

RUNX1 safeguards the identity of the fetal ovary through an interplay with FOXL2

Barbara Nicol, Sara A. Grimm, Frédéric Chalmel, Estelle Lecluze, Maëlle Pannetier, Eric Pailhoux, Elodie Dupin-De-Beyssat, Yann Guiguen, Blanche Capel, Humphrey H.-C. Yao

► To cite this version:

Barbara Nicol, Sara A. Grimm, Frédéric Chalmel, Estelle Lecluze, Maëlle Pannetier, et al.. RUNX1 safeguards the identity of the fetal ovary through an interplay with FOXL2. 2019. hal-02790582

HAL Id: hal-02790582 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02790582v1

Preprint submitted on 5 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/598607. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. This article is a US Government work. It is not subject to copyright under 17 USC 105 and is also made available for use under a CC0 license.

1 RUNX1 safeguards the identity of the fetal ovary through an interplay with FOXL2

- 2
- 3 Barbara Nicol¹, Sara A. Grimm², Frederic Chalmel³, Estelle Lecluze³, Maëlle Pannetier⁴,
- 4 Eric Pailhoux⁴, Elodie Dupin-De-Beyssat⁵, Yann Guiguen⁵, Blanche Capel⁶ and
- 5 Humphrey H.-C. Yao^{1*}
- 6
- 7 ¹Reproductive and Developmental Biology Laboratory, National Institute of
- 8 Environmental Health Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA.
- 9 ²Integrative Bioinformatics Support Group, National Institute of Environmental Health
- 10 Sciences, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, USA.
- ³ Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et
- 12 travail) UMR_S1085, F-35000 Rennes, France.
- ⁴UMR BDR, INRA, ENVA, Université Paris Saclay, 78350, Jouy-en-Josas, France.
- ¹⁴ ⁵INRA, UR1037 Fish Physiology and Genomics, F-35000 Rennes, France
- ⁶Department of Cell Biology, Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC 27710

16

- ^{*} Corresponding author: humphrey.yao@nih.gov
- 18
- 19 Keywords: RUNX1, FOXL2, granulosa cells, Sertoli cells, sex determination, testis,
- 20 ovary, cell fate, disorders of sex development, sex reversal

21

23 Abstract

24 Sex determination of the gonads begins with fate specification of gonadal supporting 25 cells into either ovarian granulosa cells or testicular Sertoli cells. This process of fate 26 specification hinges on a balance of transcriptional control. We discovered that 27 expression of the transcription factor RUNX1 is enriched in the fetal ovary in rainbow 28 trout, turtle, mouse, goat and human. In the mouse, RUNX1 marks the supporting cell 29 lineage and becomes granulosa cell-specific as the gonads differentiate. RUNX1 plays complementary/redundant roles with FOXL2 to maintain fetal granulosa cell identity, 30 31 and combined loss of RUNX1 and FOXL2 results in masculinization of the fetal ovaries. 32 At the chromatin level, RUNX1 occupancy overlaps partially with FOXL2 occupancy in the fetal ovary, suggesting that RUNX1 and FOXL2 target a common set of genes. 33 34 These findings identify RUNX1, with an ovary-biased pattern conserved across species, 35 as a novel regulator in securing the identity of ovarian supporting cells and the ovary.

37 A critical step that shapes the reproductive identity of the embryo is the sexual 38 differentiation of the bipotential gonads. Supporting cells in the fetal gonads are the first cell population to differentiate, and dictate the fate of the gonads. As a consequence, 39 40 defects in supporting cell differentiation have dire consequences on reproductive outcomes of the individual, from sex-reversal to infertility. Supporting cells differentiate 41 42 into either Sertoli cells, which drive testis development, or granulosa cells, which control ovarian development. It has become clear that supporting cell differentiation, and 43 maintenance of their commitment, requires a coordinated action of multiple factors that 44 45 play either complementary, redundant and even antagonistic roles¹. For instance, fate 46 decision and maintenance of ovarian identity relies mainly on two conserved elements: the WNT4/RSPO1/beta-catenin pathway^{2, 3, 4} and the transcription factor FOXL2^{5, 6, 7}. 47 48 These two elements synergistically promote expression of pro-ovarian genes and at the 49 same time, antagonize key pro-testis factors such as SOX9 and DMRT1. However, the 50 combined loss of these two key pro-ovarian signaling only results in an incomplete 51 inactivation of ovarian differentiation, suggesting that additional pro-ovarian factors are at play during gonadal differentiation^{8, 9}. Factors involved in gonad differentiation are 52 generally conserved in vertebrates and even invertebrates, although their position in the 53 54 hierarchy of the molecular cascade may change during evolution¹⁰. For instance, the 55 pro-ovarian transcription factor FOXL2 is important for ovarian differentiation/function in human¹¹, goat¹² and fish^{13, 14}. The pro-testis transcription factor DMRT1 is highly 56 conserved and critical for testis development in worms, fly¹⁵, fish^{16, 17} and mammals^{18, 19,} 57 20 58

59	In this study, we set up to investigate the role of transcription factor RUNX1 in the
60	mouse fetal ovary. In Drosophila melanogaster, the RUNX ortholog runt is essential for
61	ovarian determination ^{21, 22} . In the mouse, <i>Runx1</i> mRNA is enriched in the fetal ovary
62	based on transcriptomic analyses ²³ . The RUNX family arose early in evolution ²⁴ :
63	members have been identified in metazoans from sponge to human, where they play
64	conserved key roles in developmental processes. In vertebrates, RUNX1 acts as a
65	transcription factor critical for cell lineage specification in multiple organs, and
66	particularly in cell populations of epithelial origin ²⁵ . We first established the expression
67	profile of <i>RUNX1</i> in the fetal gonads in multiple vertebrate species from fish to human.
68	We then used knockout mouse models and genomic approaches to determine the
69	function and molecular action of RUNX1 and its interplay with another conserved
70	ovarian regulator, FOXL2, during supporting cell differentiation in the fetal ovary.
74	

72 Results

73 The pattern of *Runx1* expression implies a potential role in fetal ovarian

74 differentiation

75 The *runt* gene, critical for ovarian differentiation in the fly²¹, has 3 orthologs in mammals: RUNX1, RUNX2 and RUNX3. While all three RUNX transcription factors 76 bind the same DNA motif, they are known to have distinct, tissue-specific functions²⁶. In 77 78 the mouse, *Runx1* was the only one with a strong expression in the fetal ovary whereas Runx2 and Runx3 were expressed weakly in the fetal gonads in a non-sexually 79 80 dimorphic way (Fig. 1a). At the onset of sex determination (Embryonic day or E11.5), 81 *Runx1* expression was similar in both fetal testis and ovary before becoming ovaryspecific after E12.5 (Fig. 1b), consistent with observations by others²³. An ovary-82 enriched expression of Runx1 during the window of early gonad differentiation was also 83 observed in other mammals such as human and goat, as well as in species belonging to 84 other classes of vertebrates such as the red-eared slider turtle and rainbow trout (Fig. 85 86 1c-f), implying an evolutionarily conserved role of RUNX1 in ovary differentiation. To identify the cell types that express *Runx1* in the gonads, we examined a 87 reporter mouse model that produces EGFP under the control of *Runx1* promoter²⁷ (Fig. 88 2). Consistent with the time-course of Runx1 mRNA expression (Fig. 1b), Runx1-EGFP 89 was present in both fetal ovary and testis at E11.5, and then increased in the ovary and 90 91 diminished in the testis at E12.5 onwards (Fig. 2). At E11.5 in both testis and ovary, Runx1-EGFP was present in a subset of SF1+/PECAM- somatic cell population 92 whereas absent in the SF1-/PECAM+ germ cells (Fig. 2a-d). In the testis, these Runx1-93

94 EGFP+ somatic cells corresponded to Sertoli cells, as demonstrated by a complete

overlap with SRY, the sex-determining factor that drives Sertoli cell differentiation²⁸ (Fig. 95 96 2e and S1). At this stage, there is no marker for ovarian supporting cells that allow us to 97 determine which subset of somatic cells were positive for *Runx1*-EGFP in the ovary. 98 However, at E12.5, when the sex of gonads becomes morphologically distinguishable. *Runx1*-EGFP was specifically detected in the supporting cell lineage of both sexes: 99 strongly in FOXL2+ pre-granulosa cells of the ovary (Fig. 2g), and weakly in SOX9+ 100 101 Sertoli cells of the testis (Fig. 2f and S1). Runx1-EGFP expression was eventually 102 turned off in the fetal testis while maintained in the ovary (Fig. 2h & i). Throughout fetal 103 development of the ovary, Runx1-EGFP remained in FOXL2+ pre-granulosa cells (Fig. 104 3). Runx1-EGFP was also detected in the ovarian surface epithelium at E16.5 and birth (arrows in Fig. 3b-c), which gives rise to granulosa cells in the cortex of the ovary ^{29, 30}. 105 106 Runx1-EGFP was also expressed in somatic cells of the cortical region right underneath 107 the surface epithelium, and some of these *Runx1*-EGFP+ cells presented a weak 108 expression of FOXL2 (Fig. 3g-i, arrowheads). In summary, Runx1 marks the supporting 109 cell lineage in the gonads at the onset of sex determination, and becomes granulosa cell-specific as gonads differentiate. 110

