

Nadia Bertin

# ▶ To cite this version:

Nadia Bertin. Fruit quality. Tomatoes, 2ème ed., CAB International, 388 p., 2018, Crop Production Science in Horticulture, 9781780641935. hal-02790749

# HAL Id: hal-02790749 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02790749

Submitted on 5 Jun2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



# FRUIT QUALITY

Nadia Bertin

## **INTRODUCTION**

Quality of fleshy fruit is a complex trait including multiple variables. While the commercial quality relies mainly on external attractiveness (e.g. colour, shape, size), firmness and shelf-life, the organoleptic quality depends on physical (texture or firmness) and biochemical traits determining the overall taste and flavour. On the other hand, the health benefits rely on the composition in vitamins and antioxidant compounds (lycopene, β-carotene, ascorbic acid and polyphenol) as well as minerals (potassium, calcium, phosphorus, magnesium), whereas the sanitary quality is defined by residues of pesticides or other unhealthy compounds such as allergens, mycotoxins, antibiotics, environmental persistent pollutants and pathogenic microorganisms. In past decades, genetic improvement mainly favoured the producers and distributors, by derivation towards resistant productive cultivars and long-life products, whereas consumer preferences were generally overlooked. At the same time, yields have steadily increased by improvement of technical crop management in horticulture, so that intensive production systems launched on the market homogeneous, firm but tasteless products suitable for large distribution networks. Recently, the social demand for tasty, healthy fruits rich in vitamins and antioxidant compounds, but also for environmentally friendly production of fruits free of pesticides and residues, has given rise to new research concerning these traits. Meanwhile, fresh fruits have been recognized as a major source of vitamins and antioxidants and as important components of human diet and welfare on account of their nutritional value. Thus increasing their consumption became a worldwide priority, in particular to limit the risks of chronic diseases and nutritional deficiencies. Tomato also represents a major economic issue as it is the second highest vegetable (first fruit) consumed worldwide (Food & Agriculture Organization of the United Nations; see http://faostat.fao. org). This changing social and environmental context led to the search for new cultivars adapted to more sustainable modes of production and able to maintain yield and produce high quality fruits.

۲

© Ep Heuverlink 2018. Tomatoes (E. Heuverlink)

(�)

This chapter focuses on main quality traits from the consumer's point of view, which are fruit size or fresh weight, colour, taste, flavour, texture and nutritive value. Quality standards have been defined that give quantitative market values. However, there are as many quality standards as there are consumer types. A recent study (Causse *et al.*, 2010) revealed that preferences are quite homogeneous across European countries (The Netherlands, France and Italy) and that within each country two main factors discriminate the consumers of fresh tomatoes: the first is based on overall fruit flavour (aroma, juiciness, taste); the second is made up of textural components such as firmness, 'meltiness' and crunchiness.

Fruit quality is evaluated at harvest but it is elaborated in the course of fruit development, resulting from many interacting growth processes and metabolic activities, which are regulated according to the fruit ontogenetic programme and by environmental conditions (Génard et al., 2007). Fruit taste, texture, overall flavour and nutritive value are mainly determined by the amount of dry matter and its composition in sugars, acids, cellulose and proteins, antioxidant compounds and minerals as well as by the ratio between sugars and acids and their dilution by water. At harvest, these traits are highly variable among fresh cultivated tomatoes: fruit fresh weight may vary 200-fold whereas the dry matter, sugar, acid or vitamin C contents may vary by four- to fivefold. After harvest, quality continues to evolve in relation to postharvest storage conditions and ripening stage at harvest. Despite increasing knowledge on the main metabolic pathway and molecular regulations occurring at plant and fruit levels, the improvement of fruit quality and the reconciliation of both yield and quality traits is still a challenge. Indeed, due to antagonistic relationships between fruit size and composition in sugars, the most tasty varieties are cherry or cocktail tomatoes, which represent only a minor part of the market, while the taste of large tomato fruit varieties is rather poor. In order to gain insight into the formation and variations of fruit quality in relation to genetic and environmental conditions, a preliminary step is to understand the processes underlying each trait and the development of integrative approaches to fruit quality to disentangle the complex network of interactions among these processes in response to external or internal stimuli. For this reason, in recent decades understanding fruit quality in relation to gene × environment  $\times$  management (G×E×M) interactions and analysing correlations among yield and quality traits have been key objectives for fruit physiologists and ecophysiologists in view of crop management and cultivar adaptation to specific environments.

This chapter is divided into four parts. The first describes major quality traits of tomato fruit and the underlying processes. The second and third parts review some of the effects of environmental and genetic factors involved in quality variations. A few physiological disorders that may negatively impact the marketable yield are presented in the fourth part. Finally, an integrative approach for optimizing fruit quality is discussed in the last part.

۲

# QUALITY CHARACTERISTICS AND UNDERLYING PROCESSES

#### Fruit size and shape

Size and shape of tomato fruits are major traits of quality exhibiting a huge genetic variability (Tanksley, 2004). Fruit shape is determined mainly by the genetic make-up and it has been strongly diversified during breeding. Fruit size largely varies in response to G×E×M interactions. The increase in fruit volume results from the development of pericarp tissue, which generally accounts for more than two-thirds of total fruit weight (Ho, 1996). The increase in pericarp volume is achieved through two important processes: (i) the production of new cells, which is limited to a more or less short period (10-25 days after anthesis) of development; and (ii) cell expansion, which generally proceeds until start of maturation (Fig. 5.1). Although most of the fruit volume increase occurs during the expansion phase, several authors have provided evidence that final size is highly correlated to the number of cells determined early during the division phase (Fig. 5.2) (Bertin et al., 2003). After cell division stops, the increase in tissue volume results from cell growth by increase in cytoplasmic volume and expansion through vacuolation, and from biophysical constraints related to epidermal extensibility (Thompson, 2001). Cell expansion is supported by the pressure of cell contents and constrained by cell wall properties (Cosgrove, 1997). The decrease in cell turgor and water potential resulting from cell wall relaxation and loosening enables water to enter the cell and to stimulate expansion (Lockhart, 1965). Water enters the fruit through xylem (15-20%)of water influx) and phloem (75-80% of water influx) tissues following the stem-to-fruit gradient of water potential, which is generated by the gradient of osmotic potential between source and sink tissues, linking cell expansion to sugar metabolism and subcellular compartmentalization. Cessation of cell division and increase in cell size have been found to be closely linked to the switch of the complete mitotic cycle to an incomplete cycle without mitosis, so-called endoreduplication, which leads to polyploid cells in pericarp tissue where C-values range from 2C to 512C (Joubès and Chevalier, 2000). In tomato, large endoreduplicated cells are located in the mesocarp with DNA contents up to 256C (eight endocycles) or even 512C (nine endocycles) in cherry tomatoes as well as in large-fruit cultivars (Bertin et al., 2007). The functional role of endoreduplication remains controversial. Among a large diversity of tomato lines, Cheniclet et al. (2005) reported a positive correlation between endoreduplication and cell size of pericarp tissues. By contrast, absence of correlation has been reported for mutants affected by the number of endocycles (Leiva-Neto et al., 2004), in transgenic lines over-expressing a cell-cycle inhibitor (De Veylder et al., 2001), or in response to changes in growth conditions affecting cell size (Bertin, 2005). Many theories have been developed to explain the link between cell size and nuclear size or DNA content, such as the



**Fig. 5.2.** Relationship observed at maturity between fruit fresh weight and cell number in a QTL-Nils population of tomato. Each point represents an individual fruit and each colour is a different genotype. (Bertin *et al.*, 2009; reprinted by permission of the Society for Experimental Biology.)

۲

۲

(�)

nuclear–cytoplasmic ratio theory, but the molecular basis of this correlation remains poorly understood (Chevalier *et al.*, 2011).

The onset of fruit ripening coincides with the rapid slowdown of cell expansion and the onset of intensive metabolic transformations. Tomato is a climacteric fruit and ripening is associated with ethylene production and cell respiration peaks in both attached and detached tomato fruits. As ripening progresses, fruit colour changes from green to red as chloroplasts are transformed into chromoplasts, chlorophyll is degraded and carotenoids accumulate. Fruit softening and textural changes occur as the fruit cell wall is partially disassembled by enzymes and the ripe flavour develops as specific volatiles increase and the sugar-acid balance alters (see below). Ethylene biosynthesis in pre-climacteric immature and mature-green tomato fruits and ripening climacteric tomato fruits is by the conventional pathway of methionine to SAM (shoot apical meristem) to ACC to ethylene (Abeles et al., 1992). Exposure of mature-green fruit to endogenous levels of ethylene hastens the onset of the climacteric and ripening. Once the ethylene concentration within the fruit surpasses a 'threshold' level, it will promote its own biosynthesis (i.e. positive feedback) and autocatalytic ethylene production will cause a rapid increase in production and accumulation within the tissues (Abeles *et al.*, 1992). The atmosphere within a tomato fruit is effectively isolated from the surrounding atmosphere by an impermeable skin and cuticle; about 95% of gas exchange occurs through the stem scar. Therefore, once ethylene has started its positive feedback climacteric rise, few external treatments can modulate its synthesis. Reduced temperatures and lowered oxygen atmospheres slow overall metabolism but ripening will continue, albeit at a slower pace. However, certain inhibitors of ethylene action (e.g. 1-methylcyclopropane (1-MCP), ethanol vapours) appear to stop reversibly ethylene-enhanced fruit ripening at almost any stage of ripeness (Saltveit and Sharaf, 1992).

#### Fruit texture

Texture is a main trait of quality determining the end-use value of fruits, whether intended for fresh market or for industrial processing. In the case of tomato, texture not only influences purchasing and consumer acceptance but also has a significant impact on whole organoleptic quality, shelf-life and transportability (Seymour *et al.*, 2002) and it strongly interferes with flavour and aroma perception (Causse *et al.*, 2003). Texture is a complex trait, implying several components such as firmness, 'meltiness', mealiness, juiciness or crunchiness (Harker *et al.*, 2002). It can be evaluated by sensory analysis (Szczesniak, 2002), or it can be objectively measured by instrumental methods, including mechanical measurements, magnetic resonance imaging, or sonic and ultrasonic techniques (Abbott, 1999). The most common mechanical methods are compression and puncture tests (Barrett *et al.*, 2010),

۲

which mainly evaluate fruit or tissue firmness and elasticity and usually correlate well with sensory evaluation (Causse *et al.*, 2002).

Mechanisms underlying fruit texture are complex. Extensive work has focused on the molecular and biochemical mechanisms that lead to fruit softening during ripening, when the decline in fruit firmness coincides with the dissolution of the middle lamella, resulting in the reduction of intercellular adhesion, depolymerization and solubilization of hemicelluloses and pectic cell wall polysaccharides (Toivonen and Brummell, 2008). These events are accompanied by the increased expression of numerous cell wall-degrading enzymes. including hydrolases, transglucosylases, lyases and other wall-loosening proteins such as expansins. However, fruit texture might be already determined during the fruit growth period (Chaïb et al., 2007), involving various mechanisms. Several reports have examined the importance of fruit anatomical and histological properties (Barrett et al., 1998). At the fruit scale, proportion and thickness of the different tissues are determinants for fruit texture (Bourne, 2002). At the tissue scale, the cellular structure is likely involved in fruit mechanical properties, such as firmness (Aurand et al., 2012). Moreover, cell turgor (Shackel et al., 1991), transport of solutes among cell compartments (Almeida and Huber, 1999), chemical and mechanical properties of cell walls (Rosales et al., 2009), cuticle structure and loss of water by transpiration (Saladié et al., 2007) also contribute to textural properties. A recent study (Aurand et al., 2012) noted that tomato firmness and stiffness measured by puncture tests correlate with both morphological (locular number), histological (cell size) and biochemical (dry matter and soluble sugar content) fruit traits.

After harvest, texture evolves rapidly, while membrane and cell wall breakdown occurs in relation to turgor loss and to enzyme-orchestrated cell wall loosening. This evolution may critically threaten the distribution of the production, with dramatic economic consequences.

#### Fruit composition in sugars and acids

Soluble sugars (glucose, fructose and sucrose) and organic acids (mainly malic and citric acids) are major osmotic compounds accumulated in tomato fruit. Both the absolute amounts and the balance between sugars and acids are responsible for fruit sweetness and sourness and contribute to their overall flavour (Davies and Hobson, 1981). Tomato fruit is made up of about 90–95% water and 5–10% dry matter, of which about 50% is represented by sugars and 15% by organic acids and amino acids (Fig. 5.3). The fruit structure and proportion of the different tissues may influence its taste, since jelly tissues contain more acid and less sugars than pericarp tissue. Metabolic pathways of sugar and acid syntheses and links between enzymatic activities and product accumulation in fruits have been well documented (recently reviewed by Etienne *et al.*, 2013, for acids and by Beckles *et al.*, 2012, for sugars).





