

Evaluation of 4 commercialized kits used in the molecular diagnosis of whooping cough using real time PCR.

Philippe Lanotte, Chloé Plouzeau-Jayle, Christophe Burucoa, S. Guillot, Nicole Guiso, Laurent Mereghetti, F. Garnier

▶ To cite this version:

Philippe Lanotte, Chloé Plouzeau-Jayle, Christophe Burucoa, S. Guillot, Nicole Guiso, et al.. Evaluation of 4 commercialized kits used in the molecular diagnosis of whooping cough using real time PCR. 33. Annual Meeting of the European Society for Paediatric Infectious Diseases (ESPID 2015), May 2015, Leipzig, Germany. 1272 p., 2015. hal-02738601

HAL Id: hal-02738601 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02738601

Submitted on 2 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ESPID-0813 DIAGNOSTIC TOOLS

EVALUATION OF 4 COMMERCIALIZED KITS USED IN THE MOLECULAR DIAGNOSIS OF WHOOPING COUGH USING REAL TIME PCR

P. Lanotte¹, C. Plouzeau-Jayle², C. Burucoa², S. Guillot³, N. Guiso³, L. Mereghetti¹, <u>F. Garnier</u>⁴

Objectives: simultaneous detection of DNA from *Bordetella pertussis* and from *B. parapertussis* is recommended. Several commercialized tests are now available to amplify simultaneously the both target. The aim of our study was to evaluate the performance of four kits: *Bordetella pertussis/parapertussis* triplex – PCR temps réel (Bio-Evolution), SmartCycler *B. pertussis/B. parapertussis* Assay (Cepheid), Diagenode *Bordetella pertussis* and *parapertussis* Real-Time PCR kit (Diagenode) et EurobioPlex *Bordetella pertussis* & *parapertussis* (Eurobio).

Methodes: Kits were evaluated by comparison to a in-house method. The amplification was realized using a SmartCycler (Cepheid). The limit of detection of each kit was determined using a quantification standard of dilution of DNA extracted from suspensions. The different kits were tested using DNA extracted from 140 nasopharyngeal, 58 positive and 82 negative.

Résults: The limit of detection of the four techniques was at least 50 copies by assay for IS481 and also for IS1001. All the negative samples were found negative and all the positives were also detected whatever the method. The *IS481* was detected in 55 samples (54 *B. pertussis* and 1 *B. holmesii*) and the *IS1001* in 3 samples. The difference observed between the kits concerned only minor variation of the threshold cycle without impact on the result.

Conclusion: the equivalent and efficient performance of the different kits allows their use in routine laboratories for the diagnostic of whooping cough. The choice of the kits will depend of the format and the contents of the box the time of the RT-PCR and of course of the price.

¹Service de Bactériologie-Virologie, Hôpital Bretonneau CHRU de Tours, Tours, France

²Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Hygiène, CHU de Poitiers, Poitiers, France ³Centre National de Référence de la Coqueluche et autres Bordetelloses, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

⁴Laboratoire de Bactériologie-Virologie-Hygiène INSERM UMR 1092, CHU Dupuytren, Limoges Cedex, France