

## **Differential immune gene expression associated with contemporary range expansion of two invasive rodents in Senegal**

Nathalie Charbonnel, Maxime Galan, Caroline Tatard, Anne Loiseau, Christophe Amidi Diagne, Ambroise Dalecky, Hugues Parrinello, Stéphanie Rialle, Dany Severac, Carine Brouat

### **To cite this version:**

Nathalie Charbonnel, Maxime Galan, Caroline Tatard, Anne Loiseau, Christophe Amidi Diagne, et al.. Differential immune gene expression associated with contemporary range expansion of two invasive rodents in Senegal. Scientific Reports, 2020, Peer Community in Ecology, 10, pp.18257. 10.1101/442160. hal-02790736v2

## **HAL Id: hal-02790736 <https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02790736v2>**

Submitted on 30 Jun 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



[Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)

# scientific reports



## **Diferential immune gene OPEN expression associated with contemporary range expansion in two invasive rodents in Senegal**

 $\mathsf{Mathalie}$  Charbonnel<sup>1⊠</sup>, Maxime Galan<sup>1</sup>, Caroline Tatard<sup>1</sup>, Anne Loiseau<sup>1</sup>, **Christophe Diagne [1](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6406-1270),2, Ambroise Dalecky3 , Hugues Parrinello4 , Stephanie Rialle4 , Dany Severac4 & Carine Brouat1**

**Biological invasions are major anthropogenic changes associated with threats to biodiversity and health. However, what determines the successful establishment and spread of introduced populations remains unclear. Here, we explore several hypotheses linking invasion success and immune phenotype traits, including those based on the evolution of increased competitive ability concept. We compared gene expression profles between anciently and recently established populations of two major invading species, the house mouse** *Mus musculus domesticus* **and the black rat** *Rattus rattus***, in Senegal (West Africa). Transcriptome analyses identifed diferential expression between anciently and recently established populations for 364 mouse genes and 83 rat genes. All immunerelated genes displaying diferential expression along the mouse invasion route were overexpressed at three of the four recently invaded sites studied. Complement activation pathway genes were overrepresented among these genes. By contrast, no particular immunological process was found to be overrepresented among the diferentially expressed genes of black rat. Changes in transcriptome profles were thus observed along invasion routes, but with diferent specifc patterns between the two invasive species. These changes may be driven by increases in infection risks at sites recently invaded by the house mouse, and by stochastic events associated with colonization history for the black rat. These results constitute a frst step toward the identifcation of immune eco-evolutionary processes potentially involved in the invasion success of these two rodent species.**

Biological invasions are considered to be one of the fve most important anthropogenic changes, with major impacts on biodiversity<sup>1,2</sup> and human health<sup>3,4</sup>. Considerable progress has been made in determining population histories and the invasive routes of exotic species, but the mechanisms driving the successful establishment and spread of introduced populations remain unclear. In particular, the assertion that invasion success depends on the contemporary evolution of phenotypic traits of advantage at invasion fronts has seldom been tested, particularly in vertebrates (but see<sup>5,6</sup>).

From an eco-evolutionary perspective, invasion success may depend on pre-adaptation within the original range<sup>7,8</sup> or on rapid changes in phenotypic traits (through selection on new/standing genetic variation or adaptive plasticity) advantageous in newly colonized areas<sup>7,9</sup>. In this context, many hypotheses have been formulated concerning traits related to the ability to cope with new environments<sup>10</sup>. In particular, large changes in parasite pressure can occur in introduced species, because of enemy release or the spillover of native parasites, along invasion gradients between the native and invaded areas<sup>11-15</sup> or within invaded regions, from introduction areas to invasion fronts<sup>16,17</sup>. Invasion success may therefore depend on immune strategies. Two general hypotheses have been developed, in accordance with the life history theory. The "evolution of increased competitive ability"

<sup>1</sup>CBGP, INRAE, CIRAD, IRD, Montpellier SupAgro, Univ Montpellier, Montpellier, France. <sup>2</sup>Départment de Biologie Animale, Faculté des Sciences et Techniques, Université Cheikh Anta Diop (UCAD), Fann, Dakar, Senegal. <sup>3</sup>LPED, IRD, Aix Marseille Univ, Marseille, France. <sup>4</sup>MGX-Montpellier GenomiX, c/o Institut de Génomique Fonctionnelle, Montpellier, France. <sup>⊠</sup>email: nathalie.charbonnel@inrae.fr

 $(EICA)^{18}$  is based on trade-offs between immune traits and other life history traits. According to this theory, the loss of parasites that often occurs during the course of invasion should lead to a release of energy resources previously dedicated to anti-parasite defenses. The reallocation of this energy to other life history traits, increasing competitive abilities and favoring range expansion (e.g. dispersal, reproduction), would therefore be expected to be favored. According to the "EICA-refined" hypothesis<sup>19</sup>, invaders may encounter new infection risks during the course of invasion, due to a spillover of native parasites, for example. In such situations, the allocation of energy to anti-parasite defenses would be expected to increase to a lesser extent, instead being modulated in favor of defense strategies with lower costs. Indeed, diferent immune pathways seem to have diferent developmental, maintenance and use costs<sup>20</sup>. In vertebrates, costly immune pathways, such as inflammation, may be attenuated, whereas less costly pathways, such as antibody-mediated responses, may be favored<sup>5,19,21,22</sup>. As an alternative to both the EICA and EICA-refned hypotheses, it is also possible that invaders display stronger immune responses as a result of exposure to new parasites and their pathologic efects at invasion fronts.

A recent analysis reviewing empirical studies showed that, in most cases, immune responses display variations during invasions, although no general pattern supporting the EICA hypotheses was detected<sup>21</sup>. The authors highlighted a problem due to the lack of studies reporting a large array of immune responses for the correct assessment of immunocompetence and estimation of immune trade-ofs. Unfortunately, immune phenotyping is ofen based on a small number of immune efectors, due to the availability of only small amounts of material (blood, tissue), or the difficulty obtaining immune kits for non-model species.

The recent advent of 'omics' technologies has made it possible to explore a large set of genes and pathways involved in the response to new conditions experienced at invasion fronts. Genome scans analyzing genome-wide variation with a view to detect loci evolving under positive directional selection have been successfully performed in some case studies of invasion (e.g., $2^{3-25}$ ). These scans have identified signatures of contemporary adaptation associated with invasion, some of which are related to immunity<sup>26</sup>. Nevertheless, this genomic approach, based on the detection of single-nucleotide polymorphism outliers, cannot detect rapid adaptation occurring over a few generations, through phenotypic plasticity, for example. Transcriptomics, the analysis of gene expression at a genome-wide scale, is a complementary approach that could potentially fll in these gaps, making it possible to decipher the molecular mechanisms underlying phenotypic changes<sup>27,28</sup>. Indeed, gene expression is an essential mechanism for rapid acclimatization or adaptation to new environments<sup>29-33</sup>. Transcriptomics has thus been used to identify genes and gene regulatory pathways contributing to phenotypic variation at invasion fronts. Evidence for transcriptomic divergence along invasion gradients has already been reported<sup>25,34</sup>, in some cases highlighting the important role of immune regulation in invasion success<sup>35</sup>. However, only one study to date has analyzed immune gene expression in the context of established variations of parasite pressures along invasion routes<sup>36</sup>. Such studies are essential, to test the EICA hypotheses and to increase our understanding of the interactions between immune system regulation and invasion success $21$ .

