



Model improvements reduce the uncertainty of wheat crop model ensembles under heat stress

Andrea Maiorano, Pierre Martre, S. Asseng, F. Ewert, C. Müller, R. P. Rotter, A. C. Ruane, M. A. Semenov, Daniel D. Wallach, E. Wang, et al.

► To cite this version:

Andrea Maiorano, Pierre Martre, S. Asseng, F. Ewert, C. Müller, et al.. Model improvements reduce the uncertainty of wheat crop model ensembles under heat stress. iCROP 2016 International Crop Modelling Symposium, Leibniz-Zentrum für Agrarlandschaftsforschung = Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research, Leibniz Association (ZALF). DEU., Mar 2016, Berlin, Germany. 437 p. hal-02741989

HAL Id: hal-02741989

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02741989>

Submitted on 3 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Model improvements reduce the uncertainty of wheat crop model ensembles under heat stress

*A. Maiorano*¹ – *P. Martre*¹ – *S. Asseng*² – *F. Ewert*³ – *C. Müller*⁴ – *R. P. Rötter*⁵ – *A. C. Ruane*⁶ – *M. A. Semenov*⁷ – *D. Wallach*⁸ – *E. Wang*⁹ – *P.D. Alderman*¹⁰ – *B. T. Kassie*² – *C. Biernath*¹¹ – *B. Basso*¹² – *D. Cammarano*¹³ – *A. J. Challinor*^{14,15} – *J. Doltra*¹⁶ – *B. Dumont*¹² – *S. Gayler*²⁴ – *K. C. Kersebaum*¹⁷ – *B.A. Kimball*¹⁸ – *A. K. Koehler*¹⁴ – *B. Liu*¹⁹ – *G. J. O'Leary*²⁰ – *J. E. Olesen*²¹ – *M. J. Ottman*²² – *E. Priesack*¹¹ – *M. P. Reynolds*²³ – *E. Eyshi Rezaei*³ – *P. Stratonovitch*⁷ – *T. Streck*²⁴ – *P. J. Thorburn*²⁵ – *K. Waha*²⁵ – *G. W. Wall*¹⁸ – *J. W. White*¹⁸ – *Z. Zhao*⁹ – *Y. Zhu*¹⁹

¹ INRA INRA, UMR759 LEPSE, 2 Place Viala, F-34 060 Montpellier, France, e-mail:

pierre.martre@supagro.inra.fr

² Agricultural and Biological Engineering Department, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA

³ Institute of Crop Science and Resource Conservation (INRES), University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

⁴ Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research, Potsdam, Germany

⁵ Environmental Impacts Group, Natural Resources Institute Finland (Luke), Vantaa, Finland

⁶ NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, NY, USA

⁷ Computational and Systems Biology Department, Rothamsted Research, Harpenden, UK

⁸ INRA, UMR1248 AGIR, Castanet-Tolosan, France

⁹ CSIRO Agriculture Flagship, Black Mountain, Australia

¹⁰ Oklahoma State University, Dep. of Plant and Soil Sciences, 371 Agricultural Hall, Stillwater, OK, USA

¹¹ Institute of Biochemical Plant Pathology, Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environ Health, Neuherberg, Germany

¹² Department of Geological Sciences and W.K. Kellogg Biological Station, Michigan State University, MI, USA

¹³ James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, Scotland, UK.

¹⁴ ICAS, School of Earth and Environment, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

¹⁵ CGIAR-ESSP Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security, CIAT, Cali, Colombia

¹⁶ Cantabrian Agricultural Research and Training Centre (CITA), Muriedas, Spain

¹⁷ Institute of Landscape Systems Analysis, Leibniz Centre for Agricultural Landscape Research (ZALF), Müncheberg, Germany

¹⁸ USDA-ARS, US Arid-Land Agricultural Research Center, Maricopa, AZ, USA

¹⁹ College of Agriculture, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China

²⁰ Grains Innovation Park, Department of Economic Development Jobs, Transport and Resources, Horsham, Australia

²¹ Department of Agroecology, Aarhus University, Tjele, Denmark

²² The School of Plant Sciences, University of Arizona, Tucson, AZ, USA

²³ CIMMYT, D.F. Mexico, Mexico

²⁴ Institute of Soil Science and Land Evaluation, University of Hohenheim, Stuttgart, Germany

²⁵ CSIRO Agriculture Flagship, Queensland, Australia

Introduction

Wheat crop multi-model ensembles (MME) have been suggested as an effective measure to increase reliability of impact estimates (Martre et al., 2015), but they are costly to execute. Therefore, model improvements have been suggested to reduce uncertainty of climate impact assessments and reduce the number of models required for an acceptable level of simulation uncertainty (Challinor et al., 2014; Rötter et al., 2011). In this study we improved 15 wheat crop models in simulating heat stress impacts and investigated the effect on MME performances and predictive skills.

Materials and Methods

Fifteen models from the AgMIP-Wheat model ensemble (Asseng et al., 2015) were improved through re-parameterization or incorporating or modifying heat stress effects on phenology, leaf growth and senescence, biomass growth, and grain number and size. Quality-assessed data from the USDA 'Hot Serial Cereal' (HSC) experiment were used to calibrate the improved models. The CIMMYT 'International Heat Stress Genotype Experiment' (IHSGE) global experiment was used to independently evaluate the improvements. Performances and predictive skills, using a new uncertainty estimation framework (Wallach et al., unpublished), of the population of 15 unimproved and improved models were evaluated through mean squared error and its decomposition in squared bias and variance. Model improvement effects on MME and the number of models required in an ensemble were analyzed through bootstrap calculation with 1 to 15 models MME.

Results and Discussion

Improvements decreased the variation (10th to 90th ensemble percentile range) of simulated grain yields on average by 26 % in the independent evaluation dataset for crops grown in mean seasonal temperatures > 24°C. Model population grain yield mean squared error decreased by 37 % in particular for the consistent improvement of the worst skilled models. Model population prediction skills increased by 47 % due to a reduction in the model population uncertainty range by 26 %. The latter improvement was mostly due to a decrease in model variance. Considering 13.5 % coefficient of variation as a benchmark (Taylor, 2001), the number of required models for MME impact assessments was halved, from 15 to 8, with improved models.

Conclusions

We demonstrated that crop model improvements using experimental data sets can increase the simulation and predictive skills of MME and can reduce the number of models required for reliable impact assessments. Improving crop models is therefore important reducing the size of MME for practical impact assessments.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the AgMIP (<http://www.agmip.org/>) and MACSUR (<http://macsur.eu/>) projects. A.M. has received the support of INRA and of the EU in the framework of the Marie-Curie FP7 COFUND People Programme, through the award of an AgreeSkills fellowship under grant agreement n° PCOFUND-GA-2010-267196.

References

- Asseng, S., Ewert, F., Martre, P., et al, (2015). *Nature Climate Change* 5, 143–147
- Challinor, A., Martre, P., Asseng, S., et al., (2014). *Nature Climate Change* 4, 77–80.
- Martre, P., Wallach, D., Asseng, S., et al., (2015). *Glob. Change Biology*
- Rötter, R.P., Carter, T.R., Olesen, J.E., Porter, J.R. (2011). *Nature Climate Change* 1, 175–177
- Taylor, K.E. (2001). *Journal of Geophysical Research* 106, 7183–7192