111

112 Loss of *Runx1* leads to ovarian transcriptomic changes resembling those of

113 Fox/2 knockout ovary

114 The pre-granulosa cell-specific pattern suggests that RUNX1, a factor involved in 115 cell lineage determination²⁵, could contribute to granulosa cell differentiation and 116 ovarian development. To investigate its specific role in gonadal somatic cells and avoid 117 early embryonic lethality as a result of global deletion of *Runx1*^{31, 32}, we generated a

118 conditional knockout mouse model, in which Runx1 was ablated in the SF1+ gonadal somatic cells³³ (Fig. 4). While *Runx1* expression was ablated successfully in the fetal 119 120 ovary (Fig. 4a), ovarian morphogenesis appeared normal at birth (Fig. 4b). The 121 knockout (KO) ovary maintained its typical shape, with FOXL2+ pre-granulosa cells 122 scattered throughout the ovary and TRA98+ germ cells located mostly in the cortex (Fig. 123 4b). Despite its normal morphology, Runx1 KO newborn ovaries exhibited aberrant 124 transcriptomic profile: expression of 317 genes was altered significantly compared to 125 the control (Fig. 4c; Dataset S1). The transcriptomic changes of Runx1 KO ovary were 126 reminiscent of the ovary lacking FoxI2, a conserved gene involved in ovarian 127 differentiation/maintenance in vertebrates. In the mouse, loss of Foxl2 results in normal ovarian morphogenesis at birth despite aberrant ovarian transcriptome⁵. When 128 comparing the genes changed significantly in the Runx1 KO (317 genes) with those 129 130 affected by the loss of Foxl2 (749 genes) in newborn ovary, we found that 41% of the 131 genes differentially expressed in Runx1 KO (129/317) were also misregulated in the 132 absence of FoxI2 (Fig. 4c). The large majority of these 129 genes (93%; 120 genes) 133 were similarly changed by the loss of either Runx1 or Foxl2: 69% were downregulated 134 in both KOs (89 genes) and 24% were upregulated in both KOs (31 genes; Dataset S1). 135 One possible explanation for these common transcriptomic changes in Runx1 and Foxl2 136 KOs is that *Runx1* could be part of the same signaling cascade as *Foxl2*. However, 137 analysis of Runx1 expression in Foxl2 KO newborn ovaries did not detect any changes 138 in *Runx1* expression in the absence of *Foxl2* (Fig. 4d). Conversely, *Foxl2* expression was not changed in the absence of Runx1, indicating that Runx1 and Foxl2 are 139 140 regulated independently of each other in the fetal ovary.

141

142 Inactivation of both *Runx1* and *Foxl2* results in masculinization of the fetal

143 ovaries

144 The common transcriptomic changes identified in Runx1 and Foxl2 KO ovaries raised the question whether RUNX1 and FOXL2 could play redundant or 145 146 complementary roles in supporting cell differentiation. We therefore generated 147 Runx1/Foxl2 double KO mice (hereafter referred as DKO) and compared ovarian 148 differentiation in the absence of Runx1, FoxI2, or both (Fig. 5 and S2-3). At E15.5, 149 differentiation of supporting cells into Sertoli cells in the testis or pre-granulosa cells in 150 the ovary has already been established. For instance, the transcription factor DMRT1, which is involved in the maintenance of Sertoli cell identity¹⁸, is expressed in Sertoli 151 152 cells but not pre-granulosa cells (Fig. 5a & e). At this stage, DMRT1 is also present in a few germ cells in both testis and ovary³⁴. Similar to the control ovaries, ovaries lacking 153 154 either *Runx1* or *Foxl2* had no DMRT1 proteins in the supporting cells (Fig. 5a-c). 155 However, the combined loss of *Runx1* and *Foxl2* resulted in aberrant expression of DMRT1 in the supporting cells of the fetal ovary (Fig. 5d). At birth, Runx1/Foxl2 DKO 156 157 ovary formed structures similar to fetal testis cords in the center, with DMRT1+ cells 158 surrounding clusters of germ cells (Fig. 5i). Such structure was not observed in Runx1 or Foxl2 single KO ovaries with the exception that DMRT1 protein started to appear in a 159 160 few supporting cells in the newborn Fox/2 KO ovaries, in what appears to be one of the 161 first signs of postnatal masculinization of Fox/2 KO ovaries at the protein level (Fig. 5h). Contrary to DMRT1, SOX9 protein, a key driver of Sertoli cell differentiation^{35, 36}, was 162 163 not detected in the Runx1/Foxl2 DKO newborn ovaries (Fig. 6). Our results demonstrate

that a combined loss of *Runx1* and *Foxl2* induces partial masculinization of thesupporting cells during fetal development of the ovary.

166 To further characterize the impacts of the combined loss of Runx1 and FoxI2 on 167 ovarian differentiation, we compared the transcriptome of Runx1/Foxl2 DKO newborn ovaries with the transcriptomes of control, Runx1, or Foxl2 single KO ovaries (Fig. 7 and 168 Dataset S2). Between Runx1/Foxl2 DKO and control ovaries, 918 genes were 169 170 differentially expressed, with 499 genes downregulated and 419 genes upregulated in the DKO ovary (fold-change >1.5; p<0.05; Dataset S3). The heat-map for the 918 171 172 differentially expressed genes in Runx1/FoxI2 DKO ovaries demonstrated allele-specific 173 impacts with a mild and often non-significant effect in the absence of Runx1, an 174 intermediate/strong effect in the absence of Fox/2, and a strongest effect in the absence 175 of both Runx1 and Foxl2 (Fig. 7a). Gene ontology (GO) analysis revealed that the downregulated genes were associated with "ovarian follicle development" and "female 176 177 gonad development" whereas "male sex determination" was the most significantly 178 enriched process for the upregulated genes (Fig. S4). To determine the contribution of 179 *Runx1* and *FoxI2* to the transcriptomic changes, the genes significantly downregulated 180 (Fig. 7b, d & e; Dataset S4) or upregulated (Fig. 7c, f, & g; Dataset S4) were compared among Runx1/Foxl2 single and double knockouts. Conforming to the hierarchical 181 182 clustering (Fig. 7a), Foxl2 was the main contributor to the transcriptional changes 183 observed in Runx1/Foxl2 DKO: 61% of the genes downregulated in DKO were also 184 downregulated in *Foxl2* KO (304/499 genes in the overlapping region between purple and red circle in Fig. 7b) and 43% of the genes upregulated in DKO were also 185 186 upregulated in *Foxl2* KO (182/419 genes in the overlapping region between purple and

187 red circle in Fig. 7c). In addition, some genes appeared to be controlled by both Fox/2 188 and Runx1, and were significantly downregulated (66 genes in the overlapping region 189 between the three circles in Fig. 7b) or upregulated (29 genes in the overlapping region 190 between the three circles in Fig. 7c) in all three knockouts. For instance, the genes Fst and Cyp19a, both involved in granulosa cell differentiation/function ^{37, 38}, were 191 downregulated in Runx1 KO, Foxl2 KO, and more repressed in Runx1/Foxl2 DKO (Fig. 192 193 7d). On the other hand, desert hedgehog (Dhh) was upregulated in all 3 knockouts, with 194 highest expression in the DKO (Fig. 7f). Notably, 30% of the genes downregulated 195 (151/499 genes; Fig. 7b) and 52% of the genes upregulated (219/419 genes; Fig. 7c) in 196 Runx1/Foxl2 DKO were not significantly changed in Runx1 or Foxl2 single KO. Most of 197 the genes in this category were mildly changed in the single knockouts without reaching 198 the cut-off of the microarray analysis. For instance, *Foxp1*, a gene whose expression is enriched in pre-granulosa cells³⁹, was significantly downregulated only in *Runx1/FoxI2* 199 DKO (Fig. 7e) whereas Fgf9, a Sertoli gene contributing to testis differentiation⁴⁰ and 200 201 Pdqfc were significantly upregulated only in Runx1/Foxl2 DKO (Fig. 7g).

Comparing to Foxl2 single KO female (Fig. 5), in which sex reversal only became 202 203 apparent postnatally⁵, Runx1/Fox/2 DKO female exhibited masculinization of the ovaries 204 with visible morphological changes before birth. To determine how the loss of Runx1 205 contributed to the early masculinization of Runx1/Foxl2 DKO ovaries, we identified the 206 potential RUNX1-regulated genes by comparing the transcriptomes of Runx1/FoxI2 207 DKO and Foxl2 single KO ovaries. A total of 218 genes were differentially expressed 208 between Runx1/Foxl2 DKO and Foxl2 KO ovaries, with 114 genes significantly 209 upregulated, and 104 genes significantly downregulated in the DKO (fold-change >1.5;

210 p<0.05; Fig. 7h and Dataset S5). Expression of most of these genes was already 211 altered in Fox/2 single KO ovaries; however, the additional loss of Runx1 exacerbated 212 their mis-expression. For instance, the pro-testis gene Dmrt1 and Nr5a1 were 213 significantly upregulated whereas the granulosa-cell enriched transcripts Fst and Ryr2 214 were further downregulated at birth (Fig. 7d & i). On the other hand, the additional loss 215 of *Runx1* did not cause further upregulation of the Sertoli genes Sox9 and Amh at birth, 216 suggesting that *Runx1* does not contribute to their repression in the ovary (Fig. 7). 217 Overall, the transcriptomic analyses of *Runx1/Foxl2* single and double knockouts reveal 218 that the additional loss of Runx1 amplifies the mis-expression of genes already altered 219 by the sole loss of *Foxl2*.

