



HAL
open science

Quantification of modelling uncertainties in an ensemble of carbon simulations in grasslands and croplands

Renata Sandor, Gianni Bellocchi, Fiona Ehrhardt, A. Bhatia, Lorenzo Brilli, Massimiliano de Antoni Migliorati, Marco Carozzi, Jordi Doltra, Chris Dorich, Luca Doro, et al.

► To cite this version:

Renata Sandor, Gianni Bellocchi, Fiona Ehrhardt, A. Bhatia, Lorenzo Brilli, et al.. Quantification of modelling uncertainties in an ensemble of carbon simulations in grasslands and croplands. 3rd Agriculture and Climate Change Conference, Mar 2019, Budapest, Hungary. , 2019. hal-02790153

HAL Id: hal-02790153

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02790153v1>

Submitted on 5 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.




Quantification of Modelling Uncertainties in an Ensemble of Carbon Simulations in Grasslands and Croplands



R Sándor^{1,2}, G Bellocchi², F Ehrhardt³, A Bhatia⁴, L Brilli⁵, M De Antoni Migliorati⁶, M Carozzi⁷, J Doltra⁸, C Dorich⁹, L Doro¹⁰, N Fitton¹¹, K Fuchs¹², K Gongadze¹³, P Grace⁶, B Grant¹⁴, SJ Giacomini¹⁵, K Klumpp², J Léonard¹⁶, M Liebig¹⁷, R Martin², RS Massad⁷, L Merbold^{12,18}, P Newton¹⁹, E Patteny¹⁴, B Rees²⁰, S Rolinski²¹, J Sharp²², P Smith¹¹, W Smith¹⁴, V Snow²³, JF Soussana³, Q Zhang²⁴, S Recous²⁵

¹Agricultural Institute CAR HAS, ²UREP INRA, ³INRA, ⁴Indian Agricultural Research Institute, ⁵University of Florence, ⁶Queensland University of Technology, ⁷INRA, AgroParisTech, ⁸CIFA, ⁹NREL, ¹⁰University of Sassari, ¹¹Institute of Biological and Environmental Sciences, ¹²ETH, ¹³SRUC, ¹⁴Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, ¹⁵UFM, ¹⁶INRA, UR-1158 Agrolmpact, ¹⁷USDA Agricultural Research Service, ¹⁸Mazingira Centre ILRI, ¹⁹AgResearch, Lincoln Research Centre, ²⁰SRUC, ²¹PIK, ²²New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research, ²³AgResearch, Grasslands Research Centre, ²⁴LAPC, ²⁵INRA, UMR FARE

- **Biogeochemical grassland and crop models** predict carbon (C) balances in agriculture
- **Simulations of C fluxes** are inherently uncertain (complex interactions, high temporal and spatial variability of measurements)
- We assessed C fluxes from 23 biogeochemical models with data from **three crop rotations and two temperate grasslands**



B : Canola + Mustard M: Maize P: Phacelia R: Rice S: Soybean SW: Spring wheat WW: Winter wheat + Triticale

- **Ensemble multi-model medians** (MMM) were compared to the means of observations via a multi-stage protocol (from blind simulations - S1 - to partial - S2, S3, S4 - and full calibration - S5)
- The use of **plant and soil observations for calibration** (S3 and S4) allowed achieving certain accuracy in model estimates
- Most of the models overestimated or underestimated the C fluxes observed during the growing seasons, with substantial **discrepancies across different models**
- The highest **improvements were achieved with S3 or higher calibration stages**




Fig 1. Seasonal changes in ecosystem respiration (RECO), gross primary production (GPP), net ecosystem exchange (NEE), carbon use efficiency (CUE) and CO₂-C intensity (Int_{CO₂-C}) calculated over multiple years at C1 and C2 crop and G3 and G4 grassland sites, for five calibration stages (S1 to S5) and the observation (Obs). For each calibration stage, triangles demonstrate the multi model mean, black lines show multi-model median. Boxes delimit the 25th and 75th percentiles. Whiskers are 10th and 90th percentiles. Circles indicate outliers. For Obs, diamond shows the observed mean with its standard deviation.

- Overall, the **estimation of C fluxes was more uncertain in grasslands than in crops**
- The **model ensemble proved effective in representing C sequestration** of grasslands and most of crops
- **Elimination of fallow and enhancement of cropping intensity may increase C sequestration**



Fig 2. Seasonal variability of ecosystem respiration (RECO), gross primary production (GPP), net ecosystem exchange (NEE), carbon use efficiency (CUE) and CO₂-C intensity (Int_{CO₂-C}) calculated over multiple years at C1, C2 and C3 crop and G3 and G4 grassland sites, for five calibration stages (S1-5) and the observations (Obs). Owing to largely different values of carbon use efficiency (CUE), they are presented with distinct scales for bare soil, grassland and crop systems. For each calibration stage, triangles demonstrate the multi model mean, black lines show multi-model median. Boxes delimit the 25th and 75th percentiles. Whiskers are 10th and 90th percentiles. Circles indicate outliers.

Our study suggests a **cautious use of large-scale, multi-model ensembles to estimate C fluxes** in agricultural sites if some plant and soil observations are available locally for model calibration

Acknowledgement: This research was performed within the Global Research Alliance on Agricultural Greenhouse Gases initiative with the support of FACCE JPI projects CN-MIP and Models4Pastures.

Contacts: sandor.renata@agrar.mta.hu
gianni.bellocchi@inra.fr