**Fig. 5.3.** Composition of tomato fruit dry matter, adapted from Davies and Hobson (1981) by permission of Taylor & Francis Ltd (http://www.tandfonline.com)

Tomato fruit shifts from partially photosynthetic to truly heterotrophic metabolism. Rare quantitative studies indicated that carbon fixed by the fruit itself contributes between 10% and 15% of that required for fruit growth (Tanaka et al., 1974). Other studies based on transgenic lines or mutants confirmed that fruit photosynthesis is not necessary for fruit energy metabolism or development but suggested an important role for photosynthesis in the initiation of fruit development, or in properly timed seed development (Lytovchenko et al., 2011). Thus most of the carbon required for growth is imported from leaves into fruit through the phloem tissues. Sucrose is the main form of carbon import in tomato fruit. It is either metabolized in the apoplast by a cell wall invertase or directly transported into fruit cells (Fig. 5.4). The transport occurs via symplastic (through the plasmodesmata) or apoplastic loading, involving hexose transporters. Although symplastic loading of sucrose is believed to predominate in young fruit, apoplastic loading has been suggested to occur throughout fruit development (Zanor et al., 2009). Part of the imported carbon is consumed through respiration, providing energy for maintenance and structural growth, and

 $( \blacklozenge$ 

143

( )

N. Bertin

۲



**Fig. 5.4.** Carbohydrate metabolism in developing tomato fruit. Sucrose may be imported directly via the symplast or may be inverted in the apoplast to hexoses, which are then imported into the cell. Both sucrose and hexoses may be stored in the vacuole. The flux of sucrose to starch occurs early in fruit development from anthesis to ~20–25 days post-anthesis (DPA). Here, sucrose metabolism via sucrose synthase and hexokinases dominates. Hexose phosphate intermediates are then imported into the plastid for the synthesis of starch. As the fruit ripens, Susy and hexokinase activity declines relative to invertase and the apoplastic import of hexose becomes more significant with storage of sugars in the vacuole. Starch biosynthesis is minimal and active degradation of the starch occurs, which may add to the sugar content available for storage. (Beckles *et al.*, 2012, reprint permission requested 25 July 2017.)

۲

144

۲

۲

the rest is stored in different forms (mainly starch, sucrose, glucose, fructose). While the pools of hexoses increase until maturation, a transient pool of starch particularly located in the columella, the placenta and the inner and radial pericarp (Schaffer and Petreikov, 1997) is filled in the early phase of fruit expansion and peaks around 20–50 days after anthesis (Fig. 5.5). Starch accumulated during this period is completely hydrolysed afterwards and maximum starch levels correlate well with final levels of soluble sugars (Ho, 2003). In the mature fruit, glucose and fructose are present at an approximately equimolar ratio (Davies and Hobson, 1981), while sucrose represents a small portion of the soluble sugars (generally less than 5%) in most commercial cultivars (Ho, 1996).

Malic and citric acids are the main organic acids accumulated in tomato fruit. They determine fleshy fruit acidity, as measured by titratable acidity and/or pH. The pH of tomato juice currently ranges between 4 and 4.5 but it does not correlate with acid content, which fluctuates over a much larger range. The perception of fruit acidity is due mainly to citric acid. Even though some organic acids are supplied by the sap, variations in fruit acidity primarily result from the metabolism of malate and citrate in the fruit itself (Fig. 5.6). Etienne *et al.* (2013) demonstrated that accumulation of malate and citrate is the result of complex interactions between metabolism and vacuolar storage. The first steps of acid synthesis, i.e. malate and oxaloacetate synthesis, take place in the cytosol and require the fixation of CO<sub>2</sub> on



**Fig. 5.5.** Dry matter composition in total soluble sugars (solid lines) and starch (dotted lines) during development of cherry (red) and large-fruited (blue) tomato fruit. Vertical bars indicate 95% confidence intervals. (Adapted from Bertin *et al.*, 2009, by permission of the Society of Experimental Biology.)

۲

145

(�)

( )





a carbon skeleton derived from hexose catabolism. Both cytosolic pH and malate concentration play an important role in the regulation of malate synthesis (Etienne *et al.*, 2013). Then malate can be converted to citrate or to other tricarboxylates or dicarboxylates via several pathways in the mitochondria, leading to fruit acidity fluctuations. While acid synthesis and conversions through different pathways occur in different cell compartments (cytosol, mitochondria and glyoxysomes), large amounts of acids are accumulated mainly in the vacuole after transport through the tonoplast, which depends on both vacuolar pH and electric potential gradient across the tonoplast. Then, during fruit ripening, the cytosolic degradation of organic acids through the gluconeogenesis pathway promotes the accumulation of soluble sugars (Etienne *et al.*, 2013).

 $( \blacklozenge$ 

146

( )

#### Fruit ascorbate (vitamin C)

Ascorbic acid or ascorbate (AsA) plays important roles in plants. For instance, it is involved in cell division and cell wall synthesis and in the interaction of plants with the environment, pathogens and oxidizing agents (Smirnoff, 2000). It is also an important micronutrient and an essential antioxidant in the human diet. In tomato, vitamin C exists in two water-soluble, biologically active forms: ascorbate (reduced form) and dehydroascorbic acid (oxidized form). Both forms are present in all cell compartments of tissues undergoing active growth and development, and the total amount of vitamin C ranges from 8 to 60 mg/100 gfresh weight among tomato species and cultivars (Table 5.1) (CTIFL, 2011). The biosynthesis pathway of AsA in plants and the numerous enzymes involved have been well described (L-galactose pathway) (Wheeler et al., 1998). AsA is synthesized from D-glucose both in leaves and in fruits. Once synthesized, AsA can rapidly be oxidized, as result of its antioxidant function, and so the recycling pathway (reduction of the oxidized form) also plays an important role in maintaining AsA levels and redox status in plant cells (Massot et al., 2013). Thus the AsA pool size in fruit results from its import (or the import of precursors) from leaves, its synthesis and recycling within the fruit and its export, as well as its degree of oxidation or degradation. During fruit development, fruit AsA content decreases during the periods of cell division and expansion, probably because of dilution effects, then increases during ripening concomitantly to the hexose content (Massot et al., 2010). Many studies have found good correlations between fruit soluble sugar and AsA contents. However, recent works have demonstrated that AsA synthesis in tomato fruit is not limited by sugar content (Massot et al., 2010) and that the in situ synthesis prevailes over AsA transport from leaves (Gautier et al., 2009).

In the postharvest period, ascorbic acid content shows significant losses during storage but the content of vitamin C shows remarkable stability when expressed as the sum of ascorbic acid and dehydroascorbic acid. Moreover, it has been shown that mature-green and breaker fruits that have been ripened with ethylene lose less vitamin C by the time they reach the red-ripe stage than fruits allowed to ripen without added ethylene. However, both are lower than in fruits ripened on the plant. Interestingly, monodehydroascorbate reductase (MDHAR) activity plays an important role in the maintenance of ascorbate levels in fruit after chilling injury induced by storage below 10°C. Furthermore, under these conditions, an increased fruit MDHAR activity and a lower oxidation level of the fruit ascorbate pool are correlated with decreased loss of firmness (Stevens *et al.*, 2008).

#### Fruit carotenoids

Carotenoids are also of importance in the human diet due to their antioxidant properties (Dorais *et al.*, 2008). Besides their interest for humans, carotenoids

۲

147

(�)

ane J.I. Composition	חוו טו מווובובוור ווחב ו	COLLAROAS (CALLWEIL, 2	010				
Tomato type	Fruit weight g	Red color, Hue	Soluble solids, %	Sugar, mg/mL	Titratable acidity, %	Vitamin C mg/100 g	Lycopene mg/kg
Campari	53.1	44.2	6.3	31.4	0.58	40.5	63.0
Cherry	20.3	45.5	4.2	28.9	0.31	54.0	84.6
Grape	5.0	51.3	5.6	29.5	0.51	47.1	49.1
Grape	6.2	41.7	4.2	39.6	0.35	61.7	98.0
Orange Cluster	111.5	71.5	4.6	26.1	0.33	29.2	4.2
Round Cluster	102.1	43.2	7.6	20.1	0.62	26.9	53.6
Round Cluster	119.8	44.6	3.8	15.3	0.44	26.0	44.8
Round Greenhouse	231.2	45.9	4.5	22.5	0.36	30.4	28.0
Round Greenhouse	179.4	47.7	4.7	25.0	0.44	20.4	42.5
Roma	94.8	42.1	4.3	24.0	0.27	22.8	46.4
Roma	84.5	45.2	6.2	20.2	0.67	24.3	44.4
Romanita	20.5	41.3	6.3	32.9	0.44	45.9	70.3
LSD.05	6.7	2.4	0.3	5.0	0.08	8.0	7.5

 Table 5.1.
 Composition of different ripe tomatoes (Cantwell, 2010)

148

N. Bertin

۲

۲

۲

play fundamental biological roles in plants (for instance, in light harvesting in photosynthetic membranes, protection of the photosynthetic apparatus from excessive light energy, pigment-protein complexes in thylakoids, precursors of abscisic acid, and attractants to pollinators, thus involved in seed dispersal).

Carotenoids are C<sub>40</sub> isoprenoid molecules formed in plastids, alongside the differentiation of chloroplasts into chromoplasts. Carotenoid accumulation is spatially and temporally regulated in the chloroplasts and chromoplasts of fruits and flowers. All carotenoids are synthesized from geranylgeranyl diphosphate (GGPP). Then isomerization, cyclization, hydrogenation and oxygenation events give rise to the chemical variability of carotenoids, whose accumulation results from the balance between synthesis and catabolism through enzymatic or non-enzymatic oxidative cleavage (review by Fanciullino et al., 2014). The most abundant carotenoid in tomato fruit is lycopene, followed by phytoene, phytofluene, zeta-carotene, gamma-carotene, beta-carotene (precursor of vitamin A), neurosporene and lutein. Lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene are the principal ripe fruit pigments of tomato responsible for fruit coloration, and lycopene represents about 80–90% of total carotenoid content of ripe fruit. In tomato, total carotenoid concentration increases between 10- and 50-fold during fruit ripening, with a concomitant decrease in chlorophyll (Fraser et al., 1994). Reported contents in lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene range from, respectively, 0.4 to 10 mg/100 g fresh weight and from 0.6 to 1.4 mg/100 g fresh weight (CTIFL, 2011) (Table 5.1). The primary mechanism that controls the increase in carotenoid content is based on the differential regulation of expression of genes encoding enzymes of the carotenoid biosynthetic pathway, such as the phytoene synthase and phytoene desaturase gene (Fraser et al., 1994). The carotenoid biosynthetic pathway has been well described and several regulatory mechanisms have been suggested, including ethylene, light, availability of substrates and metabolic sequestration (Ronen et al., 1999; Fanciullino et al., 2014). However, carotenoid accumulation and activities of many enzymes involved in the biosynthesis do not correlate during fruit development.

The ability of the fruit to synthesize lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene is almost the same for harvested mature-green fruits as it is for fruit ripening on the plant (Table 5.2). Exposure of harvested mature-green fruits to ethylene stimulates

Stage of ripeness	Soluble solids (%)	Reducing sugars (%)	рН	Titratable acidity (%)	β-carotene (µg/g)	Lycopene (µg/g)	Ascorbic acid (mg/100 g)
Mature- green	2.37	0.81	4.20	0.28	0.0	0.0	12.5
Breaker Red-ripe	2.42 5.15	0.85 1.62	4.17 4.12	0.39 0.43	0.40 4.33	0.52 48.3	18.0 22.5

۲

**Table 5.2.** Composition of tomato fruit at different stages of ripeness. Fruit were harvested at the mature-green, breaker or red-ripe stage of ripeness (source: Cantwell, 2000).

N. Bertin

۲

normal ripening with the synthesis and accumulation of lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene, often to a greater extent than for harvested fruits left to ripen without ethylene stimulation (Saltveit, 1999).

#### Fruit colour and appearance

During ripening, the most obvious external changes are associated with the loss of chlorophyll and the accumulation of lycopene, which first becomes apparent at the blossom end of the fruit and progresses toward the stem end. Therefore during ripening the fruit can be partially green and red. Once ripe, however, high-quality fruits have uniform red distributed over the entire surface of the fruit. Under proper conditions of temperature and humidity, tomato fruits progress through six well defined stages to the red-ripe stage (Fig. 5.7). These stages are: (1) mature-green, (2) breaker, (3) turning, (4) pink, (5) lightred and finally (6) red-ripe; and they are based almost entirely on the external colour change of the fruit from green to red (i.e. destruction of chlorophyll and synthesis of lycopene). At the mature-green stage (no external red coloration), fruits have reached about 80% of their final size and acquire the ability to continue to develop and ripen normally after harvest. While fruits of most cultivars change from a uniform green to red as they ripen, a few cultivars have fruits that turn yellow (reduced or incomplete synthesis of lycopene) or are variegated in colour.