220

221 **RUNX1 shares genome-wide chromatin occupancy with FOXL2 in the fetal ovary**

222 The masculinization of Runx1/Foxl2 DKO fetal ovaries and the transcriptomic 223 comparisons of *Runx1/FoxI2* single and double KO ovaries suggest some interplay 224 between RUNX1 and FOXL2 to control granulosa cell identity. The fact that RUNX1 and 225 FOXL2 are both transcription factors raised the question whether this interplay could 226 occur at the chromatin level. We have previously identified the chromatin occupancy of 227 FOXL2 during ovarian differentiation by chromatin immunoprecipitation followed by whole genome sequencing or ChIP-seq⁴¹. We performed additional *de novo* motif 228 229 analyses on the genomic regions bound by FOXL2 in the fetal ovary, and discovered 230 that several other DNA motifs were co-enriched with the FOXL2 DNA motif (Fig. 8a). 231 Among them, RUNX DNA motif was the second most significantly co-enriched motif. 232 The other motifs were for CTCF, a factor involved in transcriptional regulation, enhancer

insulation and chromatin architecture⁴², and for the DNA motif recognized by multiple 233 234 members of the nuclear receptor family including liver receptor homolog-1 (LRH1 235 encoded by Nr5a2) and SF1 (encoded by Nr5a1), a known co-factor of FOXL2^{43, 44}. 236 DNA motifs for TEAD transcription factors of the Hippo pathway, ETS, NFYA and 237 GATA4 were also significantly enriched. The enrichment of RUNX motif with FOXL2 238 binding motif suggests that RUNX1, the only RUNX enriched in pre-granulosa cells, 239 could bind similar genomic regions to FOXL2 in the fetal ovary. To confirm this 240 hypothesis, we performed ChIP-seq for RUNX1 in E14.5 ovaries (Dataset S6), the same stage the FOXL2 ChIP-seq data were obtained⁴¹. The top *de novo* motif identified 241 in RUNX1 ChIP-seg (p<1e-559) matched the RUNX motif⁴⁵ (Fig. 8b), and corresponded 242 243 to the motif that was co-enriched with FOXL2 in FOXL2 ChIP-seg (Fig. 8a). A total of 10,494 RUNX1 binding peaks were identified in the fetal ovary, with the majority of the 244 245 peaks located either in the gene body (Fig. 8c; 25% exon and 22% intron), or close 246 upstream of the transcription start site TSS (30% Promoter: <1kb of TSS; 12% 247 Upstream: -10 to -1kb of TSS). Comparison of genome-wide chromatin binding of 248 RUNX1 and FOXL2 in the fetal ovary revealed significant overlap: 54% (5,619/10,494) 249 of RUNX1 peaks overlapped with FOXL2 peaks (Fig. 8d).

The transcriptomic data from *Runx1/Foxl2* DKO ovaries provided us a list of genes significantly changed as a result of the absence of *Runx1*, *Foxl2*, or both (Fig. 7). To identify potential direct target genes of RUNX1 or/and FOXL2, we focused on the 918 genes differentially expressed in *Runx1/Foxl2* DKO ovaries, and determined which genes were nearest to RUNX1 or/and FOXL2 binding peaks (Fig. 9a and Dataset S7). More than 50% of these genes (492/918; Fig. 9a) were the closest gene to RUNX1

256 or/and FOXL2 peak. Some of these genes were nearest to only FOXL2 peaks (116 257 genes in Fig. 9a). For example, *Pla2r1*, a transcript enriched in pre-granulosa cells³⁹ 258 and similarly downregulated in both Foxl2 KO and Runx1/Foxl2 DKO fetal ovaries (Fig. 259 9b), contained two FOXL2-specific peaks, one in the promoter and one in the first 260 intron. On the other hand, 102 genes (Fig. 9a) had RUNX1 specific peaks near their 261 genomic locations. For instance, Ryr2, another transcript enriched in pre-granulosa 262 cells³⁹, was strongly downregulated in both *Runx1* KO and *Runx1/FoxI2* DKO fetal and 263 newborn ovaries (Fig. 7i and Fig. 9c), and contained one RUNX1 specific peak in its 264 intronic region. Finally, 274 genes were the closest genes to peaks for both RUNX1 and 265 FOXL2, with the majority of them (197 genes) nearest to overlapping peaks for RUNX1 and FOXL2 (Fig. 9a). Most of these genes (74%; 146/197 genes) were downregulated 266 267 in Runx1/Foxl2 DKO ovaries (Dataset S7). For instance, the granulosa cell enriched 268 genes Fst and Itpr2, both downregulated in Runx1/Foxl2 single and double KO ovaries 269 (Fig. 7d and 9d), contained common binding peaks for FOXL2 and RUNX1 (Fig. 9a and 270 9d). For *Fst*, this binding of RUNX1 and FOXL2 was located in its first intron, in the previously identified regulatory region that contributes to its expression^{41, 46}. On the 271 272 other hand, the Sertoli cell gene Dmrt1, strongly upregulated in Runx1/Foxl2 DKO (Fig. 273 5 and 7i), contained a common binding site for FOXL2 and RUNX1 near its promoter 274 (Fig. 9a). Taken together, our results reveal that RUNX1, a transcription factor 275 expressed in the fetal ovary of various vertebrate species, contributes to ovarian 276 differentiation and maintenance of pre-granulosa cell identity through an interplay with 277 FOXL2 that occurs at the chromatin level.

278

279 Discussion

280 RUNX1 is a part of the multi-component network that controls pre-granulosa cell

281 identity

282 The molecular events that specify granulosa cell fate are complex and non-linear, involving several signaling pathways that have redundant and complementary functions. 283 284 This is in contrast to the fetal testis, where the molecular pathway driving its 285 differentiation appears linear and sequential. Removal of one of the top pieces in the 286 testis differentiation pathway has a domino effect that prevents induction of downstream 287 events. This is exemplified by the complete gonadal sex-reversal in gain- or loss-of-288 function mouse models for SRY or SOX9, the two transcription factors responsible for the initiation of the testis morphogenesis^{28, 35, 47}. This is not the case in the mouse ovary, 289 where no single-gene loss/mutation results in a complete ovary-to-testis sex-reversal. 290 291 For instance, defects in the WNT4/RSPO1/beta-catenin or loss of Fox/2 causes a late or 292 postnatal partial ovary-to-testis sex-reversal, while the combined loss of Foxl2 and 293 elements of the WNT4/RSPO1/beta-catenin pathway (Wnt4 or Rspo1) leads to ovary-294 to-testis sex-reversal more pronounced than each single knockout model in the mouse^{8,} 295 ⁹. In this study, we demonstrated that *Runx1* contributes to the molecular network 296 controlling pre-granulosa cell differentiation. Loss of Runx1 in somatic cells of the 297 ovaries altered ovarian transcriptome but did not affect ovarian morphogenesis at birth. 298 In contrast, the combined loss of Runx1 and Foxl2 compromised pre-granulosa cells 299 identity. Loss of Runx1 or FoxI2 affected a common set of genes, and these transcriptomic changes were enhanced in the absence of both genes, reaching a 300 301 threshold that masculinized the fetal ovary. One of the most striking changes was the

302 expression of DMRT1 in the fetal supporting cells. DMRT1 is a key driver of Sertoli cell differentiation and testis development in various species^{15, 20}. In the fly, *doublesex (dsx)*, 303 an ortholog of mammalian *DMRT1*, controls testis differentiation¹⁵. Intriguingly, *runt*, the 304 305 fly ortholog of RUNX1, drives ovarian differentiation by antagonizing the testis-specific transcriptional regulation of dsx^{21} . In the mouse, testis differentiation is not controlled by 306 307 DMRT1 but by SOX transcriptions factors SRY and its direct target SOX9. However, 308 RUNX1 does not appear to contribute to the repression of the key pro-testis gene Sox9 309 in the fetal ovary and SOX9 protein was not detected in Runx1/Foxl2 DKO ovary at 310 birth. This is in contrast with the phenotype of Wnt4/Foxl2 DKO newborn ovaries where 311 SOX9 was strongly upregulated, and as a consequence the ovaries were more masculinized⁹. Overall, our findings suggest that slightly different pro-ovarian networks 312 313 control the repression of the evolutionary conserved pro-testis genes Sox9 and Dmrt1: 314 Sox9, which plays a primary role in Sertoli cell differentiation in the mouse, is repressed by an interplay between the WNT4/RSPO1/beta-catenin and FOXL2^{8, 9}. On the other 315 316 hand, Dmrt1, which has taken a secondary role in Sertoli cell differentiation in the 317 mouse, is repressed by an interplay between RUNX1 and FOXL2. 318 Seeking the mechanisms underlying the interplay between RUNX1 and FOXL2, 319 we identified that RUNX DNA binding motif is significantly co-enriched with FOXL2 motif 320 in genomic regions bound by FOXL2 in the fetal ovary. Moreover, RUNX1 genome-wide 321 occupancy partially overlaps with FOXL2 in the fetal ovary, suggesting that they bind

322 common regulatory regions to control granulosa-cell identity and ovarian development.

