



HAL
open science

Associations between cognitive restraint, history of weight loss diet and organic food consumption in a large population-based sample of adults

Sandrine Péneau, Kelly Virecoulon-Guidici, Julia Baudry, Caroline Méjean, Denis Lairon, Marc Bénard, Serge Hercberg, Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot

► To cite this version:

Sandrine Péneau, Kelly Virecoulon-Guidici, Julia Baudry, Caroline Méjean, Denis Lairon, et al.. Associations between cognitive restraint, history of weight loss diet and organic food consumption in a large population-based sample of adults. 42. Anniversary Meeting of the British Feeding and Drinking Group (BFDG), Apr 2018, Ecullly-Lyon, France. 1 p., 2018. hal-02786241

HAL Id: hal-02786241

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02786241>

Submitted on 4 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Associations between cognitive restraint, history of weight loss diet and organic food consumption in a large population-based sample of adults

Sandrine Péneau, Kelly Virecoulon Giudici¹, Julia Baudry¹, Caroline Méjean^{1,2}, Denis Lairon³, Marc Bénéard¹, Serge Hercberg^{1,4}, Emmanuelle Kesse-Guyot¹

¹ Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, EREN, CRESS, Inserm (U1153), INRA, CNAM, F-93017 Bobigny, France. ² INRA, UMR 1110 MOISA, F-34000 Montpellier, France ³ Aix Marseille Université, NORT ; Insem, UMR S 1062 ; Inra 1260, 13385 Marseille, France. ⁴ Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, USEN, F-93017, Bobigny, France; InVS, F-93017, Bobigny, France.

Background

- Organic food consumption has risen in many countries during the past decades, but the links with psychological factors and dieting remain unclear.
- The aim of this study was to evaluate the associations between cognitive restraint, weight loss diet history and organic food intake, in French adults.

Methods

- Participants of the NutriNet-Santé study, a large ongoing web-based prospective cohort started in France in May 2009.
- 21,516 participants (5,688 men, 15,828 women) in this cross-sectional study
- Cognitive restraint : evaluated by the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire
- Practice of weight-loss diet in the past years : assessed by an ad hoc questionnaire
- Organic food intake of 17 food groups : assessed by the Organic-Food Frequency Questionnaire.
- Logistic regression models were performed and proportions of organic food intakes out of total food intakes were compared.
- Analyses were adjusted for age, education, occupation, income, urban unit size, family situation, energy intake, total intake of the group, physical activity level, mPNNS-GS, BMI

Results

Cognitive restraint

Table 1: Multivariable logistic regression analysis showing the association between quartiles of cognitive restraint score and organic food intake in women

	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	p ¹
Fruit and vegetables	Ref	0.98 (0.86-1.11)	0.96 (0.84-1.10)	0.87 (0.76-0.99)	0.23
Fish	Ref	0.99 (0.90-1.08)	1.02 (0.93-1.12)	0.96 (0.87-1.05)	1.00
Red meat, poultry, processed meat	Ref	0.95 (0.85-1.05)	0.96 (0.86-1.08)	0.87 (0.78-0.97)	0.07
Dairy products	Ref	0.99 (0.90-1.09)	0.90 (0.81-0.99)	0.97 (0.88-1.07)	0.33
Starchy refined foods	Ref	0.99 (0.89-1.10)	0.93 (0.83-1.04)	0.93 (0.84-1.04)	0.78
Fast food	Ref	0.99 (0.91-1.09)	0.93 (0.85-1.03)	0.89 (0.81-0.98)	0.13
Fatty sweets	Ref	1.00 (0.90-1.11)	0.86 (0.77-0.96)	0.88 (0.79-0.98)	0.021
Non-fatty sweets	Ref	0.97 (0.87-1.07)	0.88 (0.79-0.97)	0.87 (0.78-0.96)	0.036
Fats	Ref	0.92 (0.83-1.02)	0.85 (0.77-0.95)	0.87 (0.78-0.96)	0.031
Non-alcoholic drinks	Ref	0.91 (0.83-1.01)	0.85 (0.77-0.95)	0.82 (0.74-0.91)	0.004
Alcoholic beverages	Ref	0.96 (0.87-1.05)	0.98 (0.89-1.09)	0.87 (0.79-0.96)	0.07

In addition

✓ Women with higher levels of cognitive restraint presented lower mean % of organic food (all food groups), compared with those with lower level of cognitive restraint

✓ Only a few association were observed in men

History of weight loss diet

Table 2: Multivariable logistic regression analysis showing the association between history of weight loss diet and organic food intake in women

	Never dieters	Dieters (past/current)	p ¹
Fruit and vegetables	Ref	0.95 (0.86-1.05)	0.60
Fish	Ref	1.03 (0.96-1.10)	0.88
Red meat, poultry, processed meat	Ref	1.00 (0.92-1.08)	0.92
Dairy products	Ref	0.99 (0.92-1.07)	1.00
Starchy refined foods	Ref	1.02 (0.94-1.11)	1.00
Fast food	Ref	0.89 (0.82-0.95)	0.004
Fatty sweets	Ref	0.93 (0.86-1.01)	0.20
Non-fatty sweets	Ref	0.91 (0.84-0.98)	0.049
Fats	Ref	0.94 (0.87-1.02)	0.31
Non-alcoholic drinks	Ref	0.91 (0.84-0.98)	0.037
Alcoholic beverages	Ref	0.90 (0.83-0.97)	0.017

In addition

✓ Women who were past or current dieters presented lower mean % of organic fast food, fatty sweets, non fatty sweets, fats, non-alcoholic and alcoholic beverages compared with non dieters

✓ No association was observed in men

Conclusion

- Overall, individuals, and in particular women, with higher cognitive restraint scores or with a history of weight loss diet consumed less organic food.
- Results suggest that it can be too complex to follow both restrictive and organic strategies at the same time.