We investigated transcriptional profles along the invasion routes of the house mouse *Mus musculus domesticus* and the black rat *Rattus rattus,* which are currently invading Senegal (West Africa). Both these rodent species originate from Asia and made use of human migration to expand their distribution range worldwide $37,38$ . In Senegal, historical records (see references in $39$  and molecular analyses $40,41$ ) show that these rodents were first brought to Senegal, by sea, by European explorers and settlers. They were reported to be common in coastal villages and towns from the middle of the nineteenth century, and their distribution areas remained restricted to the coastal area until the beginning of the twentieth century. Both taxa then spread further inland, due to increases in human activities and the further development of transport infrastructures. Our previous study, based on a small number of immune efectors, highlighted the occurrence of stronger infammatory and/or antibody-mediated responses at recently invaded sites than at anciently invaded ones, for both invaders<sup>42</sup>. These immune variations may refect responses to new parasite pressures encountered in recently invaded areas. Surveys of the bacterial communities present in the spleens of rodents from Senegal were found to be consistent with this prediction<sup>43</sup>, as changes in bacterial composition were observed along the routes of invasion of both the house mouse and the black rat. Exotic rodents were exposed to a greater diversity of *Mycoplasma* sp. and to higher levels of *Bartonella* sp. at recently invaded sites, due to the high prevalence of these bacteria in the native rodent *Mastomys erythroleucus*43. By contrast, decreases in the pressure due to certain parasites were also observed, as the number of helminths present in the gastrointestinal tract of rodents was smaller at recently invaded sites than at anciently invaded ones, suggesting enemy release for these macroparasites<sup>44</sup>. Decreases were observed in both the overall prevalence and species richness of gastrointestinal helminths, for both the house mouse and the black rat44.

We therefore developed a whole-RNA sequencing (i.e., RNAseq) approach to assess diferential patterns of gene expression between populations from recently invaded and long-established invasion sites. We focused on the spleen in both species, as this immune-related organ of vertebrates is commonly used for immunological studies. We tested the null hypothesis of an absence of diference in immune gene expression patterns along invasion routes (i.e. recently invaded sites *vs*. long-established invasion sites). We tested two alternative hypotheses. According to the EICA-refned hypothesis, expression levels would be expected to be lower for genes encoding proteins involved in energetically costly immune pathways (e.g., infammation) and higher for genes encoding proteins involved in cost-efective immune pathways (e.g., antibody-mediated responses), at recently invaded sites<sup>19</sup>. The changes in infection risk at recently invaded sites may mediate such trade-offs between energetically costly and cost-efective immune pathways43,44. We also tested the alternative hypothesis that rodents from recently invaded sites display higher overall levels of immune gene expression in response to the new parasite pressures encountered<sup>5,45,46</sup>.

More specifcally, we investigated the following questions: (1) Does immune gene expression difer between anciently and recently invaded populations of mice and rats? (2) Are certain functional categories of immune genes overrepresented among the diferentially expressed genes? (3) Do these results support the EICA hypothesis

2



**Figure 1.** Sampling sites for the house mouse, *Mus musculus domesticus* (symbols in white) and the black rat, *Rattus rattus* (symbols in black) invasion routes. Triangles and squares correspond, respectively, to anciently and recently invaded sites. *DAG* Dagathie, *MBA* Mbakhana, *THL* Tilene, *NDB* Ndombo, *CRB* Croisement Boube, *DOD* Dodel, *AEL* Aere Lao, *LOU* Lougue, *DIK* Diakene Wolof, *DIT* Diattacounda, *MAR* Marsassoum, *TOB* Tobor, *BAN* Badi Nieriko, *BOU* Boutougoufara, *KED* Kedougou, *SOU* Soutouta.

or the EICA-refned hypotheses? Or are these results consistent with an overall increase in immune gene expression refecting the new parasite pressures experienced at the invasion front?

### **Materials and methods**

**Ethics statements.** Sampling campaigns were performed on private property with explicit prior agreement from family, or the local authority or institution concerned. None of the rodent species investigated here has protected status (see list of the International Union for Conservation of Nature). All animal-related procedures were performed according to the official ethics guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists<sup>47</sup>. All protocols presented here were performed with the explicit prior agreement (CBGP: D 34-169-1) of the relevant institutional committee (Regional Head of the Veterinary Service, Hérault, France). They were also performed in accordance with the requirements of Senegalese and French law.

**Sampling sites.** We used the spleens of *M. m. domesticus* and *R. rattus* animals used in a previously described bacterial metabarcoding study<sup>43</sup>. Briefly, live trapping was performed for both these invasive species at four anciently sites and four recently invaded ones (Fig. 1).

No accurate estimate of the time of introduction was available for these rodents, but we used historical records to diferentiate between anciently invaded sites and recently invaded sites. Exotic mice and rats were common in the villages and cities of coastal areas of Senegal in the middle of the nineteenth century, but the available records did not provide precise geographic locations (see refs in<sup>39</sup>). We therefore considered coastal areas to have been colonized between 300 and 100 years ago. Regular rodent community surveys have been performed across Senegal since the 1970s. Tese surveys indicate that, before the 1990s, the house mouse was absent from the villages and cities of the middle of the Senegal River valley, and the black rat was absent from western Senegal (data summarized in<sup>39</sup>). We therefore considered inland sites to have been colonized less than 30 years ago. Previous population genetic studies confrmed that most of the house mouse populations from the north of Senegal belonged to the same genetic group, which colonized northern Senegal from Saint Louis<sup>41</sup>. Black rat populations from south-eastern Senegal form a separate genetic group, which originated from the coastal area south of Dakar $40$ .

**Sample collection and RNA extraction.** Fieldwork was conducted during the dry season*,* which runs roughly from October to June in northern Senegal and from November to May in southern Senegal. Mice and rats breed year-round in Senegal, as generally reported in commensal conditions (see refs in<sup>48</sup>), but we chose to defne a standardized sampling period, to avoid the possibility of confounding factors associated with sea-



**Table 1.** Sampling sites and their invasion-related categorization as well as rodent sample sizes included in each biological replicate along the invasion routes of the house mouse and of the black rat. *N* total number of rodents included, *M* number of males, *F* number of females.

sonal variations. Rodents were killed by cervical dislocation at approximately the same period of the day for all individuals (11 a.m.–2 p.m.). Autopsies were performed and the spleens were collected and immediately placed in RNAlater, stored at 4 °C overnight and then at−20 °C until further analyses. Only adult rodents (mass>9 g and/or length >72 mm for house mice, mass >54 g and/or length >145 mm for black rats, see<sup>48</sup>) were included in the analyses, as individual age (at least juveniles vs adults) is known to have a strong infuence on immune responses<sup>49</sup>. None of the trapped rodents displayed any sign of disease.

We considered 20 adult rodents per site for all but five sites at which only 14–18 individuals were available (see Table 1). Total RNA was extracted from approximately 5 mg of spleen from each sample with the AllPrep 96 DNA/RNA Kit (Qiagen). The quality and quantity of the purified RNA was assessed by gel electrophoresis and on a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) before pooling, and with a Bioanalyzer 2100 (Agilent) or Fragment Analyzer (Advanced Analytical) after pooling (see below). The RNA integrity (RIN) or quality (RQN) numbers of each pool are provided in Fig. S1. For each rodent species and each site, we constituted two pools of individuals. Tese two pools contained similar numbers of individuals (from 6 to 10) and had a balanced sex ratio (Table 1). For a given site, the two pools were considered to be 'biological replicates' of the site concerned. We therefore constituted 16 equivalent pools of individuals (Table 1). Tis design made it possible to attain the number of replicates recommended in gene expression analyses for which high levels of variability between replicates are expected (between 6 and 12 replicates per category, see<sup>50</sup>).

