

Coupling water fluxes with cell wall mechanics in a multicellular model of plant development: Supplementary info for the main article

Ibrahim Cheddadi, Michel Génard, Nadia Bertin, Christophe Godin

► To cite this version:

Ibrahim Cheddadi, Michel Génard, Nadia Bertin, Christophe Godin. Coupling water fluxes with cell wall mechanics in a multicellular model of plant development: Supplementary info for the main article. 2019. hal-02788248

HAL Id: hal-02788248 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02788248v1

Preprint submitted on 5 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License

Coupling water fluxes with cell wall mechanics in a multicellular model of plant development

6~ Ibrahim Cheddadi $^{\rm a,b,1}$, Michel Génard $^{\rm c}$, Nadia Bertin $^{\rm c}$, and Christophe Godin $^{\rm b,d,1}$

^a Univ. Grenoble Alpes, CNRS, Grenoble INP, TIMC-IMAG, 38000 Grenoble, France; ^b Virtual Plants, Inria, Montpellier, France; ^cPSH, INRA, Avignon, France; ^d Laboratoire Reproduction et Développement des Plantes, Univ Lyon, ENS de Lyon, UCB Lyon 1, CNRS, INRA, Inria, F-69342, Lyon, France

 $10 \ \ \, \text{This}$ manuscript was compiled on January 4, 2019

3

5

7

8

9

11 The growth of plant organs is a complex process powered by osmo-12sis that attracts water inside the cells; this influx induces simulta-13neously an elastic extension of the walls and pressure in the cells, 14called turgor pressure; above a threshold, the walls yield and the 15cells grow. Based on Lockhart's seminal work, various models of 16plant morphogenesis have been proposed, either for single cells, or 17focusing on the wall mechanical properties. However, the synergis-18 tic coupling of fluxes and wall mechanics has not yet been fully ad-19 dressed in a multicellular model. This work lays the foundations of 20such a model, by simplifying as much as possible each process and 21putting emphasis on the coupling itself. Its emergent properties are 22rich and can help to understand plant morphogenesis. In particular, 23we show that the model can display a new type of lateral inhibitory 24mechanism that could contribute to the amplification of growth het-25erogeneities, essential for shape differentiation. 26

Plant growth and morphogenesis | Biophysics | Mathematical modelling
| Emergence | Lateral inhibition

30**P** lants grow throughout their lifetime at the level of small 31 regions containing undifferentiated cells, the meristems, 32located at the extremities of their axes. Growth is powered 33 by osmosis that tends to attract water inside the cells. The 34 corresponding increase in volume leads to simultaneous tension 35 in the walls and hydrostatic pressure (so-called turgor pressure) 36 in the cells. Continuous growth occurs thanks to the yielding 37of the walls to these stretching forces [1-3]. 38

This interplay between growth, water fluxes, wall stress 39 and turgor was first modelled by Lockhart in 1965 [4], in the 40 context of a single elongating cell. Recent models focused 41 on how genes regulate growth at more integrated levels [5–9]. 4243To accompany genetic, molecular, and biophysical analyses of growing tissues, various extensions of Lockhart's model 44to multicellular tissues have been developed. The resulting 45models are intrinsically complex as they represent collections 46 from tens to thousands of cells in 2- or 3-dimensions inter-47acting with each other. To cut down the complexity, several 48approaches abstract organ multicellular structures as polygo-49 nal networks of 1D visco-elastic springs either in 2D [7, 10-12]50or in 3D [6, 13] submitted to a steady turgor pressure. Other 51approaches try to represent more realistically the structure of 52the plant walls by 2D deformable wall elements able to respond 53locally to turgor pressure by anisotropic growth [8, 14, 15]. 54

Most of these approaches consider turgor as a constant 55driving force for growth, explicitly or implicitly assuming 56 57 that fluxes occur much faster than wall synthesis. Cells then regulate the tissue deformations by locally modulating the 58material structure of their walls (stiffness and anisotropy) 59 [6, 16-20]. However, the situation in real plants is more 60 complex: turgor heterogeneity has been observed at cellular 61 level [21, 22], which challenges the assumption of very fast 62

fluxes. As a matter of fact, the relative importance of fluxes or wall mechanics as limiting factors to growth has fuelled a long standing debate [3, 23] and is still an open question. Moreover, from a physical point of view, pressure is a dynamic quantity that permanently adjusts to both mechanical and hydraulic constraints, which implies that a consistent representation of turgor requires to model both wall mechanics and hydraulic fluxes.

The aim of this article is to explore the potential effect of coupling mechanical and hydraulic processes on the properties of the "living material" that corresponds to multicellular populations of plant cells. To this end, we build a model that describes in a simple manner wall mechanics and cell structure, but do not compromise on the inherent complexity of considering a collection of deformable object hydraulically and mechanically connected.

The article is organized as follows (see Fig. 1): we first recall the Lockhart-Ortega model and its main properties. Then we explore two simple extensions of this model: first we relax the constraint of uniaxial growth in the case of a single polygonal cell; then we study how two cells hydraulically connected interact with each other. Finally we describe our multicellular and multidimensional model and numerically explore its properties.

Significance Statement

Plant cells are surrounded by a rigid wall that prevents cell displacements and rearrangements as in animal tissues. Therefore, plant morphogenesis relies only on cell divisions, shape changes, and local modulation of growth rate. It has long been recognized that cell growth relies on the competition between osmosis that tends to attract water into the cells and wall mechanics that resists to it, but this interplay has never been fully explored in a multicellular model. The goal of this work is to analyze the theoretical consequences of this coupling. We show that the emergent behavior is rich and complex: among other findings, pressure and growth rate heterogeneities are predicted without any ad-hoc assumption; furthermore the model can display a new type of lateral inhibition based on fluxes that could complement and strengthen the efficiency of already known mechanisms.