323 By themselves, RUNX proteins are weak transcription factors, and they require other

transcriptional regulators to function as either repressors or activators of transcription²⁶.

325 Interplay between RUNX1 and several members of the forkhead transcription factor 326 family has been documented in different tissues. For instance, RUNX1 is a co-activator of FOXO3 in hepatic cells⁴⁸. Similarly, an interplay between RUNX1 and 327 328 FOXO1/FOXO3 was demonstrated in breast epithelial cells where a subset of FOXO 329 target genes were jointly regulated with RUNX1⁴⁹. Another forkhead protein, FOXP3, acts with RUNX1 to control gene expression in T-cells⁵⁰ and breast epithelial cells⁵¹. 330 331 Such cooperation in various tissues suggest that the interplay between RUNXs and 332 forkhead transcription factors maybe an evolutionary conserved phenomenon. In 333 addition to the genes co-regulated with FOXL2, we identified genes that were 334 specifically mis-expressed in the absence of Runx1 but not Foxl2. Genome-wide analyses of RUNX1 binding in the fetal ovary also identified genomic regions bound by 335 RUNX1 but not FOXL2. These results suggest that RUNX1 could also contribute to 336 ovarian development or function independently of FOXL2. 337

338

339 RUNX1, a marker of gonadal supporting cell identity

340 RUNX1 contributes to cell fate determination in various developmental processes 341 such as hematopoiesis and hair follicle development. Depending on its interplay with 342 other signal transduction pathways or co-factors, RUNX1 controls which path the precursor cells take when they are at the crossroad between cell proliferation/renewal 343 and lineage-specific commitment²⁵. We discovered that *Runx1* has an ovary-biased 344 345 expression during gonad differentiation in various vertebrate species, including turtle, 346 rainbow trout, goat, mouse, and human. In the mouse embryonic gonads, Runx1 is first 347 detected in the supporting cells in a non-sexually dimorphic way at the onset of sex

348 determination. While its expression is maintained in the ovary, Runx1 appears to be 349 actively repressed in the testis between E11.5 and E12.5 as the supporting cells commit 350 to Sertoli cell fate. The suppression of *Runx1* in the fetal testis is corroborated by 351 previously published data from a time-course transcriptomic analysis during early gonad 352 development⁵² and single-cell sequencing analysis of SF1+ progenitor cells⁵³. The time-353 course of Sertoli cell differentiation at the single-cell level revealed that Runx1 follows 354 an identical spatiotemporal pattern of expression with Sry⁵³. In the mouse, Sry 355 expression in Sertoli cells is quickly turned off after the initiation of testis differentiation, 356 and it is suspected that the repression of Sry is due to a negative feedback loop by 357 downstream pro-testis genes. The similar pattern of downregulation of *Runx1* in the testis after E11.5 raises the possibility that Runx1 is downregulated by a similar 358 359 signaling pathway. Regulation of *Runx1* gene expression is complex, and several enhancers that confer tissue-specific expression have been identified⁵⁴. It remains to be 360 361 determined how *Runx1* expression is controlled in the gonads, and how it is actively 362 repressed in the fetal testis.

363 In contrary to the testis, the fetal ovary maintains expression of *Runx1* in the 364 supporting cells as they differentiate into granulosa cells. During ovarian differentiation, 365 granulosa cells arise from two different waves at different stages of development: the 366 first cohort of granulosa cells arises from the bipotential supporting cell precursors that 367 are able to differentiate into either Sertoli cells or pre-granulosa cells during sex 368 determination⁵⁵. The second wave of granulosa cells that eventually populate the 369 cortical region of the ovary appears later in gestation. These cells of the second wave 370 arise from LGR5+ cells of the ovarian surface epithelium that ingress into the ovary from

371 E15.5 to postnatal day 4 and eventually become LGR5-/FOXL2+ granulosa cells ^{29, 30,} 372 ⁵⁶. This timing of the establishment of the second cohort of granulosa cells correlates 373 with the expression of Runx1-EGFP in a subset of cells in the surface epithelium and in 374 granulosa cells of the ovarian cortex at E16.5 and birth. These results suggest that 375 Runx1 marks granulosa cell precursors that will give rise to the second wave of FOXL2+ 376 granulosa cells in the cortex. Therefore, both expression at onset of sex determination 377 and at the surface epithelium/cortex at the time of the second wave of granulosa cells 378 recruitment suggest that Runx1 is activated in cells that are primed to become 379 supporting/granulosa cells.

380

381 Towards the identification of granulosa cell genomic signatures

382 Multiple transcription factors, rather than a single one, often form complex genetic regulatory networks that control cell fate determination. Genomic sequence 383 384 motifs or cis-regulatory elements for Sertoli cells, the supporting cell lineage in the 385 testis, were identified by combined analyses of SOX9 and DMRT1 ChIP-seg and by motif prediction⁵⁷. These "Sertoli cell signatures" are composed of organized binding 386 387 motifs for transcription factors critical for Sertoli cell differentiation, including SOX9, 388 GATA4 and DMRT1. These Sertoli cell signatures, present in mammals and other 389 vertebrates, could represent a conserved regulatory code that governs the cascade of 390 Sertoli cell differentiation, regardless whether it primarily relies on SOX transcription 391 factors like SRY in mammals, or on DMRT1 like in several vertebrate species. Similarly, one would expect the presence of conserved "granulosa cell signature" genomic regions 392 393 that confers granulosa cell differentiation. Since FOXL2 is a highly conserved gene in

394 granulosa cell differentiation in vertebrates, we used FOXL2 as an anchor factor to 395 identify other factors that could take part in the regulatory network controlling granulosa 396 cell differentiation/function. Unbiased analyses of the motifs co-enriched with FOXL2 397 motif in the fetal ovary identified the RUNX motif as one of the most co-enriched motifs. In addition to the RUNX motif, motifs for CTCF, nuclear receptors SF-1/LRH-1/ESRRB 398 399 and transcription factors TEADs and GATAs were also significantly enriched with 400 FOXL2 consensus motif in FOXL2-bound chromatin regions. For many of these transcription factors, their potential role in gonad differentiation is unknown or limited. 401 402 For example, the transcription factors of the TEAD family belong to the Hippo pathway, which is involved in the regulation of Sertoli cell gene expression in the fetal gonads⁵⁸. 403 404 However, the potential involvement of the hippo pathway in granulosa cell differentiation 405 has not been investigated.

In conclusion, we identified RUNX1 as a transcription factor involved in pregranulosa cell differentiation. RUNX1 delineates the supporting cell lineage and
contributes to granulosa cell differentiation and maintenance of their identity through an
interplay with FOXL2. Our findings provide new insights into the genomic control of
granulosa cell differentiation, and pave the way for the identification of novel
transcription factors and cis-signatures contributing to the fate determination of
granulosa cells and the consequent formation of a functional ovary.

413 Methods

414 Mouse models

- 415 Tg(*Runx1*-EGFP) reporter mouse was purchased from MMRRC (MMRRC_010771-
- 416 UCD), and CD-1 mice were purchased from Charles River (stock number 022). Runx1^{+/-}
- 417 (B6.129S-*Runx1^{tm1Spe}/J*) and *Runx1^{ff}* (B6.129P2-*Runx1^{tm1Tani}/J*) mice were purchased
- 418 from the Jackson Laboratory (stock numbers 005669 and 008772, respectively). Sf1-
- 419 Cre^{Tg/Tg} mice³³ were provided by late Dr. Keith Parker and *FoxI2^{+/-}* mice⁵⁹ by Dr. David
- 420 Schlessinger (National Institute on Aging), respectively. *Runx1* KO mice (*Sf1Cre^{+/Tg}*;
- 421 $Runx1^{f/-}$ were generated by crossing $Runx1^{f/f}$ females with Sf1-Cre^{+/Tg}; $Runx1^{+/-}$ males.
- 422 Controls were *Sf1-Cre^{+/+}; Runx1^{+/f}* littermates. *Runx1/Foxl2* double knockout mice
- 423 (*Sf1Cre*^{+/Tg}; *Runx1*^{f/-}; *FoxI2*^{-/-}) were generated by crossing *Runx1*^{f/f}; *FoxI2*^{+/-} females with
- 424 *Sf1Cre^{+/Tg}; Runx1^{+/-}; FoxI2^{+/-}* males. This cross also generated the single knockouts for
- 425 *Runx1* (*Sf1Cre*^{+/*Tg*}; *Runx1*^{*f/-*}; *FoxI2*^{+/+}) and *FoxI2* (*Sf1Cre*^{+/+}; *Runx1*^{*f/+}; <i>FoxI2*^{-/-}), and</sup>
- 426 control littermates (*Sf1-Cre^{+/+}; Runx1^{+/f}; FoxI2^{+/+}*). Time-mating was set up by housing
- 427 female mice with male mice overnight and the females were checked for the presence

of vaginal plug the next morning. The day when the vaginal plug was detected was

- 429 considered embryonic day E0.5. All experiments were performed on at least four
- 430 animals for each genotype. All animal procedures were approved by the National
- 431 Institutes of Health Animals Care and Use Committee, and were performed in
- 432 accordance with an approved National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences
- 433 animal study proposal.
- 434

428

435 Immunofluorescences

436 For the Tg(*Runx1*-EGFP) mice, gonads were collected and fixed in 4%

437 paraformaldehyde for 1-2h at room temperature. Immunofluorescence experiments

438 were performed on whole gonads at E11.5 and E12.5 and on 8 µm cryosections for

439 E14.5, E16.5 and P0 (birth) gonads. The EGFP was detected in wholemount gonads by

direct fluorescent imaging, and an anti-GFP antibody was used for

immunofluorescences on sections. For the different knockout models, gonads were

442 fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde overnight at 4°C. Immunofluorescence experiments were

443 performed on 7 μm paraffin sections of E15.5 and P0 gonads as previously described⁶⁰.