**cDNA library preparation and RNA sequencing.** cDNA library construction, sequencing and the alignment of fltered read sequences were performed at the MGX platform. RNA-Seq libraries were constructed with the Truseq stranded mRNA sample preparation (low-throughput protocol) kit from Illumina. We used 1 µg of total RNA for library construction. We frst purifed poly-A-containing mRNA molecules with a poly-T oligomer attached to magnetic beads (poly-A-based mRNA enrichment). The mRNA was then fragmented into small pieces with divalent cations at high temperature. The cleaved RNA fragments were used to generate firststrand cDNA with actinomycin D, random hexamer primers and SuperScript II reverse transcriptase for *M. m. domesticus* or SuperScript IV reverse transcriptase for *R. rattus*. The second strand of the cDNA was synthesized by replacing dTTP with dUTP. These cDNA fragments had an additional single 'A' base and were subsequently ligated to the adapter. The products were then purified and enriched over 15 cycles of PCR. The final cDNA libraries were validated and quantifed with a KAPA qPCR kit.

For *M. m. domesticus*, four cDNA libraries per sequencing line were pooled, in equal proportions, denatured with NaOH and diluted to 7 pM before clustering. Cluster formation was performed on a Flowcell V3, primer

4

hybridization and 50 cycles of single-end read sequencing were performed on a cBot and a HiSeq2000 (Illumina, San Diego, CA), respectively. For *R. rattus*, four cDNA libraries per sequencing line were pooled, in equal proportions, denatured with NaOH and diluted to 8 pM before clustering. Cluster formation was performed on a Flowcell V4, primer hybridization and 100 cycles of single-end read sequencing were performed on a cBot and a HiSeq2500 (Illumina, San Diego, CA) ,respectively. We performed 100 cycles of single-end sequencing for *R. rattus,* as the only published genome sequence available for rats is from another species, *Rattus norvegicus*.

**Transcriptome analysis.** *Sequence alignment and gene quantifcation.* Image analyses and base calling were performed with Illumina HiSeq Control Sofware and the Real-Time Analysis component. Demultiplexing was performed and Fastq fles were generated with Illumina conversion sofware (Casava 1.8.2 for the house mouse data,  $BCL2FASTQ 2.17$  for the black rat data). The quality of the raw data was assessed with FASTQC from the Babraham Institute and the Illumina sofware SAV (Sequencing Analysis Viewer).

A splice junction mapper, TopHAT<sup>51</sup> (v2.0.9 for the mouse data, v2.0.13 for the black rat data), using BOWTIE<sup>52</sup> (v2.1.0 for the mouse data, v2.2.3 for the black rat data), was used to align the reads to the *M. musculus* genome (UCSC mm10) or the *Rattus norvegicus* genome (UCSC rn4) with a set of model gene annotations (genes.gtf downloaded from UCSC on March 6, 2013 for the mouse genome, on July 17, 2015 for the brown rat genome). Final read alignments containing more than three mismatches (house mouse) or nine mismatches (black rat) were discarded. Again we used a diferent threshold for mouse and rat data, as the reference genome sequence for rat was that of *Rattus norvegicus*, and genomic studies have shown that these two species diverged about 2.9 million years ago53. Read alignment rates were above 83.3% for all *M. m. domesticus* libraries and above 74.0% for all *R. rattus* libraries. Samtools (v0.1.18 for the mouse data, v1.2 for the black rat data) was used to sort the alignment fles. Genes were then counted with HTSeq count (v0.5.4p5 for the mouse data, v0.6.1p1 for the black rat data), in union mode<sup>54</sup>. The data were obtained in a strand-specific assay, so the read had to be mapped to the opposite strand.

*Analysis of diferential gene expression between populations.* Diferentially expressed (DE) genes were identifed with the BIOCONDUCTOR<sup>55</sup> package edgeR v3.4.0, under R 3.0.2 for the mouse data, v3.8.6 under R 3.2.3 for the black rat data<sup>56</sup>, and limma<sup>57</sup>. Data were normalized with relative log expression (RLE)-normalization factors<sup>58</sup>. Genes with fewer than 10 occurrences (reads) were fltered out and removed before the statistical analysis. Tis made it possible to limit the number of statistical tests and, therefore, to reduce the impact of correction for multiple testing.

Two statistical factors were included in the experimental design: the main factor included was the timing of the invasion (two levels: recent and ancient invasion), and the second factor was the site at which the rodent was caught (eight sites in total: four recently invaded sites and four anciently invaded ones). For each site, we considered two biological replicates (i.e. the two pools of individuals with a balanced sex ratio and containing a similar number of individuals).

We used three analytical strategies to identify the diferentially expressed genes. For all strategies, the *p* value threshold was set to 0.05 afer Benjamini–Hochberg correction for multiple testing. We frst retained only one randomly chosen biological replicate per site and compared the four recently invaded sites with the four anciently invaded ones (the '4vs4 approach', see Suppl. Fig. S2). Tis strategy made it possible to remove the second factor (site) from the analysis. As there was no particular reason to retain either the frst or the second replicate for a given site, we analyzed all 256 possible combinations of replicate selection. We then considered all sites and replicates for each invasion period (recent vs. ancient invasion) together (the '8vs8 approach', see Suppl. Fig. S2). The factor "site" was included in a generalized linear model (GLM) to take into account the use of two biological replicates for each site.

Considerable variability was observed between the replicates of a given site (Suppl. Fig. S3a, b), rendering the '4vs4 approach' highly conservative, but potentially resulting in false positives when the '8vs8 approach' was applied. Combining the results of the '4vs4 approach' and the '8vs8 approach' therefore seemed to be the most prudent choice, providing a maximum robustness. The genes identified with the '8vs8 approach' and found to be diferentially expressed in more than 85% of the 256 combinations of the '4vs4 approach' were considered to be DE. The threshold of 85% was fixed based on a bar plot of the number of '4vs4' comparisons in which a gene (highlighted in the '8vs8 approach') was found to be diferentially expressed.

Finally, we analyzed gene expression with limma and the *duplicateCorrelation* function<sup>57</sup>. We fitted a generalized linear model to the data, including site as a random efect within invasion categories. Tis approach corresponds to a random efects model, except that genes are constrained to share the same within-category correlation<sup>57</sup>.

**Functional and enrichment analyses.** *M. m. domesticus* and *R. rattus* genes were functionally annotated with gene ontology (GO) terms with Search Tool for the Retrieval of Interacting Genes/Proteins (STRING) v10.559,60. We assessed gene enrichment by performing Fisher's exact tests on the sets of DE genes from house mouse and black rat, house mouse *M. musculus* and brown rat *R. norvegicus* GO as the background and String sofware. We corrected p values for multiple testing by the Benjamini and Hochberg false discovery rate (FDR) method. GO terms with a FDR  $p$  value <0.05 were considered to be significant. The redundancies of significantly enriched GO terms were reduced with  $REVIGO<sup>61</sup>$ , using a similarity cutoff of 0.7. We also performed enrichment analyses on biological processes and biological pathways supplied by KEGG [\(https://www.genom](https://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html) [e.jp/kegg/pathway.html\)](https://www.genome.jp/kegg/pathway.html), implemented in STRING.

Finally we performed a global protein network analysis based on DE genes, using a STRING database including interaction databases (including both direct (physical) and indirect (functional) associations), genetic

interactions and shared pathway interactions. Tis analysis revealed the interactions between key components of diferent pathways. Two PPI networks were constructed by mapping all DE genes and immune-related DE genes, respectively, to the STRING database, with confdence scores>0.7 (high level). PPI networks were visualized and analyzed in Cytoscape sofware, via the web interface of String. Enrichment in these protein–protein interactions was assessed by performing Fisher's exact tests with correction for multiple testing (FDR).

#### **Results**

**Qualitative description of expression patterns.** Thirty-two transcriptome libraries were sequenced (see Table 1 for details). These libraries produced a mean of 51.6 million paired reads. The numbers of reads retained afer fltering ranged from 37.2 to 76.7 M, corresponding to 92.82% of all reads. For the house mouse (3 mismatches/paired read allowed), 85.68% of the reads passing the quality flter mapped to the *M. musculus domesticus* reference genome, and 1.58% of these reads mapped to multiple regions. For the black rat (*R. rattus,* for which we allowed 9 mismatches/paired read with the *R. norvegicus* reference genome), 81.66% of the reads passing the quality flter were mapped to the reference genome of the related *R. norvegicus*, and 0.98% of these reads mapped to multiple regions of the reference genome.