This study was initiated by C.G., M.G., and N.B. I.C. designed the model with the help of C.G. and M.G, performed the mathematical calculations with the help of C.G., designed the resolution algorithm, implemented it, ran simulations, and explored the parameters space. I.C. and C.G. analyzed the results and wrote the manuscript with inputs from other authors.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

¹To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: ibrahim.cheddadi@univ-grenoblealpes.fr, christophe.godin@inria.fr 11



143Fig. 1. Hierarchy of models presented in this article. Main variables are turgor P and
elastic deformation ε^e . a) Lockhart-Ortega model: uniaxial growth in the x direction
of a cylindrical cell of length l; the section perpendicular to x is a square of side h. b)145of a cylindrical cell of length l; the section perpendicular to x is a square of side h. b)146two cells extension, both growing along x; c) 2D extension of a single cell growth; d)147Multicellular, multidimensional model; left: fluxes, right: mechanical equilibrium; the
stress σ is proportionnal to the elastic deformation ε^e ; E is the elastic modulus.

150 The Lockhart model

149

161

162

163

In 1965, Lockhart [4] derived the elongation of a cylindrical plant cell by coupling osmosis-based fluxes and visco-plastic wall mechanics. Ortega [24] extended this seminal model to include the elastics properties of the cell walls. We recall here the main properties of this model, see Fig. 1a for the geometrical configuration.

158 **Cell wall elongation.** It is expressed as a rheological law [4, 24]: 159 the total strain rate of the walls $\dot{\varepsilon}$ is decomposed into the sum 160 of a plastic and an elastic strain rate:

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \phi^w (P - P^Y)_+ + \frac{1}{\bar{E}} \frac{\mathrm{d}P}{\mathrm{d}t}, \qquad [1]$$

164 where the extensibility ϕ^w (inverse of a viscosity) describes 165 the ability of the cell to synthesize wall material, and \overline{E} is an 166 effective elastic modulus. Here, ϕ^w and \overline{E} both depend on cell 167 wall thickness. The notation $(x)_+$ denotes x if x > 0 and 0 168 otherwise for any real number x.

170 Water uptake. Lockhart described water uptake by the cell as 171 a flux through a semi-permeable membrane characterized by 172 its surface A and its permeability L^a . Assuming the membrane 173 is perfectly impermeable to solutes, the rate of volume change 174 is the result of a difference between the water potential Ψ of 175 the cell and Ψ_{ext} of its exterior [25]:

 $\begin{array}{c} 176 \\ 177 \end{array}$

178

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}t} = AL^a \left(\Psi_{ext} - \Psi\right), \qquad [2]$$

The cell water potential $\Psi = P - \pi$ results from the antagonistic 179effect of the cell hydrostatic pressure P that tends to expel 180water from the cell and its osmotic pressure π that tends to 181attract water inside the cell. In the case of a single solute of 182concentration c, we have $\pi = RTc$ where R is the ideal gas 183constant and T the temperature. Let us denote $\phi^a = \frac{AL^a}{V}$ 184which has the same dimension as ϕ^w . Assuming that the fluxes 185occur mostly on the lateral surface, the ratio A/V is constant 186

in the configuration of a cylindrical cell. After division by V, 187 Eq. (2) turns into: 188

$$\dot{\gamma} = \phi^a \left(P^M - P \right). \tag{3} 190$$

where $P^M = \Psi_{ext} + \pi$ quantifies the power of the osmotic pump: 192 it is positive if π is high enough to overcome the negative water 193 potential of the exterior of the cell. Growth ($\dot{\gamma} > 0$) implies 194 $P < P^M$ and hence P^M is an upper bound for turgor, above 195 which the cell would lose water to the exterior. The additional 196 condition for growth $P > P^Y$ (see above) requires $P^M > P^Y$: 197 growth is possible only when the osmotic pump is able to 198 overcome the mechanical resistance of the walls. 199

In order to keep the analysis as simple as possible, we take 200 here and in the remaining of the article P^M constant with 201 time and homogeneous among the cells, which corresponds 202 for instance to constant π and Ψ_{ext} . This choice will be 203 commented in the discussion section. 204 205

Coupling hydraulics and mechanics for a single cell. Equating 206the expressions of strain rate $\dot{\varepsilon}$ from Eq. (1) and relative 207growth rate $\dot{\gamma}$ from Eq. (3) ensures that the requirements for 208water uptake and yield of the cell wall are simultaneously 209satisfied. This means that turgor P, that is present in both 210equations, has to be adjusted to satisfy both hydraulic and 211mechanical constraints. The resolution of the model is detailed 212in Supplementary Information (SI), Eqs. (S3)-(S4). The time 213dependent solutions can be analytically determined and we 214find that P and $\dot{\gamma}$ converge towards a stationary solution 215 $(P^*, \dot{\gamma}^*)$: first, P^* writes 216

$$P^* = \alpha^a P^M + (1 - \alpha^a) P^Y, \qquad [4] \frac{217}{218}$$

where

$$a^{a} = \frac{\phi^{a}}{\phi^{a} + \phi^{w}} \in [0, 1]$$
 [5] 220
222

measures the relative importance of ϕ^a compared to ϕ^w . In 223 the limit $\phi^a \ll \phi^w$ ($\alpha^a = 0$), any excess of turgor above the 224 threshold is relaxed by cell wall synthesis and turgor is minimal 225 at $P = P^Y$. Conversely, in the limit $\phi^w \ll \phi^a$ ($\alpha^a = 1$), the 226 wall synthesis is not able to relax turgor, which reaches then 227 its maximal value $P = P^M$. Second, the expression of the 228 relative growth rate is: 229

0

$$\dot{\gamma}^* = \frac{\phi^a \phi^w}{\phi^a + \phi^w} (P^M - P^Y), \qquad [6] \quad \begin{array}{c} 230\\ 231\\ 232 \end{array}$$