#### **Fruit polyphenols**

Polyphenols are a widespread family of phytochemicals with diverse biological functions in plants, for instance in response to various biotic or abiotic stress factors. They are also bioactive health compounds involved in the prevention of cancer and cardiovascular diseases related to their potent antioxidant activity as well as hepatoprotective, hypoglycaemic and antiviral activities (Slimestad and Verheul, 2009). Polyphenols are mainly synthesized from the phenylalanine produced by the shikimic acid pathway. Tomatoes are an important source of phenolic compounds, mostly restricted to their skin and exocarp. About 50 compounds have been reported in fruit, among which phenolic acids, phenylpropanoids, coumarins and flavonoids play an important role in fruit quality (Slimestad and Verheul, 2009). Chlorogenic acids and flavonoids are the main polyphenols in tomato. According to the flavonoid database of the US Department of Agriculture (USDA) (Bhagwat et al., 2014), red tomatoes contain on a year-average basis 15 mg flavonoids per kilogram of fresh weight (FW) but the total flavonoid content of different tomato types varies from 4 to 26 mg/100 g FW (Slimestad and Verheul, 2009). Naringenin (45%) is reported to be the main flavonoid, followed

۲

150

 $( \bullet )$ 



#### Green / Stage 1

"Green" means that the surface of the tomato is completely green in color. The shade of green may vary from light to dark.



#### Turning / Stage 3

( )

"Turning" means that more than 10% but not more than 30%, of the surface, in the aggregate, shows a definite change in color from green to tannish-yellow, pink, red, or a combination thereof.



"Breakers" means there is a definite

"break" in color from green to tannish-

yellow, pink or red on not more than 10%

#### Pinks / Stage 4

of the surface.

"Pink" means that more than 30%, but not more than 60%, of the surface, in the aggregate, shows pink or red in color.



#### Light Red / Stage 5

"Light red" means that more than 60% of the surface, in the aggregate, shows pinkish-red or red, provided that not



Red / Stage 6 "Red" means that more than 90% of the surface, in the aggregate, is red.

Fig. 5.7. Classification of fresh market tomatoes based on changes in external and internal colour and tissue softening (USDA Tomato Ripening Stages).

N. Bertin

(�)

by quercetin (39%), myricetin (10%) and kaempferol (5%) (Slimestad and Verheul, 2009).

#### Fruit aroma and flavour

Flavour and aroma have often given rise to consumer complaints about the quality of tomato. Flavour results from complex interactions among sugars, acids and aroma volatile compounds (Baldwin et al., 2008). The latter have been shown to affect human perception and preference with tomato fruit (Tieman et al., 2012). Over 400 volatile compounds have been identified in fresh tomatoes, among which only 30 are present in concentrations over 1 ppb and thus have been long considered to contribute significantly to the perceptible flavour (Buttery, 1993) (Table 5.3). However, the presence of a molecule even at a relatively high level does not necessarily contribute to flavour or consumer liking. A study by Tieman et al. (2012) demonstrated that the near complete removal of the most abundant class of volatiles (C6 volatiles) in transgenic fruit, without affecting sugars, acids and other volatiles, impacts on flavour intensity but does not significantly impact on consumer preference. Thus odour thresholds alone are inadequate to predict the impact of particular volatiles on flavour. Moreover, the same authors demonstrated that aroma volatiles, such as geranial, make contributions to perceived sweetness independently of sugar concentration, suggesting a novel way to increase perception of sweetness. Volatile compounds are synthesized during ripening with a lesser contribution of the locular gel in the total production by the whole fruit (Maul et al., 1998). Volatile components include acyclic, cyclic and heterocyclic hydrocarbons, alcohols, phenols, ethers, aldehydes, ketones, carboxylic acids, esters and lactones, as well as nitrogen, sulfur and halogen-containing compounds (reviewed by Lewinsohn et al., 2001). The aroma of tomato is not directly related to the presence or absence of a single compound, but rather to synergism among components. (Z)-3-hexenal, hexanal, 1-octen-3-one, methional, 1-penten-3one and 3-methylbutanal belong to the most odour-active aroma volatiles in fresh tomatoes (Krumbein and Auerswald, 1998) whereas the acyclic monoterpene alcohol, linalool, strongly influences the flavour of tomatoes (Buttery, 1993). Volatile compounds found in fruits are formed in different metabolic pathway (Klee et al., 2013) and derived from fatty acids (e.g. cis-3-hexenol and *n*-hexanal), aliphatic amino acids (e.g. 2-isobutylthiazole and guaiacol), phenolic compounds (e.g. eugenol and methyl salicilate), or longer terpenoids such as  $\beta$ -carotene and lycopene (e.g.  $\beta$ -ionone and geranylacetone). Although the biosynthetic pathways have been well described, the enzymes and genes controlling the production of aroma compounds are poorly known.

Fruits harvested mature-green and improperly ripened or ripe fruits stored at chilling temperatures do not produce the characteristic volatiles associated with high-quality tomatoes (Saltveit, 1999).

152

Volatile	S. habrochaites	S. lycopersicum	Ratio
(ng g <sup>-1</sup> FW h <sup>-1</sup> )	LA1777	LA4024	LA1777/LA4024
β-Damascenone	0.18±0.07	0.01±0.00	30.31
Methyl jasmonate	$0.69 \pm 0.55$	0.03±0.01	23.53
β-lonone	0.31±0.21	$0.03 \pm 0.00$	12.00
2-Methylbutanal	12.53±1.54	2.56±0.42	4.90
cis-2-Penten-1-ol	2.68±1.31	$0.64 \pm 0.09$	4.15
Isobutyl acetate	11.14±4.07	2.71±0.47	4.11
Geranylacetone	10.04±4.58	2.65±0.65	3.78
Phenylacetaldehyde	1.03±0.29	0.28±0.09	3.69
2-Methylbutanol	46.96±22.95	$14.99 \pm 2.99$	3.13
Benzaldehyde	14.90±7.45	4.93±0.81	3.02
1-Penten-3-ol	10.78±3.61	3.64±0.28	2.96
1-Penten-3-one	2.00±0.81	0.68±0.11	2.93
Pentanal	12.78±4.42	5.07±0.36	2.52
Methylsalicylate	$3.15 \pm 2.98$	1.26±0.28	2.50
Methyl benzoate	4.00±2.00	1.61±0.49	2.48
cis-3-Hexenal	169.21±117.83	69.13±13.94	2.45
2-Methoxyphenol	2.39±1.26	1.01±0.31	2.36
trans-2-Hexenal	4.47±1.53	2.52±0.72	1.77
Methional	0.24±0.18	0.14±0.02	1.70
Geranial	0.37±0.28	0.23±0.07	1.65
cis-3-Hexen-1-ol	63.87±32.25	45.12±9.39	1.42
trans-2-Pentenal	0.81±0.50	1.14±0.16	0.72
Benzyl alcohol	0.27±0.15	0.43±0.11	0.63
2-Phenylethanol	0.24±0.04	0.42±0.15	0.58
Hexyl alcohol	20.02±16.24	36.08±13.02	0.56
3-Methylbutanol	15.74±6.04	$34.80 \pm 5.91$	0.45
Hexanal	54.12±27.38	120.18±14.58	0.45
1-Pentanol	2.21±1.42	4.98±1.07	0.44
1-Nitro-2-phenylethane	0.42±0.28	1.25±0.30	0.33
trans-2-Heptenal	0.20±0.10	0.84±0.23	0.24
2-Isobutylthiazole	0.48±0.29	$5.05 \pm 0.75$	0.09
Isovaleronitrile	0.61±0.33	6.90±1.80	0.09
6-Methyl-5-hepten-2-one	0.27±0.10	6.31±1.45	0.04

**Table 5.3.** Volatiles emitted by ripe fruits of two tomato lines, *S. habrochaites* LA1777 and *S. lycopersicum* LA4024 (Mathieu *et al.,* 2009; reprinted by permission of the Society for Experimental Biology)).

## ENVIRONMENTAL CONTROL OF FRUIT QUALITY

A wealth of descriptive studies have outlined the effects of environmental factors (temperature, light,  $CO_2$ ) or cultural management (fruit pruning, fertilization, water and saline stress) on quality traits. Environmental factors may

۲

۲

 $( \bullet )$ 

impact fruit quality through their effects on carbon fixation and distribution among sink organs, but through stress-induced osmotic and turgor regulations, and stimulation of antioxidant metabolism in the case of induced oxidative stress. Because in many studies fruit composition is often reported on a fresh weight basis, it is not clear whether the reported enhancement of quality is due to an actual stimulation of the biosynthetic capacity and/or to dilution/ concentration effects associated with changes in fruit size and water content (Koricheva, 1999).

Important traits of quality (size and composition) have been assessed mainly in response to water or salinity stress (Dorais et al., 2001; Guichard et al., 2001), partial root-drying (Zegbe et al., 2006), assimilate partitioning and carbohydrate compartmentation in fruit (Ho, 1996; Prudent et al., 2011) and fertilizers (Benard et al., 2009). Generally, a moderate water or carbon stress promotes fruit quality through an increased accumulation of compounds involved in taste and health value (e.g. sugars and acids, aroma, vitamins, lycopene), but reduces fruit size and marketable yield (Ripoll *et al.*, 2014). Compromises between quality and yield need to be found by manipulating the fruit environment to alter relevant physiological processes such as carbon metabolism and water relations. For instance, it has been demonstrated that both water content and chemical composition of tomato fruits can be manipulated by water and salt stresses with small loss of yield (Ehret and Ho, 1986; Dorais et al., 2001), especially if moderate stress is imposed during the mid to late stages of fruit development (Mizrahi et al., 1988; Ripoll et al., 2016). Under high electrical conductivity (EC), fruit size is inversely related to EC while the fruit dry matter content linearly increases with EC at a rate that depends on cultivars, environmental factors, composition of the nutrient solution and crop management (Dorais *et al.*, 2001).

Most of the aroma and health-promoting compounds accumulated in tomato are regulated by environmental factors, in particular light and temperature (reviewed by Dorais et al., 2008; Poiroux-Gonord et al., 2010; Fanciullino et al., 2014). Low temperatures (above 10°C) during the growth period are generally favourable to the accumulation of ascorbic acid, phenolic compounds and carotenoids, whereas temperatures above 26°C decrease lycopene and β-carotene but promote rutin and caffeic acid derivatives (Gautier *et al.*, 2008). Generally, good exposure or high light intensity is a positive factor for the accumulation of ascorbic acid, lycopene,  $\beta$ -carotene and phenolic compounds in tomatoes (Gautier et al., 2008; Truffault et al., 2015). For instance, seasonal variations in vitamin C levels have been described in relation to light intensity variations and sugar content, and fruits harvested during summer contain 8-50% more vitamin C compared with fruits harvested during early spring (Massot et al., 2010). Fruit shading experiments have shown that AsA accumulation is not limited by leaf photosynthesis or sugar substrate but strongly depends on the fruit irradiance itself (Gautier et al., 2008; Massot et al., 2010). Moreover, the red to far-red ratio, which increases fourfold in pericarp tissues

during ripening, stimulates lycopene accumulation. This red-light-induced lycopene accumulation is regulated by fruit-localized phytochromes independently of ethylene biosynthesis (Alba *et al.*, 2000).

The effects of drought and high salinity on the accumulation of vitamins and secondary metabolites are more complex and conflicting results have been reported (review by Poiroux-Gonord *et al.*, 2010; Ripoll *et al.*, 2014). This is due to the fact that environmental factors may have indirect effects related to photosynthesis and carbon allocation (precursor availability) and/or direct effects on their biosynthesis. For instance, drought stress may be regarded as a negative factor for the synthesis of secondary metabolites because it limits photosynthesis; but, on the other hand, water (or other abiotic factor) stress may exacerbate photo-oxidative stress, thus providing a positive stimulus for their synthesis, probably involving signals transmitted from leaves to fruits (Poiroux-Gonord *et al.*, 2010). High salinity has global positive effects on ascorbic acid, lycopene and  $\beta$ -carotene (Frary *et al.*, 2010), with strong genotype × environment (G×E) interactions (Gautier *et al.*, 2009), whereas conflicting responses with regard to phenolic compounds are reported.

Nitrogen depletion lessens the accumulation of phenolic compounds alongside a slight increase in ascorbic acid concentration (Bénard *et al.*, 2009).

