

The effects of sanitary and phytosanitary barriers on the international trade of apples from France and Chile

Federica Demaria, Sophie S. Drogue

▶ To cite this version:

Federica Demaria, Sophie S. Drogue. The effects of sanitary and phytosanitary barriers on the international trade of apples from France and Chile. 15. EAAE Congress 'Towards Sustainable Agri-food Systems: Balancing Between Markets and Society', Aug 2017, Parme, Italy. 1 p., 2017. hal-02785527

HAL Id: hal-02785527 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02785527v1

Submitted on 4 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The effects of sanitary and phytosanitary barriers on the international trade of apples from France and Chile



TOWARDS SUSTAINABLE AGRI-FOOD SYSTEMS: BALANCING BETWEEN MARKETS AND SOCIETY

Federica DeMaria and Sophie Drogue

CREA – Consiglio per la Ricerca in Agricoltura e l'Analisi dell'Economia Agraria, Rome, Italy **INRA, UMR MOISA, Montpellier, France**

Context

In the case of fresh vegetable products, trade is governed by two types of SPS requisites, those protecting humans' health and those protecting plants' health. While those concerning humans are universal, those concerning plants (whether forcropsorindigenousspecies' protection) are

oftenspecific. FoodsafetystandardslikeMaximum Residual Levels (MRL) of any contaminant, apply indiscriminately to the domestic and foreign producers. The only divergence is that each country is free to adopt its own standard (Drogue and DeMaria, 2012). In the context of pest and disease management, the phytosanitary protocols are not onlysetbyeachcountryindependentlybutcanalso

be discriminating between domestic and foreign producers but also across foreign producers (Roberts and al., 1999). Moreover the level of the standard were major exporters, causing a redistribution of can vary greatly over time because a country may be affected by a pest or a disease regarding for instance favorable climatic conditions (as it is the case for the Mediterranean fly). Consequently a tightening in a partner standard may induce an

increaseinthecostofcompliancewiththestandard and affect the competitiveness of countries that the market shares (Fisher and Serra, 2000). Even if these standards have an incidental impact on trade, it is still interesting to measure it as the size of the impact can incentivize policy makers in negotiating bilateral phytosanitary requirements.

Why France & Chile?

u France and Chile are great apples exporters with very different geographical, economic and social characteristics. **u** In comparison with Chile, French producers are losing competitiveness; the reason could be due to the difficulties to comply with international phytosanitary regulations.

u France is a mature market of apples with a long history of production and consumption, while Chile is a more recent and dynamic export-oriented market, and being located in a different hemisphere apples in Chile are produced off-season.

Whyapples?

Apple is nowadays the second fruit most produced and consumed in the world after banana and before oranges and grapes. Its production evolved greatly during the last 50 years, from 17 million tons in 1961 to more than 76 million tons in 2012 (+300%). The geography of production deeply changed.

PPML Estimations

X _{ijt}	Coef.	SE		Coef.	SE		Coef.	SE	
Lngdpc _j	1.200	0.174	***	1.292	0.197	***			
Lnprod	0.294	0.272							
	-1.915	0.158	***	-2.204	0.158	***	-2.189	0.158	***
Langij	0.440	0.144	***	0.431	0.143	***	0.440	0.146	***
Border	-0.710	0.184	***	-0.802	0.191	***	-0.823	0.191	***
PS _{ij}	-0.831	1.270	**	-3.259	1.257	***	-2.939	1.257	**
EU	-2.598	1.114	***	11.598	1.103	***	11.265	1.112	***
Lngdpc i				-0.215	0.273				
Lngdp							1.207	0.195	***
Lngdp							-0.298	0.258	
N obs	2071			3637			3637		
R2	0.87			0.90			0.90		

Research Question

Our objective is that of assessing the impact on French and Chilean apples trade of sanitary and phytosanitary (SPS) regulations and concerning diseases and pest management.

