) (Bianchi *et al.*, 2006). More recent studies have also explored the role of farming system heterogeneity (mainly organic vs. conventional farming) at the landscape scale, but this has led to contradictory results (e.g., Gabriel *et al.*, 2010; Puech *et al.*, 2015). As the knowledge on the effects of local and landscape factors is fragmentary and controversial, it appears important to disentangle their effects in order to identify key management options likely to enhance biological control. In the present study, we investigated the relationships between communities of natural predatory arthropods and pest predation levels, considering different contexts in terms of local (field scale) farming systems (organic OF vs. conventional farming CF) and landscape heterogeneity related to both SNH and farming systems. We addressed this issue by considering communities of

carabid beetles, which are considered major predators of various crop pests in many agricultural systems (Kromp, 1999).

A survey of carabid communities and predation levels of sentinel prey (*Acyrtosiphon pisum* Harris (Hemiptera: Aphididae) and weed seeds (*Viola arvensis* Murray (Violaceae)) was conducted in 2016 in 20 pairs of OF and CF winter cereal fields in Brittany, Northwestern France. Fields were distributed along a landscape gradient with varying percentages of OF (1-40%) and SNH (1-20%) in 500 m radius circles around sampled fields (Puech *et al.*, 2015). Landscape heterogeneity related to SNH, land-uses, and farming systems (OF and CF) was characterized by composition and configuration metrics within 125, 250, and 500 m radius circles centered on each field. Three components of carabid communities were considered to analyze community-predation relationships: total species diversity (activity-density and species richness), functional diversity (activity-density and species richness of groups based on body size or diet), and species identity (activity-density of the 6 dominant species).

Our results showed that pest predation levels were poorly related to any components of carabid communities. Neither species or functional diversity of carabids, nor activity-density of the dominant species did significantly influence predation rates of aphids or weed seeds, except for the carabid species *Brachinus sclopeta* (Fabricius) (Coleoptera: Carabidae). Although carabid beetles are highlighted as major natural control agents of pests in various agricultural systems worldwide (Kromp, 1999), our study suggests that predation processes in our landscapes might be related to more complex communities involving other guilds of predators. Our study also brings insights about the drivers of predation processes in relationships with farming and landscape contexts of crops (Table 5.4.1).

**Table 5.4.1.** Average effects (multimodel inference with mixed generalized linear models) of local farming type (Farming OF: organic farming / farming CF: conventional farming), landscape heterogeneity (% of grassland, % of OF or CF, and land-use diversity within 125, 250, or 500 m radius circles) and interactions between local and landscape factors. Only significant variables are shown.

Significant variables		Estimate	Standard error	P-value
Aphid predation	Farming CF:Land-use diversity (125 m)	0.229	0.091	0.011
Seed predation	Land-use diversity (125 m)	-0.075	0.031	0.015
	Farming CF:% grassland (125 m)	-0.186	0.058	0.001
	% CF (125 m)	-0.065	0.026	0.014
	Farming CF:% OF (125 m)	-0.129	0.056	0.021
	Farming CF:% CF (125 m)	0.098	0.044	0.026
	Farming CF:% grassland (250 m)	-0.092	0.037	0.012

Prey predation rates were similar in OF and CF fields. This might be related to higher overall biodiversity in OF fields, which could either result in negative interactions between predator species (competition, intraguild predation), or in higher availability of alternative prey to the detriment of sentinel prey consumption. At the landscape scale, predation of aphids and seeds were related to land-use diversity (Shannon index) in the surroundings of cereal fields (125 m). In the case of seeds, predation rates were further explained by interactions between local farming type (CF) and (i) the percentage of grassland (125 m, 250 m) and (ii) percentage of OF or CF in the field surroundings (125 m) (Table 5.4.1).

To conclude, our study highlights the difficulty of relating prey predation levels with "real" species-rich and complex communities of natural enemies at spatial scales larger than experimental ones. Realizing extensive surveys of biological control at large spatial scales is nevertheless important to better explain the variability in natural predation processes in relationships with farming and landscape contexts. Further investigation is thus needed to better understand the interactions between the complex structure of predator metacommunities and pest predation levels.

## References

- Bengtsson, J., Ahnström, J. and Weibull, A.-C. (2005) The effects of organic agriculture on biodiversity and abundance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, 42, 261–269.
- Bianchi, F. J. J. A., Booij, C. J. H. and Tscharntke, T. (2006) Sustainable pest regulation in agricultural landscapes: a review on landscape composition, biodiversity and natural pest control. *Proceedings of Royal Society of London B*, 273, 1715–1727.
- Cardinale, B.J., Harvey, C.T., Gross, K. and Ives, A.R. (2003) Biodiversity and biocontrol: emergent impacts of a multi-enemy assemblage on pest suppression and crop yield in an agroecosystem. *Ecology Letters*, 6, 857–865.
- Gabriel, D., Sait, S. M., Hodgson, J. A., Schmutz, U., Kunin, W.E. and Benton, T. G. (2010) Scale matters: the impact of organic farming on biodiversity at different spatial scales. *Ecology Letters*, 13, 858–869.
- Kremen, C. (2005) Managing ecosystem services: what do we need to know about their ecology? *Ecology Letters*, 8, 468–479.
- Kromp, B. (1999) Carabid beetles in sustainable agriculture: a review on pest control efficacy, cultivation impacts and enhancement. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 74, 187–228.
- Loreau, M., Naeem, S., Inchausti, P., Bengtsson, J., Grime, J.P., Hector, A., Hooper, D.U., Huston, M.A., Raffaelli, D., Schmid, B., Tilman, D. and Wardle, D.A. (2001) Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge and future challenges. *Science*, 294, 804–808.
- Puech, S., Poggi, S. Baudry, J. and Aviron, S. (2015) Do farming practices affect natural enemies at the landscape scale? *Landscape Ecology*, 30, 125–140.
- Rudolf, V.H.W., Rasmussen, N.L., Dibble, C.J. and Van Allen, B.G. (2014) Resolving the roles of body size and species identity in driving functional diversity. *Proceedings of Royal Society of London B*, 281, 2013, 3203.
- Snyder, W.E. Snyder, G.B., Finke, D.L. and Straub, C.S. (2006) Predator biodiversity strengthens herbivore suppression. *Ecology Letters*, 9, 789–796.
- Straub, C.S. and Snyder, W.E. (2006) Species identity dominates the relationship between predator biodiversity and herbivore suppression. *Ecology*, 87, 277–282.
- Tscharntke, T., Bommarco, R., Clough, Y., Crist, T.O., Kleijn, D., Rand, T.A., Tylianakis, J.M., van Nouhuys, S. and Vidal, S. (2007) Conservation biological control and enemy diversity on a landscape scale. *Biological Control*, 43, 294–309.