

Recombinant factor VIII Fc (rFVIIIFc) in real life: Clinical and economic outcomes

N. Delmotte, M. Roche, R. Giraud, C. Falaise, H. Chambost, S. Gensollen

► To cite this version:

N. Delmotte, M. Roche, R. Giraud, C. Falaise, H. Chambost, et al.. Recombinant factor VIII Fc (rFVIIIFc) in real life: Clinical and economic outcomes. 12. Annual Congress of the European Association for Haemophilia and Allied Disorders 2019, Feb 2019, Prague, Czech Republic. Wiley-Blackwell, Haemophilia, 25, pp.1, 2019. hal-02735974

HAL Id: hal-02735974 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02735974

Submitted on 2 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

—WILEY—Haemophilia 🍈

P134 | Recombinant factor VIII Fc (rFVIIIFc) in real life: Clinical and economic outcomes

N. Delmotte¹; M. Roche^{2,3}; R. Giraud¹; M. Roche⁴; C. Falaise⁴; H. Chambost^{4,5,*}; S. Gensollen¹

¹Pharmacie de la Conception, Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Marseille; ²UMR CNRS 7273, Aix Marseille Univ; ³Service Central des Opérations Pharmaceutiques SCOP; ⁴Centre de Traitement de l'Hémophile (CTH), Assistance Publique - Hôpitaux de Marseille; ⁵INSERM, INRA, C2VN, Aix Marseille Univ, Marseille, France

Introduction: Recombinant factor VIII Fc fusion protein (rFVIIIFc) has been marketed in October 2016 in France that makes the analysis of switches in real life conditions study of concern two years later. The aim of this study is to analyse rFVIIIFc switches according to clinical and economic outcomes during a 12 months period

Methods: All patients treated with rFVIIIFc at Marseille Hemophilia centre for the considered period were eligible. None estimation on prescribed regimen have been realized. All data were related to patient's infusions (*i.e.* annual number of infusions, weekly dose/kg, and annual consumption) and bleeding reports. The statistical analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prism 6.0 software (*i.e.* average, medians noted med, percentages and inter quartile ranges noted IQR, Student *t*-test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test). Statistical significance was set at P < 0.005. Only clotting factor costs are taken into account. We neglected other costs (e.g. dispositive, nurse intervention...).

Results: 52 patients were concerned, 17 excluded for an insufficient period subsequent to the switch, 2 because of clinical trial inclusion. The median age was 18 years (IQR = 17). 94% of patients were previously on full time prophylaxis. The analysis reported a slight increase of clotting factor consumption consecutive to the switch (2%, P = NS 0.7220) with a total utilization of 6453500 IU (med = 176000, IQR = 155500) for rFVIII and 6553500 IU (med = 200000, IQR = 168000) for rFVIIIFc. It is important to note that 26% of patients were < 12 years of age and 28.5% of patients were related to adjustment of doses for weight evolution, clinical need or ease of use. These data were combined with a significant reduction of annual infusions number (-23%, med = 146, IQR = 67 for rFVIII; med = 105 IQR = 25 for rFVII-IFc, P < 0.0001) and bleedings (-51%, med = 4.5, IQR = 7.25 for rFVIII; med = 1, IQR = 4 for rFVIIIFc, P = 0.0003). Concerning the cost, we noted a non-significant decrease (-5%, med = 126720 €, IQR = 140760 for rFVIII; med = 138096€, IQR = 122670 for rFVI-IIFc; P = NS 0.2690)

Discussion/Conclusion: All results suggest that rFVIIIFc may improve the treatment and this analysis seems to corroborate predictive studies already described if we consider each type of patients (age, regimen). This study is a real life evaluation of rFVII-IFc product switches, but should be completed since the switch impacted factor consumption and cost but also quality of life of these patients

Disclosure of Interest: None declared.

P135 | Outcome measures in patients with hemophilia by orthopedists and physiatrists compared with by pediatricians and internists

H. Takedani^{1,*}; Y. Tanaka²; K. Yamamoto³; N. Haga⁴; K. Takikawa⁵; K. Shimada⁶; K. Amano⁷; R. Ueno⁸; M. Shima⁹; K. Makino¹⁰; Y. Inagaki²; N. Ishiguro¹¹

¹Department of Joint Surgery, Research Hospital, The Institute Of Medical Science The University Of Tokyo, Tokyo; ²Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nara Medical University, Nara; ³Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Tokyo Medical University; ⁴Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, The University of Tokyo, Tokyo, ⁵Department of Pediatric Orthopedics, Shizuoka Children's Hospital, Shizuoka, ⁶Department of Emergency/Sports Medicine, JCHO Osaka Hospital, Osaka; ⁷Department of Laboratory Medicine, Tokyo Medical University; ⁸Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Tokyo medical university hospital rehabilitation center, Tokyo; ⁹Department of Pediatrics, Nara Medical University, Nara; ¹⁰Department of Rehabilitation Medicine, Shin Ouji Hospital, Kitakyushu; ¹¹Department of Orthopedic Surgery, Nagoya University Hospital, Nagoya, Japan

Introduction: Outcome measurement is one of the most important factors due to evaluate hemostatic control for persons with hemophilia (PwH). This study was conducted to reveal the Japanese status of comprehensive hemophilia care by means of the investigation of outcome measure implementation by internist group (pediatrician and internist) and orthopedist group (orthopedist and physiatrist).

Methods: Questionnaire sheet was send to sixteen institutes which are major hemophilia treatment institutes. The sheets was consisted three domains; respondent domain (specialty, majority of PWH, number of out- and in- PwH), outcome measure domain (symptom, examination, medical resource and life activity) and measurement tool domain (physical findings, imaging and participation and activity).

Results: 27 answered sheets were returned from sixteen institutes and 17 were from internist group (pediatrician and internist) and 10 from orthopedist group (orthopedist and physiatrist). Number of out- and in- patients of orthopedist group were smaller than those of internist group. The interview ratio routinely (per every visit or a couple visit) about most items by internist group were similar or higher than those by orthopedist group. The ratio about physical and articular imaging examination by orthopedist group were higher than those by internists group and orthopedist group know and understand physical and articular imaging examination tool better than internist group. However the ratio about participation and activity by both groups were almost zero and understood not well.

Discussion/Conclusion: In Japan, there are no authorized hemophilia treatment center (HTC) but we have several so-called HTCs. We thought that orthopedists and physiatrists in most of these HTC lack the knowledge and experience about hemophilia and have poor relationship with internist and pediatrician. However our study results showed the improvement in awareness of orthopedist group physicians and better relationship among them. This study results showed evaluation of participation and activity are minor concern