233

219

or equivalently: $P^M - P^Y = \left(\frac{1}{\phi^a} + \frac{1}{\phi^w}\right)\dot{\gamma}^*$. This equation is the analog of Ohm's law $\Delta U = (R_1 + R_2)I$ with two resistors $R_1 = 1/\phi^a$ and $R_2 = 1/\phi^w$ in series: growth can be limited by either hydraulic conductivity or wall synthesis. 237

Link with wall rheology. Wall expansion law (Eq. (1)) can be equivalently described as a function of wall stress σ rather than cell turgor P: in the cylindrical geometry of the Lockhart-Ortega model, we find (see SI for the calculations) $P = 2\frac{w}{h}\sigma$, 242 where w is the width of the walls and h their height. Thanks to this relation, Eq. (1) translates into $\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{E}\frac{d\sigma}{dt} + \Phi^w(\sigma - 244$ $\sigma^Y)_+$, where $E = \frac{h}{2w}\bar{E}$ (resp. $\Phi^w = \frac{2w}{h}\phi^w$) is the intrinsic elastic modulus (resp. extensibility) of the walls. Let $\varepsilon^e = 246$ σ/E be the so-called elastic deformation of the walls. It is dimensionless and can be measured from the image analysis 248

of experiments, without the knowledge of the elastic modulus.The wall rheology is then described as follows:

$$\dot{\varepsilon} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\varepsilon^e}{\mathrm{d}t} + \Phi^w E(\varepsilon^e - \varepsilon^Y)_+,$$
 [7]

254 where $\varepsilon^Y = \sigma^Y / E$ is the threshold elastic deformation. Note 255 that $\frac{1}{\Phi^w E}$ can be interpreted as the characteristic time of wall 256 synthesis. 257

$^{258}_{259}$ Multidimensional and multicellular models

A multicellular extension of the Lockhart-Ortega model adapted to the study of morphogenesis requires first to relax the constraint of uniaxial growth and allow multidimensional geometries, and second is complexified by the possibility of fluxes between cells. We study separately the effect of each of these extensions before presenting the complete model.

266First extension: Multidimensional growth. In order to keep the 267analysis as simple as possible, we study here the expansion 268of a single 2D cell whose shape is a regular polygon with n269edges (see Fig. 1c). This model allows to evaluate the effect 270of a varying surface/volume ratio compared to the Lockhart-271Ortega model where this ratio is constant. The fluxes are 272described in the same way as for Lockhart's model (Eq. (2)) 273but wall synthesis is described with Eq. (7), as a function 274of elastic deformation instead of turgor. We find (see SI for 275detailed calculations) that the relation between cell turgor and wall stress becomes $P = \frac{w}{R\cos(\pi/n)}\sigma$ where R is the cell radius. 276277In contrast with the Lockhart-Ortega model, the ratio P/σ is 278279no more constant as cell grows, and the turgor vanishes at long times if the stress remains in the order of magnitude of the 280threshold. Note also that for a given stress the turgor decreases 281282with the number of edges n. Therefore, the yield turgor P^{Y} depends both on n and R and is not a well defined parameter. 283284 It suggests also that cells with less neighbours should have a 285higher turgor, as experimentally observed in [21, 22].

286The prediction of growth rate requires a numerical reso-287 lution of the model (see SI). The parameters are chosen to 288ensure a turgor of the order of 0.5 MPa and a relative growth rate of the order of 2% per hour, using the predictions Eq. (4) 289290and Eq. (6). First let's examine the case of a cell of initial radius $R = 10 \mu m$ for which wall synthesis is the limiting factor 291292to growth (case $\alpha^a = 0.9$ in SI, fig. S2). We find that it results 293initially in an accelerating growth (the bigger the cell, the 294faster the growth), much faster than predicted by the Lock-295hart model, during which the elastic deformation of the walls 296can reach values up to 20%. The ratio area/surface = 1/R297decreases with growth and there is less and less water available 298compared to the volume; as a consequence, the relative growth rate vanishes at long times after this initial accelerating phase. 299300 In the case where the fluxes are already limiting in the initial 301 state (case $\alpha^a = 0.1$ in SI, Fig. S2), the initial behaviour is 302 closer to the predictions of the Lockhart model but the relative 303 growth rate still vanishes at long times.

304 Altogether, these results show that a non constant sur-305 face/volume ratio deeply modifies the behavior of the model 306 compared to the Lockhart model. In particular, flux and wall 307 synthesis as limiting factors fro growth are no more equivalent. 308

309 **Second extension: Multicellular growth.** Then, we study a sim-310 ple multicellular extension of the Lockhart-Ortega model where two cylindrical cells i = 0, 1 are in contact through one of their 311 wall (see Fig. 1b). The cells can absorb water from their lateral 312 surface and in the meantime exchange water with each other 313 through their common wall. We look for stationary solutions: 314 $\frac{dP_i}{dt} = 0$ and $\frac{1}{V_i} \frac{dV_i}{dt} = \text{Cst.}$ 315

We set for both cells a common value of P^M , L^a and 316 ϕ^w , while the value of the yield turgors P_i^Y can differ; this 317318corresponds for instance to a heterogeneity of wall elastic 319modulus or yield deformation. For the sake of convenience, we 320 refer to fluxes between cells as symplasmic fluxes, characterized 321by a water conductivity L^s , and to fluxes from the water source 322as apoplasmic fluxes, characterized by a water conductivity 323 L^{a} . Assuming that the symplasmic fluxes occur through 324plasmodesmata that are permeable to both water and solutes, 325the flux equation writes 326