The environmental control of tomato fruit texture has been mainly investigated in the postharvest period, while the effects of environmental factors during fruit development have been more rarely reported (Sams, 1999; Rosales et al., 2009). Generally, tomato firmness (physical component of texture) decreases in summer, which is empirically attributed to high temperature and high vapour pressure deficit. Regarding the mineral nutrition, high EC and high magnesium (Mg) supply increase fruit firmness in summer production, while high calcium (Ca) supply reduces fruit firmness (Hao and Papadopoulous, 2003). The latter authors suggested that high EC and high Mg might increase fruit tissue rigidity, whereas high Ca might increase only tissue plasticity. Water deficit also induces significant variations in fruit firmness with contrasting effects (Ripoll et al., 2014). A moderate water deficit decreases firmness measured by compression test but increases firmness measured by puncture test, which correlates well with firmness and crunchiness assessed by sensory evaluation. Contrasting effects reported in the literature likely result from different methods of texture evaluation, different stress intensity, strong G×E interactions and from the complex interactions among the numerous processes involved in final fruit texture.

In the postharvest period, the effects of temperature storage and atmospheric composition on fruit quality have been primarily investigated. In particular, low temperatures, used by retailers or consumers to extend fruit shelf-life, may trigger physiological disorders and loss of quality. The optimum storage temperature differs with the stage at which fruits are harvested, due to different influences on the enzymatic activity (reviewed by Passam *et al.*, 2007). It also depends on varieties. For instance, long or extended shelf-life varieties

۲

N. Bertin

( )





may not be able to attain full red colour if harvested too early (Cantwell, 2010). Although fully ripe tomatoes may be held at  $2-5^{\circ}$ C for a few days prior to consumption (not longer, since colour loss and softening may occur), fruits that are mature-green or at the turning or breaking stage should not be subjected to temperatures lower than  $12^{\circ}$ C, to avoid chilling injury and relative symptoms such as rubbery texture, watery flesh and irregular ripening (Fig. 5.8) (Stevens *et al.*, 2008; Cantwell, 2010). Fruits stored at 5°C were rated by sensory analysis as significantly lower in ripe aroma (attributed to a loss of the principal volatile components), sweetness and tomato flavour, and significantly higher in sourness, compared with those stored at 20°C (Passam *et al.*, 2007). Although low temperatures during storage lower the lycopene content of fruit (twice less after 10 days storage at 7°C compared with 15°C or 25°C) even when harvested at a mature-red stage, they do not necessarily reduce the total antioxidant capacity related to phenolics and ascorbate over a limited period (Passam *et al.*, 2007).

## **GENETIC CONTROL OF FRUIT QUALITY**

Major components of tomato fruit quality, such as fruit size, appearance, firmness and shelf-life, are quantitative traits that have continuous variation in segregating populations (Causse *et al.*, 2002). They have been tremendously

 $( \blacklozenge$ 

(�)

modified by breeding during the past 50 years, leading to a large diversity in size, shape and colour. For instance, domestication has increased fruit size up to 500-fold in cultivated species. On the contrary, the genetic improvement of organoleptic quality or health value has been considered only recently. The genetic control of interesting traits of quality relies either on a few natural mutations with tremendous effects or on the accumulation of numerous quantitative trait loci (QTLs) and genes with small individual effects. The multi-genic control of most traits of fruit quality, the co-localization of QTLs/genes with contrary effects, and the interactions among OTLs/genes and with the environment or cultural practices put a damper on the fast genetic improvement of tomato quality (Causse et al., 2011). For instance, the antagonistic relationship between fruit size and taste represents a locking point for breeders. As a result, cherry tomatoes have the best flavour and higher contents in sugar and acids than large-fruited genotypes (Causse et al., 2011). Although very few QTLs have been identified at the molecular levels, great advances are expected in the coming years by manipulating the components of tomato, thanks to progressing knowledge of the underlying genes, the release of the tomato genome sequence and the recent advances in sequencing and genotyping methods that allow identification of important genes and loci through analyses based on single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) such as QTL mapping and genome-wide association study (GWAS) (Zsögon et al., 2017). Many genetic engineering approaches have been already developed to modify the expression of genes controlling quality attributes, especially during ripening (e.g. for improving the taste, sugar-to-acid ratios, aroma and carotenoid levels in tomato) (Lewinsohn et al., 2001; Guo et al., 2012), but the use of genetic transformations for commercial purposes is highly regulated. On the other hand, the natural genetic variability within or among tomato species offers great potential for creating new improved varieties (Lin et al., 2014). Until now, most genetic studies on QTL detection have been performed on populations derived from interspecific crosses between wild species and processing tomatoes. Numerous QTLs for fruit weight, shape, sugar and acid contents, firmness, volatiles and sensory attributes have been detected (reviewed in Labate et al., 2007, and in Causse et al., 2011). The introduction of five major regions bearing QTLs of quality has been successfully realized (Lecomte et al., 2004) and fruit quality could be improved with favourable effects provided by cherry tomato (Causse et al., 2002). Yet, interactions between genes or QTLs and environmental conditions should be considered (Albert et al., 2015). Major mutations and genes for improving tomato fruit quality have been reported by Causse et al. (2011) (Table 5.4).

#### Fruit size and shape

Many QTLs of fruit mass have been detected. Six of them explain more than 20% of the phenotypic variance (Grandillo *et al.*, 1999), among which fw2.2

۲

0003444137.INDD 157

<b>Table 5.4.</b> Maj Wiley & Sons)	or mutations and genes t	for improving tomato fruit q	uality (reprintec	l from Causse <i>et al.</i> 2011, ł	yy permission of John
Quality trait	Mutation	Phenotype	Chromosome	Activity	Reference
Size and shape	Fw2.2 (QTL)	Fruit weight	2	Transcription factor	Cong & Tanksley, 2006
	fas (fasciated)	Fruit shape	11	Transcription factor	Cong <i>et al.</i> , 2008
	o (ovate)	Fruit shape	2	Transcription factor	Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2002
	SUN	Fruit shape	7	IQD protein	Xiao <i>et al.</i> , 2000
Sugar content	Lin5	Increased sugar content	6	Cell wall Invertase	Fridman <i>et al.</i> , 2000
Vitamin C	Vtc9.1	Higher vitamin C	6	MDHAR	Stevens et al., 2008
Shelf life	Rin	inhibited ripening	5	MADS-box transcription	Vrebalov et al., 2002
		(semi-dominant)		factor	
	<i>nor</i> (non ripening)	inhibited ripening (semi-dominant)	10	transcription factor	Moore et al., 2002
	Nr (Never-ripe)	inhibited ripening	6	C <sub>2</sub> H <sub>4</sub> receptor	Wilkinson <i>et al.</i> , 1995
		(dominanu)			
	Cnr (Colorless	inhibited ripening	2	Epigenetic control	Thompson <i>et al.</i> , 1999;
	non-ripening)	(dominant)			Seymour <i>et al.</i> , 2002
Color	B (Beta)	yellow fruits	9	Lycopene cyclase	Ronen <i>et al.</i> , 2000
	og (old gold-crimson)	higher lycopene content	9	Lycopene cyclase	Ronen <i>et al.</i> , 2000
	Del (Delta)	orange fruits	12	Lycopene cyclase	Ronen <i>et al.</i> , 1999
	r (yellow flesh)	yellow fruits	3	Phytoene synthase	Fray & Grierson, 1993
	t (tangerine)	orange fruits	10	Carotenoid isomerase	lsaacson et al., 2002
	<i>hp-2</i> (high pigment)	higher lycopene content	12	DETI homolog	Mustilli <i>et al.</i> , 1999
	<i>hp-I</i> (high pigment)	higher lycopene content	2	DDBI light signaling	Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2004
	<i>Dg</i> (dark green)	higher lycopene content	12	DETI homolog; allelic to hp1	Levin <i>et al.</i> , 2003
	X	uncolored epidermis	-	MYB transcription factor	Adato <i>et al.</i> , 2009

and genes for improving tomato fruit guality (reprinted from Causse et al. 2011. by permission of John mutations Maior

۲

158

N. Bertin

۲

controls 30% of fruit size variations and is involved in the control of cell division in the pre-anthesis period. Among 13 QTLs that contributed to fruit mass enlargement during the improvement of modern tomato cultivars, five are located at the distal end of the long arm of chromosome 2 (fw2.2, lcn2.1, fw2.1, fw2.3 and lcn2.2), whereas five others related to fruit mass (fw1.1, fw5.2, fw7.2, fw12.1 and lcn12.1) likely contributed to the enlargement of tomato fruits during domestication of wild species (Lin *et al.*, 2014).

Three major QTLs for fruit shape have been identified on chromosomes 2, 7 and 8 and two major QTLs for locule number: fasciated (*fas*) and locule number (*lc* or *lcn2.1*) are located on chromosomes 11 and 2, respectively. Other QTLs such as OVATE and SUN modify fruit shape more specifically, as they are responsible for elongated fruit shape (reviewed in Causse *et al.*, 2011).

#### **Fruit texture**

Forty-six QTLs controlling texture as assessed by touching (30 QTLs), by mechanical measurement (11 QTLs) and by sensory evaluation (five QTLs) were reported in several populations, with a few main clusters on chromosomes 1, 2, 4, 5, 9, 10 and 11 (Causse et al., 2002; Labate et al., 2007). At the molecular level, most studies on texture have focused on the molecular mechanisms that lead to fruit softening during ripening and on the characterization of mutants affected in ripening-related genes; for instance, the ripening inhibitor (rin) gene, non-ripening (nor) gene, delayed-fruit deterioration (DFD) gene or colourless non-ripening (Cnr) gene (Seymour et al., 2002; Giovannoni, 2004; Saladié et al., 2007). On chromosomes 2, 5 and 10 the firmness OTL co-localized with the genes rin, nor and Cnr. Interestingly, the divergence between firm processing tomatoes and soft fresh tomatoes resides in SNPs located on chromosome 5 in a region where a major OTL for firmness has been detected (Lin *et al.*, 2014). However, no key determinants of fruit texture have been clearly identified, due to the high number of processes involved, including processes unrelated to cell wall loosening (Saladié et al., 2007; Aurand et al., 2012).

#### Fruit composition in sugar and acids

Increasing fruit sugar content is one main objective of breeding programmes focusing on organoleptic quality. This is particularly true for tomato, as domestication has led to a loss of flavour, despite abundant literature and knowledge on the physiological and genetic factors controlling the main steps of sugar and acid metabolisms in tomato (Beckles *et al.*, 2012; Etienne *et al.*, 2013). The number of regions bearing QTLs for sugar and acid contents obtained in different populations is very high (Labate *et al.*, 2007), likely because of the high number of processes involved and strong G×E interactions (Prudent *et al.*, 201).

2009: Albert et al., 2016). For instance, among 56 regions (95 OTLs) bearing OTLs for sugar content (or Brix), about 28 regions were found in several populations (the wild allele mostly increasing the trait) (Labate *et al.*, 2007). Only a few regions are common to sugars and acids (Sauvage *et al.*, 2014) while frequent collocations between sugars and fruit weight with opposite allelic effects have been detected (Grandillo et al., 1999; Prudent et al., 2009). At the molecular level, a high number (more than 60) of candidate genes involved in carbon metabolism have been identified (Causse et al., 2011). Among them, a few genes encoding major enzymes of sugar metabolism affect the final fruit sugar content. For instance, *Lin5*, encoding the apoplastic invertase, is involved in the control of total soluble sugar content due to an increase capacity to uptake the phloem unloaded sucrose (Baxter et al., 2005) and would explain a OTL for soluble compounds on chromosome 9 (Sauvage *et al.*, 2014). On chromosome 10, a OTL controlling fructose content contains two cell wall invertase genes Lin6 and Lin8 (Albert et al., 2016). Similarly, AgpL1, AgpL2, AqpL3 and AqpS1 regulate the AGPase activity and starch synthesis during the expansion stage of fruit development, which constitutes a reservoir contributing to higher soluble sugar content at the ripe stage (Causse *et al.*, 2011).

#### Fruit composition in health-promoting phytochemicals

Metabolic engineering of plants to produce novel compounds or to improve the production of existing compounds is possible by over-expressing one or more specific genes coding for enzymes that control key steps of the known biosynthetic pathways.

Several QTLs for fruit ascorbic acid have been identified in different populations with a range of phenotypic variation from 6 to 50 mg/100 g fresh weight (Stevens *et al.*, 2007; Ruggieri *et al.*, 2014). The ascorbic acid concentration in cells depends on its biosynthesis, recycling and degradation. Thus, efficient ways to manipulate ascorbic acid content might be achieved via regulatory genes of the synthesis or via the up-regulation of recycling. Two main genes, both on chromosome 9, co-localized with QTL for fruit ascorbic acid: *MDHAR* (monodehydroascorbate reductase) involved in the recycling and *GME* (GDPmannose epimerase) involved in the synthesis of ascorbic acid (Stevens *et al.*, 2007, 2008; Sauvage *et al.*, 2014).