**Diferential expression (DE) analyses.** Along the mouse invasion route, 18 of the 17,853 fltered genes tested with edgeR and the '4vs4 approach' were systematically found to be diferentially expressed between recently and anciently invaded sites. For the 16,630 fltered genes tested with the '8vs8 approach', we detected 593 diferentially expressed genes, 364 of which were found in at least 85% of the 256 '4vs4 approach' comparisons. Five genes were detected by both the '4vs4' and '8vs8' approaches (*Hal, Rnf183, Serpina6, Wif1, 9030619P08Rik*; see details in Suppl. Table S1a).

Along the black rat invasion route, 54 of the 13,190 fltered genes were systematically diferentially expressed between recently and anciently invaded sites with the '4vs4 approach'. We found 268 DE genes among the 12,747 fltered genes analyzed with the '8vs8 approach', 83 of which were detected in at least 85% of the '4vs4' comparisons. Forty-two diferentially expressed genes were detected by both the '4vs4' and '8vs8' approaches. Detailed results are shown in Suppl. Table S1b.

We focused additional analyses on these 364 and 83 genes identifed as DE in the '8vs8' approach and detected in at least 85% of the '4vs4' comparisons, for the house mouse and black rat, respectively. The magnitude of expression diferences difered signifcantly between mouse and rat, with higher 'log fold changes' observed for mouse genes (Fig. 2, Wilcoxon test,  $p=0.01$ ). The log fold change (LogFC) per gene ranged from −9.04 to 2.34 for the mouse and from −5.79 to 4.49 for the rat DE genes. Mean coverage ranged from −3.16 to 11.94 LogCPM (counts per million) for the mouse and −2.41 to 9.56 LogCPM for the rat DE genes.

When site was included as a random efect in the generalized linear model ftted with limma, we found no gene diferentially expressed between the anciently and recently invaded sites along the house mouse invasion route. Along the rat invasion route, 12 genes were diferentially expressed between recently and anciently invaded sites. All of these sites were also identifed with edgeR ('8vs8 approach'), and 11 were also found in at least 85% of the 256 '4vs4 approach' comparisons.

**Functional analyses of DE genes.** For the house mouse dataset, String provided at least one gene ontology (GO) annotation for 345 of the 364 DE genes (Suppl. Table S1a). Of the 2098 GO annotations identifed, 357 GO terms corresponding to biological processes displayed significant enrichment (FDR<0.05) relative to the house mouse reference genome. In particular, 10 immune-related functions were signifcantly enriched, including infammatory (GO:0006954), humoral (GO:0002455, GO:0006959), and innate (GO:0045087, GO:0034097) responses or activation/regulation of immunity (GO:0006952, GO:0002253, GO:0050776). We also detected enrichment for two biological processes relating to the response to wounding (GO:0009611, GO:1903035). These enriched GO terms relating to immunity corresponded to 73 DE genes, 20% of all the DE genes (see Suppl. Table S1a). The REVIGO analysis yielded 20 main clusters for the category 'Biological Process', taking redundancies into account. Infammation and acute-phase response were part of the second most represented cluster (Suppl. Fig. S4). Using the Kegg algorithm, we identifed 47 pathways as enriched. We found that 29 immune-related genes belonged to these over-represented Kegg biological pathways, the most signifcant of which was immune 'Complement and coagulation cascades' (FDR=1.56e−30). Note that considering differentially expressed genes as the background for these analyses did not afect these results, as we also observed several signifcantly enriched GO terms corresponding to immune responses, acute-phase and humoral responses being the second main clusters for the category 'Biological Process' while taking into account redundancies with REVIGO and 'Complement and coagulation cascades' being the most signifcantly over represented biological pathways using Kegg algorithm.

Interestingly, the distribution of log fold-change (LogFC) for these immune-related genes corresponding to enriched GO terms or pathways was shifed towards higher values than for the 364 DE genes (Wilcoxon test,  $p=1.52\times10^{-3}$ ; Suppl. Fig. S5). The heatmaps built on the normalized read counts for the immune-related genes belonging to the biological processes (and pathways) found to be overrepresented revealed a downregulation of these genes at all anciently invaded sites, and an upregulation for all recently invaded sites except Aere Lao (Fig. 3, Suppl. Fig. S6). In this last population, the expression pattern observed was similar to that at anciently invaded sites.

Finally, using STRING, we showed that the protein–protein interaction (PPI) network based on the 364 DE genes was signifcantly enriched (*p* < 1.0e−16, 731 edges found, 54 expected), indicating a larger number of interactions between these proteins than would be expected for a set of proteins of similar size drawn at random from the sequences of the mouse genome. Two main clusters were detected, one including cytochrome  $P_{450}$  and





**Figure 2.** Signifcantly diferentially expressed genes (orange dots) and immune related genes (red dots) between anciently and recently invaded sites along the invasion routes of (**a**) the house mouse and (**b**) the black rat. Only the 73 immune related genes belonging to biological pathways found to be signifcantly enriched are represented for the house mouse. Vertical lines indicate 1 log fold change (Log FC). The x-axis indicates genes that are down- (negative values) and up-regulated (positive values) in anciently compared to recently invaded areas.

UDP glucuronosyltransferase proteins, and the other consisting mostly of immune-related proteins, including fibrinogen, serin peptidase inhibitor (serpin), apolipoprotein and complement proteins. The PPI interaction network built upon the restricted dataset including the 73 immune-related genes belonging to the biological

7





processes shown to be overrepresented also displayed signifcant enrichment (*p*<1.0e−16, 561 edges found, 13 expected). The network had a hierarchical structure, with the main cluster including the fibrinogen and serine peptidase inhibitor (serpin) proteins, and two other clusters of highly connected proteins, one including apolipoprotein A and haptoglobin, and the other, complement proteins (Fig. 4).

For the *R. rattus* dataset, GO annotations were available for 75 of the 83 DE genes identifed (Suppl. Table S1b). Eight of these annotated DE genes related to immune biological processes (i.e., 10.66% of all DE genes; Suppl. Table S1b). We identifed no signifcantly enriched biological process or pathway, even when redundancies were considered with REVIGO. The protein–protein interaction network built from STRING displayed no significant enrichment ( $p=0.09$ ). Similar conclusions were obtained when the dataset was restricted to the 12 genes found to be diferentially expressed, with site considered as a random efect within invasion category.

### **Discussion**

We present here a comparative transcriptomics approach based on the sequencing of spleen RNA, for two invasive rodent species studied along their invasion routes in Senegal. We aimed to detect adaptive divergence between recently and long-established rodent populations that could at least partly account for invasion success. More specifcally, we investigated the diferential expression of immune-related genes, to determine whether the patterns of expression observed supported either the EICA or the EICA-refned hypotheses.