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = A_i L_i^a (P^M - P_i) + A_{01} L^s (P_j - P_i),$$

where j = 1 - i, and A_{01} is the surface of the common wall of cells 0 and 1. We introduce the number $\phi^s = 2A_{01}L^s/V_i$ 330 which has the same dimension as ϕ^a and ϕ^w . In order to allow 331 an analytical resolution of this set of equations, we assume ϕ^s 332 to be constant with time, and consider it in this section as a parameter of the model. Thus, we have 334

$$_{i} = \phi^{a} \left(P^{M} - P_{i} \right) + \frac{\phi^{s}}{2} (P_{j} - P_{i}).$$
 [8] $\begin{array}{c} 335\\ 336\\ 337 \end{array}$

We introduce the dimensionless number

P

$$\alpha^s = \frac{\phi^s}{\phi^s + \phi^a} \in [0, 1]$$

$$340$$

$$341$$

327

328

338

330

342

343

344

345

346

which represents the relative importance of symplastic fluxes with respect to apoplastic ones. We combine this flux equation with the growth equation Eq. (1) and find analytical solutions for any values of the parameters (see SI). We use here the following set of control parameters:

$$P^{M}_{i}, P^{Y}_{i}, \dot{\gamma}^{*}_{0}, \alpha^{a}, \alpha^{s},$$
 347
348

349 and fix the value $\dot{\gamma}_0^* = 2\% \cdot \mathbf{h}^{-1}$; this way, the parameters space to explore is reduced to $(P^M, P^Y, \alpha^a, \alpha^s)$. When $\alpha^s = 0$, 350 351the cells are completely isolated one from another and reach 352turgors P_i^* and growth rates $\dot{\gamma}_i^*$ as predicted by the Lockhart 353model (Eq. (4) and Eq. (6)). In particular, the condition 354 $P^M > P_i^Y$ ensures that each cell is growing. When $\alpha^s > 0$, 355the fluxes between cells modify this behaviour. We restrict to the case $P_0^Y < P_1^Y < P^M$, which corresponds to less 356 357 mechanical constraints on cell 0 than cell 1; therefore we can 358 expect $P_1 > P_0$ and $\dot{\gamma}_1 < \dot{\gamma}_0$. The calculations show a complex 359non linear behaviour that is illustrated in Fig. 2, in which 360the parameters subspace (α^a, α^s) is explored for given values 361 of P_i^Y and P^M (detailed calculations are provided in SI). Let 362 $\Delta P^Y = P_1^Y - P_0^Y > 0$ be the difference of the two yield turgors and $\bar{P}^Y = 0.5(P_0^Y + P_1^Y)$ their average; we also introduce the 363 364 dimensionless number 365

$$\rho = \frac{\Delta P^Y}{2(P^M - \bar{P}^Y)}.$$
[9] $\begin{array}{c} 366\\ 367\\ 368\end{array}$

Note that the hypothesis $P_0^Y < P_1^Y < P^M$ is equivalent to $\rho \in]0, 1[.$ 370

We find that the subspace (α^a, α^s) can be divided in two 371 main regions separated by the curve $\alpha^s = \frac{1-\rho}{1-\alpha^a}$ (see Fig. 2a): 372

surprisingly, in the region $\alpha^s > \frac{1-\rho}{1-\alpha^a}$, only cell 0 is growing 373 374 $(\dot{\gamma}_0 > 0, \dot{\gamma}_1 = 0, \text{ and equivalently } P_0 > P_0^Y, P_1 < P_1^Y).$ Hence, 375the growth of cell 1 is inhibited by fluxes with cell 0. Conversely, in the region $\alpha^s < \frac{1-\rho}{1-\alpha^a}$ both cells are growing $(\dot{\gamma}_i > 0 \text{ and} equivalently <math>P_i > P_i^Y)$. The size of the region $\alpha^s > \frac{1-\rho}{1-\alpha^a}$ 376377 378 increases with ρ and fills the whole square $[0,1] \times [0,1]$ when 379 $\rho \to 1$; such values can be reached when ΔP^Y is large and / 380 or P^{M} is close to \bar{P}^{Y} .

381More quantitatively, Figs. 2d-e) show that $\dot{\gamma}_1$ is always 382below $\dot{\gamma}_1^*$, while $\dot{\gamma}_0$ is always above $\dot{\gamma}_0^*$ and can reach up to 383twice this value. Furthermore, maximal values of $\dot{\gamma}_0$ coincide 384with minimal values of $\dot{\gamma}_1$: this confirms quantitatively that 385the growth of the cell with less favorable mechanical condition 386 is slowed down if not inhibited by the growth of its neighbour. 387 This shows also that the growth rate heterogeneity is amplified 388by fluxes.

389Turgor heterogeneity is also affected by fluxes (see Figs. 2b-390 c): when α^s is close to zero, the cells are hydraulically isolated 391and their turgors vary with α^a as predicted by Lockhart model 392(Eq. (4)), this is where the turgor heterogeneity is maximal. 393Conversely, when α^s is close to 1, there is no hydraulic re-394sistance between the two cells and the two turgors are equal. 395Between these two limits, P_0 is only slightly affected and re-396 mains in the $[P_0^Y, P^M]$ interval; conversely, P_1 is dramatically 397 affected as it shifts from the interval $[P_1^Y, P^M]$ when $\alpha^s = 0$ to 398 the interval $[P_0^Y, P^M]$ when $\alpha^s = 1$. Therefore, as $P_0^Y < P_1^Y$, 399 there is a region where $P_1 < P_1^Y$ which corresponds to the 400 region $\alpha^s > \frac{1-\rho}{1-\alpha^a}$, where cell 1 is not growing. 401

Finally, we have seen that intercellular fluxes tend to in-402crease (resp. decrease) growth rate (resp. turgor) hetero-403 geneities; the cell with less mechanical constraints takes con-404trol over the other one and imposes its turgor, which can lead 405 the other one to stop growing. The growing cell then benefits 406from the water resources of the other cell and its growth is all 407the more increased. 408

