

### Potential C storage through the recycling of organic residues: experimental evidence and potential efficiency at the french national scale

Sabine Houot, Camille Resseguier, Aurélia Michaud, Florent Levavasseur, Alexandra Albuquerque Monteiro, Maelenn Poitrenaud, Thierry Morvan, Laure Bamière, Julie Constantin, Camille Launay, et al.

#### ▶ To cite this version:

Sabine Houot, Camille Resseguier, Aurélia Michaud, Florent Levavasseur, Alexandra Albuquerque Monteiro, et al.. Potential C storage through the recycling of organic residues: experimental evidence and potential efficiency at the french national scale. Food security and climate change: 4 per 1000 initiative new tangible global challenges for the soil, Jun 2019, Poitiers, France. hal-02788895

#### HAL Id: hal-02788895 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02788895v1

Submitted on 5 Jun 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - ShareAlike 4.0 International License





### POTENTIAL C STORAGE THROUGH THE RECYCLING OF ORGANIC RESIDUES: EXPERIMENTAL EVIDENCE AND POTENTIAL EFFICIENCY AT THE FRENCH NATIONAL SCALE

Sabine Houot<sup>1</sup>, C. Resseguier<sup>1</sup>, A. Michaud<sup>1</sup>, F. Levavasseur<sup>1</sup>, M. Albuquerque<sup>2</sup>, M. Poitrenaud<sup>2</sup>, Thierry Morvan<sup>3</sup>, Laure Bamiere<sup>4</sup>, Julie Constantin<sup>5</sup>, Camille Launay<sup>5</sup>, Michele Schiavo<sup>6</sup>, Olivier Rechauchere<sup>6</sup>, Sylvain Pellerin<sup>7</sup>

(1) INRA ECOSYS Grignon, (2) VEOLIA, (3) INRA SAS Quimper, (4) INRA ECOPUB Grignon, (5) INRA AGIR Toulouse, (6) INRA DEPE Paris, (7) INRA ISPA Bordeaux





# **Organic residue recycling in agriculture**

#### 6% other



- Farmyard manures
- Slurries
- Poultry manures
- Composted manures
- Agro-industrial wastes
- sewage sludge
- Urban composts
- Others

- 94% animal manures
- 6% Urban and industrial
- $\rightarrow$ 121 10<sup>6</sup> tons FM
- $\rightarrow$  12 10<sup>6</sup> tons of organic C

(Survey of agricultural practices, 2011 in Houot et al, 2014)

- Potential ressource increase ?
- Increase of urban organic residue recycling
- $\rightarrow$ organic C and nutrient recycling
- $\rightarrow$  Part of circular economy
- $\rightarrow$  What is the potential C storage in soils?
- Other crop and practice efficiencies for C storage  $\rightarrow$  Pellerin et al.





# **Evidence of the** potential efficiency

**Network of long-term** field experiments

SCIENCE & IMPACT

cirad

POUR LE DÉVELOPPEMENT

LA RECHERCHE AGRONOMIQUE

AnaÉE

iRD



# **Evidence of C storage with regular organic residue application: QualiAgro site**

- France, lle de France, started in 1998
- Loamy soil, temperate climate, Wheat- Maize succession
- **OR Application:** Every 2 years , 4 t C/ha → **Twice usual application rates**

### **Treatments:**

Composted sludge (**DVB**) Biowaste compost (**BIO**) Municipal solid waste compost (**OMR**) Farmyard manure (**FUM**) Control (**CN**)





### Evolution of C stocks: +1.5 to 2.5% /year

Levavasseur et al., in preparation



SCIENCE & IMPACT

# Parameterisation of the STICS crop model



- Organic residue decomposition
- Good simulation of crop production, evolution of soil organic C stocks ....
- Long-term experiments (QualiAgro) or laboratory incubations





