Impacts of climate change factors (temperature, drought, elevated CO₂) on CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in an upland grassland

Amélie Cantarel, Juliette Bloor, Jean-François J.-F. Soussana

► To cite this version:

Amélie Cantarel, Juliette Bloor, Jean-François J.-F. Soussana. Impacts of climate change factors (temperature, drought, elevated CO₂) on CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in an upland grassland. IARU International Scientific Congress on Climate Change: Global Risks, Challenges and Decisions, Mar 2009, Copenhagen, Denmark. IOP Publishing, IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science, 6, 2009, Climate change: global risks, challenges and decisions, 10–12 March 2009, Copenhagen, Denmark. 10.1088/1755-1307/6/24/242044 . hal-02751316

HAL Id: hal-02751316

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02751316>

Submitted on 3 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

P24.36

Impacts of climate change factors (temperature, drought, elevated CO₂) on CO₂, N₂O and CH₄ fluxes in an upland grassland

A Cantarel, JMG Bloor, Jean-François Soussana

INRA, Grassland Ecosystem Research (UREP) Clermont-Ferrand, France

Introduction: Impacts of climate change on plant community structure and function have faced increasing attention in recent years. Of particular interest are the impacts of climate change on carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions and trace greenhouse gases (GHGs) such as nitrous oxide (N₂O) and methane (CH₄) with a much higher global warming potential (GWP) than CO₂ (IPCC, 2007). Climate change is predicted to have both direct and indirect impacts on GHGs fluxes via plant community structure (Lavorel & Garnier, 2002; Figure 1).

Material and Methods: We use a novel experiment to investigate the effects of climate change (temperature, drought and elevated CO₂) on GHGs fluxes in an upland grassland in central France. We applied three factors in an additive experimental design (Figure 2): 3°C warming (obtained by transplanting monoliths along an altitudinal gradient); a 20% reduction in summer rainfall (using rain shelters); and a 200ppm increase of atmospheric CO₂ concentration (using a free air CO₂ enrichment; mini-FACE following Miglietta et al., 2001). The combination of all three factors (TDCO₂) corresponds to the climate predicted for the Auvergne region in 2050. Prior to the start of the experiment, the study grassland was managed for 15 years with low levels of sheep grazing and no mineral or organic fertilization. The site was dominated by grass species and had a low species richness (15 species).

Experimental treatments were established in 2005, and all monoliths have since been managed with two cuts per year (April and October) and no fertiliser inputs. Temperature (5-10cm) and soil moisture content (0-20cm) are continuously measured. Plant productivity, plant traits and community structure are determined at each cut.

In January 2007, we started a two-year measurement campaign of N₂O and CH₄ fluxes using closed static chambers and a photoacoustic gas analyser (INNOVA). Measurements were carried out every two weeks on average, or according to specific climate events (rain, or freeze thaw). In addition, we measured CO₂ fluxes and net photosynthesis at the patch scale using open flux techniques.

Results: Here we present the results after one year of N₂O and CH₄ flux measurements. Climate change treatments had no significant effect on either the frequency or the magnitude of N₂O emissions (Figure 3). Nonetheless, we found a tendency towards increased N₂O emissions under elevated temperature. N₂O emissions were significantly affected by the season; emissions in spring were significantly greater compared with winter (Figure 4). Throughout the study period, CH₄ fluxes were too low to be detected by the gas analyzer. We conclude that CH₄ plays an insignificant role in the GHG budget of our system. When we examined possible links between N₂O fluxes and plant data collected in April 2007, we found that N₂O fluxes were driven by changes in aboveground production rather than in direct climate effects (Table 1). Plant traits had limited effects on N₂O fluxes. However, abundance of one particular plant species (*Festuca arundinacea*) had a positive effect on net N₂O fluxes.

Patterns of N₂O fluxes observed in 2007 appeared to be maintained in 2008 (data set to be completed). Open fluxes CO₂ measurements in 2008 indicated a significant increase in maximum photosynthetic rates (A_{max}) in response to elevated CO₂ over the course of the growing season (Table 2). Surprisingly we found a decrease in A_{max} in response to increasing temperature.

Figure1. Pathways of climate change effects on trace greenhouse gas emissions (adapted from Lavorel and Garnier, 2002).

Figure2. Climate treatments applied to grassland monoliths

Figure3. Mean net N₂O fluxes in response to climate treatments.

Figure4. Seasonal variation in N₂O emissions.

Table1. ANCOVA analyses for net trace gas fluxes with climate treatment as a fixed factor and biomass production as a covariable. P-values marked in bold are significant at P<0.05. All variables satisfy Shapiro-Wilks test of normality.

Flux	Factor	F	P	r ²	p-model
N ₂ O	Climate	1.41	0.27	38.05	**
	Biomass	11.03	0.005		
CH ₄	Climate	3.45	0.04	35.67	**
	Biomass	0.02	0.89		

Table2. Repeated measures ANCOVA analyses for maximum photosynthetic rates at the patch scale. Climate treatment and campaign dates were included as fixed factors; vegetation height was used as a covariable. P-values marked in bold are significant at P<0.05.

CO ₂ effect					Temperature effect				
Factors	F	P	r ²	p-model	Factors	F	P	r ²	p-model
CO ₂ treatment	4.76	0.033	34.8	***	Temp treatment	19.55	0.0001	48.9	***
Campaign	2.68	0.008			Campaign	4.78	0.0001		
Height	2.88	0.095			Height	2.63	0.111		

References:

- IPCC (2007). Summary for policymakers. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1-18.
- Lavorel S. & Garnier E. (2002). Predicting changes in community composition and ecosystem functioning from plant traits: revisiting the Holy Grail. *Func. Ecology*, 16, 545- 556.
- Miglietta F., Hoosbeek M.R., Foot J. *et al.* (2001). Spatial and temporal performance of the MiniFACE (Free Air CO₂ Enrichment) system on bog ecosystems in northern and central Europe. *Env. Mon. Ass.*, 66 (2), 107-127.