409Generalization: a multidimensional and multicellular model 410of growth. We consider (see Fig. 1d) a collection of N cells 411that form a (non necessarily regular) 2D mesh with a fixed 412topology (distribution of neighbours) as is the case with plant 413tissues when no division occurs. 414

The cell walls rheology is described by the visco-elasto-415plastic law (Eq. (7)) of the Ortega model and the fluxes toward 416a cell i are described as in the simple multicellular model 417presented above: 418

$$419 \\ 420$$

422

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}V_i}{\mathrm{d}t} = A_i L_i^a (P^M - P_i) + \sum_{j \in n(i)} A_{ij} L_{ij}^s (P_j - P_i), \qquad [1]$$

0

where n(i) is the set of neighbours of cell *i*, A_{ij} is the area 423of the common wall with cell j, L_{ij}^s its permeability (it is 424symmetric: $L_{ij}^s = L_{ji}^s$, and L_i^s is the permeability of the 425lateral walls to the supply of water. 426

The last missing part to obtain a closed set of equation is 427 428the mechanical equilibrium, that allows to link cells turgors, walls tensions, and geometry. Contrary to the cases studied 429above, no explicit expression of turgors as a function of stresses 430can be obtained and the equilibrium has to be solved at each 431time step. Let P_i be the turgor pressure in each cell *i*. The 432tissue being at every moment in a quasi-static equilibrium, 433pressure forces on wall edges and elastic forces within walls 434

balance exactly at each vertex v:

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{k\in f(v)}\Delta_k P \ S_k \boldsymbol{n}_k + \sum_{k\in f(v)} E_k \varepsilon_k^e s_k \boldsymbol{e}_{k,v} = 0, \qquad [11] \quad \begin{array}{c} 436\\437\\438\end{array}$$

439where f(v) is the set of faces adjacent to junction v, 440 $\Delta_k P = P_{k_1} - P_{k_2}$ is the pressure jump across face k, with 441 $k_1 < k_2$ being indices of the cells across face $k, S_k = hl_k$ is 442the area of the face k on which pressure is exerted, n_k is the 443normal vector to face k, oriented from cell k_1 to cell k_2 , and 444 $s_k = hw$ is the cross-section area of the face, on which the 445 elastic stress is exerted; finally, $e_{k,v}$ is the unit vector in the 446 direction of face k, oriented from junction v to the other end 447 of face k. 448

Coupling mechanical and hydraulic models. In the Lockhart- 449 Ortega model, the compatibility between wall enlargement 450451and cell volume variation is automatically enforced through 452the geometrical constraint of uni-directional growth that leads 453to the identity between the relative growth rate of the cell and 454the strain rate of the walls. In contrast, in the multicellular 455model, this identity is no longer true. One has to solve the 456closed set of equations Eq. (7)-Eq. (10)-Eq. (11) with respect to the unknowns X, P, and ε^e . 457

458Despite its apparent simplicity, the problem to be solved 459is not straightforward as water fluxes induce potentially long range interactions. In this respect, it differs from most vertex-460based models (e.g [11, 26]) where turgor is an input of the 461model. The numerical resolution required the development 462of an original algorithm (see SI) implemented in an in-house 463464 code. 465

Numerical experiments: growth of primordia in the shoot api-466 cal meristem (SAM). The properties of this model cannot be 467as thoroughly studied as those of the simpler models presented 468 above, first because of the numerical cost of the resolution, 469 but above all because it allows an infinite variety of geometries 470 and spatial distribution of its parameters. We present here a 471 numerical experiment that illustrates on the one hand how the 472 properties of the simple multidimensional and multicellular 473 submodels are combined in the generalized model; in turn the 474 study of these models helps us to anticipate the properties 475of the generalized model. And on the other hand, we show 476 that this model is readily applicable to the study of systems 477 of biological interest. 478

Growth heterogeneities can be triggered by the local mod- 479 ulation of the mechanical properties of the cell walls [27]. In 480 SAMs, new organs are initiated by a local increase in growth 481 rate that leads to the appearance of small bumps. Measure-482ments show that physico-chemical properties of walls are mod-483 ified so that mechanical anisotropy and elastic modulus are 484 decreased. In our 2D model, we can explore what effect a 485local softening of the walls has on growth rate and turgor 486 heterogeneities; based on our previous analysis of the model 487in simple configurations, we expect that the growth hetero- 488 geneities will be maximal for parameters such that the growth 489 is restricted by fluxes rather than wall synthesis (low α^a), 490 cell-cell conductivity is large, and the walls deformations are 491 just above the growth threshold, which can be enforced by a 492 low value of the osmotic pressure (yet large enough to ensure 493 growth). The set of parameters (REF) is chosen according 494 to these criteria; then we explore the effect of a higher α^a 495((ALPHA+) set) and lower cell-cell conductivity ((CC-) set) 496

that should both decrease the growth heterogeneities, and also
test the effect of a lower osmotic pressure ((PM-) set) that
should conversely increase the growth heterogeneity. See table
1 in SI for the values of the parameters corresponding to these
sets and SI for more precise explanations.

502We build a mesh made primarily of hexagons (see Fig. 3a) 503and first let it grow with homogeneous parameters until the 504elastic regime ends and plastic growth occurs. Then we di-505vide by two the elastic modulus of a small group of cells 506(marked with a white star in Fig. 3a) that will be referred to 507as "bump cells" thereafter. All the details of the computations 508are presented in SI. First, Fig. 3b shows that the multicellular 509system grows globally in the same way as the single hexagonal 510cell studied above; it diverges from the Lockhart predictions 511because the ratio A/V of the cells is not constant: the (AL-512PHA+) simulations exhibit a very large initial growth rate 513that decreases only when the cells are so large that water 514fluxes become limiting. The (PM-) set leads to a roughly 515twice lower growth rate than (REF). The set (CC-) leads to 516the same dynamics at the tissue level as (REF), because the 517total influx of water is not affected by fluxes between cells in 518this setup.