Several mutants of different genes of the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway have been identified (reviewed in Liu *et al.*, 2003). For instance, the *yellow-flesh* (*r*) mutant corresponds to a loss of the phytoene synthase gene resulting in the absence of lycopene. Another single dominant gene, *Del*, encoding a lycopene  $\varepsilon$ -cyclase, changes the fruit colour to orange in the tomato mutant *Delta* as a result of accumulation of  $\delta$ -carotene at the expense of lycopene (Ronen *et al.*, 1999). Similarly *Beta* (*B*) is a partially dominant, single-locus mutation that causes an orange color in the fully ripened fruit because of

۲

 $( \bullet )$ 

(�)

the accumulation of  $\beta$ -carotene at the expense of lycopene. In the wild type,  $\beta$ -carotene constitutes 5–10% of total fruit carotenoids, whereas in *Beta* it is 45–50% and can exceed 90% (Ronen *et al.*, 2000). The existence of *r*, *B* and *Del* in wild tomato species suggests a hypothetical scenario for the evolution of fruit color in tomato (Ronen *et al.*, 2000). Other genes are involved in the carotenoid and other antioxidant contents. For instance, the *high pigment* (*hp*) mutant, a light-signalling gene not involved in the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway, also affects the carotenoids, flavonoids, ascorbic acid and sucrose contents in leaves and fruits (reviewed in Poiroux-Gonord *et al.*, 2013, and in Causse *et al.*, 2011). However, only a few candidate genes involved in the carotenoid biosynthesis pathway co-localize with colour QTL, indicating a complex regulation (Causse *et al.*, 2003; Liu *et al.*, 2003).

Concerning aroma formation, the complexity of the multiple biosynthetic pathways contributing to volatile composition has discouraged tomato breeders and there are relatively few examples of genetic improvement enhancing the profile or quantity of tomato fruit volatiles (Lewhinson et al., 2001; Tieman et al., 2012). However, a few recent studies identified important loci that control aroma in tomato. Thirty QTLs spread over the genome (except on chromosomes 8 and 9) and affecting 24 different volatile compounds were mapped in a population of introgression lines derived from a cross between the cultivated tomato Solanum lycopersicum and its wild relative, Solanum habrochaites (Mathieu et al., 2009). In a Solanum pennellii introgression line (IL) population, 25 loci were identified that significantly alter one or more of 23 different volatiles (Tieman et al., 2006). More recently a GWAS analysis on 28 main volatiles outlined significant associations on chromosomes 2 and 4 where previous OTLs have been identified (Zhang et al., 2015). Genes regulating the output of volatile synthesis pathways and associated with flavour-imparting volatiles in tomato have been reviewed by Klee and Tieman (2013). Moreover, a large natural diversity in volatile composition was reported in Heirloom populations of tomato (Table 5.5) which could be exploited with molecular-assisted breeding techniques (Tieman et al., 2012).

## FRUIT PHYSIOLOGICAL DISORDERS

A number of disorders affect the quality of fresh market tomato fruit and reduce the marketable yield. These disorders result from a combination of environmental, production or handling procedures, or are genetic in origin. A few of them are represented in Fig. 5.9.

#### **Blossom-end rot**

This disorder (BER) is associated with a local calcium deficiency in the distal fruit tissue, resulting from a misbalance between calcium supply/transport and

۲

N. Bertin

۲

			Fold	
	High	Low	Difference	Median
1-penten-3-one	9.37	0.17	55	1.18
isovaleronitrile	68.45	0.58	117	7.63
trans-2-pentenal	5.16	0.31	17	1.23
trans-2-heptenal	2.71	0.09	30	0.42
isovaleraldehude	51.08	1.55	33	8.59
3-methyl-1-butanol	184.46	3.20	58	27.26
methional	1.616	0.012	137	0.07
isovaleric acid	0.953	0.004	262	0.09
2-isobutylthiazole	63.61	0.37	174	8.34
6-methyl-5-hepten-2-one	20.07	0.17	120	3.38
β-ionone	0.396	0.008	47	0.05
phenylacetaldehyde	1.90	0.00	654	0.24
geranylacetone	28.96	0.03	1,095	1.22
2-phenylethanol	5.269	0.002	3,142	0.05
isobutyl acetate	11.93	0.14	85	1.67
cis-3-hexen-1-ol	124.15	10.00	12	40.00
1-nitro-2-phenylethane	2.59	0.02	149	0.25
trans,trans-2,4-decadienal	0.30	0.00	211	0.02
2-methylbutanal	14.66	1.14	13	3.47
hexyl alcohol	84.03	0.99	85	13.86
guaiacol	8.09	0.03	290	0.77
hexanal	381.05	15.55	25	88.65
1-octen-3-one	0.312	0.017	18	0.07
cis-3-hexenal	399.66	8.29	48	71.09
methylsalicylate	14.16	0.00	3,354	0.40
trans-2-hexenal	48.01	0.39	123	3.54
β-damascenone	0.1733	0.0020	86	0.01
2-methyl-1-butanol	115.69	1.93	60	15.08

**Table 5.5.** Observed variations in flavour volatiles within *S. Lycopersicum* Heirloom varieties ((Reprinted from Current Biology, 22(11), Tieman *et al.*, The chemical interactions underlying tomato flavor preferences, 1035-1039, Copyright (2012), with permission from Elsevier).

Volatile emissions were measured as ng/g fresh weight/hr.

requirement for growth, especially during the phase of rapid fruit expansion, which is the most sensitive period (Ho and White, 2005). Symptoms occur first in the internal part of the fruit and then extend to the external tissues. The blossom end of a green fruit develops a water-soaked area near the blossom scar. The area dries, turns brown as a consequence of cell death, and there is subsequent leakage of cell contents into the extracellular space. High temperature, light, vapour pressure deficit (i.e. low humidity) and salinity, irregular watering, plant vigour, high nitrogen fertilization and root pruning are conducive factors. The sensitivity is genotype-dependent. For instance, large-fruit

162

۲



Golden speck

Greenback; green or yellow shoulder Sunscald; sunburn

Silvering

Fig. 5.9. Illustrations of main tomato disorders that impact negatively on marketable yield.

or plum tomatoes are much more sensitive than cherry or wild tomatoes. The incident of blossom-end rot increases significantly when the concentration of calcium in the fruit falls below 0.08% (dry weight) while the disorder seldom occurs at levels above 0.12% and spraying Ca directly on to young fruits is recommended for the prevention of BER (Ho and White, 2005).

#### **Cracking and russeting**

Cracking occurs when the internal expansion is faster than the expansion of the epidermis and so the epidermis splits. Concentric cracks can develop around the stem end of the fruit, and/or radial cracks can develop that extend from the stem to the blossom end. Cracking can occur at all stages of fruit growth, but as the fruit matures they become more susceptible, especially as colour develops. A rapid influx of water and solutes and reduced strength and elasticity of the tomato skin and pericarp wall contribute to the occurrence and severity of cracking. This disorder is not only unsightly but breaks in the epidermis also increase water loss and the entry of pathogens. Cracking can be minimized by the uniform application of water to avoid periods of water stress, adequate calcium nutrition and the selection of crack-resistant cultivars. Cracking and

163

 $( \bullet )$ 

N. Bertin

۲

splitting are inherited tendencies and cultivars differ greatly in susceptibility. The development of numerous very fine cracks is another disorder, known as russeting or micro-cracks. Unlike cracking where the cracks extend several millimetres into the pericarp, russeting is the development of numerous fine cracks in the tomato skin. Microbial infection is not a significant problem but water loss is increased and visual appearance decreased (reviewed in Dorais *et al.*, 2004).

#### **Blotchy ripening**

Blotchy ripening leads to uneven ripening with hard grey to yellow patches, usually near the calyx end of the fruit, retaining chlorophyll and not accumulating sufficient lycopene to produce a normal red fruit. Blotchy areas contain less nitrogenous compounds, organic acids, starch, sugars and dry matter (Yahia and Brecht, 2012). Early and mid-season greenhouse crops are particularly susceptible. The cause of this physiological disorder and its relationship to 'grey wall' is not well understood. Improper plant nutrition (e.g. potassium and/or boron deficiency and high nitrogen levels, which promote excessive growth), insect feeding and environmental stresses (e.g. chilling, temperature above 30°C, low light intensity or high soil moisture ) may contribute to the occurrence of this disorder (Yahia and Brecht, 2012).

#### Catfacing

Catfacing is a generic term used to describe a tomato fruit that has a gross deformity. In this case the differential growth of the various locules produces a convoluted shape in contrast to the smooth shape of most fruits. Symptoms of catfacing also include corky brown scarring, cracks and uneven ripening. Large-fruited tomatoes with high number of locules (more than five) are more prone to this problem than small-fruit varieties. Critical flower development or pollination may be responsible for this problem. Moreover, low temperature and light intensity during flowering and fruit set may exacerbate the occurrence of catfacing (CTIFL, 2011; Yahia and Brecht, 2012).

#### Puffiness

Puffy fruits are flat-sided or angular fruits in which one or more seed cavities (i.e. locules) are empty of some or all tissue. Puffiness is also known as boxiness or hollowness. It may be impossible to detect light puffiness until the fruit is cut and open cavities are observed between the seed gel area and the outer wall. Puffy fruits are less dense than good ones and so they can be separated by

۲

۲

flotation in water. Growing conditions (too low or too high temperatures, high N, low light) that cause improper pollination, fertilization or seed development and genotype contribute to the occurrence of this disorder. Distal fruits of the inflorescence are more frequently affected (CTIFL, 2011; Yahia and Brecht, 2012).

#### Gold spot

Gold spot is described as yellow or white flecks distributed all over the fruit surface, and more especially near the calyx and shoulder of the fruit. The number can vary from a few to many. Flecks develop as small irregular-shaped green spots on the surface of immature fruit and turn gold as the fruit ripens. Gold spot is due to calcium oxalate crystals resulting from an excess of calcium. The disorder depends on genetic and environmental factors. Plum, Roma and saladette tomato types appear to be more susceptible than round tomatoes. The abundance of gold spot is increased by high humidity and high Ca fertilization (CTIFL, 2011; Yahia and Brecht, 2012).

#### Green shoulder

In this case the shoulders of a ripening fruit near the calyx remain green due to a high chlorophyll content, while the rest of the fruit turns red. While generally undesirable, this condition is actually preferred by consumers in some countries. Incorporating the 'uniform ripening' gene can eliminate this disorder in susceptible cultivars. Predisposing factors include exposure to excessive heat, high salinity and an inadequate supply of potash and phosphate fertilization (CTIFL, 2011; Yahia and Brecht, 2012).

#### Sunscald

Sunscald can be described as a yellow, hard area, usually on the shoulder of the fruit, which occurs when tissue temperature rises above 30°C and prevents the synthesis of red pigments and the softening of flesh. Fruit temperatures above 40°C are lethal and the exposed tissue will die, turn white, dry out and form a flat parchment-like covering over the affected area. Green fruits are more sensitive to solar injury than ripe ones. Damage usually occurs when fruits are suddenly exposed to sunlight, for instance after an over-pruning of leaves. Indeed, direct sunlight may increase the temperature of exposed fruit tissue by 10°C or more above ambient air temperatures. Plant architecture that shades the fruit during growth and bin covers that shade the harvested fruit during transport to packing facilities are the most effective ways to reduce sunscald (CTIFL, 2011; Yahia and Brecht, 2012).

۲

N. Bertin

(�)

#### Silvering

Silvering is represented by silver-green streaks that turn yellow as the fruit ripens. They are due to abnormal tissue development but the actual causes are unknown. Symptoms are more frequent in early crops with short day-periods or in case of thermal shocks (low temperature) (CTIFL, 2011).

# OPTIMIZING FRUIT QUALITY THROUGH MODELLING APPROACH

As previously discussed, all the major traits of quality result from complex interactions and regulation loops among numerous processes, regulated at the plant and fruit levels. These processes are controlled by interactions between genetic, environmental and management (G×E×M) factors and the qualities of a given genotype under contrasted environments are hardly predictable. Significant variations in all quantitative traits of quality have been reported in response to G×E×M interactions (Causse et al., 2003; Bertin et al., 2009; Prudent et al., 2009). A multi-site experiment including 42 tomato genotypes revealed as much as 211% change in performance of some genotypes in a particular location. Lycopene was found to be most influenced by the environment, whereas total acidity was the least influenced (Panthee et al., 2012). Such interactions are difficult for breeders and producers to handle and multisite tests are necessary for developing varieties that will perform consistently well across multiple environments. However, exploring all G×E×M combinations by experiments is an endless task. An alternative approach relies on the development of process-based simulation models (PBSMs) (Bertin *et al.*, 2010; Kromdijk et al., 2014; Bertin and Génard, 2018). Indeed PBSMs allow complex traits, such as quality, to be unravelled by simulating interactions among the various components or processes that underlie the trait of interest. Moreover, G×E×M interactions are emergent properties of simulation models, i.e. unexpected properties generated by complex interconnections between subsystem components and biological processes. In this perspective, bottom-up (based on mechanistic knowledge of underlying processes), top-down (statistical regression to establish links between data and phenotype), or middle-out (combination of bottom-up and top-down) modelling approaches have been developed (Génard et al., 2007; Yin and Struik, 2010) (Fig. 5.10). In these models, the so-called component traits are characterized in terms of model parameters, which instead of the complex trait itself may subsequently be linked to underlying genetic variations (Fig. 5.11).

