

# **Operation of an innovative pilot plant for the biohythane production from the organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW)**

Eric Trably, Eric Latrille, Claire Bougrier, Guillaume Guizard, Pascal Gras,

Benoit Vassal, Thierry Conte, Jean-Philippe Steyer

### **To cite this version:**

Eric Trably, Eric Latrille, Claire Bougrier, Guillaume Guizard, Pascal Gras, et al.. Operation of an innovative pilot plant for the biohythane production from the organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW). International Conference on Hydrogen Production ICH2P-11, Jun 2011, Thessaloniki, Greece.  $hal-02750006$ 

## **HAL Id: hal-02750006 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02750006v1>**

Submitted on 3 Jun 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

### **OPERATION OF AN INNOVATIVE PILOT PLANT FOR THE BIOHYTHANE PRODUCTION FROM THE ORGANIC FRACTION OF MUNICIPAL SOLID WASTE (OFMSW)**

E. Trably<sup>1,\*</sup>, E. Latrille<sup>1,\*</sup>, C. Bougrier<sup>2</sup>, G. Guizard<sup>1</sup>, P. Gras<sup>1</sup>, B. Vassal<sup>1</sup>, T. Conte<sup>1</sup> & JP Steyer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> INRA, UR050, Laboratoire de Biotechnologie de l'Environnement, avenue des Etangs, Narbonne F-11100, France <sup>2</sup> VEOLIA Environnement Recherche & Innovation, Zone portuaire de Limay 291, avenue Dreyfous Ducas, LIMAY F-78 520,France

\*corresponding authors: eric.trably@supagro.inra.fr; eric.latrillle@supagro.inra.fr

#### **Introduction**

Two phase anaerobic processes have been largely studied over the past ten years for their high conversion performances [1]. They consist of a first stage reactor operated under acidogenic conditions and producing biohydrogen by dark fermentation, coupled to a second stage methanogenic digester. Concomitant production of biohydrogen and methane is of particular interest since they both represent valuable energy sources utilizable for producing heat, electricity or as biofuel. Moreover, the addition of biohydrogen to methane, so-called biohythane, contributes substantially to increase the burning velocity and the stability of the combustion, as well as to lower the emission level of CO and NOx [2-3]. Among the potential organic substrates, the organic fraction of municipal solid waste (OFMSW) represents an abundant, cheap and rapidly functional source of substrates since OFMSW are nowadays widely treated by anaerobic digestion [4]. In addition, Liu et al. [5] showed that a two stage process enhanced the conversion of the OFMSW to biogas by 21%, likely because the first acidogenic stage acted as an additional acidic hydrolytic pretreatment step. The aim of the present work was to evaluate the performances of an innovative two stage pilot plant for producing biohydrogen and methane from OFMSW.

#### **Material & Methods**

The pilot plant was composed of two anaerobic reactors placed in series with a specially design feeding track (**Figure 1**). The first acidogenic reactor, dedicated to biohydrogen production, was operated with a working volume of 22L, hydraulic/solid retention time of 11 h, and a regulated pH at 5.5. The reactor was agitated with a magnetic stirring and was not inoculated at start of the experiment. The second reactor consisted of two compartments: one, at the top, for treating wastewaters with microbial fixed beds, and the second, at the bottom, for degrading remaining solid residues by settling. This reactor was operated with a working volume of 358L, a hydraulic retention time of 7 days and a solid retention time higher than 60 days. Both reactors were operated under mesophilic conditions (35°C). Biogas composition, as well as pH, temperature, electrical conductivity, Volatile Fatty Acids (VFAs) concentration (by titrimetry), and redox potential were on line monitored. Biogas and VFAs compositions were also measured periodically by GC-TCD and GC-FID, respectively.