519Then we turn to the observation of heterogeneities: we focus 520on the differences between the bump region and the rest of the 521tissue. For all the parameters sets, Fig. 3c shows that turgor 522is in general lower in bump cells, but the gap varies depending 523on the parameters, as it has been predicted by the study of 524the two-cells model: compared to (REF), the heterogeneity 525in turgor is increased by a lower cell-cell conductivity (set 526CC-), and decreased by a larger value of α^a (set ALPHA+). 527Decreasing the value of P^M (set PM-) does not alter much 528the turgor heterogeneity compared to (REF). The maps of 529turgor (Figs. 3e,g,i,k) confirm visually these observations. 530

Fig. 3d shows the time evolution of $\dot{\gamma}/\dot{\gamma}^*$ where $\dot{\gamma}^*$ is the 531relative growth rate predicted by the Lockhart model (see 532Eq. (6)); its value is 2% h⁻¹ for (REF), (CC-) and (ALPHA+), 533and 0.5% h⁻¹ for (PM-). In the considered time frame, the 534relative growth rate of bump cells is always higher except for 535(ALPHA+): after an initial fast increase where bump cells 536grow faster, the tendency is inversed at $t \approx 20$ because the 537bump cells have grown so much that fluxes become limiting. In 538the (REF) simulation, while the growth rate of non bump cells 539is almost constant and close to $\dot{\gamma}^*$, the growth rate of the bump 540cells is up to 6 times $\dot{\gamma}^*$ at the beginning of the simulation and 541progressively decreases toward $\dot{\gamma}^*$. As a result of this large 542543discrepancy, the bump region can be clearly distinguished from 544the rest of the tissue (Figs. 3e-f). In (CC-), the growth rate of the non bump cells is close to that of (REF), but the growth 545rate of the bump cells is much lower (Fig. 3d). As a result, 546the global shape remains convex and the bump is not clearly 547detached from the rest of the tissue (Figs. 3i-j). Note that 548(CC-) corresponds to a lower value of α^s compared to (REF), 549550which corresponded to a lower growth heterogeneity with the two-cells model studied above; this is also confirmed by the 551lower cell-cell fluxes towards the bump cells for (CC-), see 552the arrows in Figs. 3e,i. The (ALPHA+) simulation exhibits 553also a convex shape (Fig. 3k-1); it corresponds to a larger 554value of α^a than (REF), and similarly to the two-cells model 555studied above, the growth rate heterogeneity is lower than 556(REF). Finally, the set (PM-) corresponds to an increase of 557the dimensionless parameter ρ (see Eq. (9)), and accordingly 558

to an increase in growth rate heterogeneity as can be seen 559 with Fig. 3d. Consequently, the bump region can clearly 560 distinguished from the rest of the tissue, even better than 561 (REF) (Fig. 3g-h); moreover, the growth of the cells close to 562 the bump seems to be inhibited by fluxes as explained in the 563 two-cells model described above and further explored below. 564

565Flux-based lateral inhibition predicted by the model. As we saw, 566cells that benefit from better mechanical conditions for growth 567(in the present case a lower elastic modulus) have a lower turgor 568than the other cells, and therefore attract water from them. 569Not only does it amplify their growth but it also inhibits 570the growth of their neighbours. Such a lateral inhibition 571mechanism is important for morphogenesis, as it allows very 572large growth rate heterogeneities and the appearance of well 573differentiated shapes (in the present case the appearance of a 574bump on the surface of the meristem). The efficiency of this 575mechanism varies depending on the position in the parameters 576space: for instance it is increased if the cell-cell conductivity 577 L^{s} (or equivalently α^{s}) is increased (see Fig. 4a-d); even 578the whole tissue can be inhibited. Inhibited cells can also 579relax the tension of their walls and decrease their volume (see 580Fig. 4a). To further explore and quantify the spatial range of 581this inhibition process, we extended our two-cells model (see 582SI for detailed equations) to a chain of 2N + 1 cells where 583the central cell has twice softer walls. We numerically solved 584the corresponding system of differential equations for the set 585(REF) and then for a large range of values of L^s . Fig. 4e shows 586that the number $2N_i$ of inhibited cells scales with $\sqrt{L^s}$. We 587computed the prefactor c (such that $N_i \approx c\sqrt{L^s}$) for values 588of $(\alpha^a, P^M) \in [0.05, 0.35] \times [0.51, 0.85]$ (the interval for P^M is 589in MPa) and plotted its value in the (α^a, P^M) space (Fig. 4f). 590 This shows that the inhibition is favored by low values of α^a 591and $P^M - P^Y$. 592

Discussion

A minimal model with a complex and rich behavior. The model 595proposed in this article is a minimal multicellular and multidi-596mensional extension of the Lockhart 1-D single cell model; it 597 can be regarded as a conceptual tool to study the interplay 598between fluxes and wall mechanics in a multicellular tissue. 599Wall expansion is modeled with a visco-elasto-plastic rheolog-600 ical law, while fluxes derive from water potential gradients. 601 These two contributions are integrated into the mechanical 602 equilibrium and interact through the pressure term. Contrary 603 to most previous approaches, turgor is not an input of the 604 model but a variable that adjusts simultaneously to mechani-605 cal, hydraulic, and geometrical constraints. First of all, this 606 leads to a physically consistent representation of turgor: for 607 instance, the model predicts that cells with softer walls have 608 a lower turgor. Moreover, this has deep implications at tissue 609 level: in the previous example, lower turgor is associated with 610 a faster growth which can be itself amplified by fluxes that 611 follow decreasing pressure gradients. 612

Thanks to the simplicity of the model, the predicted behav-613 ior can be analyzed and interpreted with two submodels built 614 from the Lockhart model: first, a 1-D multicellular submodel 615 was build with two or more side-by-side cells; it was used to 616 study the growth of competing cells with heterogeneous prop-617 erties. Key ingredients here are the wall synthesis threshold, 618 the fact that fluxes and growth can relax turgor, and cell to 619cell fluxes that allow long range interactions. Second, in a 620

593

1-D system, cells are considered essentially as cylinders and their surface-to-volume ratio is constant. We thus extended also the Lockhart model in two dimensions, where cells have more degree of freedom to change their shape. In particular their allometric surface-to-volume ratio may then vary. This new possibility induces additional complexity in the tissue development as the rate of growth of cell surfaces may become a limiting factor for growing cells.

