# Spatial patterns of Tree-related Microhabitats: key factors and ecological significance for the conservation of the associated biodiversity

Laurent Larrieu, Christophe Bouget, Benoit Courbaud, Nicolas Gouix, Goulard Michel, Daniel Kraus, Thibault Lachat, Sylvie Ladet, Yoan Paillet, Olivier Rose, et al.

# Spatial patterns of Tree-related Microhabitats:

## key factors and ecological significance for the conservation of the associated biodiversity


Laurent LARRIEU
Christophe BOUGET
Benoît COURBAUD
Nicolas GOUIX
Michel GOULARD
Daniel KRAUS
Thibault LACHAT
Sylvie LADET
Yoan PAILLET
Olivier ROSE
Jonas STILLHARD





*5th European Congress of Conservation Biology, Jyvaskyla, Finland, 12-15th June 2018*

# A Tree-related Microhabitat (TreM) is a specific above-ground tree morphological singularity (Larrieu, Paillet, Winter et al. 2017)

- distinct, **well delineated structure**
- borne by **standing living** or **dead trees**
- **essential substrate or life-site for taxa**
- encompassing decaying wood (=saproxylic TreM) or not (=epixylic TreM)

*Cavities* *Injuries* *Crown deadwood* *Excrescences* *Fungi* *Epiphytes* *Exudates*

7 Forms

Drawings L. Apfelbacher

# TReMs are key features for many taxa and participate in a complex functional habitat network in species life cycles




TreM scale

Stand scale




Examples of supplementation (s) and complementation (c) resources

# Large trees bear most of the TreMs within a forest stand (e.g. Larrieu et al. EJFR 2012)




TreM = TreM-bearing trees

(See also: Michel et al. CJFR 2011; Vuidot et al. BC 2011; Regnery et al. FEM 2013)

# Does the spatial pattern of the largest trees drive the spatial pattern of the TreMs at the stand level?

**Stochastic events**

- Neighbour-tree falling
- Lightning
- Wind damages
- Rock fall

**Old growth forests**

**Managed stands**

**Tree dbh ?**

**Biotic processes**

- Woodpecker drillings
- Between-tree light competition
- Cambium dysfunctioning
- Etc.

**Forestry operations**

- Tree-marking
- Harvesting injuries

# At a multi-site sample level, the probability of bearing a TreM increases with dbh but the direction of this relationship is variable at the plot level

**Non-spatialized dbh model**

a(plot) + b * dbh

**Spatialized dbh model *****

a(plot) + b(plot) * dbh






***Fagus sylvatica*** **> 50%**

- **Time since the last harvest > 100 y**
- **5 sites/126 plots/5519 trees**
- **11 TreM groups**

- **GLM binomial** (Y=with a TreM or not)

# Time since the last harvest influences the spatial pattern of the TreM-bearing trees




***Fagus sylvatica*** **> 50%**

- **25 sites/165 plots/11425 trees**
- **11 TreM groups**

- **GLM binomial** (Y=with a TreM or not)
- **4 variables describing tree-neighborhood**
  - -d to the closer TreM-bearing tree
  - -d to the closer tree without TreM
  - -nb TreM-bearing trees in a 40m-buffer
  - -nb trees without TreM in a 40m-buffer

# Studying spatial distribution pattern of TreM-bearing trees is more challenging than expected…

## ➢ Some preliminary results

- **No clear and universal spatial pattern** by analyzing a set of 11 TreM groups
- In addition to a dbh effect, there is a **strong site_plot_managing effect**

## ➢ Some methodological challenges in spatial pattern study

- **Scarcity of most of the TreM types** → need of large-area plots with georeferenced trees
- But **changing the spatial extent and the grain size may affects the results** (in agreement with the « Modifiable Areal Unit Problem", Openshaw 1983)
- **Need of additional variables describing the local context**: slope, presence of cliffs, woodpecker assemblages, etc.
- **TreMs are "ephemeral resource patches"** (sensu Finn 2001) → dynamic spatial distribution patterns

# Is the dissimilarity of assemblages hosted by tree-cavities related to the between-cavity geometric distance?

➡ **« distance decay of similarity »** (Nekola & White, 1999)

Gresigne (France)




*Quercus petraea*

Base rot-holes




189 traps 1year

emergence trap

Uholka (Ukraine)




*Fagus sylvatica*

Base rot-holes




50 traps 1year

# The closer, the more similar? The distance decay of similarity pattern for cavity-dwelling biodiversity is not consistent



# Does an increasing density of sporocarps at tree or plot scales foster the mean species richness of fungus-dwelling beetles in sporocarps?

The Vosges mountains (France)




*Fagus sylvatica*

*Fomes fomentarius*

- **196 traps**
- **1 year**

# Fine-scale habitat aggregation has a positive effect on the local species richness of fungus-dwelling beetles…

## …but neither mass effect nor dilution effect of mid-scale habitat aggregation




**Tree-scale**




**0.3 ha scale**

See also Jonsell et al. (1999); Rukke et Midtgaard (1998)

# In a nutshell…

- **Other features than tree-dbh should be considered to explain spatial patterns of Trem-bearing trees**

- **Spatial scale of studies strongly influences :**
  - **The relationship between tree-dbh and the probability to bear a TreM**
  - **The relationship between spatial patterns of TreMs and associated biodiversity**

- **Both alpha and beta diversity of TreM-dwelling beetles may be influenced by the spatial distribution of TreMs**

**Thank you for your attention**