444 The antibodies used in this study are listed in Table S1. Whole gonads and sections

445 were imaged under a Leica DMI4000 confocal microscope.

446

447 Real-Time PCR analysis in the mouse

448 For the time-course kinetics of Runx1 expression, fetal gonads from CD-1 embryos at embryonic day E11.5, E12.5, E13.5, E14.5, E16.5, E18.5 and postnatal day P3 were 449 450 separated from the mesonephros and snap-frozen. For each stage, 3 biological 451 replicates were collected, with 6 gonads/replicate for the E11.5 stage and 3 452 gonads/replicate for the other stages. For Runx1 KO analysis, control and KO ovaries 453 were collected at E14.5 (n = 4 biological replicates/genotype). For Runx1/Foxl2 DKO 454 analysis, control, Runx1 and Foxl2 single and double KO ovaries were collected at 455 E15.5 (n=4/genotype) and P0 (n=5/genotype). For all experiments, total RNA was 456 isolated for each replicate using PicoPure RNA isolation kit (Arcturus, Mountain View, 457 CA), RNA quality and concentration were determined using the Nanodrop 2000c and 458 300 to 400 ng of RNA was used for cDNA synthesis with the Superscript II cDNA

synthesis system (Invitrogen Corp., Carlsbad, CA). Gene expression was analyzed by
real-time PCR using Bio-Rad CFX96TM Real-Time PCR Detection system. Gene
expression was normalized to *Gapdh*. The Taqman probes and primers used to detect
transcript expression are listed in Table S1. Data were analyzed using Prism GraphPad
Software by unpaired Student's *t-test* or by ANOVA p<0.05. Values are presented as
mean ± s.e.m.

465

466 *Runx1* expression in other species

467 For the rainbow trout, *Runx1* expression during gonadal development was assessed by quantitative PCR, as previously described⁶¹. Species-specific primers used are listed in 468 469 Table S1. For the red-eared slider turtle, *Runx1* expression during gonadal development was assessed at Female-Promoting Temperature (FPT) of 31°C and at Male-Promoting 470 Temperature (MPT) of 26°C by RNA-seq, as previously described⁶². For the goat, 471 472 Runx1 expression during gonadal development was assessed by guantitative PCR, and 473 2 to 3 biological replicates were used for each stage of development as previously described⁶³. Values are presented as mean \pm s.d. All goat handling procedures were 474 475 conducted in compliance with the guidelines on the Care and Use of Agricultural 476 Animals in Agricultural Research and Teaching in France (Authorization no. 91-649 for 477 the Principal Investigator, and national authorizations for all investigators. Approval from 478 the Ethics Committee: 12/045). For the human, Runx1 expression during gonadal 479 development was assessed by RNA-seq (Lecluze et al. in preparation). Human fetuses 480 (6-12 GW) were obtained from legally-induced normally-progressing terminations of 481 pregnancy performed in Rennes University Hospital in France. Tissues were collected

with women's written consent, in accordance with the legal procedure agreed by the
National agency for biomedical research (authorization #PFS09-011; Agence de la
Biomédecine) and the approval of the Local ethics committee of Rennes Hospital in
France (advice # 11-48).

486

487 Microarray analysis

Gene expression analysis of control, Runx1 KO, Foxl2 KO and Runx1/Foxl2 DKO 488 ovaries was conducted using Affymetrix Mouse Genome 430 2.0 GeneChip® arrays 489 490 (Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) on 4 biological replicates (one P0 gonad per replicate) for 491 each genotype. Fifty (50) nanograms of total RNA were amplified and labeled as 492 directed in the WT-Ovation Pico RNA Amplification System and Encore Biotin Module 493 protocols. Amplified biotin-aRNA (4.6 μ g) was fragmented and hybridized to each array 494 for 18 hours at 45°C in a rotating hybridization. Array slides were stained with 495 streptavidin/phycoerythrin utilizing a double-antibody staining procedure and then 496 washed for antibody amplification according to the GeneChip Hybridization, Wash and 497 Stain Kit and user manual following protocol FS450-0004. Arrays were scanned in an 498 Affymetrix Scanner 3000 and data was obtained using the GeneChip® Command 499 Console Software (AGCC; Version 3.2) and Expression Console (Version 1.2). 500 Microarray data have been deposited in GEO under accession code GSE129038. Gene 501 expression analyses were conducted with Partek software (St. Louis, Missouri) using a 502 one-way ANOVA comparing the RMA normalized log2 intensities. A full dataset Excel 503 file containing the normalized log2 intensity of all genes for each genotype, and a 504 graphic view of their expression is provided in Dataset S2. In order to identify

differentially expressed genes, analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to determine if
there was a statistical difference between the means of groups and the gene lists were
filtered with p<0.05 and fold-change cutoff of 1.5. The heat-map was created comparing
the genes that were significantly different between control and *Runx1/Foxl2* double
knockout ovaries. Venn diagrams were generated in Partek by comparing genesymbols between the lists of genes differentially expressed.

511

512 ChIP-seq assays and analysis

513 Ovaries from E14.5 CD-1 embryos were separated from the mesonephros, snap-frozen, 514 and stored at -80°C. RUNX1 ChIP-seq experiments and analyses in E14.5 ovaries were performed as previously described for FOXL2 ChIP-seg⁴¹. Two independent ChIP-seg 515 516 experiments were performed by Active Motif Inc. using 20-30 µg of sheared chromatin 517 from pooled embryonic ovaries (n=100-120 ovaries/ChIP), and 10 µl of RUNX1 518 antibody⁶⁴ (provided by Drs. Yoram Groner and Ditsa Levanon, the Weizmann Institute 519 of Science, Israel). ChIP-seq libraries were sequenced as single-end 75-mers by 520 Illumina NextSeg 500, then filtered to retain only reads with average base guality score >20. Reads were mapped against the mouse mm10 reference genome using Bowtie⁶⁵ 521 522 v1.2 with parameter "-m 1" to collect only uniquely-mapped hits. Duplicate mapped 523 reads were removed using Picard tools MarkDuplicates.jar (v1.110). The number of 524 uniquely-mapped non-duplicate reads for each biological replicate was 8,932,674 and 525 15,036,698. After merging the replicate datasets, binding regions were identified by peak calling using HOMER v.4.9⁶⁶ with FDR<1e-5. Called peaks were subsequently re-526 527 defined as 300mers centered on the called peak midpoints and filtered for a 4-fold

528	enrich	ment over input and over local signal. Genomic distribution of RUNX1-bound			
529	region	s was determined based on Refseq gene models as downloaded from the UCSC			
530	Genome Browser as of August 09, 2017. Enriched motifs were identified using HOMER				
531	findMotifsGenome.pl de novo motif analysis with parameter "-size given". For RUNX1				
532	and FOXL2 ChIP-seq comparisons, binding peaks that had at least 1 bp in common				
533	were considered overlapping. Peaks were assigned to the nearest gene based on				
534	RefSeq. Gene lists were analyzed for enrichment using the online tool EnrichR ⁶⁷ . The				
535	ChIP-	seq data are available in the ReproGenomics Viewer (<u>https://rgv.genouest.org</u>) ^{68,}			
536	⁶⁹ and	Gene Expression Omnibus (GSE128767; http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/geo/).			
537					
538 539	Refer	ences			
540 541	1.	Capel B. Vertebrate sex determination: evolutionary plasticity of a fundamental switch. <i>Genetics</i> 18 , 675-689 (2017).			
542 543 544 545	2.	Chassot AA, <i>et al</i> . Activation of beta-catenin signaling by Rspo1 controls differentiation of the mammalian ovary. <i>Hum Mol Genet</i> 17 , 1264-1277 (2008).			
546 547 548	3.	Tomizuka K, et al. R-spondin1 plays an essential role in ovarian development through positively regulating Wnt-4 signaling. <i>Hum Mol Genet</i> 17 , 1278-1291 (2008).			
549 550 551	4.	Vainio S, Heikkila M, Kispert A, Chin N, McMahon AP. Female development in mammals is regulated by Wnt-4 signalling. <i>Nature</i> 397 , 405-409 (1999).			
552 553 554	5.	Ottolenghi C, et al. Foxl2 is required for commitment to ovary differentiation. Hum Mol Genet 14 , 2053-2062 (2005).			
555 556 557	6.	Schmidt D, et al. The murine winged-helix transcription factor Foxl2 is required for granulosa cell differentiation and ovary maintenance. <i>Development</i> 131 , 933-942 (2004).			
558 559 560	7.	Uhlenhaut NH, et al. Somatic Sex Reprogramming of Adult Ovaries to Testes by FOXL2 Ablation. <i>Cell</i> 139 , 1130-1142 (2009).			
560 561 562	8.	Auguste A, et al. Loss of R-spondin1 and Foxl2 amplifies female-to-male sex reversal in XX mice. Sex Dev 5, 304-317 (2011).			