Several predictive models of the processes involved in the quality of fruit have been developed in the past decade or so (Martre *et al.*, 2011). Concerning tomato growth, we can report a model of cell division and endoreduplication (Bertin *et al.*, 2007) and a model of cell expansion related to carbon and water

166

(�)

 $(\mathbf{0})$ 



**Fig. 5.10.** Schematic presentation of the virtual fruit model organization in levels and objects (right). For each level, constraints on the lower level are given in the lower part of the box and initiating conditions are given in the upper part of the box. (Génard *et al.*, 2007; reprinted by permission of the Society for Experimental Biology.)

fluxes (Liu *et al.*, 2007). Concerning fruit composition, rare models of sugar and acid metabolism have been developed for peach (Génard *et al.*, 2003; Lobit *et al.*, 2003, 2006). These models could be easily transferred to tomato, taking account of species-dependent control of sugar and acid metabolisms. Several PBSMs that predict fruit quality as a function of the environment or crop management are now available. The next important steps to progress in this field will be: (i) the integration of the sub-models to consider the complex interactions and feedback regulations across the various organizational levels (Fig. 5.10); and (ii) the coverage of the genetic and molecular control on the modelled processes.

167

 $( \bullet )$ 





**Fig. 5.11.** Scheme of recommended workflow to use the combination of physiological modelling and breeding based on molecular markers. First, model development takes place by decomposing complex traits into components (characterized in terms of model parameters). To find reliable values for these model parameters, various perturbations are applied and the resulting data are used to evaluate or calibrate the model. Next, sensitivity analysis is applied to find influential component traits, which are selected for mapping on to marker-defined chromosomal regions. These model parameter values can subsequently be predicted by means of the identified correlations with one or various QTLs. The resulting QTL-based version of the model allows prediction of phenotypic traits based on QTLs and as such can also predict performance of novel QTL

۲

168

۲

 $( \bullet )$ 

(�)

Current fruit models have been developed either at cell or at organ level (one big cell model) (Génard et al., 2007). Actually, the cell level is likely to be the elementary level for mechanistic modelling of fruit which will further allow linking of the fruit model with cellular models describing complex metabolic pathways and molecular regulatory networks. Therefore, modelling the way in which cell division and expansion progress together is crucial to understanding the emergence of specific morphological traits (Baldazzi et al., 2012). Recently, models integrating cell division, cell expansion and DNA endoreduplication in the tomato fruit have been proposed (Fanwoua et al., 2013; Baldazzi et al., 2017). At a higher scale of integration, the virtual tomato model has been connected to a plant model describing water and carbon fluxes in the plant architecture, and the induced gradients of water potential and phloem sap concentration in carbon within the plant (Baldazzi et al., 2013). Such integrated models centred on the fruit open new perspectives to integrate information on the molecular control of fruit cellular processes into the fruit model and to analyse the effects of G×E×M interactions on fruit quality. Yet the prediction of other traits of quality including the accumulation of healthy compounds such as vitamins and carotenoids still needs some developments.

Covering the genetic control in plant and fruit models is still far from satisfactory, despite promising approaches (Bertin et al., 2010). Model parameters are considered as either generic parameters when they do not vary among genotypes, or as genotypic parameters (also called genetic coefficients) when they are genotype-dependent. Each set of gene or allele combination is represented by a set of parameters and the phenotype can then be simulated in silico under various environmental and management conditions. This implies that model parameters are usually specific to one genotype, restricting the validity range of the model itself. To overcome this limitation, the values of the genotypic parameters have to be predicted depending on combinations of OTLs (OTL-based models), alleles or genes (gene-based models) involved in the process that is modelled. Until now only a few genotypic parameters (i.e. allelic variants) have been advantageously introduced into simulation models. Regarding fruit quality, a QTL-based model of peach quality (Quilot et al., 2005) and a model of tomato sugar composition (Prudent et al., 2011) have been proposed. The model-based approach has clear benefits over traditional QTL mapping,

#### Fig. 5.11. Continued.

۲

combinations or different growth environments or management practices, i.e. virtual phenotyping. This procedure can be used to derive virtual ideotypes, which can be realized by means of marker-assisted breeding. In this way, trait improvement can be reached in a fast and efficient way; namely, more QTLs are identified; QTLs are likely to be more robust, and when the environment is known, it is more straightforward to identify which markers will give the largest trait improvement. (Kromdjik *et al.*, 2014; reprinted by permission of the Society for Experimental Biology.)

since more QTLs are usually identified that tend to be more stable and the importance of which can be ranked under varying conditions. However, its wider implementation is hampered by the lack of genetic information on the traits and processes simulated by models, and also by the low degree of functionality of current PBSMs, which should be further refined in order to bind model parameters and physiological components. An important issue of simulating  $G \times E \times M$  interactions is the design of ideotypes or QTL/gene combinations relevant to optimizing fruit growth and quality under specific conditions by multi-criteria optimization methods (Quilot-Turion *et al.*, 2012; Génard *et al.*, 2016; Constantinescu *et al.*, 2016), adding genetic constraints to account for pleiotropic and linkage effects (Quilot-Turion *et al.*, 2016).

## CONCLUSION

The various components of tomato quality are involved in many plant biological functions as well as being essential for a healthy and hedonic human diet. Thus improving fruit quality as a whole is a top priority. The increasing knowledge of the genetic and molecular controls of many traits of quality, the development of high-throughput methods for plant and fruit phenotyping under varying environments and the development of integrative approaches to unravel  $G \times E \times M$  interactions should rapidly contribute to this objective. Interestingly, most of the chemical components that contribute to the health value of fruits are also involved in plant adaptation and defence against stress. Thus, meeting the social demand for high-quality fruits is likely to be favourable in facing the urgent need to reduce inputs of water, fertilizers and pesticides in intensive production systems. Yet, finding viable compromises between yield and quality remains a challenge. In tomato this should be facilitated by the large genetic resources, such as near-isogenic lines, mutants, transgenics and mapping populations presenting interesting variability in size and composition of fruit.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbott, J.A. (1999) Quality measurement of fruit and vegetables. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 15, 207–225. doi: 10.1016/S0925-5214(98)00086-6.
- Abeles, F.B., Morgan, P.W. and Saltveit, M.E. (1992) *Ethylene in Plant Biology*, 2nd edn. Academic Press, San Diego, California.
- Alba, R., Cordonnier-Pratt, M.M. and Pratt, L.H. (2000) Fruit-localized phytochromes regulate lycopene accumulation independently of ethylene production in tomato. *Plant Physiology* 123, 363–370. doi: 10.1104/pp.123.1.363.
- Albert, E., Gricourt, J., Bertin, N., Bonnefoi, J., Pateyron, S. *et al.* (2015) Genotype by watering regime interaction in cultivated tomato: lessons from linkage mapping and gene expression. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 129, 395–418. doi: 10.1007/ s00122-015-2635-5.

۲

- Albert, E., Segura, V., Gricourt, J., Bonnefoi, J., Derivot, L. and Causse, M. (2016) Association mapping reveals the genetic architecture of tomato response to water deficit: focus on major fruit quality traits. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 67(22), 6413–6430. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erw411.
- Almeida, D.P.F. and Huber, D.J. (1999) Apoplastic pH and inorganic ion levels in tomato fruit: a potential means for regulation of cell wall metabolism during ripening. *Physiologia Plantarum* 105, 506–512. doi: 10.1034/j.1399-3054.1999.105316.x.
- Aurand, R., Faurobert, M., Page, D., Maingonnat, J.F., Brunel, B., Causse, M. and Bertin, N. (2012) Anatomical and biochemical trait network underlying genetic variations in tomato fruit texture. *Euphytica* 187, 99–116. doi: 10.1007/ s10681-012-0760-7.
- Baldazzi, V., Bertin, N., Jong, H.D. and Genard, M. (2012) Towards multiscale plant models: integrating cellular networks. *Trends in Plant Science* 17, 728–736. doi: 10.1016/j.tplants.2012.06.012.
- Baldazzi, V., Pinet, A., Vercambre, G., Benard, C., Biais, B. and Génard, M. (2013) In-silico analysis of water and carbon relations under stress conditions. A multi-scale perspective centered on fruit. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 4. doi: 10.3389/ fpls.2013.00495.
- Baldazzi, V., Génard, M. and Bertin, N. (2017) Cell division, endoreduplication and expansion processes: setting the cell and organ control into an integrated model of tomato fruit development. *Acta Horticulturae*, 1182, 257–264.
- Baldwin, E.A., Goodner, K. and Plotto, A.J. (2008) Interaction of volatiles, sugars, and acids on perception of tomato aroma and flavor descriptors. *Journal of Food Science* 73(6), S294–307.
- Barrett, D.M., Garcia, E. and Wayne, J.E. (1998) Textural modification of processing tomatoes. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 38, 173–258. doi: 10.1080/10408699891274192.
- Barrett, D.M., Beaulieu, J.C. and Shewfelt, R. (2010) Color, flavor, texture, and nutritional quality of fresh-cut fruits and vegetables: desirable levels, instrumental and sensory measurement, and the effects of processing. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 50, 369–389. doi: 10.1080/10408391003626322.
- Baxter, C., Carrari, F., Bauke, A., Overy, S., Hill, S.A. *et al.* (2005) Fruit carbohydrate metabolism in an introgression line of tomato with increased fruit soluble solids. *Plant Cell Physiology* 46, 425–437. doi: 10.1093/pcp/pci040.
- Beckles, D.M., Hong, N., Stamova, L. and Luengwilai, K. (2012) Biochemical factors contributing to tomato fruit sugar content: a review. *Fruits* 67, 49–64. doi: 10.1051/fruits/2011066.
- Benard, C., Gautier, H., Bourgaud, F., Grasselly, D., Navez, B. *et al.* (2009) Effects of low nitrogen supply on tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) fruit yield and quality with special emphasis on sugars, acids, ascorbate, carotenoids, and phenolic compounds. *Journal* of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 57, 4112–4123. doi: 10.1021/jf8036374.
- Bertin, N. (2005) Analysis of the tomato fruit growth response to temperature and plant fruit load in relation to cell division, cell expansion and DNA endoreduplication. *Annals of Botany* 95, 439–447. doi: 10.1093/aob/mci042.
- Bertin, N. and Génard, M. (2018) Tomato quality as influenced by preharvest factors. *Scientia Horticulturae* (in press).
- Bertin, N., Borel, C., Brunél, B., Cheniclet, C. and Causse, M. (2003) Do genetic makeup and growth manipulation affect tomato fruit size by cell number, or cell size and

DNA endoreduplication? Annals of Botany 92, 415–424. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcg146.