# **Simulations with STICS model**



- Crop succession with organic residues (sugarbeet, rapeseed, wheat, maize)
- Slurry: 30 m<sup>3</sup>/ha; manure: 15 to 30 tons/ha....
- 5 10<sup>6</sup> ha: 29% total agricultural surface
- Baseline: + 8 kgC/ha year in crop successions with OR compared to successions without OR

# Actual and potential additionnal sources of organic residues (1)

### Different sources of data:

- Manures : Marsac et al., 2018: ELBA, evaluation of agricultural biomass and survey of farmer practices
- Industrial Residues, collected greenwastes (GW), Sewage sludges (SS), biowastes (BIOW): SOLAGRO & INDDIGO, 2013. Potential biomass for anaerobic digestion (ADEME)
- Total greenwastes: SOLAGRO, 2014. Potential greenwastes (France Agrimer)
- Already recycled sewage sludges and biowastes : ADEME 2017

Data in 10<sup>6</sup> tons of fresh matters

Total manures	Industrial residues	Total GW	Collected GW (9 % of total)	total SS (20% MS)	Recycled SS (70% total)	Total BIOW	Collected BIOW (10% total)
120.3	16.2	52.1	4.8	5.9	4.1	11.6	1.0



# Actual and potential additionnal sources of organic residues (2)

### Objective $\rightarrow$ Produce more composts and digestates

### Data in 10<sup>6</sup> tons of fresh matters



anaerobic digestion and 50% composting



# Actual and potential additionnal sources of organic residues (3)

Potential additionnal production of composts and digestates

(10 <sup>6</sup> tons)	Today	Additionnal
GW composts	1	1.9
BIOW composts	1.2	2.9
SS composts	2	4.8
<b>BIOW digestates</b>		4.3
Total FM	4.2	13.9
Total Cassociated	0.6	1.6

Today, 12 10<sup>6</sup> tons of C, mostly in manures  $\rightarrow$  Additionnal 1.6 10<sup>6</sup> tons of C



# Additionnal C storage (kg/ha/year) with the new sources of organic residues (0-30 cm)

- Crop successions without organic residues
- Composts: 15 t FM/ha; Digestates: 25 m<sup>3</sup>/ha, every 2.5 years



# Additionnal relative C storage (‰/ha/year) with the new sources of organic residues (0-30 cm)



[-10,-5) [-5,-3) [-3,-1) [-1,-0.3) [-0.3,0) [0,1) [1,3) [3,5) [5,10) [10,15.42] pas de modification de la ligne de base pas de simulation

# Average relative C storage 4.5‰/ha/year



### But....

- GW used to produce the additionnal composts already largely returned to soils
- Additionnal C only coming from sludge or biowaste was calculated → average of 26% of additionnal C storage
- 0.243 → 0.059 tC/ha/year
- 4.5 ‰/ha/year → 1.1 ‰/ha/year

### **Total additionnal C storage**

	Additional C	Surface	additionnal stored C	% of total*
Without GW	0.059 tC/ha/year	4.2 10 <sup>6</sup> ha	0.257 10 <sup>6</sup> t C/year	4.4 %
Including GW	0.243 tC/ha/year	4.2 10 <sup>6</sup> ha	1.023 10 <sup>6</sup> t C/year	15.6 %

\* Total= 5.78 10<sup>6</sup> t C/year. Cover crops > agroforestry > longer meadows > direct sowing and **new organic ressources** (Pellerin et al., 2019)



# Take home message

- Additionnal sources of organic residues: composts and digestates
  → 1.6 10<sup>6</sup> tons of C per year
- Much lower than animal manures (12 10<sup>6</sup> tons of C per year) but necessary to recycle and interesting where animal breeding is lacking
- Additionnal storage : 0.3 → 1.0 10<sup>6</sup> tons of C/year stored depending if GW are included or not (4 à 15% of total potential additionnal C storage considering different practices)
- Associated increase of crop yields but also of N leaching
- One of the cheapest practices for farmers to increase C stocks in soils
- Global mass balance of GHG has to be considered to calculate climate change mitigation (OK at field scale, impact of process?)
- Safe use of these new organic sources must be guaranteed

