

#### Opportunities for predicting and manipulating beef quality

Jean-François J.-F. Hocquette, Raphaëlle Botreau, Brigitte B. Picard, Alain Jacquet, David W. Pethick, Nigel D. Scollan

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Jean-François J.-F. Hocquette, Raphaëlle Botreau, Brigitte B. Picard, Alain Jacquet, David W. Pethick, et al.. Opportunities for predicting and manipulating beef quality. 58. International Congress of Meat Science and Technology, Aug 2012, Montréal, Canada. 30 p., 10.1016/j.meatsci.2012.04.007 . hal-02750388

#### HAL Id: hal-02750388 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02750388

Submitted on 6 Jun2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Opportunities for predicting and manipulating beef quality

(Présentation aux étudiants et professeurs de la « Northwest A&F University » à Yangling, Chine )



### Jean-François Hocquette<sup>a</sup>

R Botreau<sup>a</sup>, B Picard<sup>a</sup>, A Jacquet<sup>b</sup>, DW Pethick<sup>c</sup>, ND Scollan<sup>d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> INRA, Theix, France, <sup>b</sup> INAO, Caen, France, <sup>c</sup> Murdoch University, Perth, Australia, <sup>d</sup> IBERS, Aberystwyth University, UK



# The definition of quality

Intrinsic quality refers to the characteristics of the product itSelf and includes safety, healthiness, sensory traits (e.g. tenderness, flavor, juiciness, overall liking), convenience, etc.

Extrinsic quality refers to traits which are associated with the product, namely (i) production system characteristics (from the animal to the processing stages including for example animal welfare, carbon footprint), and (ii) marketing variables (including price, brand name, distribution, origin, packaging, labelling, and traceability)



- 1.1. Composition of the muscle tissue
- 1.2. Overall beef quality

1.3. Aggregation of measures related to the different intrinsic quality traits

**Outline** 

- 2.1. The increasing importance of extrinsic quality traits
- 2.2. Existing quality labels with regard to extrinsic quality traits
- 2.3. Future research priorities to better predict and to enhance quality





Adapted from: http://people.eku.edu/ritchisong/301notes3.htm

### Relationships between Meat Quality attributes and Muscle Characteristics



Renand, Picard, Touraille, Berge, & Lepetit (2001). Meat Science, 59, 49-60.





Cassar-Malek et al., 2008. Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture, 2008, 48, 701--710





1.1. Composition of the muscle tissue

1.2. Overall beef quality

1.3. Aggregation of measures related to the different intrinsic quality traits

- 2.1. The increasing importance of extrinsic quality traits
- 2.2. Existing quality labels with regard to extrinsic quality traits
- 2.3. Future research priorities to better predict and to enhance quality



### Prediction of beef quality in Australia the Meat Standards Australia system

### **Prediction**

MSA2000model® Hang (AT/TC/TS/TX) Sex (M, F) Est.% Bos Indicus Hump Height cms Hot Std Carc Weight USDA Ossification Milk Fed Vealer Y/N USDA Marbling Days Aged (min 5) Quarter Point Ribfat Ultimate pH

AUSMEAT Meat Col. Saleyard? (Y, N)

Wght/App.Maturity



1.32

Shin

FQshin

Grilled Muscle Days Roast Stir Thin Cass-Corne Cut Description Reference Aged Steak Beef Slice d Beef Fry erole Tenderloin **TDR062** 4 Cube Roll CUB045 3 3 3 4 Striploin STR045 3 3 3 3 Ovster Blade OYS036 4 3 4 4 Bolar Blade BLD096 3 3 3 3 3 CTR085 Chuck Tender 3 3 3 3 RMP131 Rump 3 3 3 3 RMP231 Point End Rump 3 3 3 4 Knuckle KNU099 3 3 3 3 х Outside Flat OUT005 3 3 х х 3 EYE075 Eye Round 3 3 3 3 х х Topside TOP073 3 3 3 х х Chuck CHK078 3 3 3 3 Thin Flank TFL 051 3 3 Rib Blade RIB041 3 Brisket BRI056 3 3 х х