- Bertin, N., Lecomte, A., Brunel, B., Fishman, S. and Génard, M. (2007) A model describing cell polyploidization in tissues of growing fruit as related to cessation of cell proliferation. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58, 1003–1013. doi: https://doi. org/10.1093/jxb/erm052.
- Bertin, N., Causse, M., Brunel, B., Tricon, D. and Génard, M. (2009) Identification of growth processes involved in QTLs for tomato fruit size and composition. *Journal* of Experimental Botany 60, 237–248. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/ern281.
- Bertin, N., Martre, P., Génard, M., Quilot, B., and Salon, C. (2010) Why and how can process-based simulation models link genotype to phenotype for complex traits? Case-study of fruit and grain quality traits. Review article. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 61, 955-967. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp377.
- Bhagwat, S., Haytowitz, D.B. and Holden, J.M. (2014) USDA Database for the Flavonoid Content of Selected Foods, Release 3.1. US Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service. Nutrient Data Laboratory Home Page, available at: http://www. ars.usda.gov/nutrientdata/flav, accessed 19 January 2018.
- Bourne, M.C. (2002) *Food Texture and Viscosity: Concept and Measurement*, 2nd edn. Academic Press, San Diego, California.
- Buttery, R.G. (1993) Quantitative and sensory aspects of flavor of tomato and other vegetable and fruits. In: Acree, T.E. and Teranishi, R. (eds) *Flavor Science: Sensible Principles and Techniques*. ACS, Washington, DC, pp. 259–286.
- Cantwell, M. (2000) Optimum procedures for ripening tomatoes. In: *Management of Fruit Ripening*. University of California Postharvest Horticultural Series No. 9, University of California, Davis, California, pp. 80–88.
- Cantwell, M. (2010) Optimum procedures for ripening tomatoes. In: Thompson, J.T. and Crisosto, C. (eds) *Fruit Ripening and Ethylene Management*. University of California Postharvest Horticulture Series No. 9. University of California, Davis, California, pp. 106–116.
- Causse, M., Saliba-Colombani, V., Lecomte, L., Duffe, P., Rousselle, P. and Buret, M. (2002) QTL analysis of fruit quality in fresh market tomato: a few chromosome regions control the variation of sensory and instrumental traits. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53, 2089–2098. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erf058.
- Causse, M., Buret, M., Robini, K. and Verschave, P. (2003) Inheritance of nutritional and sensory quality traits in fresh market tomato and relation to consumer preferences. *Journal of Food Science* 68, 2342–2350. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2621.2003. tb05770.x.
- Causse, M., Friguet, C., Coiret, C., Lépicier, M., Navez, B. et al. (2010) Consumer preferences for fresh tomato at the European scale: a common segmentation on taste and firmness. *Journal of Food Science* 75(9), S531-41. doi: 10.1111/j.1750-3841.2010.01841.x.
- Causse, M., Stevens, R., Ben Amor, B., Faurobert, M. and Munos, S. (2011) Breeding for fruit quality in tomato. In: Jenks, M.A. and Bebeli, P.J. (eds) *Breeding for Fruit Quality*. Wiley-Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 279–305.
- Chaib, J., Devaux, M.-F., Grotte, M.G., Robini, K., Causse, M., Lahaye, M. and Marty, I. (2007) Physiological relationships among physical, sensory, and morphological attributes of texture in tomato fruits. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58, 1915–1925. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm046.

( )

- Cheniclet, C., Rong, W.Y., Causse, M., Frangne, N., Bolling, L., Carde, J.P. and Renaudin J-P. (2005) Cell expansion and endoreduplication show a large genetic variability in pericarp and contribute strongly to tomato fruit growth. *Plant Physiology* 139, 1984–1994. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.105.068767.
- Chevalier, C., Nafati, M., Mathieu-Rivet, E., Bourdon, M., Frangne, N. *et al.* (2011) Elucidating the functional role of endoreduplication in tomato fruit development. *Annals of Botany* 107(7), 1159–1169. doi: 10.1093/aob/mcq257.
- Constantinescu, D., Memmah, M.-M., Vercambre, G., Génard, M., Baldazzi, V. *et al.* (2016) Model-assisted estimation of the genetic variability in physiological parameters related to tomato fruit growth under contrasted water conditions. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7, 1841. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01841.
- Cosgrove, D.J. (1997) Relaxation in a high-stress environment: the molecular bases of extensible cell walls and cell enlargement. *Plant Cell* 9, 1031–1041. doi: 10.1105/tpc.9.7.1031.
- CTIFL (2011) *Hortipratic Tomate: Qualité et Preferences*. Centre Technique Interprofessionnel des Fruits et Légumes, Paris.
- Davies, J.N. and Hobson, G.E. (1981) The constituents of tomato fruit the influence of environment, nutrition, and genotype. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 15, 205–280. doi: 10.1080/10408398109527317.
- De Veylder, L., Beemster, G.T.S., Beeckman, T. and Inzé, D. (2001) CKS1At overexpression in *Arabidopsis thaliana* inhibits growth by reducing meristem size and inhibiting cell cycle progression. *The Plant Journal* 25, 617–626. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313x.2001.00996.x.
- Dorais, M., Papadopoulos, A.P. and Gosselin, A. (2001) Influence of electric conductivity management on greenhouse tomato yield and fruit quality. *Agronomie* 21, 367–383. doi: 10.1051/agro:2001130.
- Dorais, M., Demers, D.-A., Papadopoulos, A.P. and Van Ieperen, W. (2004) Greenhouse tomato fruit cuticle cracking. *Horticultural Reviews* 30, 163–184. doi: 10.1002/9780470650837.ch5.
- Dorais, M., Ehret, D.L. and Papadopoulos, A.P. (2008) Tomato (*Solanum lycopersicum*) health components: from the seed to the consumer. *Phytochemistry Reviews* 7(2), 231–250. doi 10.1007/s11101-007-9085-x.
- Ehret, D.L. and Ho, L.C. (1986) The effects of salinity on dry matter partitioning and fruit growth in tomatoes grown in nutrient film culture. *Journal of Horticultural Science* 61, 361–367. doi: 10.1080/14620316.1986.11515714.
- Etienne, A., Genard, M., Lobit, P., Mbeguie-A-Mbeguie, D. and Bugaud, C. (2013) What controls fleshy fruit acidity? A review of malate and citrate accumulation in fruit cells. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 64, 1451–1469. doi: https://doi. org/10.1093/jxb/ert035.
- Fanciullino, A.L., Bidel, L.P.R. and Urban, L. (2014) Carotenoid responses to environmental stimuli: integrating redox and carbon controls into a fruit model. *Plant, Cell* & Environment 37, 273–289. doi: 10.1111/pce.12153.
- Fanwoua, J., de Visser, P.H.B., Heuvelink, E., Yin, X., Struik, P.C. and Marcelis, L.F.M. (2013) A dynamic model of tomato fruit growth integrating cell division, cell growth and endoreduplication. *Functional Plant Biology* 40(11), 1098–1114.
- Frary, A., Göl, D., Keleş, D., Ökmen, B., Pınar, H. et al. (2010) Salt tolerance in Solanum pennellii: antioxidant response and related QTL. BMC Plant Biology 10, 58-74. doi: 10.1186/1471-2229-10-58.

- Fraser, P.D., Truesdale, M.R., Bird, C.R., Schuch, W. and Bramley, P.M. (1994) Carotenoid biosynthesis during tomato fruit development – evidence for tissue-specific gene expression. *Plant Physiology* 105, 405–413. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp. 105.1.405.
- Gautier, H., Diakou-Verdin, V., Bénard, C., Reich, M., Buret, M. et al. (2008) How does tomato quality (sugar, acid, and nutritional quality) vary with ripening stage, temperature, and irradiance? *Journal of Agriculture and Food Chemistry* 56, 1241– 1250. doi: 10.1021/jf072196t.
- Gautier, H., Massot, C., Stevens, R., Serino, S. and Genard, M. (2009) Regulation of tomato fruit ascorbate content is more highly dependent on fruit irradiance than leaf irradiance. *Annals of Botany* 103, 495-504. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/ aob/mcn233.
- Génard, M., Lescourret, F., Gomez, L. and Habib, R. (2003) Changes in fruit sugar concentrations in response to assimilate supply, metabolism and dilution: a modeling approach applied to peach fruit (*Prunus persica*). *Tree Physiology* 23, 373–385. PMID: 12642239.
- Génard, M., Bertin, N., Borel, C., Bussières, P., Gautier, H. *et al.* (2007) Towards a virtual fruit focusing on quality: modelling features and potential uses. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58, 917–928. doi: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erl287.
- Génard, M., Memmah, M.-M., Quilot-Turion, B., Vercambre, G., Baldazzi, V. et al. (2016) Process-based simulation models are essential tools for virtual profiling and design of ideotypes: example of fruit and root. In: Yin, X and Struik, P.C. (eds) Crop Systems Biology: Narrowing the Gaps Between Crop Modelling and Genetics. Springer, Zurich, pp.83-104.
- Giovannoni, J.J. (2004) Genetic regulation of fruit development and ripening. *Plant Cell* 16, S170–S180. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1105/tpc.019158.
- Grandillo, S., Ku, H.M. and Tanksley, S.D. (1999) Identifying the loci responsible for natural variation in fruit size and shape in tomato. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 99, 978–987. doi: 10.1007/s001220051405.
- Guichard, S., Bertin, N., Leonardi, C. and Gary, C. (2001) Tomato fruit quality in relation to water and carbon fluxes. *Agronomie* 21, 385–392. doi: 10.1051/ agro:2001131.
- Guo, F., Zhou, W., Zhang, J., Xu, Q. and Deng, X. (2012) Effect of the citrus lycopene β-cyclase transgene on carotenoid metabolism in transgenic tomato fruits. *PLoS ONE* 7(2), e32221. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0032221.
- Hao, X.M. and Papadopoulos, A.P. (2003) Effects of calcium and magnesium on growth, fruit yield and quality in a fall greenhouse tomato crop grown on rock-wool. *Canadian Journal of Plant Science* 83(4), 903–912. doi: 10.4141/P02-140.
- Harker, F.R., Maindonald, J., Murray, S.H., Gunson, F.A., Hallett, I.C. and Walker, S.B. (2002) Sensory interpretation of instrumental measurements 1: texture of apple fruit. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 24, 225–239. doi: 10.1016/ S0925-5214(01)00158-2.
- Ho, L.C. (1996) Tomato. Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
- Ho, L.C. (2003) Improving tomato fruit quality by cultivation. In: Cockshull, K.E., Gray, D., Seymour, G.B. and Thomas, B. (eds) *Genetic and Environmental Manipulation of Horticultural Crops.* CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp. 17–29.
- Ho, L.C. and White, P.J. (2005) A cellular hypothesis for the induction of blossom-end rot in tomato fruit. *Annals of Botany* 95, 571–581. doi:10.1093/aob/mci065.

- Joubès, J. and Chevalier, C. (2000) Endoreduplication in higher plants. *Plant Molecular Biology* 43, 735–745.
- Klee, H.J. and Tieman, D.M. (2013) Genetic challenges of flavour improvement in tomato. *Trends in Genetics* 29(4), 257–262. doi: 10.1016/j.tig.2012.12.003.
- Koricheva, J. (1999) Interpreting phenotypic variation in plant allelochemistry: problems with the use of concentrations. *Oecologia* 119, 467–473. doi: 10.1007/ s004420050809.
- Kromdijk, J., Bertin, N., Heuvelink, E., Molenaar, J., de Visser, P.H.B., Marcelis, L.F.M. and Struik, P.C. (2014) Crop management impacts the efficiency of quantitative trait loci (QTL) detection and use – case study of fruit load x QTL interactions. Opinion paper. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65(1), 11–22. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ ert365.
- Krumbein, A. and Auerswald, H. (1998) Characterization of aroma volatiles in tomatoes by sensory analyses. *Nahrung* 42(6), 395–399. PMID: 9881368.
- Labate, J.A., Grandillo, S., Fulton, T., Munos, S., Caicedo, A.L. *et al.* (2007) Tomato. In: Kole, C. (ed.) *Genome Mapping and Molecular Breeding in Plants*. Springer, Berlin, pp. 1–125.
- Lecomte, L., Duffe, P., Buret, M., Servin, B., Hospital, F. and Causse, M. (2004) Markerassisted introgression of 5 QTLs controlling fruit quality traits into three tomato lines revealed interactions between QTLs and genetic backgrounds. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* 109, 658–668. doi: 10.1007/s00122-004-1674-0.
- Leiva-Neto, J.T., Grafi, G., Sabelli, P.A., Woo, Y.M., Dante, R.A. *et al.* (2004) A dominant negative mutant of cyclin-dependent kinase A reduces endoreduplication but not cell size or gene expression in maize endosperm. *The Plant Cell* 16, 1854–1869. doi: 10.1105/tpc.022178.
- Lewinsohn, E., Schalechet, F., Wilkinson, J., Matsui, K., Tadmor, Y. *et al.* (2001) Enhanced levels of the aroma and flavor compound s-linalool by metabolic engineering of the terpenoid pathway in tomato fruits. *Plant Physiology* 127(3), 1256–1265. doi/10.1104/pp.010293.
- Lin, T., Zhu, G., Zhang, J., Xu, X., Yu, Q. *et al.* (2014) Genomic analyses provide insights into the history of tomato breeding. *Nature Genetics* 46(11), 1220–1226. doi: 10.1038/ng.3117.
- Liu, Y.S., Gur, A., Ronen, G., Causse, M., Damidaux, R. *et al.* (2003) There is more to tomato fruit colour than candidate carotenoid genes. *Plant Biotechnology Journal* 1(3), 195–207. doi: 10.1046/j.1467-7652.2003.00018.x.
- Liu, H., Genard, M., Guichard, S. and Bertin, N. (2007) Model-assisted analysis of tomato fruit growth in relation to carbon and water fluxes. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 58, 3567–3580. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erm20.
- Lobit, P., Génard, M., Wu, B.H., Soing, P. and Habib, R. (2003) Modelling citrate metabolism in fruits: responses to growth and temperature. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 54, 2489–2501. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erg264.
- Lobit, P., Génard, M., Soing, P. and Habib, R. (2006) Modelling malic acid accumulation in fruits: relationships with organic acids, potassium, and temperature. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57, 1471–1483. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erj128.
- Lockhart, J. (1965) Cell extension. In: Bomaer, J. and Varner, J.E. (eds) *Plant Biochemistry*. Academic Press, New York, pp. 827–849.
- Lytovchenko, A., Eickmeier, I., Pons, C., Osorio, S., Szecowka, M. et al. (2011) Tomato fruit photosynthesis is seemingly unimportant in primary metabolism and

ripening but plays a considerable role in seed development. *Plant Physiology* 157(4), 1650–1663. doi: 10.1104/pp.111.186874.

