

Detached tomato fruit grown on various sucrose solutions and comparison with fruit grown on plant

Philippe Bussieres, Nadia Bertin, Ruba Nasri, Laure Valat, Huguette Sallanon

▶ To cite this version:

Philippe Bussieres, Nadia Bertin, Ruba Nasri, Laure Valat, Huguette Sallanon. Detached tomato fruit grown on various sucrose solutions and comparison with fruit grown on plant. First Symposium on Horticulture in Europe, Feb 2008, Vienna, Austria. 2008. hal-02752838

HAL Id: hal-02752838 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02752838

Submitted on 3 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

DETACHED TOMATO FRUITS GROWN ON VARIOUS SUCROSE SOLUTIONS AND COMPARISON WITH FRUIT GROWN ON PLANT



¹P. Bussières^{*}, ¹N. Bertin, ²R. Nasri, ²L. Valat ²H. Sallanon

¹UR1115 Plantes et Systèmes de culture Horticoles, INRA, 84914 Avignon CEDEX 9, France ²UMR A408 Sécurité et Qualité des Produits d'origine Végétale, Université d'Avignon, 33 rue Louis Pasteur, 84000 Avignon CEDEX 1

Introduction

In order to better understand the fruit growth mechanisms, effects of sucrose concentration and osmotic pressure of the solution entering the fruit, on parameters of several important fruit growth processes, were studied in vitro and some comparisons with the in planta fruit were made. Diameter is measured

MS medium

sucrose concentrations : 0 to 32%

PEG or mannitol concentrations

10 combinations:

111 N 2

Number of cells of pericarp 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0

one p

گ 13 content (11

9 Fruit dry matter

Materials and methods





Tomato plant grown under-glass 5 – 10 dap old fruits

10 mn

Results

In vitro, a fraction of the fruits did not grow, likely due to contaminations. In healthy fruits:

- cell number increased with sucrose concentration between 2 and 8%. In the in planta fruit, cell number was in the middle of this range (Fig. 1),

- the volume of one pericarp cell increased with sucrose concentration up to 4 - 8% and decreased when PEG was added. In the in planta fruit, this volume was much higher (Fig. 2). Also fruit diameter increased with sucrose concentration up to 4 - 8% and decreased at higher concentration or when mannitol or PEG was added (Figs. 3 and 4). Fruit expansion rate was null when osmotic potential of the solution was close to -2.5 MPa (Fig. 5), which coincides with the value predicted by a model of water import in fruit^a. The in planta fruit was much larger than the in vitro fruit (Fig. 3).

- dry matter content and Brix increased with sucrose concentration (Figs. 6 and 7). At 8% sucrose concentration they were close to those of in planta fruit and changed similarly over growth period,

- fruits ripened at 35-40 daa, like in planta (Fig. 8).

Discussion - conclusion

Despite fruit and cell expansions were strongly lowered in vitro, as generally observed in in vitro culture of organs^b, there were many similarities between fruit grown on the plant and in vitro.

cell division and expansion.

Brix degree 0.5 Estimate 10 Estir 15 20 25 30 35 40 Therefore, the experiences suggested that increased sucrose concentration may increase carbon supply, cell division and fruit and cell expansions, but that very high concentration may decrease these expansions through osmotic potential. Others negative effects of sucrose on tissues in vitro have been suspected^c. These experiments indicate that in vitro experiences may be very useful to study and to better understand the fruit growth, especially

Phytotron 20 / 25℃ night / day







Fig. 8

(a) Geelen et al. 1987. Journal of Plant Physiology130: 343-349; (b) Bussières P. 1994. Annals of Botany 73: 75-82; (c) Warren Wilson 1994. Annals of Botany 73: 65-73