Invasion success is thought to be dependent on a shif in phenotypic traits of advantage at invasion fronts, where species are likely to be exposed to new environmental conditions<sup>9,10,62</sup>. Using comparative transcriptomics in wild populations, we show here that a phenotypic shift<sup>63</sup> has occurred along the mouse and rat invasion routes in Senegal. Indeed, we detected variations in gene expression levels between recently and long-established rodent populations. Climatic variations and changes in habitat types covary with invasion categories along the invasion routes of both mouse and rat<sup>44</sup>, and all the processes that may explain the phenotypic shift may be influenced by environmental factors. This phenotypic shift may reflect stochastic changes due to population history, including founder events and genetic drift<sup>9</sup>, or non-adaptive responses to environmental cues. Alternatively, this phenotypic shift may result from adaptive processes, including phenotypic plasticity<sup>64</sup> or natural selection<sup>65</sup>. Invasive populations have a lower genetic diversity than their source populations, due to founder events, but may still evolve rapidly through natural selection from the remaining standing genetic variation or de novo mutations<sup>66</sup>. Several experimental immune challenges have been performed, in controlled conditions, on cane toads from parents collected from long-established populations and invasion front populations from Australia. These experiments showed a rapid evolution of immunity in response to the selection pressures occurring during biological invasions<sup>67,68</sup>. Variations of gene expression at invasion front would also be mediated by phenotypic plasticity<sup>69,70</sup>.



**Figure 4.** Protein–protein interaction network drawn from the 73 immune genes found to belong to enriched biological processes related to immunity. Nodes correspond to proteins, the thickness of edge network indicates the strength of data support, and the minimum required interaction score was set to 0.7 (high confdence level). The plain circle indicates the alipoprotein A–aloa—and haptoglobin–Hp–protein. The dashed circle indicates the complement proteins (C8). Fibrinogen (Fg) and serine peptidase inhibitor (serpin) proteins are distributed within the highly connected central part of the network.

Statistical analyses of transcriptomic data for natural populations are not trivial. We therefore used a combination of three analytical strategies to identify diferentially expressed genes. It was tempting to consider site as a random efect within invasion category, to account for the inherently nested structure of our experimental design. However, the strong variability of gene expression between sites made it difficult to detect differentially expressed genes with this strategy. Several lines of evidence suggested that sites within invasion categories could not really be considered as true replicates and that timing of invasion might be variable, according to the history of connections between the site concerned and colonial cities or areas previously invaded by exotic rodents. For instance, colonial settlements were established at some anciently invaded sites (NDB, MBA), but not at others (DAG, THL). These sites without colonial settlements may have been invaded a little later by the house mouse. Historical data also suggest that the Aere Lao site may have been colonized by the house mouse earlier than other sites of the recently invaded category. Indeed, Aere Lao was not connected to the road network until the 1970–1980s, when a weekly rural market was established at this site, creating commercial links with cities already invaded by the house mouse (e.g. Saint Louis, Dakar). Tis may have favored the introduction of the house mouse at this site 10 or 20 years before this rodent reached the neighboring villages<sup>71</sup>, but several dozens of years after long-standing invasion sites. This history may explain why immune phenotypes in Aere Lao were more similar to those observed in long-established populations from western Senegal for both analysis strategies, and in terms of immune effectors (complement; haptoglobin), as previously shown by immune challenges $4^2$ .

In the house mouse, 20.0% of the genes found to be diferentially expressed with a combination of the 4*vs*4 and 8*vs*8 strategies were annotated with functions relating to immunity. We also found that some functional categories of genes were overrepresented among these diferentially expressed genes. In particular, we obtained strong evidence for a signifcantly biased variation of immune gene expression towards an upregulation of

serpins, alipoproteins, complement and pro-inflammatory cascades at recently invaded sites. These results were consistent with our previous functional studies $42$ , in which immune challenges revealed that antibody-mediated (natural antibodies and complement) and infammatory (haptoglobin) responses were stronger in mice sampled from recently invaded sites than in those sampled in anciently invaded ones. Our fndings therefore support the hypothesis that parasite-mediated selection could contribute to phenotypic diferentiation along invasion routes, potentially in interaction with other environmental factors. However, they are not consistent with the EICA hypothesis or the EICA-refined hypothesis<sup>19</sup>. Despite the enemy release patterns detected for mouse helminths in Senegal from sites of long-term invasion to recently invaded sites (decrease in specifc richness and overall prevalence, see<sup>44</sup>), we found no evidence of a global decrease in immune-related gene expression at recently invaded sites (the pattern expected according to the EICA hypothesis). We also observed no change in immune-related gene expression that could be attributed to the relative energy costs of immune pathways (the pattern expected according to the EICA-refned hypothesis). Indeed, both infammation (considered costly in terms of energy) and complement (considered cost-effective in terms of energy) pathways were upregulated<sup>20</sup>. The upregulation of all the immune genes found to be differentially expressed at sites recently invaded by the house mouse therefore strongly supported the alternative hypothesis of an increase in overall infection risk at recently invaded sites. More specifcally, the biological processes and pathways displaying signifcant enrichment, and the protein–protein interaction network, highlighted innate immune responses, which are essential for front-line defense against infections, including bacterial infections. Complement is a protein complex activated immediately after the detection of infection that can induce bacterial lysis<sup>72</sup>. Serine protease inhibitors (serpin) are involved in modulating many physiological functions, including infection and infammation73,74. Apolipoprotein A is involved in lipid transport, and in diverse antimicrobial activities, including the inhibition of bacterial growth and the suppression of virual replication75. As such, an increase in expression of the genes encoding these proteins should make it possible for the invading organism to fght efectively against the new bacteria encountered at recently invaded sites<sup>43</sup>. Interestingly, some of these proteins may also have anti-inflammatory activity, through the secretion of particular cytokines (e.g. haptoglobin, serpinG1, C1q, C3a<sup>72,73,76,77</sup>). Tis function may be particularly important at recently invaded sites, for decreasing the immunopathological effects of inflammation in response to exposure to new parasites<sup>78</sup>. Moreover, the overexpression at invasion fronts of genes encoding anti-infammatory proteins may counteract the helminth release observed at recently invaded sites along the invasion route of the house mouse<sup>44</sup>. Indeed, as several helminth infections are known to activate regulatory responses that reduce inflammation<sup>79</sup>, helminth release could exacerbate the risk of diseases associated with infammatory responses.

Similar rapid changes in immunity have been observed in other invading organisms, but these studies revealed a wide array of patterns from which it was not possible to draw any frm conclusions about the potential generic/ specific nature of EICA hypotheses<sup>21</sup>. Further studies are required, on a wide range of immune effectors, based on 'omics methods'80, to improve our understanding of the relationships between biological invasion and immunity.

Concerning *R. rattus*, the observed changes in gene expression levels were smaller than those observed in the mouse, and no particular pathway (including immune-related pathways) was signifcantly overrepresented. The absence of a clear pattern suggested that stochastic events might have strongly shaped the phenotypic variations observed along the route of *R. rattus* invasion. However, caution is required in interpreting our results, which may be due to the limitations of bioinformatics methods (transcriptome annotation was less efficient for *R. rattus* than for *M. musculus domesticus*, as we used the *R. norvegicus* transcriptome as a reference). The eight immune-related genes found to be diferentially expressed encode proteins that interact with bacteria (*Rnase6*, *Ptprz1*), viruses (*Ltc4s*, *Hspa1b*) or parasites (*Gf1b*). Some were downregulated at recently invaded sites (e.g., *Rnase6*)*,* whereas others were strongly upregulated (e.g., *Ptprz1*). No specifc pattern has yet emerged concerning potential variations in infection risks. Variations of immune phenotypes, as refected in gene expression data for the spleen, were, therefore, unlikely to be the main driver of invasion success in the black rat (assuming that invasion success depends on a rapid shift in phenotypic traits in newly colonized areas rather than pre-adaptation in the range of long-standing invasion). Interestingly, we also found, in a previous functional immunity study, that diferences in immune responses between anciently and recently invaded sites were less marked for the rat than for the mouse<sup>42</sup>. It was also very difficult to identify any other biological processes that might reflect life history trait adaptation with regard to invasion. The processes found to be associated with differentially expressed genes covered a wide range of functions, including the clustering of sodium voltage channels, the downregulation of cell–matrix adhesion and protein dephosphorylation and the response to cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP). Tis last function is of potential interest with respect to rat invasion success, because cAMP plays a key role in regulating insulin and glucagon secretion<sup>81</sup>. Variations in cAMP gene expression may, therefore, mediate differences in response to stressful situations, including starvation or fight-or-flight response<sup>82</sup>. It would be interesting to analyze whether such diferences could result in diferent behavioral phenotypes between rats trapped at anciently invaded sites (expected to have a poor performance and high stress response in new environments) and those caught at sites of recent invasion (expected to have a high response capacity in new environments) $83$ . It therefore appears particularly important to perform additional transcriptomics analyses on other organs and tissues, to identify the phenotypic changes and ecoevolutionary processes linked to the invasion success of the black rat. The brain, in which key genes underlying behavioral invasion syndrome would be expected to be expressed, may be a relevant organ for future studies<sup>84</sup>.