Martre, P., Bertin, N., Salon, C. and Génard, M. (2011) Modelling the size and composition of fruit, grain and seed by process-based simulation models. *New Phytologist Tansley Review* 191, 601–618. doi: 10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03747.x.

Massot, C., Génard, M., Stevens, R., and Gautier, H. (2010) Fluctuations in sugar content are not determinant in explaining variations in vitamin *C* in tomato fruit. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 48, 751–757. doi: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2010.06.001.

- Massot, C., Bancel, D., Lauri, F., Truffault, V., Baldet, P., Stevens, R. and Gautier, H. (2013) High temperature inhibits ascorbate recycling and light stimulation of the ascorbate pool in tomato despite increased expression of biosynthesis genes. *PLoS One* 8(12), e84474. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0084474.s001.
- Mathieu, S., Dal Cin, V., Fei, Z., Li, H., Bliss, P. et al. (2009) Flavour compounds in tomato fruits: identification of loci and potential pathways affecting volatile composition. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60(1), 325–337. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ern294.
- Maul, F., Sargent, S.A., Balaban, M.O., Baldwin, E.A., Huber, D.J. and Sims, C.A. (1998) Aroma volatile profiles from ripe tomato fruit are influenced by physiological maturity at harvest: an application for electronic nose technology. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 123(6), 1094–1101.
- Mizrahi, Y., Taleisnik, E., Kagan-Zur, V., Zohar, Y., Offenbach, R., Matan, E. and Golan, R. (1988) A saline irrigation regime for improving tomato fruit quality without reducing yield. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 113, 202–205.
- Panthee, D.R., Cao, C., Debenport, S.J., Rodríguez, G.R., Labate, J.A. *et al.* (2012) Magnitude of genotype × environment interactions affecting tomato fruit quality. *HortScience* 47(6), 721–726.
- Passam, H.C., Karapanos, I.C., Bebeli, P.J. and Savvas, D. (2007) A review of recent research on tomato nutrition, breeding and post-harvest technology with reference to fruit quality. *European Journal of Plant Science and Biotechnology* 1, 1–21.
- Poiroux-Gonord, F., Bidel, L.P.R., Fanciullino, A.-L., Gautier, H., Lauri-Lopez, F. and Urban, L. (2010) Health benefits of vitamins and secondary metabolites of fruits and vegetables and prospects to increase their concentrations by agronomic approaches. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry* 58, 12065–12082. doi: 10.1021/jf1037745.
- Poiroux-Gonord, F., Fanciullino, A.L., Poggi, I. and Urban, L. (2013) Carbohydrate control over carotenoid build-up is conditional on fruit ontogeny in clementine fruits. *Physiologia Plantarum* 147, 417–431. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2012.01672.x.
- Prudent, M., Causse, M., Génard, M., Tripodi, P., Grandillo, S. and Bertin, N. (2009) Genetic and physiological analysis of tomato fruit weight and composition: influence of carbon availability on QTL detection. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60, 923–937. doi: 10.1093/jxb/ern338.
- Prudent, M., Lecomte, A., Bouchet, J.P., Bertin, N., Causse, M. and Génard, M. (2011) Combining ecophysiological modelling and quantitative trait loci analysis to identify key elementary processes underlying tomato fruit sugar concentration. *Journal* of Experimental Botany 62, 907–919. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erq318.
- Quilot, B., Kervella, J., Genard, M. and Lescourret, F. (2005) Analysing the genetic control of peach fruit quality through an ecophysiological model combined with a QTL approach. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 56, 3083–3092. doi:10.1093/jxb/eri30.

- Quilot-Turion, B., Ould-Sidi, M.-M., Kadrani, A., Hilgert, N., Génard, M. and Lescourret, F. (2012) Optimization of parameters of the 'Virtual Fruit' model to design peach genotype for sustainable production systems. *European Journal of Agronomy* 42, 34–48. doi: 10.1016/j.eja.2011.11.008.
- Quilot-Turion, B., Génard, M., Valsesia, P. and Memmah, M.-M. (2016) Optimization of allelic combinations controlling parameters of a peach quality model. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 7, 1873. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2016.01873.
- Ripoll,, J., Urban, L., Staudt, M., Lopez-Lauri, F., Bidel, L.P.R. and Bertin, N. (2014) Water shortage and quality of fleshy fruits – making the most of the unavoidable. Review. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 65(15), 4097–4117. doi:10.1093/jxb/eru197.
- Ripoll, J., Urban, J., Brunel, B. and Bertin, N. (2016) Water deficit effects on tomato quality depend on fruit developmental stage and genotype. *Journal of Plant Physiology* 190, 26–35. doi: 10.1016/j.jplph.2015.10.006.
- Ronen, G., Cohen, M., Zamir, D. and Hirschberg, J. (1999) Regulation of carotenoid biosynthesis during tomato fruit development: expression of the gene for lycopene epsilon-cyclase is down-regulated during ripening and is elevated in the mutant Delta. *The Plant Journal* 17(4), 341–351. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-313X.1999.00381.x.
- Ronen, G., Carmel-Goren, L., Zamir, D. and Hirschberg, J. (2000) An alternative pathway to beta-carotene formation in plant chromoplasts discovered by map-based cloning of beta and old-gold color mutations in tomato. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA* 97(20), 11102–11107. doi: 10.1073/pnas.190177497.
- Rosales, M.A., Cervilla, L.M., Rios, J.J., Blasco, B., Sanchez-Rodriguez, E., Romero, L. and Ruiz, J.M. (2009) Environmental conditions affect pectin solubilization in cherry tomato fruits grown in two experimental Mediterranean greenhouses. *Environmental* and Experimental Botany 67, 320–327. doi: 10.1016/j.envexpbot.2009.07.011.
- Ruggieri, V., Francese, G., Sacco, A., D'Alessandro, A., Rigano, M.M. et al. (2014) An association mapping approach to identify favourable alleles for tomato fruit quality breeding. BMC Plant Biology 14, 337. doi: 10.1186/s12870-014-0337-9.
- Saladié, M., Matas, A.J., Isaacson, T., Jenks, M.A., Goodwin, S.M. *et al.* (2007) A reevaluation of the key factors that influence tomato fruit softening and integrity. *Plant Physiology* 144, 1012–1028. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1104/pp.107.097477.
- Saltveit, M.E. (1999) Effect of ethylene on quality of fresh fruits and vegetables. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 15, 279–292. doi: 10.1016/S0925-5214(98)00091-X.
- Saltveit, M.E. and Sharaf, A.R. (1992) Ethanol inhibits ripening of tomato fruit harvested at various degrees of ripeness without affecting subsequent quality. *Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science* 117, 793–798.
- Sams, C.E. (1999) Preharvest factors affecting postharvest texture. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 15, 249–254.
- Sauvage, C., Segura, V., Bauchet, G., Stevens, R., Do, P.T. *et al.* (2014) Genome-wide association in tomato reveals 44 candidate loci for fruit metabolic traits. *Plant Physiology* 165(3), 1120–1132.
- Schaffer, A.A. and Petreikov, M. (1997) Sucrose-to-starch metabolism in tomato fruit undergoing transient starch accumulation. *Plant Physiology* 113, 739–746. PMID: 12223639.
- Seymour, G.B., Manning, K., Eriksson, E.M., Popovich, A.H. and King, G.J. (2002) Genetic identification and genomic organization of factors affecting fruit texture. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 53, 2065–2071. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erf087.

- Shackel, K.A., Greve, C., Labavitch, J.M. and Ahmadi, H. (1991) Cell turgor changes associated with ripening in tomato pericarp tissue. *Plant Physiology* 97, 814–816. doi: 10.1104/pp.97.2.814.
- Slimestad, R. and Verheul, M. (2009) Review of flavonoids and other phenolics from fruits of different tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.) cultivars. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 89, 1255–1270. doi: 10.1002/jsfa.3605.
- Smirnoff, N. (2000) Ascorbic acid: metabolism and functions of a multi-facetted molecule. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology* 3, 229–235. doi: 10.1016/ S1369-5266(00)80070-9.
- Stevens, R., Buret, M., Duffe, P., Garchery, C., Baldet, P., Rothan, C. and Causse, M. (2007) Candidate genes and quantitative trait loci affecting fruit ascorbic acid content in three tomato populations. *Plant Physiology* 143, 1943–1953. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.091413.
- Stevens, R., Page, D., Gouble, B., Garchery, C., Zamir, D. and Causse, M. (2008) Tomato fruit ascorbic acid content is linked with monodehydroascorbate reductase activity and tolerance to chilling stress. *Plant, Cell and Environment* 31, 1086–1096. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2008.01824.x.
- Szczesniak, A.S. (2002) Texture is a sensory property. *Food Quality and Preference* 13,215–225. doi: 10.1016/S0950-3293(01)00039-8.
- Tanaka, A., Fujita, K. and Kikuchi, K. (1974) Nutrio-physiological studies on the tomato plant. III. Photosynthetic rate on individual leaves in relation to dry matter production of plants. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* 20, 173–183. doi: 10.1080/00380768.1974.10433240.
- Tanksley, S.D. (2004) The genetic, developmental, and molecular bases of fruit size and shape variation in tomato. *The Plant Cell* 16, S181–189. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10. 1105/tpc.018119.
- Thompson, D.S. (2001) Extensiometric determination of the rheological properties of the epidermis of growing tomato fruit. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 52, 1291–1301. doi: 10.1093/jexbot/52.359.1291.
- Tieman, D., Zeigler, M., Schmelz, E., Taylor, M., Bliss, P., Kirst, M. and Klee, H. (2006) Identification of loci affecting flavour volatile emissions in tomato fruits. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 57(4), 887–896. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erj074.
- Tieman, D., Bliss, P., McIntyre, L.M., Blandon-Ubeda, A., Bies, D. et al. (2012) The chemical interactions underlying tomato flavor preferences. *Current Biology* 22(11), 1035–1039. doi: 10.1016/j.cub.2012.04.016.
- Toivonen, P.M.A. and Brummell, D.A. (2008) Biochemical bases of appearance and texture changes in fresh-cut fruit and vegetables. *Postharvest Biology and Technology* 48, 1–14. doi: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2007.09.004.
- Truffault, V., Fifel, F., Longuenesse, J.J. and Gautier, H. (2015) Impact of temperature integration under greenhouse on energy use efficiency, plant growth and development and tomato fruit quality depending on cultivar rootstock combination. *Acta Horticulturae* 1099, 95–100. doi: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2015.1099.7.
- Wheeler, G.L., Jones, M.A. and Smirnoff, N. (1998) The biosynthetic pathway of vitamin C in higher plants. *Nature* 393(6683), 365–369. doi: 10.1038/30728.
- Yahia, E.M. and Brecht, J.K. (2012) Tomatoes. In: Rees, D., Orchard, J.E. and Farrell, G. (eds) Crop Post-harvest: Science and Technology. Vol. 3: Perishables. Blackwell, Oxford, pp. 18–51.

( )

- Yin, X. and Struik, P.C. (2010) Modelling the crop: from system dynamics to systems biology. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 61, 2171–2183. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp375.
- Zanor, M.I., Rambla, J.L., Chaib, J., Steppa, A., Medina, A. *et al.* (2009) Metabolic characterization of loci affecting sensory attributes in tomato allows an assessment of the influence of the levels of primary metabolites and volatile organic contents. *Journal of Experimental Botany* 60, 2139–2154. doi: 10.1093/jxb/erp086.
- Zegbe, J.A., Benboudian, M.H. and Clothier, B.E. (2006) Yield and fruit quality in processing tomato under partial rootzone drying. *European Journal Horticultural Science* 71, 252–258.
- Zhang, J., Zhao, J., Xu, Y., Liang, J., Chang, P. *et al.* (2015) Genome-wide association mapping for tomato volatiles positively contributing to tomato flavor. *Frontiers in Plant Science* 6, 1042. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2015.01042.
- Zsögön, A., Cermak, T., Voytas, D. and Peres, L.E. (2017) Genome editing as a tool to achieve the crop ideotype and de novo domestication of wild relatives: case study in tomato. *Plant Science* 256, 120–130. doi: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2016.12.012.

 $( \bullet )$