



HAL
open science

Differential impact of Holder and High Temperature Short Time pasteurization on the dynamic in vitro digestion of human milk in a preterm newborn model

Stefano Nebbia, Marzia Giribaldi, Laura Cavallarín, Enrico Bertino, Alessandra Coscia, Valérie Briard-Bion, Jordane Ossemond, Gwenaele Henry, Olivia Ménard, Didier Dupont, et al.

► To cite this version:

Stefano Nebbia, Marzia Giribaldi, Laura Cavallarín, Enrico Bertino, Alessandra Coscia, et al.. Differential impact of Holder and High Temperature Short Time pasteurization on the dynamic in vitro digestion of human milk in a preterm newborn model. *Food Chemistry*, 2020, 328, pp.127126. 10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127126 . hal-02793065

HAL Id: hal-02793065

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02793065v1>

Submitted on 5 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives 4.0 International License



Differential impact of Holder and High Temperature Short Time pasteurization on the dynamic *in vitro* digestion of human milk in a preterm newborn model

Stefano Nebbia^{a,b}, Marzia Giribaldi^c, Laura Cavallarin^{b,*}, Enrico Bertino^d, Alessandra Coscia^d, Valérie Briard-Bion^a, Jordane Ossemond^a, Gwénaële Henry^a, Olivia Ménard^a, Didier Dupont^a, Amélie Deglaire^a

^a STLO, INRAE, Institut Agro, 35042, Rennes, France

^b Institute of the Science of Food Production – National Research Council, Largo Braccini 2, 10095 Grugliasco (TO), Italy

^c CREA Research Centre for Engineering and Agro-Food Processing, Strada delle cacce 73, Turin, Italy

^d Neonatal Unit, University of Turin, City of Health and Science of Turin, via Ventimiglia 3, 10126 Turin, Italy

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Donor milk
Pasteurization
HTST
Preterm
Digestomic
Milk fat globule membrane proteins

ABSTRACT

The high-temperature short-time (HTST, 72 °C, 15 s) pasteurization of human milk (HM) has been proposed as an alternative to the Holder method (HoP, 62.5 °C, 30 min), to increase the preservation of bioactive compounds. We have investigated the impact of HTST and HoP pasteurization on the gastrointestinal kinetics of human milk, using a dynamic *in vitro* system in a preterm newborn model. An increased protein aggregation on the surface of fat globules following pasteurization, albeit to a lesser extent in HTST than in HoP, was observed. Despite relevant differences in the undigested milk samples, both pasteurization methods led to similar proteolytic patterns, while raw HM presented a higher native lactoferrin content throughout digestion. The slightly decreased amino acid release following HoP, with respect to HTST and raw HM, indicated that peptidomic analysis, which is currently underway, might provide interesting insights on the differential digestive kinetics of differently pasteurized HM.

1. Introduction

A mother's own milk (MOM) is considered the best feeding choice for all infants, due to its capacity to adapt to the infant's needs, thanks to its modulation in biochemical composition throughout lactation. The short- and long-term benefits of human milk (HM) feeding are especially relevant for preterm infants and those with very low birth weights, as recommended by the World Health Organization and the American Academy of Pediatrics. However, when MOM is not available, donor HM represents the best alternative. The main benefits of using donor HM for preterm infant feeding are a reduction in the incidences of necrotizing enterocolitis, sepsis and bronchopulmonary dysplasia, as well as an enhanced feeding tolerance (Meier, Patel, & Esquerra-Zwiers, 2017; Villamor-Martínez et al., 2018).

Donor HM has to be pasteurized for safety reasons, and the current

method adopted in HM banks is the Holder pasteurization method (HoP, 62.5 °C for 30 min). HoP has been reported to cause the loss and/or a reduction in the activity of important functional milk components, including immunoglobulins, lactoferrin, lysozyme, some cytokines and bile salt-stimulated lipase (BSSL), such as reviewed previously (Peila et al., 2016).

High-temperature short-time pasteurization (HTST, 72 °C for 15 s) has been proved to be a promising alternative to the HoP method, as it offers a better retention of the bioactive HM compounds, while ensuring microbiological safety. Different studies have reported the effectiveness of the HTST method in eradicating the cytomegalovirus (Goldblum et al., 1984; Hamprecht et al., 2004) and pathogenic bacteria (Dhar, Fichtali, Skura, Nakai, & Davidson, 1996; Escuder-Vieco et al., 2018). Compared to HoP, the HTST treatment of HM results in a higher retention of lactoferrin and immunoglobulins (IgA, IgG, IgM), as well as a

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: stefano.nebbia@inrae.fr (S. Nebbia), marzia.giribaldi@crea.gov.it (M. Giribaldi), laura.cavallarin@ispa.cnr.it (L. Cavallarin), enrico.bertino@unito.it (E. Bertino), alessandra.coscia@unito.it (A. Coscia), valerie.briard-bion@inrae.fr (V. Briard-Bion), jordane.ossemond@inrae.fr (J. Ossemond), gwenaele.henry@inrae.fr (G. Henry), olivia.menard@inrae.fr (O. Ménard), didier.dupont@inrae.fr (D. Dupont), amelie.deglair@agrocampus-ouest.fr (A. Deglaire).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127126>

Received 3 March 2020; Received in revised form 19 May 2020; Accepted 21 May 2020

Available online 25 May 2020

0308-8146/ © 2020 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

higher preservation of the antioxidant and antimicrobial activities (Baro et al., 2011; Peila et al., 2017; Mayayo et al., 2016; Donalísio et al., 2018; Escuder-Vieco, Espinosa-Martos, Rodríguez, Fernández, & Pallás-Alonso, 2018).

A benchtop HTST pasteurizer has been patented and validated for the treatment of HM (Giribaldi et al., 2016). Its efficacy in eradicating common pathogens has been proved, as well as its ability to retain a higher antiviral activity (Donalísio et al., 2018) and a higher amount of some nutritive and immunological components than HoP (Giribaldi et al., 2016).

It has recently been demonstrated, through dynamic *in vitro* digestion under preterm conditions (De Oliveira, Deglaire, et al., 2016; Deglaire et al., 2019), that HoP modulates the gastrointestinal disintegration of HM and the bioaccessibility of some nutrients. In particular, it has been demonstrated that pasteurization does not affect gastric proteolysis, but instead modulates some amino acid and fatty acid bioaccessibilities, as well as the profile of the released peptides over the gastrointestinal digestion. Researchers currently agree about a differential effect of HoP and HTST on the quality of donor HM (Moro et al., 2019). The hypothesis behind the present research is that such compositional and nutritional differences may result in a differential digestion and, eventually, in a differential nutritional/functional availability of HM components.

To this aim, the proteolysis and lipolysis phenomena of raw, HoP and HTST HM were assessed using the innovative dynamic *in vitro* system (DIDGI®) mimicking preterm gastrointestinal digestion.

2. Methods

All the chemicals and enzymes were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint Quentin Fallavier, France), unless otherwise stated.

2.1. Collection and pasteurization of the human milk

Mature HM was obtained from the donor HM bank located at the Regina Margherita Children's Hospital, Turin (Italy). Each milk donor involved in the research signed a written consent form, which stated that protection of the mothers' and infants' data was ensured. Term donor milk was obtained from 5 healthy donors, from one to three months after term delivery, and stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, for a maximum of four months. The HM samples were thawed and pooled to achieve a final volume of about 1.7 L. The pooled milk was then divided into 120-mL aliquots ($n = 12$) and 40-mL aliquots ($n = 6$). A third of the aliquots were immediately stored at $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (raw human milk, RHM), while the other aliquots underwent either HoP ($62.5\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 30 min) or HTST pasteurization ($72\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 15 s). HoP was performed using the donor HM bank equipment (Metalarrédinox, Verdellino, BG, Italy), while HTST was performed by means of a patented proprietary small-scale device (Giribaldi et al., 2016).