3

			Muscle	Days	Grilled	Roast	Stir	Thin	Cass-	Corne
MSA2000model®		Cut Description	Reference	Aged	Steak	Beef	Fry	Slice	erole	d Beef
Hang (AT/TC/TS/TX)	AT	Tenderloin	TDR062		5	4	5			
Sex (M, F)	m	Cube Roll	CUB045		3	3	3	3		
Est.% Bos Indicus	0	Striploin	STR045	]	3	3	3	3		
Hump Height cms	0	Oyster Blade	OYS036		4	3	4	4		
Hot Std Carc Weight	250	Bolar Blade	BLD096		3	3	3	3	3	
USDA Ossification	140	Chuck Tender	CTR085			3	3	3	3	
Milk Fed Vealer Y/N		Rump	RMP131		3	3	3	3		
USDA Marbling	130	Point End Rump	RMP231		3	3	3	4		
Days Aged (min 5)	5	Knuckle	KNU099		x	3	3	3	3	
Quarter Point Ribfat	12	Outside Flat	OUT005			x	x	3	3	3
Ultimate pH	5.50	Eye Round	EYE075		x	3	3	3	3	x
		Topside	TOP073		x	x	x	3	3	
AUSMEAT Meat Col.	2	Chuck	CHK078			3	3	3	3	
Saleyard? (Y, N)	n	Thin Flank	TFL051				3		3	
		Rib Blade	RIB041				3			
Wght/App.Maturity	0.86	Brisket	BRI056				x	3	3	x
		Shin	FQshin						3	

			Muscle	Days	Grilled	Roast	Stir	Thin	Cass-	Corne
MSA2000model®		Cut Description	Reference	Aged	Steak	Beef	Fry	Slice	erole	d Beef
Hang (AT/TC/TS/TX)	AT	Tenderloin	TDR062		5		-			
Sex (M, F)	m	Cube Roll	CUB045		4	4	4	4		
Est.% Bos Indicus	0	Striploin	STR045		~	2	3			
Hump Height cms	0	Oyster Blade	OYS036		4	4	4	4		
Hot Std Carc Weight	250	Bolar Blade	BLD096		3	3	3	4	3	
USDA Ossification	140	Chuck Tender	CTR085			3	3	3	3	
Milk Fed Vealer Y/N	N	Rump	RMP131		3	0	4	3		
USDA Marbling	300	Point End Rump	RMP231			4	4	4		
Days Aged (min 5)		Knuckle	KNU099		x	•	~	3	3	
Quarter Point Ribfat	12	Outside Flat	OUT005			x	3	3	3	3
Ultimate pH	5.50	Eye Round	EYE075		x	3	3	3	3	x
		Topside	TOP073		x	3	3	3	3	
AUSMEAT Meat Col.	2	Chuck	CHK078			3	3	3	4	
Saleyard? (Y, N)	n	Thin Flank	TFL051				3		3	
		Rib Blade	RIB041				3			
Wght/App.Maturity	0.86	Brisket	BRI056				x	3	3	x
		Shin	FQshin						3	

A change in marbling score from 130 to 300 changes palatability of the Cube Roll and Point End Rump



# How the Meat Standards Australia system works ?

### Predictors

- Breed (2-10) restricted to
  - Bosindicus content
- Gender (2)
- Growth path (10)
  - carcass wt
  - ossification score
  - Milk fed veal
- Hanging (0-10)
- Marble score (2-10)
- Ageing: 5d min (0-6)
- Cooking method (0-12)
- Muscle (30)
- pHu
- Rib fat

It works in Korea, the USA, France, Japan, Northern Ireland and the Irish Republic





## Fats and healthiness:



## The nutritional value of meat



Nutrient per 100g	Beef	Lamb	Pork	Calf liver
Vitamin A				Rich source
Vitamin B <sub>1</sub>			Rich source	Rich source
Vitamin B <sub>2</sub>	Source		Source	Rich source
Vitamin B <sub>3</sub>	Rich source	Rich source	Rich source	Rich source
Vitamin B <sub>6</sub>	Rich source	Source	Rich source	Rich source
Vitamin B <sub>12</sub>	Rich source	Rich source	Rich source	Rich source
Iron	Source			
Zinc	Rich source	Rich source	Source	Rich source
Selenium			Source	Rich source
Potassium	Source	Source	Source	Source
Phosphorus	Source	Source	Source	Rich source

> 15% of the RDA per 100g = Source; > 30% of the RDA per 100g = Rich source



- 1.1. Composition of the muscle tissue
- 1.2. Overall beef quality

1.3. Aggregation of measures related to the different intrinsic quality traits

- 2.1. The increasing importance of extrinsic quality traits
- 2.2. Existing quality labels with regard to extrinsic quality traits
- 2.3. Future research priorities to better predict and to enhance quality



# Aggregation of measures related to the different intrinsic quality traits

The question is : is it possible to have a fully combined criteria ?



