

Morphological and genomic assessment of divergence between closely related species of the genus Philaenus (Hemiptera, Aphrophoridae)

S.G. Seabra, C. Neto, A.S.B. Rodrigues, Jean-Claude Streito, Guénaëlle Genson, Eric Pierre, S.E. Silva, E. Marabuto, E. Figueiredo, C. Mateus, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

S.G. Seabra, C. Neto, A.S.B. Rodrigues, Jean-Claude Streito, Guénaëlle Genson, et al.. Morphological and genomic assessment of divergence between closely related species of the genus Philaenus (Hemiptera, Aphrophoridae). 2. European conference on Xylella fastidiosa: how research can support solutions, Oct 2019, Ajaccio, France. 2019. hal-02790445

HAL Id: hal-02790445 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02790445

Submitted on 5 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Morphological and genomic assessment of divergence between closely related species of the genus *Philaenus* (Hemiptera, Aphrophoridae)

<u>Seabra, Sofia G.¹; Neto, Ana Carina²; Rodrigues, Ana Sofia B.¹; Streito, Jean-Claude³; Genson, Guénaëlle³; Pierre, Éric³; Silva, Sara E.¹;</u> Popova, Ganna²; Marabuto, Eduardo¹; Figueiredo, Elisabete⁴; Mateus, Célia⁵; Wilson, Michael⁶; Rei, Fernando T.⁷; Quartau, José A.¹; Paulo, Octávio S.¹; Rebelo, Maria Teresa²

¹cE3c – FCUL – Portugal; ²CESAM – FCUL – Portugal; ³CBGP – INRA – France; ⁴LEAF – ISA – Portugal; ⁵INIAV – Portugal; ⁶National Museum Wales – UK; ⁷ICAAM – UÉvora – Portugal.

Contact: sgseabra@fc.ul.pt; acneto@fc.ul.pt



variation in the male genitalia in both species. Integrating genomic data and more traditional approaches will allow to better define species and distribution boundaries, action-plans for the control of X. fastidiosa and conservation of natural ecosystems.



Methods

Male genitalia were extracted and placed in a KOH 10% (w/v) solution for 24 hours. Habitus and aedeagus images were acquired either with a Keyence VHX 5000 system or with an Olympus BX51 microscope, equipped with a The Imaging SDFK 23U274 industrial colour camera controlled with Micro-Manager 2.4 software. Images were focus-stacked, scaled and measured in ImageJ 1.52p. Outline analysis and semi-landmarks analysis were performed on habitus images of 76 **specimens**. Classic morphometric analysis was performed on **aedeagus** images of **170** specimens.

Figure 1 – Sampling locations of P. spumarius and P. tesselatus for morphological analyses (N = 79). Four sampling points from Morocco not shown.



Figure 2 – Measurements on Philaenus aedeagus: TotLen appendages; MidLen - length of middle appendages; UpLen length of upper appendages; UpCur - curvature of upper appendages. Curvature determination based on Feduccia [5].



Figure 4 – Median-joining haplotype network based on mitochondrial gene cytochrome oxidase I sequences for P. spumarius. P. tesselatus haplotypes from Morocco are shown in red. Adapted from Rodrigues et al. [4].

Results

- No differentiation between P. spumarius and *P. tesselatus* in geometric morphometric analyses of **habitus** (data not shown).

- Clear differentiation between species in aedeagus morphology in PC1.

- Total length of aedeagus, mean lengths of lower and upper appendages are the best characters to discriminate species. *Philaenus* tesselatus tends to have larger values for these measurements.

- Intra-specific morphological variation detected in PC2 and PC3 associated with mean curvature of upper appendages and mean length of middle appendages. - Philaenus tesselatus was only found in Portugal, Spain and Morocco in this study. Both species occur in Iberian Peninsula where *P. spumarius* is widespread but *P.* tesselatus seems to be restricted to the south where it is the dominant species. - No differentiation between *P. spumarius* and *P. tesselatus* at **mtDNA** (same haplotypes in both).

Figure 3 – Principal component analysis (PCA) of morphometric measurements of aedeagus from P. spumarius and P. tesselatus.





Figure 5 – Principal component analysis (PCA) of 2796 Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms for 133 individuals of P. spumarius (from several parts of Europe) and P. tesselatus (from Morocco, in red). Adapted from Seabra et al. (in prep).

References

1. Saponari et al. 2014. Infectivity and transmission of Xylella fastidiosa by Philaneus spumarius (Hemiptera: Aphrophoridae) in Apulia, Italy. Journal of Economic Entomology, 107, 1316-1319. 2. Drosopoulos & Quartau 2002. The spittle bug Philaenus tesselatus Melichar, 1899 (Hemiptera, Auchenorrhyncha, Cercopidae) is a distinct species. Zootaxa, 68, 1-8. 3. Maryańska-Nadachowska et al. 2012. Genetic diversity of Philaenus spumarius and P. tesselatus (Hemiptera, Aphrophoridae): implications for evolution and taxonomy. Systematic Entomology, 37, 55-64. 4. Rodrigues et al. 2014. New mitochondrial and nuclear evidences support recent demographic expansion and an atypical phylogeographic pattern in the spittlebug Philaenus spumarius (Hemiptera, Aphrophoridae). PLoS ONE, 9: e98375. 5. Feduccia 1993. Evidence from claw geometry indicating arboreal habits of Archaeopteryx. Science, 259: 790-793.

- Clear differentiation between *P. spumarius* and *P. tesselatus* at **genome-wide markers**.

SNP markers will provide more accessible genotyping of specimens to discriminate these two closely related species (not distinguished by mtDNA).

> The ability to correctly identify *P*. spumarius and P. tesselatus will allow a more comprehensive knowledge on their distribution, population dynamics and ecological requirements. These are known important drivers of X. fastidiosa spread by other vector species and are relevant for disease management.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Montpellier CBGP collection Platform and Faculty of Sciences of the University of Lisbon's Microscopy Facility, a node of the Portuguese Platform for Bioimaging, reference PPBI-POCI-01-0145-FEDER-022122, where images from insect specimens were acquired.

This work was funded by Portuguese national funds through FCT -Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., Portugal (Project PTDC/BIA-BEC/098783/2008; Norma Transitória -DL57/2016/CP1479; cE3c Unit FCT funding in the frame of the project UID/BIA/00329/2019; CESAM funding UID/AMB/50017— POCI-01-0145-FEDER-007638; PIDDAC; co-funding by the FEDER, within the PT2020 Partnership Agreement and Compete 2020). It was also co-financed by the European Union through the European Regional Development Fund, under the ALENTEJO 2020 (Regional Operational Program of the Alentejo) through the project ALT20-03-0145-FEDER-000029. The project PDR2020-101-031408 contributed with Philaenus samples.





V

· Q.