2.2. Human milk characterization

2.2.1. Macronutrient composition

The macronutrient composition RHM and pasteurized HM samples was assessed by means of infrared spectrophotometry, using a Human Milk Analyzer (Miris AB, Uppsala, Sweden), previously validated against reference methods (Billard et al., 2016). The total lipid composition in the HM, before digestion, was determined by means of gas chromatography, coupled with a flame ionization detector, after direct transmethylation, as described by de Oliveira, Bourlieu, et al. (2016).

2.2.2. Profiling of the intact protein by means of liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry (LC–MS)

An analysis of the intact proteins was performed using a reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography system (RP-HPLC, HPLC 1100 by Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA), fitted with a Q-

Exactive mass spectrometer (Thermo Scientific Waltham, MA). Samples were diluted in 0.212% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) before injection. A 40- μL injection volume was adopted and the oven temperature was set at $40\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Proteins were separated on a C4 VYDAC column (214TP5215, $150 \times 2.1\text{ mm}$; Grace, Columbia, MD). A flow of 0.25 mL/min was applied, using an acetonitrile (ACN) gradient of 0.106% (v/v) TFA in water (A) and 0.1% (v/v) TFA in 80% ACN (B). A fraction of the eluate was introduced into the Q-Exactive MS at the end of the column at a flow rate of 75 $\mu\text{L}/\text{min}$. An electrospray MS, operating in positive ion mode, with an optimized voltage of 4.2 kV, was used to identify the intact proteins. Signals were recorded using an UV detector at 214 nm. Spectra were recorded in full MS mode and selected over a m/z 400–3000 mass range, with a resolution of 17500. The instrument was calibrated externally, according to the supplier's instructions. The ions recovered in the sample were analyzed from the MS spectra using Xcalibur 2.2 Software (Thermo Scientific). The proteins were identified by manual search and match of different m/z charges deriving from the most intense signals for each peak, due to the complexity of the signals, as caused by the presence of several charged statuses, and of minor proteins.

2.2.3. Characterization of the milk fat globule associated proteins

The milk fat globule associated proteins (MFGP) were extracted, as described by Barello et al. (2008). Briefly, samples were centrifuged at 5000g for 30 min at $6\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, the pellet was discharged, and the supernatant was ultracentrifuged at 189000g for 70 min at $6\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. The fat globules were washed three times with 0.9% (w/v) NaCl and re-suspended for 1 h in 1% (w/v) ASB-14, 7 M urea, 2 M thiourea and 20 mM tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris). The re-suspended protein solution was recovered and precipitated with methanol/chloroform, as described in Wessel and Flügge (1984). Proteins were solubilized in a NuPAGE® LDS sample buffer (Thermo-Fisher Scientific), and the protein concentration was determined by means of a 2-D Quant-Kit (GE Healthcare, Boston, MA). Proteins (5 μg) were separated in triplicate from pasteurized and RHM under non-reducing conditions on 4–12% gradient NuPAGE® Novex Bis-Tris precast gels (Thermo-Fisher Scientific), as previously described (De Oliveira, Bourlieu, et al., 2016), and the gels were stained with Colloidal Coomassie Blue (Candiano et al., 2004). Gel images were acquired using a ChemiDoc™ Imaging System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA) and saved at a 300 dpi resolution. Densitometric analysis was performed, using an ImageLab 6.0 software package (Bio-Rad). The relative intensity of each protein band in each lane was calculated as the symmetrized percentage change (SPC) in band volume with respect to a raw HM sample (Berry & Ayers, 2006).

2.2.4. Identification of the human milk proteins from gel electrophoresis

Bands showing a significant variation between treatments were cut, digested and identified by means of MS. The bands were first reduced in 10 mM DL-dithiothreitol (DTT)/50 mM NH_4HCO_3 for 45 min at $56\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, and then by alkylation, in 55 mM iodoacetamide/50 mM NH_4HCO_3 , for 30 min in the dark, at room temperature. The bands were then sequentially washed with water, 50% (v/v) ACN/50 mM NH_4HCO_3 , 100% ACN and 50% (v/v) ACN/50 mM NH_4HCO_3 . Gel pieces were dried in a vacuum concentrator (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) and digested overnight at $37\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ under shaking, using 75 ng/ μL porcine trypsin (Promega, Madison, WI) in 50 mM NH_4HCO_3 . TFA (5%) was used to stop the enzymatic reaction.

The resulting peptides were analyzed using a Dionex U3000 nano-RSLC system fitted with a Q-Exactive MS, equipped with a nanoelectrospray ion source. The injection volume was 5 μL . Peptides were separated in a C18 PepMap column ($150\text{ mm} \times 75\text{ }\mu\text{m}$; Thermo Scientific). The applied flow was 0.3 mL/min, with a gradient of 2% (v/v) ACN, 0.08% (v/v) formic acid, 0.01% (v/v) TFA in water (A) and 95% (v/v) ACN, 0.08% (v/v) formic acid and 0.01% (v/v) TFA in water (B). An electrospray mass spectrometer, operating in positive ion mode, was used with an optimized voltage of 1.9 kV. Mass spectra were

recorded in the m/z 250–2000 range, with a resolution of 17500, and MS/MS fragmentation was performed on the 10 most abundant ions. The obtained spectra were analyzed using X!Tandem (CYCLONE 2013.02.01.1), with reference to the UniProt *Homo sapiens* database (version 2017-10-01). The parameters set for the database search were: trypsin as the digestion enzyme; two possible missed cleavages; a mass error of 10 ppm for the peptides and 0.05 Da for the ions; oxidation of the methionine and phosphorylation of serine, threonine and tyrosine, as possible post-translational modifications.

2.2.5. Analysis of the microstructure of the human milk by means of confocal microscopy

The microstructure of the undigested RHM, HoP and HTST in the human milk samples was observed, using Nikon C1Si confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM), on a TE2000-E inverted microscope (Nikon, Champigny-sur-Marne, France), as previously described by Bourlieu et al. (2015). A 40 × oil-immersion objective was used for all the images. Two fluorescent dyes, Fast Green®, and LipidTOX®, were used to simultaneously color proteins and apolar lipids, respectively.

2.3. In vitro dynamic digestion

The RHM, HoP and HTST samples were subjected to gastrointestinal digestion using the DIDGI® bi-compartmental *in vitro* dynamic system (Ménard et al., 2014). The system was set up to simulate the digestion of a preterm newborn at a postnatal age of four weeks. The specific gastrointestinal parameters have already been presented (De Oliveira, Bourlieu, et al., 2016) and are summarized in Supplementary data Table 1. The transit time of the fluids based on the Elashoff equation, with $t_{1/2} = 36$ min and $\beta = 1.15$ for gastric emptying and $t_{1/2} = 200$ and $\beta = 2.2$ for intestinal emptying. The pH acidification in the gastric compartment followed a polynomial curve according to previous *in vivo* studies, as reviewed by Bourlieu et al. (2014), and updated with recent data obtained from de Oliveira et al. (2017):

$$\text{pH acidification} = 8 \text{ E} - 05 * \text{time}^2 - 0.031 * \text{time} + 6.80$$

The intestinal pH was maintained constant at 6.2. Gastric enzymes, consisting of rabbit gastric extract (pepsin and lipase: 120 and 8.6 U/mL of gastric content, respectively), and intestinal enzymes, consisting of rabbit porcine pancreatin (trypsin, and lipase: 1.52 and 59 U/mL of intestinal content) were added, together with bovine bile salts.