563		
564	9.	Ottolenghi C, et al. Loss of Wnt4 and Foxl2 leads to female-to-male sex reversal extending
565 566		to germ cells. <i>Hum Mol Genet</i> 16 , 2795-2804 (2007).
567	10.	Herpin A, Schartl M. Plasticity of gene-regulatory networks controlling sex determination:
568		of masters, slaves, usual suspects, newcomers, and usurpators. EMBO Rep 16, 1260-1274
569		(2015).
570		
571	11.	Crisponi L, et al. The putative forkhead transcription factor FOXL2 is mutated in
572		biepharophimosis/ptosis/epicantnus inversus syndrome. <i>Nat Genet</i> 27 , 159-166 (2001).
574	12.	Boulanger L, et al. FOXL2 is a female sex-determining gene in the goat. Curr Biol 24, 404-
575		408 (2014).
576		
577	13.	Li M, et al. Efficient and heritable gene targeting in tilapia by CRISPR/Cas9. Genetics 197,
578		591-599 (2014).
579	1.4	Portha S at al. The unusual rainbour traut car determination gane bijedyed the experied
58U 581	14.	vertebrate gonadal differentiation nathway. Proc Natl Acad Sci 11 S A 115 12781-12786
582		(2018).
583		
584	15.	Raymond CS, et al. Evidence for evolutionary conservation of sex-determining genes.
585		Nature 391 , 691-695 (1998).
586		
587	16.	Matsuda M, et al. DMY is a Y-specific DM-domain gene required for male development in
588		the medaka fish. <i>Nature</i> 417 , 559-563 (2002).
590	17.	Nanda L et al. A duplicated copy of DMRT1 in the sex-determining region of the Y
591	1 7.	chromosome of the medaka, Oryzias latipes. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 99 , 11778-11783
592		(2002).
593		
594	18.	Matson CK, Murphy MW, Sarver AL, Griswold MD, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D. DMRT1
595		prevents female reprogramming in the postnatal mammalian testis. <i>Nature</i> 476 , 101-104
596		(2011).
598	19	Mello MP et al. Novel DMRT1 3'LITR+11insT mutation associated to XY partial gonadal
599	15.	dysgenesis. Ara Bras Endocrinol Metabol 54 , 749-753 (2010).
600		
601	20.	Raymond CS, Murphy MW, O'Sullivan MG, Bardwell VJ, Zarkower D. Dmrt1, a gene related
602		to worm and fly sexual regulators, is required for mammalian testis differentiation. Genes
603		<i>Dev</i> 14 , 2587-2595 (2000).
604		

Duffy JB, Gergen JP. The Drosophila Segmentation Gene Runt Acts as a Position-Specific
Numerator Element Necessary for the Uniform Expression of the Sex-Determining Gene
Sex-Lethal. *Genes Dev* 5, 2176-2187 (1991).

- Kramer SG, Jinks TM, Schedl P, Gergen JP. Direct activation of Sex-lethal transcription by
 the Drosophila Runt protein. *Development* **126**, 191-200 (1999).
- 61223.Nef S, et al. Gene expression during sex determination reveals a robust female genetic613program at the onset of ovarian development. Dev Biol 287, 361-377 (2005).
- 615 24. Sullivan JC, *et al.* The evolutionary origin of the Runx/CBFbeta transcription factors--616 studies of the most basal metazoans. *BMC Evol Biol* **8**, 228 (2008).
- 61825.Groner Y, Ito Y, Liu P, Neil JC, Speck NA, van Wijnen A (eds). RUNX Proteins in Development619and Cancer, Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology 962. (Springer, 2017).
- 621 26. Chuang LS, Ito K, Ito Y. RUNX family: Regulation and diversification of roles through 622 interacting proteins. *Int J Cancer* **132**, 1260-1271 (2013).
- 624 27. Gong S, *et al.* A gene expression atlas of the central nervous system based on bacterial artificial chromosomes. *Nature* **425**, 917-925 (2003).
- 62728.Koopman P, Gubbay J, Vivian N, Goodfellow P, Lovell-Badge R. Male development of628chromosomally female mice transgenic for Sry. Nature **351**, 117-121 (1991).
- 630 29. Mork L, *et al.* Temporal Differences in Granulosa Cell Specification in the Ovary Reflect
 631 Distinct Follicle Fates in Mice. *Biol Reprod* 86, (2012).
- 63330.Rastetter RH, et al. Marker genes identify three somatic cell types in the fetal mouse634ovary. Dev Biol **394**, 242-252 (2014).
- 636 31. Okuda T, vanDeursen J, Hiebert SW, Grosveld G, Downing JR. AML1, the target of multiple
 637 chromosomal translocations in human leukemia, is essential for normal fetal liver
 638 hematopoiesis. *Cell* 84, 321-330 (1996).
- Wang Q, Stacy T, Binder M, MarinPadilla M, Sharpe AH, Speck NA. Disruption of the Cbfa2
 gene causes necrosis and hemorrhaging in the central nervous system and blocks
 definitive hematopoiesis. *P Natl Acad Sci USA* **93**, 3444-3449 (1996).
- 643

608

611

614

617

620

623

626

629

632

635

639

64433.Bingham NC, Verma-Kurvari S, Parada LF, Parker KL. Development of a steroidogenic645factor 1/Cre transgenic mouse line. *Genesis* 44, 419-424 (2006).

Lei N, Hornbaker KI, Rice DA, Karpova T, Agbor VA, Heckert LL. Sex-specific differences in
mouse DMRT1 expression are both cell type- and stage-dependent during gonad
development. *Biol Reprod* 77, 466-475 (2007).

65135.Chaboissier MC, et al. Functional analysis of Sox8 and Sox9 during sex determination in652the mouse. Development 131, 1891-1901 (2004).

650

653

656

660

663

667

670

674

677

680

683

- 65436.Vidal VP, Chaboissier MC, de Rooij DG, Schedl A. Sox9 induces testis development in XX655transgenic mice. Nat Genet 28, 216-217 (2001).
- 65737.Jorgez CJ, Klysik M, Jamin SP, Behringer RR, Matzuk MM. Granulosa cell-specific658inactivation of follistatin causes female fertility defects. *Mol Endocrinol* **18**, 953-967659(2004).
- 66138.Britt KL, et al. The ovarian phenotype of the aromatase knockout (ArKO) mouse. J Steroid662Biochem Mol Biol **79**, 181-185 (2001).
- 39. Jameson SA, et al. Temporal transcriptional profiling of somatic and germ cells reveals
 biased lineage priming of sexual fate in the fetal mouse gonad. *PLoS Genet* 8, e1002575
 (2012).
- 66840.Colvin JS, Green RP, Schmahl J, Capel B, Ornitz DM. Male-to-female sex reversal in mice669lacking fibroblast growth factor 9. *Cell* **104**, 875-889 (2001).
- 41. Nicol B, Grimm SA, Gruzdev A, Scott GJ, Ray MK, Yao HHC. Genome-wide identification of
 FOXL2 binding and characterization of FOXL2 feminizing action in the fetal gonads. *Hum*Mol Genet 27, 4273-4287 (2018).
- 67542.Ong CT, Corces VG. CTCF: an architectural protein bridging genome topology and676function. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **15**, 234-246 (2014).
- 67843.Park M, et al. FOXL2 interacts with steroidogenic factor-1 (SF-1) and represses SF-1-679induced CYP17 transcription in granulosa cells. Mol Endocrinol 24, 1024-1036 (2010).
- 68144.Yang WH, Gutierrez NM, Wang LZ, Ellsworth BS, Wang CM. Synergistic Activation of the682Mc2r Promoter by FOXL2 and NR5A1 in Mice. *Biol Reprod* 83, 842-851 (2010).
- 68445.Meyers S, Downing JR, Hiebert SW. Identification of AML-1 and the (8;21) translocation685protein (AML-1/ETO) as sequence-specific DNA-binding proteins: the runt homology686domain is required for DNA binding and protein-protein interactions. *Mol Cell Biol* 13,6876336-6345 (1993).
- 68946.Blount AL, Schmidt K, Justice NJ, Vale WW, Fischer WH, Bilezikjian LM. FoxL2 and Smad3690coordinately regulate follistatin gene transcription. J Biol Chem 284, 7631-7645 (2009).

691
692 47. Barrionuevo F, et al. Homozygous inactivation of Sox9 causes complete XY sex reversal in
693 mice. *Biol Reprod* 74, 195-201 (2006).