A potentially new type of lateral inhibition mechanism. Depend-ing on mechanical and hydraulic parameters of tissue regions, the model exhibits different growth regimes corresponding to either uniform or differential growth. One unexpected conse-quence of such an hydraulic-mechanical coupling at the tissue level is the observation that in certain regions of the parameter space where cell-to-cell hydraulic exchanges are non-limiting, growing tissue may exert an inhibiting influence on the growth of neighboring regions. This may be interpreted as a lateral inhibition mechanism. It has for long been recognized that lateral inhibitory mechanisms play a key role in setting some morphogenetic patterns in procaryotes (e.g. [28]), animals (e.g. [29, 30]) or plants (e.G. [31, 32]). Lateral inhibition operates in these systems via chemical signals, such as delta-notch in animals or auxin in plants. Our model predicts the existence of a novel type of lateral inhibition mechanism based on the coupling between mechanics and water fluxes. Previous obser-vations of tissue growth suggest that such a phenomenon may occur in real tissues. In the shoot apical meristem for instance, detailed quantification of growth with cellular resolution indi-cates that the region surrounding primordia growth may have a negative growth rate [33], Figs. 2G and 3K. According to our model, this decrease of volume in boundary regions might be due to the primordium growth attracting locally most of the water supply and depriving lateral regions from water, and thus conforts the hypothesis of a new hydraulic-mechanical component of primordium lateral inhibition, beyond already identified auxin and cytokinin signals [34].

Model simplifications and further potential extensions. Through-out the development of the model, we made several key choices concerning the abstraction of a multicellular plant tissue. First, our model was developed in 2-D for reasons of computational efficiency. In principle, it can be extended in 3-D, though at the expense of more complex formalism and implementation. Second, the current model considers that water transport is performed in the plant tissue through two conceptually differ-ent pathways ([1]). Water can first move within the apoplastic compartment between the cells and finally enter a cell. Water can also move locally from cell to cell. This movement includes itself conceptually both symplasmic movements (water circu-lates between cells through plasmodesmata without crossing membranes) and movements from cell to cell with intermedi-ate steps in the wall (water is for example exported locally out of the cell by water transporters like aquaporins into the wall and immediately re-imported by water transporters into neighboring cells). For sake of simplicity in this first analysis, we represented the apoplasm as a single abstract compartment able to exchange water with every cell. To analyze precisely the effect of water transporters and their genetic regulation or to assess the impact of wall resistance to water movement in the processes, explicit spatial representation of the apoplasm, of plasmodesmata and of membrane water transporters could be integrated into the model in the future.

Finally, we considered a simplified situation here by impos-ing constant cell osmolarity. Allowing osmolarity variations (for instance higher values in faster growing regions) may impact turgor distribution (e.g. [35]). However, this should not affect the ability of the system to build up growth het-erogeneities. Similarly, we further simplified our model by keeping constant the apoplastic water potential. Relaxing this hypothesis would increase cell-cell water fluxes (via the apoplasm) and could also shift the model in the direction of the flux-limiting regime. This would therefore favor regimes where growth heterogeneities are amplified by fluxes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. This work has been carried out within 6 the context of the project MecaFruit3D funded by the Agropolis 6 foundation in Montpellier, France. 6