In conclusion, our work revealed changes in transcriptomic profles along invasion routes for both the house mouse and the black rat. It is likely that diferent processes, including colonization history and/or alternative mechanisms by which species adapt to new environments, mediated the invasion success of these two rodent species. The patterns observed could potentially be driven by an increase in infection risks at recently invaded sites for the house mouse and stochastic changes for the black rat. It would be interesting to take into account the potential variability of these patterns with regard to the tissue or organ targeted. Diferent results might have been obtained if we had targeted other lymphoid organs, lymphatic tissues or non-immune targets. Additional genomic studies and experimental work<sup>25,28,67,68,85</sup> are required to determine whether the observed differences in gene expression were driven by phenotypic plasticity or directional selection during or afer invasion, or whether they refect the colonization history of the rodents concerned. Moreover, it is also important to determine whether these changes in phenotypic traits influence ecological dynamics (e.g. $^{86}$ ) and, in turn, invasion success.

#### **Data availability**

All sequence data, including raw read sequences and assemblies have been deposited on Geo. Gene ontologies for DE genes are provided in Supplementary Tables 1 and 2. Diferential expression data are available from the corresponding author on request.

Received: 21 May 2019; Accepted: 28 June 2020

#### **References**

- 1. Kamenova, S. *et al.* in *Networks of Invasion: A Synthesis of Concepts* Vol. 56 *Adv. Ecol. Res.* (eds Bohan, D. A., Dumbrell, A. J., & Massol, F.) 85–182 (2017).
- 2. Kumschick, S. *et al.* Ecological impacts of alien species: quantifcation, scope, caveats, and recommendations. *Bioscience* **65**, 55–63. <https://doi.org/10.1093/biosci/biu193> (2014).
- 3. Daszak, P., Cunningham, A. A. & Hyatt, A. D. Emerging infectious diseases of wildlife—threats to biodiversity and human health. *Science* **287**, 443–449.<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.287.5452.443>(2000).
- 4. Bradshaw, C. J. A. *et al.* Massive yet grossly underestimated global costs of invasive insects. *Nat. Commun.* [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12986) [ncomms12986](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms12986) (2016).
- 5. Martin, L. B., Coon, C. A. C., Liebl, A. L. & Schrey, A. W. Surveillance for microbes and range expansion in house sparrows. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **281**, 20132690.<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2013.2690>(2013).
- 6. Lindstrom, T., Brown, G. P., Sisson, S. A., Phillips, B. L. & Shine, R. Rapid shifs in dispersal behavior on an expanding range edge. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **110**, 13452–13456.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1303157110> (2013).
- 7. Facon, B. *et al.* A general eco-evolutionary framework for understanding bioinvasions. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **21**, 130–135. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.10.012) [org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.10.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2005.10.012) (2006).
- 8. Hufbauer, R. A. *et al. Anthropogenically induced adaptation to invade (AIAI): contemporary adaptation to human-altered habitats* within the native range can promote invasions. *Evol. Appl.* **5**, 89–101.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1752-4571.2011.00211.x> (2012).
- 9. Colautti, R. I. & Lau, J. A. Contemporary evolution during invasion: evidence for diferentiation, natural selection, and local adaptation. *Mol. Ecol.* **24**, 1999–2017. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13162> (2015).
- 10. Chuang, A. & Peterson, C. R. Expanding population edges: theories, traits, and trade-ofs. *Glob. Change Biol.* **22**, 494–512. [https](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13107) [://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13107](https://doi.org/10.1111/gcb.13107) (2016).
- 11. Selechnik, D., Rollins, L. A., Brown, G. P., Kelehear, C. & Shine, R. Te things they carried: the pathogenic efects of old and new parasites following the intercontinental invasion of the Australian cane toad (*Rhinella marina*). *Int. J. Parasitol.* **6**, 375–385. [https](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2016.12.001) [://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2016.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2016.12.001) (2017).
- 12. Torchin, M. E., Laferty, K. D., Dobson, A. P., McKenzie, V. J. & Kuris, A. M. Introduced species and their missing parasites. *Nature* **421**, 628–630.<https://doi.org/10.1038/nature01346> (2003).
- 13. Torchin, M. E. & Mitchell, C. E. Parasites, pathogens, and invasions by plants and animals. *Front. Ecol. Environ.* **2**, 183–190. [https](https://doi.org/10.2307/3868313) [://doi.org/10.2307/3868313](https://doi.org/10.2307/3868313) (2004).
- 14. Dunn, A. M. in *Advances in Parasitology, Vol 68: Natural History of Host-Parasite Interactions* Vol. 68 (ed Webster, J. P.) 161–184  $(2009)$
- 15. O'Brien, V. A. *et al.* An enzootic vector-borne virus is amplifed at epizootic levels by an invasive avian host. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **278**, 239–246 (2011).
- 16. Perkins, S. E., White, T. A., Pascoe, E. L. & Gillingham, E. L. Parasite community dynamics in an invasive vole—from focal introduction to wave front. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **6**, 412–419.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijppaw.2017.07.005> (2017).
- 17. Yang, C. C. *et al.* Loss of microbial (pathogen) infections associated with recent invasions of the red imported fre ant *Solenopsis invicta*. *Biol. Invasions* **12**, 3307–3318.<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-010-9724-9> (2010).
- 18. Blossey, B. & Notzold, R. Evolution of increased competitive ability in invasive nonindigenous plants—a hypothesis. *J. Ecol.* **83**, 887–889. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2261425> (1995).
- 19. Lee, K. A. & Klasing, K. C. A role for immunology in invasion biology. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **19**, 523–529. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2004.07.012) [tree.2004.07.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2004.07.012) (2004).
- 20. Lee, K. A. Linking immune defenses and life history at the levels of the individual and the species. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **46**, 1000–1015. <https://doi.org/10.1093/icb/icl049> (2006).
- 21. Cornet, S., Brouat, C., Diagne, C. A. & Charbonnel, N. EcoImmunology and bioinvasion: revisiting the EICA hypotheses. *Evol. Appl.* **9**, 952–962.<https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12406> (2016).
- 22. Martin, L. B., Alam, J. L., Imboma, T. & Liebl, A. L. Variation in infammation as a correlate of range expansion in Kenyan house sparrows. *Oecologia* **164**, 339–347. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-010-1654-9> (2010).
- 23. Bernardi, G., Azzurro, E., Golani, D. & Miller, M. R. Genomic signatures of rapid adaptive evolution in the bluespotted cornetfsh, a Mediterranean Lessepsian invader. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 3384–3396.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13682>(2016).
- 24. Vera, M., Diez-del-Molino, D. & Garcia-Marin, J. L. Genomic survey provides insights into the evolutionary changes that occurred during European expansion of the invasive mosquitofsh (*Gambusia holbrooki*). *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 1089–1105. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13545) [mec.13545](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13545) (2016).
- 25. Hodgins, K. A., Lai, Z., Nurkowski, K., Huang, J. & Rieseberg, L. H. The molecular basis of invasiveness: differences in gene expression of native and introduced common ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia*) in stressful and benign environments. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 2496–2510. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12179> (2013).
- 26. White, T. A., Perkins, S. E., Heckel, G. & Searle, J. B. Adaptive evolution during an ongoing range expansion: the invasive bank vole (*Myodes glareolus*) in Ireland. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 2971–2985.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12343>(2013).
- 27. Todd, E. V., Black, M. A. & Gemmell, N. J. Te power and promise of RNA-seq in ecology and evolution. *Mol. Ecol.* **25**, 1224–1241. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13526> (2016).
- 28. Alvarez, M., Schrey, A. W. & Richards, C. L. Ten years of transcriptomics in wild populations: what have we learned about their ecology and evolution?. *Mol. Ecol.* **24**, 710–725.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13055> (2015).
- 29. Rius, M. & Darling, J. A. How important is intraspecifc genetic admixture to the success of colonising populations?. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **29**, 233–242. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2014.02.003> (2014).
- 30. Fraser, B. A., Ramnarine, I. W. & Nef, B. D. Temporal variation at the Mhc class IIB in wild populations of the guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*). *Evolution* **64**, 2086–2096.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.2010.00965.x> (2010).
- 31. Oleksiak, M. F., Churchill, G. A. & Crawford, D. L. Variation in gene expression within and among natural populations. *Nat. Genet.* **32**, 261–266.<https://doi.org/10.1038/ng983> (2002).
- 32. Whitehead, A. & Crawford, D. L. Variation within and among species in gene expression: raw material for evolution. *Mol. Ecol.* **15**, 1197–1211. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2006.02868.x> (2006).
- 33. Whitehead, A. & Crawford, D. L. Neutral and adaptive variation in gene expression. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **103**, 5425–5430. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0507648103>(2006).
- 34. Rollins, L. A., Richardson, M. F. & Shine, R. A genetic perspective on rapid evolution in cane toads (*Rhinella marina*). *Mol. Ecol.* **24**, 2264–2276. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13184> (2015).
- 35. Vogel, H. J., Schmidtberg, H. & Vilcinskas, A. Comparative transcriptomics in three ladybird species supports a role for immunity in invasion biology. *Dev. Comp. Immunol.* **67**, 452–456.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2016.09.015> (2017).
- 36. Selechnik, D., Richardson, M. F., Shine, R., Brown, G. P. & Rollins, L. A. Immune and environment-driven gene expression during invasion: an eco-immunological application of RNA-Seq. *Ecol. Evol.* **9**, 6708–6721. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.5249> (2019).
- 37. Aplin, K. P. *et al.* Multiple geographic origins of commensalism and complex dispersal history of black rats. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e26357. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026357> (2011).
- 38. Bonhomme, F. *et al.* Genetic diferentiation of the house mouse around the Mediterranean basin: matrilineal footprints of early and late colonization. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* **278**, 1034–1043.<https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2010.1228>(2011).