Digestion experiments were performed in triplicate for each matrix over three hours. Aliquots were collected before digestion and at 30, 60, and 90 min after the beginning of the digestion in both the gastric and intestinal compartments. Additional samples were collected from the intestinal compartment at 120 and 180 min. Structural analyses (particle size distribution profiling) were performed immediately. The samples for lipid analysis were processed immediately for lipid extraction, after the addition of 5 mg of 4-bromophenylboronic acid per mL of digest as a lipase inhibitor. Aliquots of 10 μL of pepstatin A (0.72 mM) per mL of gastric digest or 50 μL of Pefabloc® (0.1 M) per mL of intestinal digest were added immediately as protease inhibitors, and the samples were then stored at -20 °C until analysis.

2.3.1. Particle size distribution of the human milk during gastric digestion

The distribution of the size of the particles before and during gastric digestion of the differently treated HM was profiled using a Mastersizer 2000 (Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) laser light scattering device, equipped with two laser sources, as previously described (Bourlieu et al., 2015). The used refractive indices were 1.458 for the lipids at 633 and 466 nm and 1.333 for the water (dispersant solution) in the measurement cell. The diameter mode, the diameter mean D [4,3] and the specific surface (SS) were calculated from the size distribution.

2.3.2. Determination of the protein hydrolysis degree (DH) of the human milk

The DH was calculated by measuring the primary amines ($-\text{NH}_2$) released during *in vitro* digestion, using a microplate analysis based on the reaction of *ortho*-phthaldialdehyde (OPA) and DTT, with primary amines, which resulted in 1-alkylthio-2-alkylisondole being detected at 340 nm, as previously described by Deglaire et al. (2019). The total releasable primary amines (NH_2 (total)) were also determined in an HM sample before digestion, following total acid hydrolysis in 6 N HCl at 110 °C for 24 h. The DH was calculated as follows:

$$\text{DH (\%)} = \frac{100 \times \left(\left[\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}} \text{NH}_2(t) \right] \times \text{digestion dilution factor} - \left[\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}} \text{NH}_2(t_0) \right] \right)}{\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}} \text{NH}_2(\text{total})}$$

where $[\text{NH}_2(t)]$ is the concentration of primary amines after t min of digestion and $[\text{NH}_2(t_0)]$ is the concentration of primary amines in each original HM sample before digestion.

2.3.3. Profiling of human milk protein digestion by means of gel electrophoresis

Protein electrophoresis was performed on the original HM samples and on the gastric and intestinal digests, under both reducing and non-reducing conditions. After quantification of the protein concentration in the undigested HM samples (RHM, HoP and HTST), conducted using a 2-D Quant-Kit, 3 and 30 μg of proteins were separated on gels for the gastric and intestinal digests, respectively. The total proteins were diluted in a NuPAGE® LDS sample buffer, and electrophoresis and image acquisition were performed according to the procedure described in Section 2.2.3.

In order to minimize variations between the different gels, a densitometric analysis was performed on the relative quantity of the gel bands, as calculated by means of the ImageLab software package, by standardizing the volume of each band in each gel to the volume of a reference band (in our approach, a native lactoferrin band in an undigested RHM sample). The relative abundance of each band, at each digestion stage, was then calculated with respect to its value in the RHM sample before digestion and expressed as a % of the undigested milk quantity. Bands showing significant variations between the pasteurization treatments were cut and digested, and then identified by means of mass spectrometry, as described in Section 2.2.4.

2.3.4. Quantification of the amino acid release from the human milk during intestinal digestion

The released quantity of each amino acid (AA) was determined as detailed elsewhere (De Oliveira, Bourlieu, et al., 2016). Cysteine and proline were under the detection limit and no tryptophan was quantified in the total AA. AA bioaccessibility was calculated as follows:

$$\text{AA bioaccessibility (\%)} = \frac{100 \times \left(\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}} \text{AA}(t) \times \text{digestion dilution factor} \right)}{\frac{\text{mg}}{\text{L}} \text{AA}(\text{total})}$$

where $\text{AA}(t)$ is the amount of AA after t min of digestion and $\text{AA}(\text{total})$ is the amount of each AA that was obtained after total acid hydrolysis in 6 N HCl at 110 °C for 24 h of undigested milk.

The total amino acid release was calculated as the sum of all the AA released at digestion time (t), divided by the total AA in the undigested milk obtained after acid hydrolysis.

2.3.5. Lipid analysis

Lipid extraction was performed as previously described (Bourlieu et al., 2015). The lipid classes were quantified by means of thin layer chromatography. The extracted lipids were spotted on silica gel plates (10 × 20 cm, 0.25 mm, Si G60; Merck), using an Automated TLC Sampler III (CAMAG, Muttenz, Switzerland). The lipid classes were separated, utilizing a solvent mixture of hexane/diethyl ether/acetic

acid (70/30/2 v/v/v), revealed by means of a copper sulfate/orthophosphoric acid solution and heated for 15 min at 150 °C. The visible band images on the plates were scanned using an Image Scanner III (GE Healthcare) at a resolution of 300 dpi. A densitometric analysis was performed using Image Quant TL™ (GE Healthcare). Triacylglycerol (TAG) digestion was estimated as the percentage of TAG remaining in the gastric and intestinal digests at each considered time, compared with the total TAG in the undigested milk.

2.4. Statistical analyses

All the statistical analyses were performed using PAST software, version 3 (Hammer, Harper, & Ryan, 2001). A $p \leq 0.05$ probability was considered as statistically significant. Results were expressed as the means \pm standard deviation (SD), unless otherwise stated.

The abundance in the undigested HM samples of the MFGP bands (expressed as SPC) and of the soluble protein bands (expressed as relative abundance) were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. Normality of the residuals was assessed by means of Shapiro-Wilk's test. Where significance was assessed, post hoc tests were conducted using Tukey's multiple comparison post hoc test. When unequal variances and/or lack of normality were found, the Kruskal-Wallis test was used, coupled with Dunn's post hoc test.

Data from the profiling of differently pasteurized HM (RHM, HoP and HTST) during digestion were analyzed for significance at $p \leq 0.05$ by means of two-way ANOVA, with the digestion time and pasteurization treatment as factors. When significance was observed, post hoc tests were conducted, using Tukey's multiple comparison test.

3. Results

3.1. Human milk characterization before digestion

The raw and pasteurized HM samples (RHM, HoP, HTST) showed a similar macronutrient composition (27.7 ± 1.5 g/L of lipids, 8.0 ± 0.1 g/L of proteins and 82.3 ± 1.2 g/L of carbohydrates) prior to digestion. The RHM lipid fraction contained 40.3% (w/w) of saturated fatty acids, 46.5% (w/w) of MUFAs and 13.2% (w/w) of PUFAs. The $\omega 6/\omega 3$ PUFA ratio was 9.9. The lipid profile was dominated by six main FAs: oleic ($44 \pm 0.1\%$), palmitic ($20.4 \pm 0.1\%$), linoleic ($10.7 \pm 0.1\%$), stearic ($5.9 \pm 0.1\%$), myristic ($5.6 \pm 0.1\%$) and lauric ($5.1 \pm 0.1\%$) acids.

Confocal microscopy observation of the HM samples prior to digestion (Fig. 1A) showed that both pasteurization methods led to heat-induced protein aggregates (blue dots), in both the soluble phase and at the interface of the HM fat globule membrane. The protein-fat interaction phenomenon, which was somewhat observed in the RHM, was more noticeable following HoP than following HTST. However, no difference in the particle size distribution was observed in the different milk samples before digestion (Fig. 1B – G0). All the samples showed a bimodal distribution, with a main peak between 6.4 and 7 μm (Fig. 1C – G0).

LC-MS separation of the soluble intact proteins of the RHM, HoP and HTST samples allowed three major protein fractions and two minor protein peaks to be detected (Supplementary data Fig. 1). The peak identified as human lactoferrin showed a higher area in the HTST milk than in the HoP milk (75% vs. 33% of the protein peak area in RHM). Native α -lactalbumin was identified in all the samples, whereas its Na^+ adduct was only detected in the RHM.

The electrophoretic profile of the soluble proteins under the reducing (Fig. 2A) and non-reducing (Fig. 2B) conditions showed significant differences in the relative abundance of some specific bands among the RHM, HoP and HTST samples. Identification of the proteins within the bands is reported in Table 1. Under reducing conditions, only one faint band (band R2, Fig. 2A), containing macrophage mannose receptor 1, showed a significantly reduced (-15%) abundance in HoP milk with

respect to HTST. Under non-reducing conditions, several bands showed significant different intensities among samples. The band at 66 kDa (10–12nr, Fig. 2B), corresponding to native lactoferrin (Table 1), presented a reduced intensity in HoP (-70%) and in HTST (-40%), with respect to RHM. Concomitantly, the intensity of the high molecular weight aggregates (bands 1–3nr, Fig. 2B), mainly composed of lactoferrin, increased in both pasteurized milk samples. The other proteins detected in these aggregates included fatty acid synthase, xanthine dehydrogenase, macrophage mannose receptor 1 and BSSL. The last was also identified in bands 8nr and 9nr, which showed a significantly lower relative abundance in HoP (-66%) and in HTST (-39%) than in RHM.

The profile of the MFGPs (Fig. 2C), coupled to band identification (Table 1), also showed significant differences among samples. The high molecular weight aggregates (bands A–C, 2C), also in this fraction, were more abundant for HoP ($+47\%$) and for HTST ($+25\%$), with respect to RHM. The increased number of identified peptides from lactoferrin and xanthine dehydrogenase indicated a specific increased abundance of these proteins in aggregates. Accordingly, the native lactoferrin band (F) significantly decreased in the MFGPs after HTST pasteurization (band H, -38%) and was almost undetectable in the MFGPs after HoP (-78%). Overall, the common proteins involved in the formation of high molecular weight aggregates in both MFGPs and skimmed HM following pasteurization were lactoferrin, xanthine dehydrogenase, and fatty acid synthase. Band D in the MFGPs, which mainly contained BSSL, was also highly reduced after HTST (band E, -60%), and undetectable after HoP. The reduction of β -casein in band P after both pasteurization methods, corresponded to an increase in band Q, thus indicating a probable conformational rearrangement as a result of the thermal treatments. The same behavior was observed for the lysozyme-containing bands (S and T; Fig. 2C).

3.2. Structural changes during gastric digestion

Fig. 1B shows a profile of the particle size distribution of the raw and pasteurized HM samples during the simulated gastric digestion under preterm newborn conditions, with the corresponding particle size parameters (D[4,3], specific surface area and mode diameter). Although the particle size distribution of the undigested milks (G0) was similar regardless of the treatment, major differences were observed during digestion, with the formation of significantly larger aggregates in RHM (mode diameter 26–27 μm , specific surface area 0.4 m^2/g fat) than in HTST and HoP (mode diameter 6.6–6.7 μm , specific surface area 10–12.3 m^2/g fat) after 60 and 90 min of gastric digestion (Fig. 1C). These aggregates were dissociated by addition of SDS (data not shown). On the other hand, the pasteurized HM samples did not show any modification of the particle size during gastric digestion (Fig. 1B – G60 and G90).

3.3. Kinetics of proteolysis

3.3.1. NH_2 release after HM digestion

The proportion of NH_2 released after gastric and intestinal digestion was not significantly different in the raw and pasteurized milks. A mean NH_2 release of $1.7 \pm 0.5\%$ was determined in the gastric phase for the three types of milk. Intestinal digestion led to an increase in the release of NH_2 of up to $46 \pm 0.6\%$ at the end of the gastrointestinal digestion (data not shown).

3.3.2. Gastric proteolysis

The electrophoretic protein profiles of the gastric digests under reducing (A_R) and non-reducing (A_{NR}) conditions are reported in Fig. 3 and the relative abundance of the bands showing significant variations among samples in Fig. 4. The band identification is detailed in Table 1. The majority of the significant variations in band abundance occurred between RHM and both of the pasteurized samples, irrespective of the




Fig. 1. Particle size determination and confocal microscopy images of undigested human milk before and after different pasteurization procedures. Raw human milk (RHM); human milk pasteurized by means of Holder pasteurization (HoP); human milk pasteurized by means of high-temperature short-time pasteurization (HTST). (A) Confocal laser scanning microscopy images (40x, zoom 1 and zoom 6) of undigested samples. Proteins are labeled in blue (FastGreen®) and apolar lipids in green (LipidTOX®). (B) Particle size distribution (as % volume) of the undigested (G0) and digested (G60 and G90) samples. Each point represents the mean of 3 replicates. (C) Particle size characteristics (mode, diameter, SS - specific surface) of samples during *in vitro* gastric digestion. The data represent means ± standard deviations (n = 3). Statistics: two-way ANOVA p < 0.001 (***) ; p < 0.01 (**); p > 0.05 (NS). Italicized letters: Tukey's post hoc homogeneity classes per treatment. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

running conditions. The common trends in pasteurized HM samples resulted in a faster gastric proteolysis of high molecular weight protein bands, including lactoferrin, with respect to RHM (Fig. 4A), whereas proteins in heat-induced aggregates (bands 1–2–3nr) displayed an almost steady trend in the gastric phase, irrespective of the processing treatment (Fig. 4B). Only one significant difference was found between the two different pasteurization methods, which was, for bands 4–5–6nr, a slightly reduced abundance in HoP than in RHM and HTST. The band mainly contained immunoglobulins, but also some lactoferrin, the latter being detected only in pasteurized samples (Table 1). No significant difference of gastric digestion was observed among the different samples for the other major proteins, such as β-casein, α-lactalbumin, as well as serum albumin.

3.3.3. Intestinal proteolysis

The electrophoretic protein profiles of the intestinal digests, under reducing (B_R) and non-reducing (B_{NR}) conditions, are reported in Fig. 3, and the relative abundance of the bands showing significant variations between samples in Fig. 5. The protein identification in the bands is shown in Table 1. During intestinal proteolysis, the different digestion pattern of RHM with respect to both pasteurized samples was even more stressed. By using both the reducing and non-reducing conditions for the separation of the proteins, a faster proteolysis in both pasteurized samples was observed for bands containing higher molecular weight bands. In particular, the trend was marked for native lactoferrin

(bands 10–12nr in Fig. 5B). The high-molecular-weight aggregates (bands 1–2–3nr) were soon digested to almost undetectable levels in all the samples (Fig. 3). At the beginning of intestinal digestion, pasteurization increased the resistance of serum albumin, with respect to RHM (Fig. 5A). Nevertheless, after 180 min, < 10% of intact serum albumin was detected in any sample.

The only significant difference during intestinal digestion between the two pasteurization methods was the slight, although significant, lower relative abundance in HoP for bands 4–5–6nr (Fig. 5B), which mainly contained immunoglobulins and lactoferrin.

The free AA profile of the HM samples was also determined and quantified during intestinal digestion (Fig. 5C and Supplementary Data Table 2). Although a significant difference in the amount of the released non-essential AAs was observed among RHM, HoP and HTST, the release of essential AAs, and, overall, of total AAs, was always similar between RHM and HTST, and significantly higher than in HoP.

3.4. Triacylglycerol hydrolysis kinetics

No significant difference of TAG hydrolysis was found in the gastric and intestinal phases between RHM, HoP and HTST in this study (Supplementary Data Fig. 2). The percentage decreased to 72.5 ± 6.7% for RHM, 71.3 ± 4.2% for HoP and 71.9 ± 1.6% for HTST after 30 min of gastric digestion and remained quite stable afterwards. TAG hydrolysis was greatly increased after 30 min of




Fig. 2. Protein profiles of undigested raw human milk (RHM), human milk pasteurized by means of Holder pasteurization (HoP) and human milk pasteurized by means of high-temperature short-time pasteurization (HTST) samples under reducing (A) and non-reducing (B) conditions, and of the milk fat globule-associated proteins (MFGP) under non-reducing conditions (C). The samples were loaded onto the gels with the same amount of proteins. Molecular weight standard: Mark12 (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). Gel: NuPAGE 4–12% precast (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). Staining: Colloidal Coomassie G250, homemade. Lactoferrin (LacFer) and α -lactalbumin (α -Lact) were identified by means of antibody recognition. Serum albumin (SerAlb) and β -casein (β -cas) had been identified in previous papers. Differently coded protein bands were identified by means of LC-MS/MS (see Table 1).

intestinal digestion and, at the end of intestinal digestion, the remaining TAGs reached $32.2 \pm 1.9\%$ for RHM, $34.3 \pm 6.3\%$ for HoP and $29.3 \pm 0.8\%$ for HTST of the initial quantity.

4. Discussion

The present study has evaluated, for the first time, whether different thermal pasteurization techniques may affect HM digestive kinetics in different ways in a preterm newborn *in vitro* model of dynamic digestion. To date, several reports have indicated that HTST pasteurization leads to a better preservation of mother's milk, in both nutritional and biological value terms, in comparison to the standard Holder technique (Peila et al., 2016). In particular, HTST-treated HM has been shown to have a higher secretory IgAs (sIgAs) content and a higher lysozyme (Giribaldi et al., 2016) than HoP-treated HM, as well as an improved preservation of the raw HM antiviral activity (Donalisio et al., 2018). Nevertheless, data about the possible implications of different pasteurisation methods on the digestion profile are lacking. In the present experiment, we have demonstrated that the standard Holder pasteurization technique and continuous-flow HTST exert rather similar digestive patterns regarding both lipids and proteins, although differences stand in terms of total and essential free AA release during intestinal digestion, being significantly higher for RHM and HTST than for HoP.

4.1. Human milk characterization before digestion

Both pasteurization processes affected the HM protein profile. In particular, the native lactoferrin content was notably reduced by

pasteurization, albeit to a much lesser extent in the HTST than in the HoP samples. These results were expected from previous observations (Baro et al., 2011; Giribaldi et al., 2016) and such as reviewed previously (Peila et al., 2017).

The decrease of native lactoferrin content was due to its contribution to the formation of heat-induced soluble aggregates, with other minor proteins such as BSSL, macrophage mannose receptor 1 and xanthine dehydrogenase, which were linked by covalent disulfide bonds, as revealed by non-reducing SDS-PAGE analysis (Baro et al., 2011; Giribaldi et al., 2016). Interestingly, measuring lactoferrin content in the pasteurized HM samples, by means of ELISA tests, led to an underestimation of its concentration (data not shown), thus indicating that the structural modification, due to thermal processing, is responsible for epitope misrecognition by the antibody.

The observation of the milk microstructure, by means of confocal microscopy, revealed that protein aggregates were located in the soluble phase, as well as at the interface of the HM fat globule membrane, and that this type of aggregation seemed to be more visible in the HoP than in the HTST milk, although we did not quantify its extent. Some protein aggregation could be observed in RHM, which may be due to the freeze-thawing cycle.

The phenomenon of protein adhesion to a fat globule, observed in the present study, has already been observed using both confocal microscopy (De Oliveira, Bourlieu, et al., 2016; De Oliveira, Deglaire, et al., 2016) and a proteomic approach on RHM and HoP (Ma, Zhang, Wu, & Zhou, 2019; Ye, Singh, Taylor, & Anema, 2004). An analysis of the HM protein fraction associated with the fat globule membrane has been conducted here for the first time to gain deeper insight into the

Table 1
Protein identification of the bands in Figs. 2 and 3 by means of LC–MS/MS.

Band	Identified protein	Entry (UniProt)	Theoretical/apparent MW (kDa)	Log (E value)	Coverage (%)	Matching/unique peptides (n ^o)	
Identifications in Fig. 2A							
R1	Fatty acid synthase	P49327	273/ > 200	−27.6	2	7/7	
R2	Macrophage mannose receptor 1	P22897	166/150	−26.5	6	10/10	
R3	Xanthine dehydrogenase/reductase	P47989	146/140	−24.0	6	9/9	
R4	Bile salt-stimulated lipase	P19835	79/120	−18.6	6	6/5	
	Lactoferrin	P02788	77/120	−9.1	5	4/4	
Identifications in Fig. 2B							
1nr	1- Fatty acid synthase	P49327	273/ > 200	−40.9	5	12/12	
	2- Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member A1	Q13410	59/ > 200	−22.1	12	6/6	
	3- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/ > 200	−20.9	10	7/7	
2nr	1- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/ > 200	−388.9	72	178/94	
	2- Fatty acid synthase	P49327	273/ > 200	−114.1	11	28/28	
	3- Macrophage mannose receptor 1	P22897	166/ > 200	−88.1	15	22/21	
	4- Xanthine dehydrogenase/oxidase	P47989	146/ > 200	−77.6	14	19/17	
	5- Bile salt-stimulated lipase	P19835	79/ > 200	−66.2	18	17/14	
	6- Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member A1	Q13410	59/ > 200	−53.1	25	19/16	
3nr	1- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/ > 200	−241.8	62	101/65	
	2- Fatty acid synthase	P49327	273/ > 200	−67.4	7	17/17	
	3- Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member A1	Q13410	59/ > 200	−50.0	25	14/14	
	4- Xanthine dehydrogenase/oxidase	P47989	146/ > 200	−44.1	9	13/13	
	5- Macrophage mannose receptor 1	P22897	166/ > 200	−30.8	8	10/10	
	6- Bile salt-stimulated lipase	P19835	79/ > 200	−19.8	8	6/6	
4nr	1- Polymeric immunoglobulin receptor	P01833	83/250	−24.9	7	7/7	
	2- Immunoglobulin heavy constant alpha 1	P01876	37/250	−23.6	20	10/7	
	3- Immunoglobulin heavy constant alpha 2	P01877	36/250	−17.8	12	4/4	
5nr	1- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/ > 200	−49.3	17	17/16	
	2- Polymeric immunoglobulin receptor	P01833	83/ > 200	−30.1	9	8/7	
	3- Immunoglobulin heavy constant alpha 1	P01876	37/ > 200	−23.6	13	14/5	
6nr	4- Immunoglobulin heavy constant alpha 2	P01877	36/ > 200	−17.2	9	6/3	
	1- Immunoglobulin heavy constant alpha 1	P01876	37/ > 200	−22.2	16	12/6	
	2- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/ > 200	−21.8	9	7/7	
	3- Polymeric immunoglobulin receptor	P01833	83/ > 200	−21.8	6	6/5	
7nr	4- Immunoglobulin heavy constant alpha 2	P01877	37/ > 200	−13.4	12	5/4	
	<i>Unidentified</i>						
	8nr	1- Macrophage mannose receptor 1	P22897	166/150	−35.3	7	12/10
		2- Xanthine dehydrogenase/oxidase	P47989	147/150	−25.6	6	10/10
3- Bile salt-stimulated lipase		P19835	79/150	−8.3	3	3/3	
9nr	Bile salt-stimulated lipase	P19835	79/150	−20.9	9	8/8	
10nr	Lactoferrin	P02788	78/66	−198.0	50	126/60	
11nr	Lactoferrin	P02788	78/66	−97.9	30	44/28	
12nr	Lactoferrin	P02788	78/66	−314.95	66	144/86	
Identifications in Fig. 2C							
A	1- Fatty acid synthase	P49327	273/ > 200	−307.8	28	93/91	
	2- Xanthine dehydrogenase/oxidase	P47989	146/ > 200	−114.1	18	30/29	
	3- Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member A1	Q13410	59/ > 200	−95.6	32	41/30	
	4- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/ > 200	−94.2	35	26/26	
B	1- Fatty acid synthase	P49327	273/ > 200	−268.8	25	81/80	
	2- Xanthine dehydrogenase/oxidase	P47989	146/ > 200	−184.1	27	71/58	
	3- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/ > 200	−183.3	58	63/53	
	4- Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member A1	Q13410	59/ > 200	−89.6	34	36/27	
C	1- Fatty acid synthase	P49327	273/ > 200	−327.2	30	100/94	
	2- Xanthine dehydrogenase/oxidase	P47989	146/ > 200	−260.2	36	104/75	
	3- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/ > 200	−211.4	59	81/73	
	4- Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member A1	Q13410	59/ > 200	−87.8	32	39/30	
D	1- Bile salt-stimulated lipase	P19835	79/116	−216.2	40	129/73	
	2- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/116	−157.8	53	46/46	
	3- Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member A1	Q13410	59/116	−55.7	27	28/21	
E	1- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/116	−49.0	20	21/19	
	2- Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member A1	Q13410	59/116	−44.1	21	21/16	
	3- Bile salt-stimulated lipase	P19835	79/116	−37.3	10	15/12	
F	Lactoferrin	P02788	78/66	−807.3	86	582/210	
G	Lactoferrin	P02788	78/66	−83.6	27	38/28	
H	Lactoferrin	P02788	78/66	−246.1	60	126/70	
I	Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member	Q13410	59/55	−119.0	34	66/37	
L	1- Butyrophilin subfamily 1 member	Q13410	59/52	−64.8	28	33/23	
	2- Serum albumin	P02768	69/52	−31.5	18	11/11	
M	1- Lactoferrin	P02788	78/50	−18.0	6	6/6	
	2- Lactadherin	Q08431	43/50	−13.2	15	7/7	
N	Lactadherin	Q08431	43/43	−74.5	31	25/22	
O	Lactadherin	Q08431	43/40	−69.7	34	27/21	
P	1- β-Casein	P05814	25/28	−24.6	31	15/8	
	2- Apolipoprotein	P02647	31/25	−16.7	19	8/7	
Q	β-Casein	P05814	25/25	−4.6	8	3/2	

