

Mapping and predictive variations of soil microbial richness, bacterial and archaeal phyla across France

Sébastien Terrat, Battle Karimi, Walid Horrigue, Samuel Dequiedt, Nicolas Saby, Mélanie Lelièvre, Virginie Nowak, Julie Tripied, Tiffanie Régnier, Claudy Jolivet, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Sébastien Terrat, Battle Karimi, Walid Horrigue, Samuel Dequiedt, Nicolas Saby, et al.. Mapping and predictive variations of soil microbial richness, bacterial and archaeal phyla across France. 4. International Conference on Microbial Diversity 2017 (MD 2017), Oct 2017, Bari, Italy. pp.209-211. hal-02738142

HAL Id: hal-02738142 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02738142

Submitted on 2 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

MAPPING AND PREDICTIVE VARIATIONS OF SOIL MICROBIAL RICHNESS, BACTERIAL AND ARCHAEAL PHYLA ACROSS FRANCE

TERRAT Sébastien (1), KARIMI Battle (1), HORRIGUE Walid (1), DEQUIEDT Samuel (1), SABY Nicolas P.A (2), LELIEVRE Mélanie (3), NOWAK Virginie (1), TRIPIED Julie (3), REGNIER Tiffanie (3), JOLIVET Claudy (2), ARROUAYS Dominique (2), WINCKER Patrick (4), CRUAUD Corinne (4), BISPO Antonio (5), CHEMIDLIN PREVOST-BOURRE Nicolas (1) MARON Pierre-Alain (1), RANJARD Lionel (1)*.

- Agroécologie, AgroSup Dijon, INRA, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté,
- MINRA Orléans, US 1106, Unité INFOSOL, Orléans, France
- (B) Agroécologie Plateforme GenoSol, BP 86510, F-21000 Dijon, France
- (A) CEA / Institut de Biologie François Jacob / Genoscope, 2, Rue Gaston Gemieux, CP 5706, 91057 Evry cedex, France
- ADEME, Service Agriculture et Forêt, 20, Avenue du Grésillé BP 49004 Angers Cedex 01, France corresponding Author: lionel.ranjard@inra.fr

miroduction

numerous studies performed over the last two decades in the field of ial ecology have focused on variations of the soil microbial diversity different environmental conditions to better understand its regulation Dedict the impact of perturbations (O'Brien et al., 2016; Trivedi et al., Indeed, soil microbial community as a whole and how it varies has been examined over regional, territorial or continental scales by studies (Delgado-Baquerizo, Maestre, et al., 2016; Delgadoo, Reich, et al., 2016). However, most of the studies that compared pobial diversity and composition were conducted in very different recosystems and soils (generally chosen with a priori), which could litated community discrimination and exacerbated the relationship

orption process

elective filter for

the presence of different types of robial processing

ssify the nutrient

t on the sorption ber of adsorbed

trap.

De Philippis, $R_{
m s}$ ted extracellular

t ages. Soil Bjøll

atrix. Nat. Rev

odel of organo cular fragments istry 85, 9,24

of the polymen

Barness, (C ical soil jours cosystem? So

with contrasting environmental filters. Moreover, few studies have focus on the distribution and determinism of the taxa constituting this community limiting our knowledge of drivers and ecological attributes borne by (Hermans et al., 2017). In fact, some microbial taxa are cosmopolitana can be found in a large range of environmental conditions, whereas microbial taxa are more specialized and depend on a far more restrict range of environmental conditions (Barberan et al., 2012). Unfortunate the environmental drivers that shape these differences across microbial remain unidentified to date, which hampers our ability to predict their variations in a changing environment. To tackle these limitations, crucial to better integrate all the dominant and minor taxa constituting community as well as the heterogeneity of a wide range of environment parameters such as soil types, land management, climate, and geography attain this goal, we used an extensive set of 1,798 soils across France in obtain a holistic understanding of the spatial distribution of: (i) microbia richness (i.e. Operational Taxonomic Unit number), (ii) and bacterial rate archaeal phyla. Geostatistics was applied to these data to provide the list comprehensive maps of soil microbial richness and composition variation along the environmental gradients encountered in France. Moreover, we identified the ecological processes involved in their distributions using variance partitioning analysis.

j÷į ji

padit

anityii

11)(1)

11(635

aglici

GRIGHT

mil /

guift.

g(0)

nesi

Regulti

(Janual

1. (6)

fell(c)(II

p)(0)3.18Î

(c) (c) (d)

(81**11)**) 10

atenticas adlesca

 g_0 lb g

Region

ARCH!

Sm(r) d

2(0)0

(0) 515

hmica

dR(c)

Material and Methods

Soil samples were obtained from the French Soil Quality Monitoring Network (RMQS) which is a soil monitoring network based on a 16 km regular grid across the 550,000 km² French territory (Arrouays et al., 2002). All sites have been geo-positioned with a precision <0.5m and the soil profile, site environment, climatic factors, vegetation and land described. Microbial DNA was extracted and purified from 1 g of the soil sampled in each RMQS site, using a procedure described previously (Tend et al., 2015). A 16S rRNA gene fragment targeting the V3-V4 regions are characterize bacterial diversity was then amplified using a protocol described recently to prepare sequencing libraries. Pyrosequencing was described out on a GS FLX Titanium (Roche 454 Sequencing System) by Genoscope (Evry, France).

Bioinformatic analyses were done using the GnS-PIPE pipeline (Terrated al., 2015). From the 49,794,516 raw reads obtained, the preprocessing steps lead to the conservation of 32,634,692 high-quality reads. The number of

focused mmunity, by them olitan and eas other restricted rtunately, obial taxa neir likely ons, it is tuting the ronmental graphy.To France to microbial terial and e the first variation

Monitoring a 16 km al., 2002). d the soil land use of the soils sly (Terrat regions to a protocol g was then lystem) by

eover, we

ons using

(Terrat et ssing steps, number of

high-quality reads for each sample was then normalized (i.e. 10,000 high-quality reads) by random selection to allow efficient comparison of the datasets and avoid biased community comparisons. All reads from all samples were then: (i) merged, aligned and clustered at 95% of similarity into OTUs, and (ii) compared to a dedicated reference database originated from Silva using similarity approaches (USEARCH). A geostatistical method was then used to map microbial richness and phyla and to characterize their spatial variations. The relative contributions of environmental parameters were evaluated by performing a variance partitioning for microbial richness and phyla. The explanatory variables were selected to reduce the auto-correlation in the models and to obtain the most parsimonious models.

Results and Discussion

Mapping of microbial richness (minimum: 938, average: 2,422, maximum: 3,562 OTUs per sample) revealed a heterogeneous spatial distribution, structured into patches of about 111 km of radius, mainly driven by proximal filters such as soil characteristics and land use (both supporting a selection process), but also significantly influenced by spatial descriptors (supporting dispersal limitation in microbial populations, derived from neutral theory) (see Figure 1). This wide spatial scale was also shown to be relevant for evaluating overall land use in the context of a sustainable use of soil resources.

Regarding microbial *phyla* in French soils, twenty of the 35 *phyla* detected were cosmopolitan and abundant with heterogeneous spatial distributions, structured in patches sizing from 43 km to 260 km radius. Sixteen *phyla* were mainly influenced by environmental selection whereas 4 were ascribed to spatial descriptors involving neutral processes such as dispersal limitation. The hierarchy of the environmental drivers was: soil pH > land use > soil texture > soil nutrients > climate.