- 39. Dalecky, A. *et al.* Range expansion of the invasive house mouse *Mus musculus domesticus* in Senegal, Western Africa: a three decades synthesis of trapping data, 1983–2014. *Mammal Rev.* **45**, 176–190. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mam.12043> (2015).
- 40. Konecny, A. *et al.* Invasion genetics of the introduced black rat (*Rattus rattus*) in Senegal, West Africa. *Mol. Ecol.* **22**, 286–300. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12112> (2013).
- 41. Lippens, C. *et al.* Genetic structure and invasion history of the house mouse (*Mus musculus domesticus*) in Senegal, West Africa: a legacy of colonial and contemporary times. *Heredity* **119**, 64–75. <https://doi.org/10.1038/hdy.2017.18>(2017).
- 42. Diagne, C. A. *et al.* Contemporary variations of immune responsiveness during range expansion of two invasive rodents in Senegal. *Oikos* **126**, 435–446.<https://doi.org/10.1111/oik.03470>(2017).
- 43. Diagne, C. A. *et al.* Ecological and sanitary impacts of bacterial communities associated to biological invasions in African commensal rodent communities. *Nat. Sci. Rep.* **7**, 14995.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-14880-1> (2017).
- 44. Diagne, C. A. *et al.* Parasites and invasions: changes in gastrointestinal helminth assemblages in invasive and native rodents in Senegal. *Int. J. Parasitol.* **46**, 857–869.<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2016.07.007> (2016).
- 45. Frank, S. A. Immune response to parasitic attack: evolution of a pulsed character. *J. Teor. Biol.* **219**, 281–290. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.2002.3122) [org/10.1006/jtbi.2002.3122](https://doi.org/10.1006/jtbi.2002.3122) (2002).
- 46. Brown, G. P., Shilton, C., Phillips, B. L. & Shine, R. Invasion, stress, and spinal arthritis in cane toads. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **104**, 17698–17700.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0705057104> (2007).
- 47. Sikes, R. S. & Gannon, W. L. Guidelines of the American Society of Mammalogists for the use of wild mammals in research. *J. Mammal.* **92**, 235–253.<https://doi.org/10.1644/10-MAMM-F-355.1> (2011).
- 48. Granjon, L. & Duplantier, J. M. *Les rongeurs de l'Afrique sahélo-soudanienne* (Publications scientifques du Muséum, 2009).
- 49. Nussey, D. H., Watt, K., Pilkington, J. G., Zamoyska, R. & McNeilly, T. N. Age-related variation in immunity in a wild mammal population. *Aging Cell* **11**, 178–180.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1474-9726.2011.00771.x> (2012).
- 50. Schurch, N. J. *et al.* How many biological replicates are needed in an RNA-seq experiment and which diferential expression tool should you use?. *RNA* **22**, 839–851.<https://doi.org/10.1261/rna.053959.115>(2016).
- 51. Kim, D. *et al.* TopHat2: accurate alignment of transcriptomes in the presence of insertions, deletions and gene fusions. *Genome Biol.* **14**, R36.<https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2013-14-4-r36>(2013).
- 52. Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nat. Methods* **9**, 357–359. [https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923) [.1923](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.1923) (2012).
- 53. Robins, J. H. *et al.* Dating of divergences within the Rattus genus phylogeny using whole mitochondrial genomes. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **49**, 460–466. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ympev.2008.08.001> (2008).
- 54. Anders, S., Pyl, T. P. & Huber, W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**, 166–169.<https://doi.org/10.1101/002824> (2015).
- 55. Gentleman, R. C. *et al.* Bioconductor: open sofware development for computational biology and bioinformatics. *Genome Biol.* **5**, R80.<https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2004-5-10-r80>(2004).
- 56. Robinson, M. D., McCarthy, D. J. & Smyth, G. K. A bioconductor package for diferential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 139–140.<https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp616> (2010).
- 57. Ritchie, M. E. *et al.* limma powers diferential expression analyses for RNA-sequencing and microarray studies. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **43**, e47.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkv007>(2015).
- 58. Simon, A. & Huber, W. Diferential expression analysis for sequence count data. *Genome Biol.* **11**, R106. [https://doi.org/10.1186/](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106) [gb-2010-11-10-r106](https://doi.org/10.1186/gb-2010-11-10-r106) (2010).
- 59. Szklarczyk, D. et al. The STRING database in 2017: quality-controlled protein-protein association networks, made broadly accessible. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **45**, D362–D368.<https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw937> (2017).
- 60. Szklarczyk, D. *et al.* STRING v10: protein–protein interaction networks, integrated over the tree of life. *Nucl. Acids Res.* **43**, D447- 452. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gku1003> (2015).
- 61. Supek, F., Bošnjak, M., Škunca, N. & Šmuc, T. REVIGO summarizes and visualizes long lists of gene ontology terms. *PLoS ONE* **6**, e21800.<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0021800> (2011).
- 62. Merrick, M. J. & Koprowski, J. L. Altered natal dispersal at the range periphery: the role of behavior, resources, and maternal condition. *Ecol. Evol.* **7**, 58–72.<https://doi.org/10.1002/ece3.2612> (2017).
- 63. DeBiasse, M. B. & Kelly, M. W. Plastic and evolved responses to global change: what can we learn from comparative transcriptomics?. *J. Heredity* **107**, 71–81.<https://doi.org/10.1093/jhered/esv073> (2016).
- 64. Whitehead, A., Triant, D. A., Champlin, D. & Nacci, D. Comparative transcriptomics implicates mechanisms of evolved pollution tolerance in a killifsh population. *Mol. Ecol.* **19**, 5186–5203.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-294X.2010.04829.x> (2010).
- 65. Barrett, S. C. H. Foundations of invasion genetics: the Baker and Stebbins legacy. *Mol. Ecol.* **24**, 1927–1941. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13014) [mec.13014](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13014) (2015).
- 66. Dlugosch, K. M., Anderson, S. R., Braasch, J., Cang, F. A. & Gillette, H. D. Te devil is in the details: genetic variation in introduced populations and its contributions to invasion. *Mol. Ecol.* **24**, 2095–2111. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.13183> (2015).
- 67. Llewellyn, D., Tompson, M. B., Brown, G. P., Phillips, B. L. & Shine, R. Reduced investment in immune function in invasion-front populations of the cane toad (*Rhinella marina*) in Australia. *Biol. Invasions* **14**, 999–1008. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-0135-3) [0135-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10530-011-0135-3) (2012).
- 68. Brown, G. P., Phillips, B. L., Dubey, S. & Shine, R. Invader immunology: invasion history alters immune system function in cane toads (*Rhinella marina*) in tropical Australia. *Ecol. Lett.* **18**, 57–65.<https://doi.org/10.1111/ele.12390> (2015).
- 69. Dlugosch, K. M. & Parker, I. M. Invading populations of an ornamental shrub show rapid life history evolution despite genetic bottlenecks. *Ecol. Lett.* **11**, 701–709.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2008.01181.x> (2008).
- 70. Chevin, L. M. & Lande, R. Adaptation to marginal habitats by evolution of increased phenotypic plasticity. *J. Evol. Biol.* **24**, 1462–1476.<https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1420-9101.2011.02279.x> (2011).
- 71. Ninot, O. *Vie de relations, organisation de l'espace et développement en Afrique de l'Ouest : la région de Tambacounda au Sénégal.* Ph.D. thesis, Rouen University (2003).
- 72. Merle, N. S., Noe, R., Halbwachs-Mecarelli, L., Fremeaux-Bacchi, V. & Roumenina, L. T. Complement system part II: role in immunity. *Front. Immunol.* **6**, 257. [https://doi.org/10.3389/fmmu.2015.00257](https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2015.00257) (2015).
- 73. Bao, J. *et al.* Serpin functions in host-pathogen interactions. *PeerJ* **6**, e4557. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4557> (2018).
- 74. Mangan, M. S. J., Kaiserman, D. & Bird, P. I. Te role of serpins in vertebrate immunity. *Tissue Antigens* **72**, 1–10. [https://doi.org](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0039.2008.01059.x) [/10.1111/j.1399-0039.2008.01059.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-0039.2008.01059.x) (2008).
- 75. Wang, W., Qu, Q. & Chen, J. Identifcation, expression analysis, and antibacterial activity of Apolipoprotein A-I from amphioxus (*Branchiostoma belcheri*). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.* **238**, 110329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbpb.2019.110329>(2019).
- 76. Huntoon, K. M. *et al.* Te acute phase protein haptoglobin regulates host immunity. *J. Leukoc. Biol.* **84**, 170–181. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0208100) [org/10.1189/jlb.0208100](https://doi.org/10.1189/jlb.0208100) (2008).
- 77. Burger, D. & Dayer, J. M. High-density lipoprotein-associated apolipoprotein AI: the missing link between infection and chronic infammation?. *Autoimmun. Rev.* **1**, 111–117. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1568-9972\(01\)00018-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1568-9972(01)00018-0) (2002).
- 78. Sorci, G. & Faivre, B. Infammation and oxidative stress in vertebrate host-parasite systems. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. B* **364**, 71–83. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2008.0151>(2009).
- 79. McKay, D. M. Te benefcial helminth parasite?. *Parasitology* **132**, 1–12.<https://doi.org/10.1017/S003118200500884X> (2006).
- 80. Robertson, S., Bradley, J. E. & MacColl, A. D. Measuring the immune system of the three-spined stickleback—investigating natural variation by quantifying immune expression in the laboratory and the wild. *Mol. Ecol. Res.* **16**, 701–713. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12497) [org/10.1111/1755-0998.12497](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.12497) (2016).
- 81. Tengholm, A. & Gylfe, E. cAMP signalling in insulin and glucagon secretion. *Diabetes Obes. Metabol.* **19**, 42–53. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12993) [org/10.1111/dom.12993](https://doi.org/10.1111/dom.12993) (2017).
- 82. Jones, B. J., Tan, T. & Bloom, S. R. Minireview: glucagon in stress and energy homeostasis. *Endocrinology* **153**, 1049–1054. [https](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2011-1979) [://doi.org/10.1210/en.2011-1979](https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2011-1979) (2012).
- 83. Sih, A., Cote, J., Evans, M. R., Fogarty, S. & Pruitt, J. Ecological implications of behavioural syndromes. *Ecol. Lett.* **15**, 278–289. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1461-0248.2011.01731.x> (2012).
- 84. Bengston, S. E. *et al.* Genomic tools for behavioural ecologists to understand repeatable individual diferences in behaviour. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* **2**, 944–955. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41559-017-0411-4> (2018).
- 85. Martin, L. B. *et al.* Costs of immunity and their role in the range expansion of the house sparrow in Kenya. *J. Exp. Biol.* **220**, 2228–2235. <https://doi.org/10.1242/jeb.154716> (2017).
- 86. Schoener, T. W. Te newest synthesis: understanding the interplay of evolutionary and ecological dynamics. *Science* **331**, 426–429. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1193954> (2011).

