



Genomic evaluation combining different French dairy cattle breeds

Sofiène Karoui, María Jesús Carabaño, Clara Díaz, Andres Legarra

► To cite this version:

Sofiène Karoui, María Jesús Carabaño, Clara Díaz, Andres Legarra. Genomic evaluation combining different French dairy cattle breeds. 63. Annual Meeting of the European Association for Animal Production (EAAP), Aug 2012, Bratislava, Slovakia. 476 p. hal-02748115

HAL Id: hal-02748115

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02748115>

Submitted on 3 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Genomic prediction within and between dairy cattle breeds with an imputed high density marker panel
 Erbe, M.¹, Hayes, B.J.^{2,3,4}, Bowman, P.J.^{3,4}, Simianer, H.¹ and Goddard, M.E.^{3,4,5}, ¹Georg-August-University, Department of Animal Sciences, 37075 Göttingen, Germany, ²La Trobe University, Bundoora, 3086, Australia, ³Dairy Futures CRC, Bundoora, 3083, Australia, ⁴Department of Primary Industries, Biosciences Research Division, Bundoora, VIC, 3083, Australia, ⁵University of Melbourne, LFR, Parkville, 3010, Australia; merbe@gwdg.de

In the last years, genomic breeding value prediction in dairy cattle has become widely used especially for predicting breeding values of young bulls without progeny. Accuracy of prediction is clearly determined by the size of the reference set. Since building a purebred reference set of a useful size is challenging for small breeds, one strategy would be to build a multi-breed reference set by combining the reference animals of different breeds. The benefit of using a multi-breed reference set is expected to be even higher when denser marker sets are used since then phases between markers and QTL should be more stable over breeds. We used a data set of 2,257 Australian Holstein and 540 Australian Jersey bulls to study the accuracy of genomic prediction within and between breeds using 50K and imputed 777K (Illumina HighDensity (HD) SNP chip) SNP data. Phenotypes used were daughter yield deviations for three production traits. For predicting genomic breeding values of the youngest bulls, we used a new Bayesian method (BayesR). BayesR models the variances of the SNP effects as a series of four normal distributions. The proportion of SNPs in the distributions is not fixed, but modeled with a Dirichlet distribution. BayesR worked well in our data set and was comparable or in many scenarios even better than a genomic BLUP model. Using imputed HD data rather than 50K did not lead to a significant increase of accuracy for within breed prediction neither for Holstein nor for Jersey. Comparing pure-bred to multi-breed reference set, the minor breed, Jersey, could benefit from the augmented size of the reference only when using the imputed HD panel.

Genomic evaluation combining different French dairy cattle breeds

Karoui, S.¹, Carabaño, M.J.¹, Diaz, C.¹ and Legarra, A.², ¹INIA, Mejora Genética Animal, Ctra La Coruña km 7.5., 28040, Madrid, Spain, ²INRA, SAGA, UR 631, F-31326, Castanet-Tolosan, France; sofiane.karoui@inia.es

We investigated the impact of increasing the number of genotyped candidates in the training set on the accuracies of genomic estimated breeding values (GEBV's) using a multi-breed French dairy cattle reference population, in contrast to single breed. Three traits (milk, fat content and female fertility) were analysed using a multi-breed and single breed reference populations. Three breeds of French dairy cattle were used in this study: Holstein (H), Montbéliarde (M) and Normande (N). Training populations included 2,976, 950 and 970 bulls; validation ones, 964, 222 and 248. All animals involved were genotyped with the Illumina Bovine SNP50 array. Two models were applied. The first, a random regression model, was used for estimation of genetic variances and genetic correlations between breeds for each trait and for estimation of GEBV's. The second, a multiple trait model between breeds for one trait was used only for estimation of GEBV's. Accuracy of GEBV's was evaluated under three scenarios for the genetic correlation between breeds (r_g): a) unknown r_g ; b) breeds highly related, $r_g=0.95$; c) uncorrelated, $r_g=0$. Accuracies of the GEBV's were assessed by the coefficient of determination (R^2) and the quality of prediction by the regression coefficient (δ) of daughter yield deviations on GEBVs of sires in the testing sets. Posterior means for r_g ranged from -0.01 for fertility between M and N to 0.79 for milk yield between M and H. Differences in R^2 for the three scenarios were manifest only in the case of fat content for Montbéliarde (from 0.27 in scenario c) to 0.33 in a) and b)). Accuracies for fertility were lower than for other traits and values of δ showed severe overestimation of GEBVs for this trait in M. The use of the multi-breed reference population only helped to increase accuracy of GEBVs for traits and populations that showed the largest correlation and in the breed with the smallest data set.

Book of Abstracts of the 63rd Annual Meeting of the European Federation of Animal Science



**Book of abstracts No. 18 (2012)
Bratislava, Slovakia
27 - 31 August 2012**

ISBN: 978-90-8686-206-1
e-ISBN: 978-90-8686-761-5
DOI: 10.3920/978-90-8686-761-5

ISSN 1382-6077

First published, 2012

© Wageningen Academic Publishers
The Netherlands, 2012

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned. Nothing from this publication may be translated, reproduced, stored in a computerised system or published in any form or in any manner, including electronic, mechanical, reprographic or photographic, without prior written permission from the publisher:
Wageningen Academic Publishers
P.O. Box 220
6700 AE Wageningen
The Netherlands
www.WageningenAcademic.com
copyright@WageningenAcademic.com

The individual contributions in this publication and any liabilities arising from them remain the responsibility of the authors.

The designations employed and the presentation of material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the European Federation of Animal Science concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

The publisher is not responsible for possible damages, which could be a result of content derived from this publication.