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- a sequence $X$ of discrete variables $\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i}}$, domain $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{i}}$
- a set C of constraints
- $c_{S} \in C$ involves variables in $S \subseteq X$ and is a boolean function $\prod_{i \in S} D_{i} \rightarrow\{t, f\}$
- Joint boolean function $F(X)=\bigwedge c_{s}$


## Central problems: SAT/CSP and their solvers

- A solution is an assignment of X that satisfies the joint function (NP-complete)
- Algorithms to find a model/solution or a proof (Backtrack, unit/constraint propagation)


## SAT and CSP technologies

- Solving and generating Sudokus (Le Monde) 핌
- Planning and Scheduling ${ }^{12}$
- Configuration/verification (also neural nets ${ }^{5}$ )
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- Recent theorem proof (Splitting all pythagorean triples in $\mathbb{N}$ : 200 TB proof ${ }^{4}$ )
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## SAT and CSP technologies

- Solving and generating Sudokus (Le Monde)
- Planning and Scheduling ${ }^{12}$
- Configuration/verification (also neural net5 ${ }^{5}$ )
(Rosesta-Phile probe palan. CP: LAAS Tououse) Cecnes
- Recent theorem proof (Splitting all pythagorean triples in $\mathbb{N}: 200 \mathrm{~TB}$ proof ${ }^{4}$ )

Excellent to describe, analyze, design perfectly known complex systems.
Biology is full of imperfectly known complex systems.
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- a sequence $X$ of discrete variables $x_{i}$, domain $D_{i}$
- a set $W$ of cost functions
- $W_{S} \in W$ is a numerical function $\prod_{i \in S} D_{i}$
(possibly infinite costs)
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## Central problems: PWMaxSAT, WCSP, MAP/MRF

- a solution optimizes the joint cost $W(X)$
(WCSP, NP-complete)
- algorithms to find a solution and a proof of optimality (Branch and bound + cost function propagation, core-based)


## Example: MAXCUT with hard edges

Graph $G=(V, E)$ with edge weight function w

- A boolean variable $x_{i}$ per vertex $i \in V$
- A cost function per edge $e=(i, j) \in E: w_{i j}=w(i, j) \times \mathbb{1}\left[x_{i} \neq x_{j}\right]$
- Hard edges: constraints with costs 0 or $-\infty\left(\right.$ when $\left.x_{i} \neq x_{j}\right)$
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## MAXCUT on a 3-clique with hard edge

```
{
    "problem" :{"name": "MaxCut", "mustbe": ">0.0"},
    "variables": {"x1": ["l","r"], "x2": ["l","r"], "x3": ["l","r"]}
    "functions": {
            "cut12": {"scope": ["x1","x2"], "costs": [0,-100,-100,0]},
            "cut13": {"scope": ["x1","x3"], "costs": [0,1,1,0]},
            "cut23": {"scope": ["x2","x3"], "costs": [0,1,1,0]}
    }
}
```

MIT licence, https://github.com/toulbar2/toulbar2
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- A Markov Random Field (stochastic graphical model with additive potentials)
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## And the WCSP problem tackled with

- MaxHS (PWMaxSat solver)
- CPLEX/GUROBI (ILP solver)
- MAP/MRF solvers (very few provide guarantees: toulbar2, daoopt)
- A quadratic boolean polynomial (SDP based BiqMac)
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Eco-friendly chemical/structural nano-agents

- Biodegradable (have been mass produced for billions of year)
- "Easy" to produce (transformed E. coli)
- Useful for green chemistry ${ }^{8}$ (biofuels, plastic recycling, food and feed, cosmetics...), nanotechnologies, ${ }^{13}$ drugs...
$20^{n}$ sequences! intractable for experimental techniques
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- Full atom model of a protein backbone
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## Molecular modeling

- Full atom model of a protein backbone
- Catalog of all 20 side-chains in different conformations
(assumed to be rigid)
( $\approx 400$ overall)
- Huge sequence-conformation space: $400^{n}$ (or more)
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## Imperfect

- Approximations: rigidity, solvent effect
- Very empirical representation of crucial quantum mechanic effects

| Central problem | (plenty of tricky/harder variants) |
| :--- | ---: |
| Maximum stability $\equiv$ Minimum energy | NP-hard ${ }^{7}$ |

Central problem
(plenty of tricky/harder variants)
Maximum stability $\equiv$ Minimum energy
NP-hard ${ }^{7}$

## As a Cost Function Network

- One variable per position in the protein sequence
- Domain: catalog of few hundreds amino acids conformations
- Functions: decomposed energy (pairwise terms)

\# of instances solved $(X)$ within a per instance cpu-time limit $(Y)$


Optimality gap of the Simulated annealing solution as problems get harder Asymptotic convergence can be arbitrarily slow (infinity can be arbitrarily far)
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## C8 pseudo-symetric 20VP symmetrized into a nano-component

- Tako: (R)evolution + Rosetta/talaris14 8 fold
$\square$ |ka: toulbar2 + talaris14


Tako

lka



Compares Tako and Ika structural stability as temperature increases [circular dichroism)

Imperfect

Simplest way around this: inject more information than just energy.

## Imperfect

Simplest way around this: inject more information than just energy.

## Evolutionary information

- Use similar proteins (homologs) from databases
- All have been through millions of year of selection by "reality"
- Multiple alignment: align similar regions of the sequences


## A multiple alignment with conserved positions

|  | tein sequences | $\frac{\text { conserved amino acid }}{\text { position }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Q5IS43.3 SADMTIKLWDF-QGFECIRTMHGHDHNVSSVAIMPNGDHIVSASRDKT |  |  |
| 07T394 | SADMTIKLWDF-QGFECIRTMHG | IMPNGDHIVSASRDKT |
| Q7T394. | WDF | MP |
| ט Q7T |  |  |
| E Q86 | SDDKTLKLWDVRSG-KCLKTLKG | PPSNLIISGSFDET |
| Q86VZ2. 1 |  |  |
| Q86VZ2.1 SDDKTLKLWDVRSG-KCLKTLKGHSNYVFCCNFNPPSNLIISGSFDET |  |  |
| C3XVT5.1 SADMTIKLWDF-QTFENIKTMHGHDHNVSSVHFMPNGDFLISASRDKT |  |  |
| C3XVT5.1 SADMTIKLWDF-QTFENIKTMHGHDHNVSSVHFMPNGDFLISASRDKT |  |  |
| C3XVT5.1 SADMTIKLWDF-QTFENIKTMHGHDHNVSSVHFMPNGDFLISASRDKT |  |  |
| Q803D2.3 SADMTIKLWDF-QGFECIRTMHGHDHNVSSVAIMPNGDHIVSASRDKT |  |  |
| Q803D2. 3 SADMTIKLWDF-QGFECIRTMHGHDHNVSSVAIMPNGDHIVSASRDKT |  |  |
| Q803D2.3 SADMTIKLWDF-QGFECIRTMHGHDHNVSSVAIMPNGDHIVSASRDK |  |  |
| 5RE95.1 SDDKTLKLWDMRSG-KCLKTLKGHSNYVFCCNFNPPSNLIISGSFDET |  |  |

## Simple integration of information

- Force amino acid choice (constraint) at conserved positions.
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## A counter-productive insulation of fields

- Symbolic (gradient-free) Al already reached super-human performances
- Numerical (differentiable) Al: you certainly know! (Alpha Go/Zero)
- But reasoning/planning with Deep Nets? Not at this point.
- It's now possible to connect them and build hybrid Als that reason and learn
- Graphical models look like a good place to start
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## Protein Design
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