#### **Acknowledgements**

We thank Khalilou Bâ, Mamoudou Diallo, Mamadou Kane, Laurent Granjon, Youssoupha Niang, Aliou Sow and Philippe Gauthier for their help in collecting rodents, and J.-M. Duplantier for invaluable advice regarding feld work and rodent invasion history. We are indebted to all the Senegalese people who allowed us to trap rodents in their homes. Tis work was supported by the ANR ENEMI project (ANR-11-JSV7-0006), and by the French Embassy in Senegal, which provided the funding for PhD scholarships. Tis preprint has been reviewed and recommended by Peer Community in Ecology [\(https://dx.doi.org/10.24072/pci.ecology.100011\)](https://dx.doi.org/10.24072/pci.ecology.100011).

#### **Author contributions**

C.B., M.G. and N.C. conceived the study. A.D., C.B., C.A.D., N.C., participated in the population sampling (other people involved have already co-authored other published papers). M.G., C.T. and A.L. performed the RNA extraction. H.P. and D.S. constructed the RNA libraries and performed the HiSeq sequencing. H.P. and S.R. performed bioinformatics and statistical analyses for D.E. gene detection. N.C. conducted functional and enrichment analyses. N.C. wrote the manuscript, with contribution gathered from all co-authors.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### **Additional information**

**Supplementary information** is available for this paper at [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75060-2.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-75060-2)

**Correspondence** and requests for materials should be addressed to N.C.

**Reprints and permissions information** is available at [www.nature.com/reprints.](www.nature.com/reprints)

**Publisher's note** Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional afliations.

**Open Access** Tis article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International  $\odot$ License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

 $\circ$  The Author(s) 2020