14

### How to combine these different criteria of quality? Some intuitive methods...

- 1. Analysis by an expert: done by traditional butchers in Europe. Not transparent and also not consistent across experts.
- Conversion of quality traits into value-scores (e.g. quantitative information) which are then compounded (e.g. the MSA system based on a weighted sum)
- **3. Other methods developed for Welfare** (Welfare Quality®)





- 1.1. Composition of the muscle tissue
- 1.2. Overall beef quality

1.3. Aggregation of measures related to the different intrinsic quality traits

- 2.1. The increasing importance of extrinsic quality traits
- 2.2. Existing quality labels with regard to extrinsic quality traits
- 2.3. Future research priorities to better predict and to enhance quality



## The increasing importance of extrinsic quality traits

The demand for livestock products in the future is likely to be moderated by **socio-economic** factors such as human health concerns, the cost of the product and changing socio-cultural values (such as concerns for animal welfare and carbon footprint of the products)

(Thornton, 2010)





Botreau, 2012



## Beef production costs and prices in different countries



Sarzeau et al., Renc. Rech. Ruminants 206





- 1.1. Composition of the muscle tissue
- 1.2. Overall beef quality

1.3. Aggregation of measures related to the different intrinsic quality traits

- 2.1. The increasing importance of extrinsic quality traits
- 2.2. Existing quality labels with regard to extrinsic quality traits
- 2.3. Future research priorities to better predict and to enhance quality





# The different official quality marks in Europe and France

	Protected designation of origin (PDO)	Protected geographical indication (PGI)	Traditional Speciality Guaranteed (STG)	Organic farming	Label Rouge
EU	D'ORIGINE PROTECE	CEROGRAPHIQUE PROTECO	ALITION VIEW B GARA	****	
France	FRANCE			AGRICULTURE	aber puse









- 1.1. Composition of the muscle tissue
- 1.2. Overall beef quality

1.3. Aggregation of measures related to the different intrinsic quality traits

- 2.1. The increasing importance of extrinsic quality traits
- 2.2. Existing quality labels with regard to extrinsic quality traits
- 2.3. Future research priorities to better predict and to enhance quality (from a workshop held in Theix, France, Sept 9-10, 2009)



# Future priorities to better predict beef quality

- The existing knowledge is not fully applied for economic, social or political reasons and progress should be made in that direction.
- ✓ The beef industry is generally conservative and thus reluctant to any change.
- A great change in mindset is required to develop payment on the basis of beef quality instead of quantitative meat production or carcass conformation and fatness alone.

Scollan et al., Animal Production Science, 2011, 51, 1–5



### Future priorities to better predict beef quality

An environmental index should be developed for animal products to take into account the carbon footprint, water, energy use and also the fact that ruminants turn low quality raw material (cellulose/low quality protein) such as grass into highly nutritious food.

This implies the development of an aggregation of environmental measures.



26

Scollan et al., Animal Production Science, 2011, 51, 1–5

### GHG emissions/kg of beef for EU member states



Lesschen et al., Animal Feed Science and Technology 166–167 (2011) 16–28



### The determinants of the Product Competitive Position



Barjolle et al, 2005.



# CONCLUSIONS

- Consumer satisfaction when eating beef is a complex response based on objective and emotional assessments of the product.
- Combining intrinsic and extrinsic quality traits by relevant and new methods is a key driver for the future.
- ✓ The MSA system can predict with a good accuracy the palatability of beef.
- Combining the MSA system and the PDO/PGI system is a practical way to ensure palatability and to satisfy the symbolic demand of consumers and citizens related to images of origin. Both systems are not in competition but could help each other.



### One efficient method to improve beef consumption is Communication. We must have consumers wanting to buy beef.

Don't be afraid to say I love beef