PPML Estimations

	France			Chile		
X _{ijt}	Coef.	SE		Coef.	SE	
	0.880	0.112	***	0.880	0.163	***
	-1.264	0.318	***	-1.830	0.330	***
Lang	-0.994	0.363	**	1.492	0.268	***
Contia	-2.366	0.784	***	-1.948	0.174	***
PS	1.656	0.299	***	2.740	0.140	**
EU	1.331	0.487	**	-1.012	0.420	***
N obs	761			729		
R2	0.87			0.90		
						1

Methodology

PS captures the level of requirement of an importing country for each stage of its SPS regulation. 1 < PS < 2.72, it can be considered as a restrictiveness index

$$PS_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \left[\sum_{t=1}^{N} \exp\left(\frac{MaxPhyto_N - Phyto_{ijN}}{minPhyto_N - maxPhyto_N} \right) \right]$$

Here $maxPhyto_N$ is the highest grade in the sub-dimension N; $Phyto_{N}$ is the score of the requirement imposed by country/in the dimension N to the French/Chile export; $minPhyto_N$ is the lowest grade in the sub-dimension N.

The basic specification of the gravity equation in a log form can be written as follows:

 $log X_{iit} = \alpha + \beta_1 log PROD_{it} + \beta_2 log GDPc_{it} + \beta_3 Distance_{ii}$

 $+\beta_4 PS_{ii} + \beta_5 Border_{ii} + \beta_6 Language_{ii}$

 $+EU + fe_{it} + fe_{it} + fe_{ijt}$

Conclusion

Phytosanitary requirements may exert different effect on the exporting countries depending on some producer's characteristics and on their capacity to comply with the regulations. France and Chile do not facesignificantdifferencesintermsof phytosanitary restrictions when exporting to the same destination. However, France and Chileapplydifferent regulatory restriction. France belongs to the EU group that applies advantages in terms of volume of apples relatively loose regulatory restrictions, while Chile exported as in the case of the USA, Venezuela, belongs to the group of countries applying more complex regulations (DeMaria and al., 2015: 14).

We showed that France is less capable than Chile to comply with the foreign SPS regulations, suggesting that French producers need to make a greater effort to comply with the phytosanitary requirements imposed by the importing countries as USA and Asian countries. On the contrary, Chilean SPS requirements are very similar to those of importing countries. Thanks to this similarity Chile gains Russia, Brazil and some EU countries (Ireland, Germany and United Kingdom).

Database

uExports(X) are from the United Nations database on trade (COMTRADE). u Production of apple (PROD) is from the Faostat. u Gross Domestic Product (GDP) comes from World Bank Data

Indicators. u Trade costs (Distance; Language; and Borderij) from the CEPII Data on phytosanitary constraints (PS) are from different sources: the French Ministry of Agriculture dataset Exp@don, the French

regional food service (SRAL), the **Chilean Ministry of Agriculture** (SAG), the World Integrated Trade Solution (WITS), the WTO, and the International PlantProtection Convention (IPPC).

References

u APHIS USDA (2014). Treatment Manual. retrieved from http://www. aphis. usda.gov/import_export/plants/ ports/downloads/treatment.pdf **u** Drogue, S., and DeMaria, F.

(2012). Pesticide Residue and Trade, the Apple of Discord. Food Policy 37: 641-649 u DeMaria, F., Drogue, S. and

Lubello, P. (2015). Measuring cost of compliance: the case of French apples. 4th AIEAA Conference Working Paper 11-12 June Ancona, Italy. u Fischer, R. & Serra, P. (2000) Standards and protection. Journal of International Economics 2000, vol. 52, issue 2, 377-400.

u Roberts, D., Josling, T.E. and Orden, D. (1999). A Framework for Analyzing Technical Trade Barriers in Agricultural Markets. **Technical Bulletins 33560, United** States Department of Agriculture, **Economic Research Service.**