694

698

702

705

708

712

715

718

721

724

728

- Wildey GM, Howe PH. Runx1 is a co-activator with FOXO3 to mediate transforming
 growth factor beta (TGFbeta)-induced Bim transcription in hepatic cells. *J Biol Chem* 284,
 20227-20239 (2009).
- Wang L, Brugge JS, Janes KA. Intersection of FOXO- and RUNX1-mediated gene expression
 programs in single breast epithelial cells during morphogenesis and tumor progression. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **108**, E803-812 (2011).
- 703 50. Ono M, et al. Foxp3 controls regulatory T-cell function by interacting with AML1/Runx1.
 704 Nature 446, 685-689 (2007).
- 70651.Recouvreux MS, et al. RUNX1 and FOXP3 interplay regulates expression of breast cancer707related genes. Oncotarget 7, 6552-6565 (2016).
- 70952.Munger SC, Natarajan A, Looger LL, Ohler U, Capel B. Fine time course expression analysis710identifies cascades of activation and repression and maps a putative regulator of711mammalian sex determination. PLoS Genet 9, e1003630 (2013).
- 71353.Stevant I, et al. Deciphering Cell Lineage Specification during Male Sex Determination with714Single-Cell RNA Sequencing. Cell reports 22, 1589-1599 (2018).
- 71654.Nottingham WT, et al. Runx1-mediated hematopoietic stem-cell emergence is controlled717by a Gata/Ets/SCL-regulated enhancer. Blood 110, 4188-4197 (2007).
- 71955.Albrecht KH, Eicher EM. Evidence that Sry is expressed in pre-Sertoli cells and Sertoli and720granulosa cells have a common precursor. Dev Biol 240, 92-107 (2001).
- 72256.Feng L, et al. ADAM10-Notch signaling governs the recruitment of ovarian pregranulosa723cells and controls folliculogenesis in mice. J Cell Sci 129, 2202-2212 (2016).
- 725 57. Rahmoun M, et al. In mammalian foetal testes, SOX9 regulates expression of its target
 726 genes by binding to genomic regions with conserved signatures. *Nucleic Acids Res* 45,
 727 7191-7211 (2017).
- 58. Levasseur A, Paquet M, Boerboom D, Boyer A. Yes-associated protein and WW-containing
 transcription regulator 1 regulate the expression of sex-determining genes in Sertoli cells,
 but their inactivation does not cause sex reversal. *Biol Reprod* 97, 162-175 (2017).
- 73359.Uda M, et al. Foxl2 disruption causes mouse ovarian failure by pervasive blockage of734follicle development. Hum Mol Genet 13, 1171-1181 (2004).

736 60. Nicol B, Yao HH. Gonadal Identity in the Absence of Pro-Testis Factor SOX9 and Pro-Ovary 737 Factor Beta-Catenin in Mice. *Biol Reprod* 93, 35 (2015). 738 739 Marivin E, et al. Sex hormone-binding globulins characterization and gonadal gene 61. 740 expression during sex differentiation in the rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss. Mol 741 *Reprod Dev* **81**, 757-765 (2014). 742 743 62. Czerwinski M, Natarajan A, Barske L, Looger LL, Capel B. A timecourse analysis of systemic 744 and gonadal effects of temperature on sexual development of the red-eared slider turtle 745 Trachemys scripta elegans. Dev Biol 420, 166-177 (2016). 746 747 63. Elzaiat M, et al. High-throughput sequencing analyses of XX genital ridges lacking FOXL2 748 reveal DMRT1 up-regulation before SOX9 expression during the sex-reversal process in 749 goats. Biol Reprod 91, 153 (2014). 750 751 64. Umansky KB, et al. Runx1 Transcription Factor Is Required for Myoblasts Proliferation 752 during Muscle Regeneration. PLoS Genet 11, e1005457 (2015). 753 754 Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Ultrafast and memory-efficient alignment of 65. 755 short DNA sequences to the human genome. Genome Biol 10, R25 (2009). 756

- Heinz S, *et al.* Simple combinations of lineage-determining transcription factors prime cisregulatory elements required for macrophage and B cell identities. *Mol Cell* 38, 576-589
 (2010).
- 761 67. Chen EY, *et al.* Enrichr: interactive and collaborative HTML5 gene list enrichment analysis
 762 tool. *BMC Bioinformatics* 14, 128 (2013).
- 764 68. Darde TA, et al. The ReproGenomics Viewer: a multi-omics and cross-species resource
 765 compatible with single-cell studies for the reproductive science community.
 766 Bioinformatics, (2019).
- 76869.Darde TA, et al. The ReproGenomics Viewer: an integrative cross-species toolbox for the769reproductive science community. Nucleic Acids Res 43, W109-116 (2015).
- 770

767

760

763

735

771

772 Acknowledgments

- 773 We thank the late Keith Parker (UT Southwestern Medical Center, USA) for the *Sf1*-Cre
- mice, David Schlessinger (National Institute on Aging, USA) for the *FoxI2*^{+/-} mice, Yoram

775	Groner and Ditsa Levanon (The Weizmann Institute of Science, Israel) for the RUNX1
776	antibody, David Zarkower (University of Minnesota, USA) for the DMRT1 antibody, Ken
777	Morohashi (Kyushu University, Japan) for the SF1 and SOX9 antibodies, and Dagmar
778	Wilhelm (University of Melbourne, Australia) for the SRY antibody. We are grateful for
779	the NIEHS Molecular Genomics Core, Integrative Bioinformatics Support Group,
780	Comparative Medicine Branch, and Cellular and Molecular Pathology Branch for their
781	services.
782	
783	Authors contributions
784	B.N. performed the experiments in the mouse; B.N. and H.HC.Y. designed the study,
785	analyzed data and wrote the paper; S.A.G performed bioinformatic analyses; F.C and
786	E.L. analyzed RUNX1 expression in human fetal gonads; M.P. and E.P. analyzed
787	RUNX1 expression in the goat; E.D-D-P. and Y.G. analyzed runx1 expression in
788	rainbow trout; B.C. analyzed Runx1 expression in the red-eared slider turtle. S.A.G,
789	E.L., F.C., M.P., E.P., E.D-D-P., Y.G., B.C., and H.H-C.Y. edited the paper.
790	
791	Competing interests
792	The authors declare no competing financial or non-financial interests.
793	
794	Materials & Correspondence
795	Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to H.H-C.Y. (email:
796	humphrey.yao@nih.gov)

798 Funding

- 799 This work was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program (ES102965) of the
- 800 NIH, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences in the U.S.. The goat study
- 801 was supported by Agence Nationale de la Recherche in France (ANR-16-CE14-0020).
- 802 The human fetal gonads study was supported by l'Institut national de la santé et de la
- 803 recherche médicale (Inserm), l'Université de Rennes 1 and l'Ecole des hautes études
- 804 en santé publique (EHESP) in France.
- 805
- 806
- 807

808 Figure legends

809

810 Figure 1: *RUNX1* expression is enriched in female gonads during gonadal

811 differentiation in the mouse and other vertebrates

- (a) Expression of *Runx1*, *Runx2* and *Runx3* mRNAs in ovaries and testis of E14.5
- 813 mouse embryos (n= 5/sex); Values are presented as mean ± s.e.m.; non-parametric t-
- test, ***P*<0.01; ns: not significant. (b) Expression time course of *Runx1* mRNA in mouse
- gonads during gonadal differentiation (n=3/stage); Values are presented as mean ±
- s.e.m. (c-f) Time course of *RUNX1* mRNA expression in four other vertebrate species,
- 817 human, goat, red-eared slider turtle and rainbow trout during gonad differentiation. For
- 818 the turtle, pink and blue bars represent gonads at Female-Promoting Temperature FPT
- of 31°C and at Male-Promoting Temperature MPT of 26°C respectively⁶². *RUNX1*
- 820 expression was analyzed by RNA-seq in human and red-eared slider turtle⁶², and by
- qPCR in the goat and rainbow trout. Green highlighted areas represent the window of
- 822 early gonadal differentiation.
- 823
- Figure 2: *Runx1* is expressed in the supporting cell lineage during gonad
- 825 differentiation in the mouse embryos

826 (a-g) Whole mount immunofluorescence of testes and ovaries from Tg(Runx1-EGFP)

reporter mice at E11.5 and E12.5. Gonads with endogenous EGFP were co-labeled

- with markers for germ cells/vasculature (PECAM-1; a-b), somatic cells (SF1; c-d),
- 829 Sertoli cells in the testis (SRY in e and SOX9 in f), and for granulosa cells in the ovary
- 830 (FOXL2; g). Scale bars: 100 μm. (h-i) Detection of endogenous EGFP in freshly
- collected E14.5 gonads. Scale bars: 200 μm. Dotted lines outline the gonads.

832

833 Figure 3: Runx1 expression is maintained in granulosa cells throughout fetal 834 ovarian development 835 (a-c) Immunofluorescence for EGFP on Tg(Runx1-EGFP) ovary sections at E14.5, E16.5 and birth. Scale bars: 50 µm. (d-i) Immunofluorescence for EGFP and the 836 granulosa cell marker FOXL2 at E14.5 (d-f) and E16.5 (g-i), corresponding to the white 837 838 square outlined areas in (a) and (b) respectively. Runx1 is expressed in granulosa cells 839 throughout ovarian development and the surface epithelium after E14.5. Dotted lines 840 outline the gonads. Arrows: EGFP+ ovarian surface epithelium. Arrowheads: 841 EGFP/FOXL2 double positive cells. Scale bars: 50 µm. 842 843 Figure 4: Runx1 KO newborn ovaries present normal morphogenesis but share 844 common transcriptomic changes with Fox/2 KO ovaries. (a) Validation of *Runx1* knockout in E14.5 fetal ovaries by quantitative PCR (n=5); 845 unpaired Student's t-test ***P<0.001. Values are presented as mean ± s.e.m. (b) 846 Immunofluorescence for granulosa cell marker FOXL2, germ cell marker TRA98 and 847 848 nuclear counterstain DAPI (blue) in control and Runx1 KO ovaries at birth (P0). Scale 849 bar: 100 µm. (c) Venn diagram comparing the 317 genes differentially expressed in 850 newborn Runx1 KO vs. Control ovaries (green circle) with the 749 genes differentially 851 expressed in newborn Fox/2 KO vs. Control ovaries (purple circle). Genes differentially 852 expressed were identified by microarray (n=4/genotype; fold change >1.5, p<0.05). (d) 853 Quantitative PCR analysis of Runx1 and Fox/2 mRNA expression in control, Runx1 KO,

	and Eav/2KO nowharn	overies (n=5)	anotype)		proported on	$maan \pm a a m$
004	and Fuxiz NO newpoint	ovaries (II-5/	genotype).	values ale	presenteu as	mean ± 5.e.m.