#### **Results & Discussion**

The acidogenic reactor (R1) was continuously fed to reach a steady state after at least three hydraulic retention time. The electrical conductivity, the redox potential and the biogas production of R1 are shown in Figure 2A. Redox potential decreased gradually to reach the optimal value for biohydrogen production by dark fermentation, as previously observed in laboratory reactor (\*). The low periodic pulses (20mV) of redox potential indicated the semi-continuous feeding of the OFMSW. The pH regulation was fixed at 5.5 and was effective since less than 0.2 pH unity could affect significantly the efficiency of the process, as reported by Liu et al. [5]. The increase of the electrical conductivity (Figure 2B) indicated an accumulation of VFAs in the reactor, mainly acetate and butyrate (50:50) (\*). At this stage, the VFAs accumulated up to 2 g.L<sup>-1</sup>, and were then fully degraded in the methanogenic reactor. Online and offline VFA measurements were both consistent with lab-scale observations in 2L reactor (\*). Furthermore, after few months of operation, almost all organic solids were degraded in both reactors, indicating the high conversion efficiency of the system.

In addition, biohythane gas was produced in the first R1 reactor, with a biogas composition of 12% H<sub>2</sub>, 35% CO<sub>2</sub> and 53% de CH4. According to lab-scale experiment (\*), it was concluded that the methane originated mainly from reactor R2 through the connecting valves. At this stage, the biogas flow rate was 101 mL.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>, with a hydrogen productivity of around 12 mL.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>. The average hydrogen yield was 3.8 mLH<sub>2</sub>.g<sub>VS</sub><sup>-1</sup> which was consistent with labscale results (\*), but corresponded to a lower level of hydrogen- producing potentiality when considering all organic

substrates [6]. Since the OFMSW was principally composed of hemicellulosic compounds, it was concluded that the microbial hydrolysis was unfavourable for biohydrogen production since hydrogen seems to be rapidly consumed by homoacetogenic bacteria in laboratory experiments (\*). In the methanogenic reactor (R2), the biogas was composed of 60% CH<sub>4</sub> and 40% CO<sub>2</sub>, under a biogas flow rate of 60 mL.L<sup>-1</sup>.h<sup>-1</sup>. The methane yield reached around 109 mLCH $_4$ .gVS $^{-1}$ .

Further experiments are currently in progress in order to increase the hydrolysis of OFMSW and, thenceforth, the amount of biohydrogen, through the addition of more biodegradable substrate, e.g. biowaste, and increasing the organic loading rate.

#### (\*) additional data to be presented

#### **Acknowledgments**

This work was funded by the French Environment and Energy Management Agency (ADEME) and the French Research Agency (ANR) through the PROMETHEE project (ANR-06-BIOE-002-01). All the partners of the project are thanked for their support (CNRS - BIP, INRA - LBE, SMEDAR and Veolia Environment Research and Development).

#### **References**

[1] Demirel B, Scherer P, Yenigun O & TT Onay (2010) Production of Methane and Hydrogen from Biomass through Conventional and High-Rate Anaerobic Digestion Processes. Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology **40** (2) pp.116 -146

[2] Burbano HJ, Amell AA & JM Garcia (2008) Effects of hydrogen addition to methane on the flame structure and CO emissions in atmospheric burners. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. **33** (13) pp. 3410-3415

[3] Sierens R & E Rosseel (2000) Variable composition hydrogen/natural gas mixtures for increased engine efficiency and decreased emissions. Journal of engineering for gas turbines and power. **122** (1) pp.135-140

[4] Dong L, Zhenhong Y, Yonyming S, Xiaoying K & Z Yu (2009) Hydrogen production characteristics of the organic fraction of municipal solid wastes by anaerobic mixed culture fermentation. International journal of hydrogen energy.**34** (2) pp.812-820

[5] Liu DW, Liu DP, Zeng RJ & I Angelidaki (2006) Hydrogen and methane production from household solid waste in the two-stage fermentation process. Water research **40** (11) pp. 2230 -2236

[6] Guo XM, Trably E, Latrille E, Carrere H, Steyer JP (2010) Hydrogen production from agricultural waste by dark fermentation: A review. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. **35** (19) pp. 10660-10673



Fig. 1: Fully automated two-stage process for biohydrogen and methane (biohythane) production with a feeding track specially designed for solid substrates.



Fig. 2: Acidogenic bioH2-producing reactor: monitoring of the redox potential, conductivity and pH (online) (2A), and the Volatile Fatty Acids and biogas (online) and VFAs (offline) (2B)