| | | | | 807 |
|-----|--|------------|---|-----|
| | | | | 808 |
| | | | | 809 |
| 1. | Cosgrove D (1986) Biophysical control of plant cell growth. <u>Ann Rev Plant Physio</u> 37:377- 405 | 19 | mation at the Shoot Meristem in Arabidopsis. <u>Curr Biol</u> 24(19):2335–2342. Jensen OF Fozard JA (2015) Multiscale models in the biomechanics of plant growth | 810 |
| 2. | Kutschera U (1991) Regulation of cell expansion. The cytoskeletal basis of plant growth and | | Physiology 30(2):159–166. | 811 |
| • | <u>form pp. 85–99.</u> | 20. | Cosgrove DJ (2018) Diffuse growth of plant cell walls. <u>Plant Physiol</u> 176:16–27. | 812 |
| 3. | arowth. New Phytol 124(1):1–23. | 21. | Natl Acad Sci USA 106:8453–8458. | 813 |
| 4. | Lockhart JA (1965) An analysis of irreversible plant cell elongation. <u>J Theor Biol</u> 8:264–275. | 22. | Long Y et al. (2018) Cellular heterogeneity in pressure and growth emerges from tissue | 814 |
| 5. | Coen E, Rolland-Lagan AG, Matthews M, Bangham JA, Prusinkiewicz P (2004) The genetics | | topology and geometry. <u>bioRxiv</u> p. 334664. | 815 |
| 6. | Hamant O et al. (2008) Developmental Patterning by Mechanical Signals in Arabidopsis. | 23. | 73:311–316. | 816 |
| | Science 322(5908):1650-1655. | 24. | Ortega JKE (1985) Augmented growth equation for cell wall expansion. Plant Physiol 79:318- | 817 |
| 7. | Alim K, Hamant O, Boudaoud A (2012) Regulatory role of cell division rules on tissue growth | 25 | 320. Nobel PS (1970) Introduction to biophysical plant physiology. No. 591 1 N6 | 818 |
| 8. | Boudon F et al. (2015) A Computational Framework for 3D Mechanical Modeling of Plant | 25. 26. | Dupuy L, MacKenzie J, Rudge T, Haseloff J (2008) A system for modelling cell-cell interac- | 819 |
| | Morphogenesis with Cellular Resolution. PLOS Comput Biol 11(1):e1003950-16. | | tions during plant morphogenesis. Ann Bot 101(8):1255-1265. | 820 |
| 9. | Bidhendi AJ, Geitmann A (2016) Relating the mechanics of the primary plant cell wall to morphogenesis Exp Bot 67(2):449-461 | 27. | Kierzkowski D et al. (2012) Elastic domains regulate growth and organogenesis in the plant shoot anical meristem. Science 335(6072):1096–1099 | 821 |
| 10. | Dupuy L, Mackenzie JP, Haseloff JP (2006) <u>A biomechanical model for the study of plant</u> | 28. | Yoon HS, Golden JW (1998) Heterocyst pattern formation controlled by a diffusible peptide. | 822 |
| | morphogenesis: Coleocheate orbicularis, a 2D study species. | | Science 282(5390):935–938. | 823 |
| 11. | Merks RMH, Guravage M, Inz e D, Beemster GTS (2011) VirtualLeat: An Open-Source Framework for Cell-Based Modeling of Plant Tissue Growth and Development. Plant Physiol | 29. | Sternberg PW (1988) Lateral inhibition during vulval induction in Caenorhabditis elegans. Nature 335(6190):551–554. | 824 |
| | 155(2):656–666. | 30. | Baker NE, Mlodzik M, Rubin GM (1990) Spacing differentiation in the developing Drosophila | 825 |
| 12. | Dyson RJ et al. (2014) Mechanical modelling quantifies the functional importance of outer | | eye: a fibrinogen-related lateral inhibitor encoded by scabrous. Science 250(4986):1370- | 826 |
| 13. | Bassel GW et al. (2014) Mechanical constraints imposed by 3D cellular geometry and ar- | 31. | Reinhardt D et al. (2003) Regulation of phyllotaxis by polar auxin transport. Nature | 827 |
| | rangement modulate growth patterns in the Arabidopsis embryo. P Natl Acad Sci USA | | 426(6964):255–260. | 828 |
| 14 | 111(23):8685–8690. | 32. | Barbier de Reuille P et al. (2006) Computer simulations reveal properties of the cell-cell | 829 |
| 14. | Biol 13(6):1-14. | 33. | Kwiatkowska D, Dumais J (2003) Growth and morphogenesis at the vegetative shoot apex of | 830 |
| 15. | Fozard JA, Lucas M, King JR, Jensen OE (2013) Vertex-element models for anisotropic | | Anagallis arvensis L. J Exp Bot 54(387):1585-1595. | 831 |
| 16 | growth of elongated plant organs. Front Plant Sci 4:233. Peaucelle A et al. (2011) Pectin-induced changes in cell wall mechanics underlie organ initia- | 34. | Besnard F et al. (2014) Cytokinin signalling inhibitory fields provide robustness to phyllotaxis. Nature 505(7483):417–421 | 832 |
| 10. | tion in Arabidopsis. <u>Curr Biol</u> 21(20):1720–1726. | 35. | Ruan YL, Llewellyn DJ, Furbank RT (2001) The control of single-celled cotton fiber elonga- | 833 |
| 17. | Braybrook SA, Peaucelle A (2013) Mechano-Chemical Aspects of Organ Formation in Ara- | | tion by developmentally reversible gating of plasmodesmata and coordinated expression of | 834 |
| 18. | Sassi M et al. (2014) An Auxin-Mediated Shift toward Growth Isotropy Promotes Organ For- | | sucrose and k+ transporters and expansin. Plant Cell 13(1):47-60. | 835 |
| | | | | 836 |
| | | | | 837 |
| | | | | 838 |
| | | | | 839 |
| | | | | 840 |
| | | | | 841 |
| | | | | 842 |
| | | | | 843 |
| | | | | 844 |
| | | | | 845 |
| | | | | 846 |
| | | | | 847 |
| | | | | 848 |
| | | | | 849 |
| | | | | 850 |
| | | | | 851 |
| | | | | 852 |
| | | | | 853 |
| | | | | 854 |
| | | | | 855 |
| | | | | 856 |
| | | | | 857 |
| | | | | 858 |
| | | | | 859 |
| | | | | 860 |
| | | | | 861 |
| | | | | 862 |
| | | | | 863 |
| | | | | 864 |
| | | | | 865 |
| | | | | 866 |
| | | | | 867 |
| | | | | 868 |

 $748 \\ 749$

 $\begin{array}{c} 753 \\ 754 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 763 \\ 764 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 775\\776\end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 783 \\ 784 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 801 \\ 802 \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{c} 803\\ 804 \end{array}$

 $805 \\
 806$





bioRxiv preprint first posted online Jan. 4, 2019; doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/511717. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.



cells (blue). (d) Time evolution of relative growth rate of bump cells (red) and other cells (blue). (e-I) Turgor and relative growth rate maps of parameters sets (REF) ((e-f)), (PM-) ((g-h)), (CC-) ((i-j)), and (ALPHA+) ((k-l)), at the time when the volume of the bump cells has increased by a factor 5: t = 51h for (REF), t = 33h for (PM-), t = 80h for 10521114 (CC-), t = 14.8h for (ALPHA+). The arrows represent the intensity and direction of cell-cell water fluxes; the scale for arrows is the same for (REF), (PM-) and (CC-) and close 1053to 4 times higher for (ALPHA+). 1054

¹¹¹⁵ 1116