- One-way ANOVA, *P* < 0.05. Bars with different letters (a, b) are significantly different.
- 856

857 Figure 5: Combined loss of *Runx1* and *Foxl2* results in masculinization of the

- 858 fetal ovaries.
- (a-j) Immunofluorescence for the Sertoli cell and germ cell marker DMRT1, the germ
- cell marker TRA98 and the granulosa cell marker FOXL2 in control, *Runx1* KO, *Foxl2*
- KO, Runx1/Foxl2 double knockout ovaries and control testes at E15.5 (a-e) and birth (f-
- **j)**. The grey represents DAPI nuclear staining. Dotted lines outline the gonads. Higher
- 863 magnifications are shown for the outlined boxes in **a-e** and **f-j** respectively. Scale bars:
- 864 100 μm.
- 865

866 Figure 6: SOX9 protein is not detected in *Runx1/FoxI2* DKO newborn ovaries

867 Immunofluorescence for Sertoli cell markers DMRT1 and SOX9 and germ cell marker

TRA98 on consecutive sections in control testis (a & c) and Runx1/Foxl2 DKO ovary (b

869 & d) at birth. Scale bar: 50 μ m.

870

871 Figure 7: Comparison of Runx1 KO, Foxl2 KO and Runx1/Foxl2 double KO

- 872 transcriptomes.
- (a) Heat-map for the 918 genes differentially expressed in *Runx1/Foxl2* double knockout
- 874 (DKO) vs. control (Ctr) newborn ovaries. The heat map shows the expression of these
- 918 genes in control, *Runx1* KO, *Foxl2* KO and *Runx1/Foxl2* DKO ovaries (microarray;
- n=4/genotype; One-way ANOVA; fold change >1.5, P<0.05). (b-c) Venn diagram

877	comparing the genes downregulated (b) or upregulated (c) in $Runx1$ KO (green circle),
878	Foxl2 KO (purple circle) and Runx1/Foxl2 DKO (purple circle) newborn ovaries. (d-g)
879	Validation by quantitative PCR of genes identified in the Venn diagrams as significantly
880	downregulated in all three KO (d), or only in <i>Runx1/Foxl2</i> DKO (e), or significantly
881	upregulated in all in all three KO (f) or only in Runx1/Foxl2 DKO (g). (h-i) Identification
882	of the genes differentially expressed in Runx1/Foxl2 DKO vs. Foxl2 KO ovaries and
883	validation of candidate genes by quantitative PCR. (j) Expression of Sox9 and Amh is
884	not changed in <i>Runx1/Foxl2</i> DKO compared to <i>Foxl2</i> KO ovaries. For all the qPCR
885	data, values are presented as mean \pm s.e.m (n=5/genotype). One-way ANOVA, P <
886	0.05. Bars with different letters (a, b, c) are significantly different.
887	
888	Figure 8: RUNX1 and FOXL2 exhibit extensive overlaps in chromatin binding in
888 889	Figure 8: RUNX1 and FOXL2 exhibit extensive overlaps in chromatin binding in fetal ovaries.
888 889 890	Figure 8: RUNX1 and FOXL2 exhibit extensive overlaps in chromatin binding in fetal ovaries.(a) <i>de novo</i> motif analysis of FOXL2 peaks identifies enrichment of RUNX motif along
888 889 890 891	 Figure 8: RUNX1 and FOXL2 exhibit extensive overlaps in chromatin binding in fetal ovaries. (a) <i>de novo</i> motif analysis of FOXL2 peaks identifies enrichment of RUNX motif along with FOXL2 motif in E14.5 ovaries. (b) The top <i>de novo</i> motif for RUNX1 ChIP-seq in
888 889 890 891 892	 Figure 8: RUNX1 and FOXL2 exhibit extensive overlaps in chromatin binding in fetal ovaries. (a) <i>de novo</i> motif analysis of FOXL2 peaks identifies enrichment of RUNX motif along with FOXL2 motif in E14.5 ovaries. (b) The top <i>de novo</i> motif for RUNX1 ChIP-seq in E14.5 ovaries corresponds to a RUNX motif. (c) Distribution of genomic location of the
888 889 890 891 892 893	Figure 8: RUNX1 and FOXL2 exhibit extensive overlaps in chromatin binding in fetal ovaries. (a) <i>de novo</i> motif analysis of FOXL2 peaks identifies enrichment of RUNX motif along with FOXL2 motif in E14.5 ovaries. (b) The top <i>de novo</i> motif for RUNX1 ChIP-seq in E14.5 ovaries corresponds to a RUNX motif. (c) Distribution of genomic location of the 10,494 RUNX1 binding peaks. TSS: Transcription Start Site; TES: Transcription End
888 889 890 891 892 893 894	Figure 8: RUNX1 and FOXL2 exhibit extensive overlaps in chromatin binding in fetal ovaries. (a) <i>de novo</i> motif analysis of FOXL2 peaks identifies enrichment of RUNX motif along with FOXL2 motif in E14.5 ovaries. (b) The top <i>de novo</i> motif for RUNX1 ChIP-seq in E14.5 ovaries corresponds to a RUNX motif. (c) Distribution of genomic location of the 10,494 RUNX1 binding peaks. TSS: Transcription Start Site; TES: Transcription End Site. (d) Comparison of RUNX1 (10,494 peaks) and FOXL2 (11,438 peaks) chromatin
888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895	Figure 8: RUNX1 and FOXL2 exhibit extensive overlaps in chromatin binding in fetal ovaries. (a) <i>de novo</i> motif analysis of FOXL2 peaks identifies enrichment of RUNX motif along with FOXL2 motif in E14.5 ovaries. (b) The top <i>de novo</i> motif for RUNX1 ChIP-seq in E14.5 ovaries corresponds to a RUNX motif. (c) Distribution of genomic location of the 10,494 RUNX1 binding peaks. TSS: Transcription Start Site; TES: Transcription End Site. (d) Comparison of RUNX1 (10,494 peaks) and FOXL2 (11,438 peaks) chromatin occupancy in E14.5 ovaries.
888 889 890 891 892 893 894 895 896	Figure 8: RUNX1 and FOXL2 exhibit extensive overlaps in chromatin binding in fetal ovaries. (a) <i>de novo</i> motif analysis of FOXL2 peaks identifies enrichment of RUNX motif along with FOXL2 motif in E14.5 ovaries. (b) The top <i>de novo</i> motif for RUNX1 ChIP-seq in E14.5 ovaries corresponds to a RUNX motif. (c) Distribution of genomic location of the 10,494 RUNX1 binding peaks. TSS: Transcription Start Site; TES: Transcription End Site. (d) Comparison of RUNX1 (10,494 peaks) and FOXL2 (11,438 peaks) chromatin occupancy in E14.5 ovaries.

898 that are nearest to RUNX1 and/or FOXL2 genomic binding peaks

(a) Pie-chart of the genes significantly changed in *Runx1/Foxl2* DKO based on the

- 900 presence of peak for FOXL2 and/or RUNX1. Genome browser view of 2 key genes
- 901 significantly changed in *Runx1/Foxl2* DKO ovaries and bound by RUNX1 and FOXL2 in
- 902 E14.5 ovaries. Blue arrows: gene orientation; Orange highlighted area: significant
- 903 binding peaks identified by HOMER. (b-d) Examples of genes affected in *Runx1/Foxl2*
- 904 DKO ovary and bound by FOXL2 or/and RUNX1. For each gene, we show the genome
- 905 browser view of RUNX1 and/or FOXL2 binding in E14.5 ovaries, the gene expression
- 906 by quantitative PCR in *Runx1/Foxl2* single and double knockouts at E15.5
- 907 (n=4/genotype; mean ± s.e.m.; One-way ANOVA, P < 0.05.), and the gene expression
- in fetal Sertoli and granulosa cells from E11.5 to E13.5³⁹. Bars with different letters (a,
- b) are significantly different.

Figure 1:



Figure 2



Figure 3:



Figure 4:



Figure 5:



Figure 6:



Figure 7:



Figure 8:

а	FOXL2 ChIP-seq				
	J.J.J. FOX		→ Target gene		
<i>De novo</i> motif analysis					
Rank	De novo Motif	P-value	Best Matches		
1	SETGTTIACES	1.E-1659	FOXL2		
2	CCACTAGETGGC	1.E-57	CTCF		
3	AAACCGCA	1.E-32	RUNX		
4	ZYZCAAGGZCA Ê	1.E-30	NR5A2 / NR5A1/ ESRRB		
5	GGAATGIG	1.E-24	TEAD		
6	AAACTCCCGG	1.E-21	ETS		
7	CCCTCATTCC	1.E-20	NFYA		
8	TTATC99C	1.E-20	GATA4		



Figure 9:



