Some statistical questions raised by a particular RNAseq study
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1. Introduction

"Everybody" is convinced that RNAseq is THE method for transcriptomic studies, that bioinformatics pipelines are easily applicable, and that the statistical analysis is a well-known pipeline as well. Of course this assertion is somehow caricatured and the purpose of the current presentation is to provide a thought-provoking example on a very interesting data set.

1. Once upon a time …

A brief overview of each step of the analysis is given below.

* 1. Summary of the biological question

A protein of interest, let's call it PROT, is suspected to inhibit mRNA translation into protein. This hypothesis has been explored by RNAseq analysis of the relative distribution of mRNAs into translated vs untranslated pools (polysome profile) in the presence or absence of PROT.

* 1. Design

To this end, extracts from cells expressing (+) or not (-) PROT were separated in 2 fractions: the L fraction containing mRNA molecules not engaged in translation, and the H fraction containing translated mRNAs. Five biological replicates were done thus giving a total of 20 samples (5xL- 5xL+, 5xH- and 5xH+). Each sample was NG-sequenced with a HighSeq technology.

* 1. Bioinformatics

The spliced-mapping has been done (twice, because of updates in the annotation and in the packages, and by the way the two bioinformatics analyses gave very different results, but that is another story…) with Tophat2 [1] and the Homo\_sapiens.GRCh37.71 as reference genome, the raw counts with htseq-count [2].

* 1. Statistical analysis with commonly used tools

The R-package DESeq [3] was used to normalize the data and to perform the differential analysis, taking account of 2 factors: fraction and treatment. Multiple testing was corrected with the well-known Benjamini-Hochberg procedure.

* 1. Another differential analysis.

The fact that the 2 fractions of a given replicate were indeed paired was then taken into account in a new differential analysis, involving a different model from the DESeq package.

* 1. Biological functional analysis.

The R-package goseq [4] was used to provide biological interpretation of the above results, via Gene Ontology terms and KEGG pathways.

1. Suspense …

You will have to attend the meeting to know the results of the above mentioned statistical treatments. Then you will discover how puzzling things can be!

We cannot resist, however, giving you a teasing: the commonly used tools (2.3) did not reveal any differentially expressed gene, but taking into account the particularity of the experimental design (2.4), preliminary results seem to sketch a relevant biological panorama.
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