



HAL
open science

Decreasing herbicide use by contrasted cropping systems lead to various dynamics of weed communities

Fabrice Dessaint, Dominique D. Meunier, Florence Strbik, François Dugué, Stéphane Cordeau

► To cite this version:

Fabrice Dessaint, Dominique D. Meunier, Florence Strbik, François Dugué, Stéphane Cordeau. Decreasing herbicide use by contrasted cropping systems lead to various dynamics of weed communities. Joint 2014 Annual Meeting British Ecological Society and Société Française d'Ecologie (BES & SFE Joint Annual Meeting), Dec 2014, Lille, France. British Ecological Society, 2014. hal-02739992

HAL Id: hal-02739992

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02739992>

Submitted on 2 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

LEAD TO VARIOUS DYNAMICS OF WEED COMMUNITIES

F. DESSAINT, D. MEUNIER, F. STRBIK, F. DUGUÉ and S. CORDEAU

INRA, UMR1347 Agroécologie, F-21000 Dijon, France

Integrated Weed Management (IWM) systems are designed to

- ✗ to manage weed species,
- ✗ to reduce the reliance on herbicides,
- ✗ to maintain economically sustainable crop production.

But on a long term assessment ...

What are the effects of these systems on weed communities dynamic?

Cropping system experiment (Dijon, France)

From 2000 to 2012, four IWM systems (S2, S3, S4, S5) have been compared to a reference system (S1).

System	Objective	Average Herbicide TFI	Weeding techniques
S1 Reference		2.1	Ploughing, Herbicide
S2 No-till		1.4	Herbicide, Summer cover crops
S3 No mechanical weeding		1.0	Ploughing, Pre-sowing soil tillage, Herbicide with reduced doses
S4 Mixed chemical and non-chemical weeding		0.7	All techniques
S5 Excluding herbicides		0	All techniques except herbicides

The weed flora was surveyed several times each year, with 32 0.36 m² quadrats where the weed species were listed and their abundance precisely counted. Analyses use the maximum of abundance of each weed species during the year.

Richness and density of weed community

- ✓ Observed species richness (87 weed species; 824% of the extrapolated Chao' species richness) and densities (range: 0 to 310 individuals m⁻²) largely varied according to cropping systems and through the years.
- ✓ IWM systems showed systematically higher species richness (Figure 1) and abundance (Figure 2) than S1 system.

Species accumulation curves

- ✓ The accumulated species richness curves rapidly increases for the IWM systems (S2-S5)
- ✓ and leads to higher γ species richness (twice more species than in the reference system S1) (Figure 3).
- ✓ But, all systems show the same rate of species accumulation relative to their γ species richness (Figure 4).

Figure 1: Observed weed species richness on the four IWM systems (S2-S5); S1 as reference




Figure 2: Total densities of weeds on the four IWM systems (S2-S5); S1 as reference




Figure 3: Species accumulation curves (Coleman et al. (1982) expected SAC)





Figure 4: Species accumulation curves (Coleman et al. (1982) expected SAC) relative to γ system richness



Reference : Coleman, B.D, Mares, M.A., Willis, M.R. & Hsieh, Y. (1982). Randomness, area and species richness. *Ecology* **63**: 1121–1133.

Acknowledgements: We thank the staff of the INRA experimental farm for the field management of the systems. The work was supported by the Burgundy Region, the French Ministère de l'Aménagement du Territoire et de l'Environnement and by INRA.