(continued on next page)

Table 1 (continued)

Band	Identified protein	Entry (UniProt)	Theoretical/apparent MW (kDa)	Log (E value)	Coverage (%)	Matching/unique peptides (n')
R	Unidentified					
S	Lysozyme	P61626	17/13	-40.1	33	27/11
T	1 - Lysozyme	P61626	17/14	-15.6	21	5/4
	2 - Fatty acid-binding protein	P05413	15/14	-13.6	30	7/4
U	1 - Lysozyme	P61626	17/13	-31.0	34	11/10
	2 - Fatty acid-binding protein	P05413	15/13	-6.6	12	3/2
V	α -Lactalbumin	P00709	16/10	-5.8	11	3/2

differences between the two pasteurization methods. MFG membrane proteins have an important physiological effect, as they may contribute to protection against bacteria and viruses in the gastrointestinal tract of newborn infants. Different clinical trials have also indicated that MFGPs

have potential beneficial effects on the immune system and on the cognitive functions of newborn children (Demmelmaier, Prell, Timby, & Lönnerdal, 2017). The protein profile of MFGPs was changed to a great extent by the thermal processing, albeit with less alteration of the




Fig. 3. Protein profiles of raw human milk (RHM), human milk pasteurized by means of Holder pasteurization (HoP) and human milk pasteurized by means of high-temperature short-time pasteurisation (HTST) under reducing (A_R and B_R) and non-reducing (A_{NR} and B_{NR}) conditions, during gastric (A) and intestinal (B) phases. The samples were loaded onto the gels taking into account the dilution of the gastric and intestinal juices at different sampling times. G0: undigested HM; G30, G60 and G90: gastric digestion at 30, 60 and 90 min; I30, I90, I120 and I180: intestinal digestion at 30, 90, 120 and 180 min. Molecular weight standard: Mark12 (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). Gel: NuPAGE 4–12% precast (Thermo-Fisher Scientific). Staining: Colloidal Coomassie G250, homemade. Lactoferrin (LacFer) and α -lactalbumin (α -Lact) were identified by means of antibody recognition. Serum albumin (SerAlb) and β -casein (β -cas) had been identified in previous papers. Differently coded protein bands were identified by means of LC-MS/MS (see Table 1).




Fig. 4. Percentage of intact band (as the relative abundance to the undigested raw human milk band) for bands showing a significant difference between raw and pasteurized HM samples during gastric digestion, as obtained by means of densitometric analysis of the gels under reducing (A) and non-reducing (B) conditions. The data represent means \pm standard deviations ($n = 3$). Statistics: two-way ANOVA at $p < 0.001$ (***) ; $p < 0.01$ (**); $p < 0.05$ (*); $p > 0.05$ (NS). Tukey's (homogeneous variance) or Dunn's (heterogeneous variance) post hoc tests were performed. Letters indicate different classes when interaction factor was significantly different.

native MFGP profile in the HTST milk than in the HoP. Particularly, native lactoferrin was still present at the surface of the fat globule of HTST milk unlike that in HoP milk, where the band intensity was faint. A clear involvement of lactoferrin, xanthine dehydrogenase and BSSL was observed in the formation of aggregates, which were more

abundant for the HoP milk. The fatty acid-binding protein and α -lactalbumin were only detected in the MFGP fraction after pasteurization, as already described (Ma et al., 2019). The conformational rearrangements after pasteurization also seemed to affect lysozyme and β -casein.




Fig. 5. Percentage of intact band (as the relative abundance to the undigested raw human milk band) for bands showing a significant difference between raw and pasteurized HM samples during intestinal digestion, as obtained by means of densitometric analysis of the gels under reducing (A) and non-reducing (B) conditions. Amino acid (AAs) release during intestinal digestion (C). The data represent means \pm standard deviations ($n = 3$). Statistics: two-way ANOVA at $p < 0.001$ (***); $p < 0.01$ (**); $p < 0.05$ (*); $p > 0.05$ (NS). Tukey's (homogeneous variance) or Dunn's (heterogeneous variance) post hoc tests were performed. Letters indicate different classes when interaction factor was significantly different.

4.2. Particle destabilization during gastric digestion

A strong particle destabilization was detected in the RHM after 60 min of gastric digestion, with the formation of larger aggregates, while neither of the pasteurized milks showed a similar particle size shift. The emulsion destabilization observed in this study for RHM was in agreement with previous studies, conducted both *in vitro* (De Oliveira, Bourlieu, et al., 2016; De Oliveira, Deglaire, et al., 2016) and *in vivo* (de Oliveira et al., 2017). The lack of formation of large gastric aggregates in the HoP-treated HM had previously been shown to not interfere with gastric emptying in preterm neonates (de Oliveira et al., 2017), a factor previously considered as an indicator of the development of feeding intolerance and of necrotizing enterocolitis in preterm newborn infants (Li et al., 2014).

4.3. Kinetics of proteolysis

Despite the significant differences in the protein pattern of the undigested HM in the three samples, the proteolysis profiles of both pasteurized HM were similar, and differences with respect to RHM were observed. The only significant difference between the two pasteurization methods was a slightly higher resistance to digestion of one band containing immunoglobulins and lactoferrin in RHM and in HTST, with respect to HoP, although its extent was limited. Immunoglobulins, which are bioactive proteins, are known to persist throughout digestion, and to be found in the stool of breastfed infants (Lönnerdal, 2016). Since densitometric analysis of the protein bands on gels suffer from

limitations for faint bands, caution should be taken when considering quantitative data. Thus, further confirmation could be achieved by directly targeting IgAs in the stool of infants fed RHM, HoP or HTST.

Both pasteurization procedures had, on the other side, a major impact on lactoferrin structure, and resulted in increased proteolysis, compared to RHM. Lactoferrin facilitates the first step of the iron absorption process, and stimulates epithelial cell proliferation and differentiation (Lönnerdal, 2016). Its faster hydrolysis and higher aggregation, following all pasteurization techniques, may thus be detrimental to the overall protective effect on preterm newborn infants, although its main siderophore activity could be preserved when aggregated, and this aspect warrants further functional investigations. On the other hand, lactoferrin is a recognized source of bioactive peptides, which plays an important antimicrobial role in the gastrointestinal tract (Wada & Lönnerdal, 2014), and its increased digestibility, following pasteurization, may therefore be beneficial. Peptidomic analysis, which is currently underway, may shed light on this peculiar aspect.

Despite the minor differences observed at the protein level during intestinal proteolysis within HoP and HTST milk, a significant difference was found in the total and essential free AA released during digestion. A significantly lower amount of both was found in the HoP digests, that is, up to -20% with respect to the HTST. The impact of pasteurization on AA release during digestion has not been exhaustively investigated in preterm models so far (de Oliveira et al., 2016), and never regarding HTST milk. The higher release of both total and essential AAs in the intestinal tract found in the RHM and HTST samples could be a positive factor for the physiological state of preterm infants,

since they represent an important source of energy and fuel lymphocyte differentiation, and they contribute to intestinal cell integrity and to protection against oxidative stress (Valentine et al., 2010). Since this differential release of AAs between HoP and HTST does not correspond to the protein degradation pattern, further peptidomic investigation could contribute to a better understanding of the fate of proteins during digestion.

4.4. Kinetics of lipolysis

No impact of pasteurization on the lipid hydrolysis kinetics has been found in the present study, although we did observe a high level of pre-lipolysis in the undigested milk, probably related to the length of storage in the HMB before the thermal treatments, or to the freezing-thawing cycle before pooling and analysis. Our results have confirmed previous observations on preterm milk lipolysis by De Oliveira, Bourlieu, et al. (2016). Since lipids are an important source of energy for newborn infants, are involved in the regulation of the immune system, and are converted to metabolites that regulate inflammatory processes and organogenesis, a low impact of pasteurization on their physiological release is important. Clinical evidence suggests that alterations in FA intake in preterm infants may have implications on the risk of chronic lung disease, necrotizing enterocolitis, retinopathy and neurodevelopment (Robinson & Martin, 2017). Within this framework, the reduced abundance and activity (data not shown) of BSSL, following both pasteurization methods, seemed to have little or no impact on the total degradation of the TAGs, its function having been balanced by the endogenous intestinal lipases.

5. Conclusion

The use of an *in vitro* dynamic digestion model has allowed us to unravel the differential impact of HTST pasteurization on the digestive kinetics of proteins and lipids in donated HM, thus providing an alternative to the available costly and controversial *in vivo* tests, especially when dealing with vulnerable infants, such as preterm infants. HTST pasteurization, performed using specific equipment validated for the processing of donor HM, has been confirmed to be less damaging on the undigested human milk than the standard HoP, particularly regarding bioactive proteins, such as lactoferrin, immunoglobulins and milk fat globule associated proteins. The present study has proved for the first time that the HTST technique led to similar proteolytic patterns to those resulting from HoP, when observed at the protein level. Some differential behavior was observed between HTST and HOP, including a slightly higher retention of immunoglobulins and lactoferrin, and a higher intestinal release of total and essential free amino acids after HTST. The overall impact of such differences warrants further *in vivo* investigation. An ongoing peptidomic analysis is also expected to provide more insight into the differential effect of the two pasteurization methods on the digestion fate of HM proteins in preterm infants.

Funding

SN was supported by the French Government [Campus France Italie grant number 895240k].

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Stefano Nebbia: Investigation, Methodology, Writing - original draft. **Marzia Giribaldi:** Conceptualization, Data curation, Writing - review & editing. **Laura Cavallarin:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Project administration, Writing - review & editing. **Enrico Bertino:** Resources, Methodology. **Alessandra Coscia:** Resources, Methodology. **Valérie Briard-Bion:** Investigation. **Jordane Ossemond:** Investigation. **Gwénaële Henry:** Investigation. **Olivia Ménard:** Investigation, Supervision. **Didier Dupont:** Supervision, Funding

acquisition. **Amélie Deglaire:** Conceptualization, Supervision, Methodology, Data curation, Writing - review & editing.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests: MG, LC, EB and AC have competing interests, since they are the inventors of a patent pertaining to the HTST human milk pasteurizer used in the study (Patent no. EP2974603 A1). No conflict of interest exists for the remaining authors.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank Frédéric Carrière and GERME S.A. for providing the RGE.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2020.127126>.

References

- Barello, C., Garoffo, L. P., Montorfano, G., Zava, S., Berra, B., Conti, A., et al. (2008). Analysis of major proteins and fat fractions associated with mare's milk fat globules. *Molecular Nutrition and Food Research*, 52(12), 1448–1456. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mnfr.200700311>.
- Baro, C., Giribaldi, M., Arslanoglu, S., Giuffrida, M. G., Dellavalle, G., Conti, A., et al. (2011). Effect of two pasteurization methods on the protein content of human milk. *Frontiers in Bioscience (Elite Edition)*, 3, 818–829.
- Berry, D. A., & Ayers, G. D. (2006). Symmetrized percent change for treatment comparisons. *The American Statistician*, 60(1), 27–31. <https://doi.org/10.1198/000313006X90684T>.
- Billard, H., Simon, L., Desnots, E., Sochard, A., Boscher, C., Riaublanc, A., et al. (2016). Calibration Adjustment of the Mid-infrared Analyzer for an Accurate Determination of the Macronutrient Composition of Human Milk. *Journal of Human Lactation*, 32(3), NP19–NP27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0890334415588513>.
- Bourlieu, C., Bouzerzour, K., Ferret-Bernard, S., Bourgot, C. L., Chever, S., Ménard, O., et al. (2015). Infant formula interface and fat source impact on neonatal digestion and gut microbiota: Infant formula structure affects neonatal health. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 117(10), 1500–1512. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201500025>.
- Bourlieu, C., Ménard, O., Bouzerzour, K., Mandalari, G., Macierzanka, A., Mackie, A. R., et al. (2014). Specificity of infant digestive conditions: Some clues for developing relevant *in vitro* models. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 54(11), 1427–1457. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2011.640757>.
- Candiano, G., Bruschi, M., Musante, L., Santucci, L., Ghiggeri, G. M., Carnemolla, B., et al. (2004). Blue silver: A very sensitive colloidal Coomassie G-250 staining for proteome analysis. *Electrophoresis*, 25, 1327–1333. <https://doi.org/10.1002/elps.200305844>.
- de Oliveira, S. C., Bellanger, A., Ménard, O., Pladys, P., Le Gouar, Y., Dirson, E., et al. (2017). Impact of human milk pasteurization on gastric digestion in preterm infants: A randomized controlled trial. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 105(2), 379–390. <https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.116.142539>.
- de Oliveira, S. C., Bourlieu, C., Ménard, O., Bellanger, A., Henry, G., Rousseau, F., et al. (2016). Impact of pasteurization of human milk on preterm newborn *in vitro* digestion: Gastrointestinal disintegration, lipolysis and proteolysis. *Food Chemistry*, 211, 171–179. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2016.05.028>.
- de Oliveira, S. C., Deglaire, A., Ménard, O., Bellanger, A., Rousseau, F., Henry, G., et al. (2016). Holder pasteurization impacts the proteolysis, lipolysis and disintegration of human milk under *in vitro* dynamic term newborn digestion. *Food Research International*, 88, 263–275. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.11.022>.
- Deglaire, A., Oliveira, S. D., Jardin, J., Briard-Bion, V., Kroell, F., Emily, M., et al. (2019). Impact of human milk pasteurization on the kinetics of peptide release during *in vitro* dynamic digestion at the preterm newborn stage. *Food Chemistry*, 281, 294–303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.086>.
- Demmelmair, H., Prell, C., Timby, N., & Lönnerdal, B. (2017). Benefits of lactoferrin, osteopontin and milk fat globule membranes for infants. *Nutrients*, 9(8), 817. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu9080817>.
- Dhar, J., Fichtali, J., Skura, B. J., Nakai, S., & Davidson, A. G. F. (1996). Pasteurization efficiency of a HTST system for human milk. *Journal of Food Science*, 61(3), 569–573. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1996.tb13160.x>.
- Donalizio, M., Rittà, M., Francese, R., Civra, A., Tonetto, P., Coscia, A., et al. (2018). High temperature—short time pasteurization has a lower impact on the antiviral properties of human milk than holder pasteurization. *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 6, 304. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2018.00304>.
- Escuder-Vieco, D., Espinosa-Martos, I., Rodríguez, J. M., Corzo, N., Montilla, A., Siegfried, P., et al. (2018). High-temperature short-time pasteurization system for donor milk in

