



HAL
open science

Micafungin susceptibility of the most common *Candida* species in 16 French university hospitals: comparison between the Etest® and the EUCAST methods (MICACAND study)

Marie-Elisabeth Bougnoux, Eric Dannaoui, Isabelle Accoceberry, Adela Angoulevant, E. Bailly, Jean-Philippe Bouchara, Françoise Botterel, Sylviane Chevrier, Taieb Chouaki, Muriel Cornet, et al.

► To cite this version:

Marie-Elisabeth Bougnoux, Eric Dannaoui, Isabelle Accoceberry, Adela Angoulevant, E. Bailly, et al.. Micafungin susceptibility of the most common *Candida* species in 16 French university hospitals: comparison between the Etest® and the EUCAST methods (MICACAND study). 25. ECCMID, European Congress of Clinical Microbiology and infectious diseases, Apr 2015, Copenhagen, Denmark. , 332 p., 2015, Antifungal susceptibility. hal-02743560

HAL Id: hal-02743560

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02743560>

Submitted on 3 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

"Micafungin susceptibility of the most common *Candida* species in 16 French university hospitals: comparison between the Etest® and the EUCAST methods (MICACAND study)"

M.E. Bougnoux¹, E. Dannaoui², I. Accoceberry³, A. Angoulvant⁴, E. Bailly⁵, J.P. Bouchara⁶, F. Botterel⁷, S. Chevrier⁸, T. Chouaki⁹, M. Cornet¹⁰, A. Fekkar¹¹, F. Dalle¹², J.P. Gangneux¹³, J. Guitard¹⁴, C. Hennequin¹⁴, P. Le Pape¹⁵, D. Maubon¹⁰, S. Ranque¹⁶, B. Sendid¹⁷, J. Chandener¹⁸

¹Hopital Necker, Paris, France

²Hopital Pitié-Salpêtrière, Paris, France

³Hôpital Pellegrin, Bordeaux, France

⁴Hôpital de Bicêtre, Kremlin Bicêtre, France

⁵Hôpital Bretonneau, Tours, France

⁶CHU Angers, Angers, France

⁷Hôpital Mondor, Créteil, France

⁸CHU Rennes, Rennes, France

⁹CHU d'Amiens, Amiens, France

¹⁰CHU de Grenoble, Grenoble, France

¹¹Hôpital Pitié, Paris, France

¹²Hôpital du Bocage, Dijon, France

¹³CHU de Rennes, Rennes, France

¹⁴Hôpital Saint Antoine, Paris, France

¹⁵CHU de Nantes, Nantes, France

¹⁶CHU de Marseille, Marseille, France

¹⁷CHU de Lille, Lille, France

¹⁸CHU de Tours, Tours, France

Objectives: Micafungin is currently used in France. The aim of this study is to determine its activity against a recent (2014) French collection of *Candida* isolates. Although EUCAST is the reference method for *in vitro* antifungal susceptibility testing, it is not commonly used in routine clinical microbiology laboratories. Thus, it is important to evaluate alternative methods. We compared EUCAST and Etest for micafungin susceptibility testing of *Candida* spp. and we monitored the emergence of resistance.

Methods: Sixteen centers (6 in Paris area and 10 across France) participated in a two-months prospective study. Clinical isolates of various *Candida* species (mainly *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, *C. tropicalis*, *C. parapsilosis*, *C. kefyr* and *C. krusei*, about 10 isolates of each species per center) were tested by Etest, according to manufacturer's instructions. All isolates were subsequently centralized in one center for MIC determination by EUCAST method. For comparison purposes, Etest MICs were raised to the next higher EUCAST concentration. Resistance was defined based on EUCAST clinical breakpoints or on epidemiological cut-off values when clinical breakpoints were not available.

Results: A total number of 933 *Candida* isolates were tested. The overall agreement ($\pm 2 \log_2$ dilutions) between EUCAST and Etest was 97.9%.

Species	n	E-Test		EUCAST	
		MIC range	MIC range	% agreement with Etest	% resistance
<i>C. albicans</i>	159	$\leq 0.015 - 0.06$	$\leq 0.015 - 0.06$	100	1.3
<i>C. tropicalis</i>	152	$\leq 0.015 - 0.5$	$\leq 0.015 - 1$	98.7	0.7
<i>C. parapsilosis</i>	152	$\leq 0.015 - 4$	$\leq 0.125 - 4$	96.1	1.3
<i>C. glabrata</i>	152	$\leq 0.015 - 0.125$	$\leq 0.015 - 1$	98.7	3.9
<i>C. kefyr</i>	136	$\leq 0.015 - 0.25$	$\leq 0.015 - 0.125$	97.8	ND
<i>C. krusei</i>	127	$\leq 0.015 - 1$	$\leq 0.015 - 0.25$	96.9	0
Other <i>Candida</i> species*	55	$\leq 0.015 - 1$	$\leq 0.015 - 1$	94.5	ND
Total	933	$\leq 0.015 - 4$	$\leq 0.015 - 4$	97.9	ND

*: *C. lusitanae*, *C. guilliermondii*, *C. norvegensis*, *C. inconspicua*, *C. famata*, *C. pelliculosa*, *C. lambica*, *C. sphaerica*, *C. ciferii*, *C. catenulata*, *C. utilis*, *C. colliculosa*, *C. nivariensis*

Conclusions: This study demonstrated a very good agreement between Etest, performed on a routine basis, and EUCAST for micafungin MIC determination. Micafungin resistance among the main *Candida* species was uncommon.