



HAL
open science

Allocation of public land to farmers: a way for urban authorities to support a transition toward a nurturing agroecology?

Nabil Hasnaoui Amri, Coline Perrin

► To cite this version:

Nabil Hasnaoui Amri, Coline Perrin. Allocation of public land to farmers: a way for urban authorities to support a transition toward a nurturing agroecology?. 8. Annual Conference of the AESOP Sustainable Food Planning group, Nov 2017, Coventry, United Kingdom. 156 p. hal-02738353

HAL Id: hal-02738353

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02738353>

Submitted on 2 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Allocation of public land to farmers: a way for urban authorities to support a transition toward a nurturing agroecology?

Nabil Hasnaoui Amri¹ & Coline Perrin¹

¹INRA (UMR Innovation), Montpellier, France

n.hasnaouiamri@montpellier3m.fr

This paper examines how cities progressively engage in the making of a multifunctional periurban agriculture. It relies on document analysis and interviews around Montpellier (France). This French Mediterranean coastal region has experienced a rapid demographic growth since 1960. The metropolitan authority, federating 31 municipalities, conducted recently two successive allocations of public land to farmers in very different ways, the first from 2010 to 2012 and the second from 2015 to 2016. It thus offers a unique opportunity to compare different procedures, while the surrounding urban and farming context remains the same.

The comparative approach highlights the changes in the political context, stakeholders and procedures between the two procedures, and their impact on the number and profiles of tenants chosen, and type of leases (short or long term; with or without social and ecological criteria).

The metropolis delegated the first allocation to agricultural organizations sustaining conventional agriculture. A former wine estate (192ha), that had been earlier acquired for urban development, was rented to 17 tenant farmers through a rapid but contested procedure. The metropolis then conducted itself the second allocation, taking lessons from the first experiment. Search for exemplarity and transparency led the process. Beyond the 14 ha of land allocated to 2 organic farms, the aims of this second allocation were i) to build a generic method and a toolbox for facilitating future public lands allocations and ii) to contribute to the transition of peri-urban agriculture toward more ecological farming and more local food supply chains, as the metropolis adopted in the meantime an ambitious policy for food and agroecology.

In the discussion, we analyse these two allocation procedures in terms of innovation and social justice: do they foster a transition towards a “nurturing agroecology”? Our study highlights driving forces for change but reveals also tensions between contrasting farming styles and about economic viability of farms regarding the constraints imposed by the public owner. It questions the conditions for an improved dialogue between agriculture and the city.

References

- Bryant, C.R. (2006). La place des espaces ruraux périurbains et de l'environnement dans le développement régional, in Mollard, A. et al., *Territoires et enjeux du développement régional*. Editions Quæ, Coll. Update Sciences & Technologies, p. 159-171.
- Cohen, N. (2012). Planning for urban agriculture: problem recognition, policy formation, and politics. In Viljoen, A. and Wiskerke, J.S.C. (eds). *Sustainable food planning: evolving theory and practice*. Wageningen, Wageningen Academic Publishers, 103-114.
- Giraut, F. (2009). Innovation et territoires. Les effets contradictoires de la marginalité. *Revue de Géographie Alpine*, 97(1): 6-10.
- Kerselaers, E., Rogge, E., Vanempten, E., Lauwers, L., and Van Huylenbroeck, G. (2013). Changing Land Use in the Countryside: Stakeholders' Perception of the Ongoing Rural Planning. Processes in Flanders. *Land Use Policy* 32 197–206. doi:10.1016/j.landusepol.2012.10.016.
- Muller, P. (2000). L'analyse cognitive des politiques publiques : vers une sociologie politique de l'action publique. *Revue française de science politique*, 50e année, 2: 189-208.
- Perrin, C., Jarrige, F., Soulard, CT. (2013). L'espace et le temps des liens ville-agriculture : une présentation systémique du cas de Montpellier et sa région. *Cahiers Agricultures*, 22(6): 552-558.
- Poulot, M. (2008). Le retour de l'agriculture dans la ville élargie : vers la durabilité des territoires périurbains. HDR géographie, Paris, Université Paris Ouest-Nanterre-La Défense, 372 p.
- Sencébé, Y. (2012). La Safer. De l'outil de modernisation agricole à l'agent polyvalent du foncier : hybridation et fragmentation d'une institution. *Terrains & travaux*, 20(1): 105-120
- Van der Ploeg J. D., Laurent C., Blondeau F., Bonnafous P. (2009). Farm diversity, classification schemes and multifunctionality. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 90(2): 124-131
- Zasada, I. (2011). Multifunctional peri-urban agriculture – a review of societal demands and the provision of goods and services by farming. *Land Use Policy*, Vol. 28 (4) : 639-648.

Keywords: farmland management, urban agriculture, land access, public policies, nurturing agroecology