## Is 'durum wheat - winter pea intercropping' efficient to reduce pests and diseases?

## L. BEDOUSSAC<sup>1</sup>, M. MATURA<sup>1</sup>, J.-L. HEMPTINNE<sup>2</sup> and E. JUSTES<sup>1</sup>

## <sup>1</sup>INRA, UMR ARCHE, BP 52627, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France <sup>2</sup>ENFA, UMR 5174 EDB, BP 22687, 31326 Castanet-Tolosan, France

X<sup>th</sup> Congress of the European Society for Agronomy 15-19th September 2008, Bologna, Italy

Durum Wheat-Winter Pe

Winter Pe

<u>E-mail</u>: Laurent.Bedoussac@toulouse.inra.fr – Eric.Justes@toulouse.inra.fr

Pests and diseases are often a major concern, particularly in low inputs systems where no or few pesticide treatments are performed.

- Intercropping (IC) can allow a significant reduction in harmful insects and diseases compared to sole cropping (SC) (e.g. Kinane and Lyngkjaer, 2002).
- No reference on winter crops IC was available, despite winter crops seems more adapted to Southern Europe conditions.
- *Aim of our study:* Evaluate the assumption that Durum wheat Winter pea intercropping (IC) is more efficient than sole crops (SC) for their ability to reduce pests and diseases by:
  - i) Comparing dynamics of green aphids and weevils (two main pea pests) between SC & IC
  - ii) Analysing the development of pea ascochyta (Mycosphaerella pinodes) and main durum wheat foliar diseases between SC & IC
- Pests and diseases were never increased in IC but sometimes reduced (ie Pea aphids and Pea ascochyta with fungicide protection)
  - Efficiency of 'Durum wheat Winter pea intercropping' to reduce pests and diseases depends on:
    - i) Insect behaviour, particularly both its mobility and ability to recognize its target in a mixed cover
    - ii) Disease dispersion which is in interaction with microclimate modification in intercrop
    - iii) Interactions with plant architecture and farming practices, for example the 'umbrella' effect

• An experiment was carried out in Auzeville (SW France) in 2006-2007 on a clay loamy soil. The two species were sown on November 2006 the 9<sup>th</sup> in **row-intercropping**. The experiment was based on a split-split-plot design with 2 replicates.

• Three main treatments were compared:

**OBJECTIVES** 

CONCLUSIONS

MATERIAL AND METHODS

- *i)* **W-SC:** Durum wheat (cv. Neodur sown at 280 seeds/m<sup>2</sup>);
- *ii)* **P-SC:** Winter pea (cv. Lucy sown at 60 seeds/m<sup>2</sup>);
- iii) IC: Durum wheat-winter pea IC, each specie sown at half of SC density
- Two fertiliser-N sub-treatments: i) N0: No fertilizer and ii) N1: 140 kg N/ha
- Two fungi managements: *i*) NT: No fungicide and *ii*) T: 2 applications of metconazole (90 g.ha<sup>-1</sup>)
- Measurements made: i) Evolution of pea aphids population ; ii) Number of nodules on pea roots and percentage of nodules drilled ;
- iii) Attack of ascochyta on stem, leaves and pods of pea and iv) Attack of mildew, brown rust, fusarium and septoria on durum wheat leaves