- a human milk bank setting. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9, 926. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00926>.
- Escuder-Vieco, D., Espinosa-Martos, I., Rodríguez, J. M., Fernández, L., & Pallás-Alonso, C. R. (2018). Effect of HTST and Holder pasteurization on the concentration of immunoglobulins, growth factors, and hormones in donor human milk. *Frontiers in Immunology*, 9, 2222. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2018.02222>.
- Giribaldi, M., Coscia, A., Peila, C., Antoniazzi, S., Lamberti, C., Ortoffi, M., et al. (2016). Pasteurization of human milk by a benchtop High-Temperature Short-Time device. *Innovative Food Science and Emerging Technologies*, 36, 228–233. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2016.07.004>.
- Goldblum, R. M., Dill, C. W., Albrecht, T. B., Alford, E. S., Garza, C., & Goldman, A. S. (1984). Rapid high-temperature treatment of human milk. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 104(3), 380–385. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476\(84\)81099-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-3476(84)81099-9).
- Hammer, O., Harper, D. A. T., & Ryan, P. D. (2001). PAST: Paleontological Statistics Software Package for Education and. *Data Analysis*, 9.
- Hamprecht, K., Maschmann, J., Müller, D., Dietz, K., Besenthal, I., Goelz, R., et al. (2004). Cytomegalovirus (CMV) Inactivation in Breast Milk: Reassessment of Pasteurization and Freeze-Thawing. *Pediatric Research*, 56(4), 529–535. <https://doi.org/10.1203/01.PDR.0000139483.35087.BE>.
- Li, Y.-F., Lin, H.-C., Torrazza, R. M., Parker, L., Talaga, E., & Neu, J. (2014). Gastric residual evaluation in preterm neonates: A useful monitoring technique or a hindrance? *Pediatrics and Neonatology*, 55(5), 335–340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pedneo.2014.02.008>.
- Lønnerdal, B. (2016). Bioactive proteins in human milk: Health, nutrition, and implications for infant formulas. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 173, S4–S9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.02.070>.
- Ma, Y., Zhang, L., Wu, Y., & Zhou, P. (2019). Changes in milk fat globule membrane proteome after pasteurization in human, bovine and caprine species. *Food Chemistry*, 279, 209–215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.015>.
- Mayayo, C., Montserrat, M., Ramos, S. J., Martínez-Lorenzo, M. J., Calvo, M., Sánchez, L., et al. (2016). Effect of high pressure and heat treatments on IgA immunoreactivity and lysozyme activity in human milk. *European Food Research and Technology*, 242(6), 891–898. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-015-2595-7>.
- Meier, P., Patel, A., & Esquerra-Zwiers, A. (2017). Donor Human Milk Update: Evidence, Mechanisms, and Priorities for Research and Practice. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 180, 15–21. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2016.09.027>.
- Ménard, O., Cattenoz, T., Guillemin, H., Souchon, I., Deglaire, A., Dupont, D., et al. (2014). Validation of a new in vitro dynamic system to simulate infant digestion. *Food Chemistry*, 145, 1039–1045. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2013.09.036>.
- Moro, G. E., Billeaud, C., Rachel, B., Calvo, J., Cavallarín, L., Christen, L., et al. (2019). Processing of Donor Human Milk: Update and Recommendations From the European Milk Bank Association (EMBA). *Frontiers in Pediatrics*, 7, 49. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fped.2019.00049>.
- Peila, C., Emmerik, N. E., Giribaldi, M., Stahl, B., Ruitenbergh, J. E., van Elburg, R. M., et al. (2017). Human Milk Processing: A Systematic Review of Innovative Techniques to Ensure the Safety and Quality of Donor Milk. *Journal of Pediatric Gastroenterology and Nutrition*, 64(3), 353–361. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MPG.0000000000001435>.
- Peila, C., Moro, G., Bertino, E., Cavallarín, L., Giribaldi, M., Giuliani, F., et al. (2016). The Effect of Holder Pasteurization on Nutrients and Biologically-Active Components in Donor Human Milk: A Review. *Nutrients*, 8(8), 477. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu8080477>.
- Robinson, D. T., & Martin, C. R. (2017). Fatty acid requirements for the preterm infant. *Seminars in Fetal and Neonatal Medicine*, 22(1), 8–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.siny.2016.08.009>.
- Valentine, C. J., Morrow, G., Fernandez, S., Gulati, P., Bartholomew, D., Long, D., et al. (2010). Docosahexaenoic acid and amino acid contents in pasteurized donor milk are low for preterm infants. *The Journal of Pediatrics*, 157(6), 906–910. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpeds.2010.06.017>.
- Villamor-Martínez, E., Pierro, M., Cavallaro, G., Mosca, F., Kramer, B., & Villamor, E. (2018). Donor human milk protects against bronchopulmonary dysplasia: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Nutrients*, 10(2), 238. <https://doi.org/10.3390/nu10020238>.
- Wada, Y., & Lønnerdal, B. (2014). Bioactive peptides derived from human milk proteins—Mechanisms of action. *The Journal of Nutritional Biochemistry*, 25(5), 503–514. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnutbio.2013.10.012>.
- Wessel, D., & Flügge, U. I. (1984). A method for the quantitative recovery of protein in dilute solution in the presence of detergents and lipids. *Analytical Biochemistry*, 138(1), 141–143. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697\(84\)90782-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0003-2697(84)90782-6).
- Ye, A., Singh, H., Taylor, M. W., & Anema, S. (2004). Interactions of whey proteins with milk fat globule membrane proteins during heat treatment of whole milk. *Le Lait*, 84(3), 269–283. <https://doi.org/10.1051/lait:2004004>.