

Harvesting slash residues in forests: a sustainable opportunity for the bioenergy sector?

Laurent Saint-André, D. Achat, Nicolas Bilot, Laurent Augusto, Arnaud A. Legout, Holger H. Wernsdorfer, Jean-Paul Laclau, Christine Deleuze, Jacques J. Ranger

▶ To cite this version:

Laurent Saint-André, D. Achat, Nicolas Bilot, Laurent Augusto, Arnaud A. Legout, et al.. Harvesting slash residues in forests: a sustainable opportunity for the bioenergy sector?. Session on Biomass for Energy, Jun 2015, Pékin, China. pp.19 slides. hal-02793989

HAL Id: hal-02793989 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02793989

Submitted on 5 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





Harvesting slash residues in forests: a sustainable opportunity for the bioenergy sector?

Saint-André L, Achat D., Bilot N., Augusto L., Legout A., Wernsdörfer H., Laclau J-P., Deleuze C., Ranger J.









Session on Biomass for Energy




Context and main issues

Energy policies

Current energy policies are characterized by two complimentary objectives:

- to reduce fossil energy consumption
- to develop renewable energies market, particularly woodfuel sector


European energy policies target a proportion of 20% renewable energies in total energy consumption of whole EU for 2020 (2009/28/CE) - France: 23%





Context and main issues

Scientific challenges



Soil chemical fertility is based on a small amount of nutrient circulating rapidly in the ecosystem

BIOCHEMICAL Sub-Cycle

- translocations

BIOLOGICAL Sub-Cycle

- Canopy Exchanges
- Nutrient uptake
- Immobilization
- Litter falls
- Mineralization of organic matters

GEOCHEMICAL Sub-Cycle

- Atmospheric deposits
- Weathering
- Drainage
- Run-off


The partitionning between these sub-cycles is ecosystemdependant




Context and main issues


Scientific challenges


Harvesting slash residues = modification of the biological cycle






Beech, 80 years, Fougères, France







Contribute to the maintenance of soil chemical properties and soil biodiversity (macro-, meso-, micro-

From, Laclau, 2001; Nys 2007; Ranger 2004



A concrete example, the CIFOR network

Assessing the Impact of Slash Management on the Eucalyptus Productivity

Definitive	Designation	n in the publi	shed studies					Slash Management at harvesting				
Designation	SouthAfrica	Congo	Brazil	China	India	Australia	Co	mmercial trees	Non Commercial Trees +			
SMT0	BL0	BL0	BL0	BL0	BL0	BL0	Stemwood Bark		Crown	Litter on the soil + Understorey		
SMT1		BL2	BL2	BL2	L	BL2	Removed					
SMT2	BL2	BL4	BL1				Removed			Left		
SMT3	BL3	BL3		BL3	BL3	BL3	Removed		L	eft		
SMT4	BS	BL5	SLb		BS	В	Removed	Removed* Le		eft + Slash added		
							Removed	Removed**		Rurnt		

6 countries, 10 sites

* Removed in Congo, China and India

** Removed in India

- Eucalyptus plantations
- Same treatments applied in each country (bare soil to double slash)
- Unique modelling framework to assess the impact of slash management on the site index (SI), the ability of trees to produce biomass at a given SI, the between tree competition and the height/diameter growth partitioning
 Nambiar et al. 2008

Site Management and Productivity in Tropical Plantation Forests

Proceedings of Workshops in Pracicaba (Brazil) 22-26 November 2004 and Bogor (Indonesia) 69 November 2006

Editor
E.K. Sadanandan Nambiar



A concrete example, the CIFOR network

Australia - Busselton

Age (Months)

Congo

Age (Months)

Assessing the Impact of Slash Management on the Eucalyptus

SMT0

-∆--- SMT1

- x - SMT4

35

25

20


Height (m)


Brazil


Productivity


Dominant height as a function of age














Dominant Height (m)

25

- Changed

24 36 48 60 72



- Unchanged

- Temporary changed

Age (Months)

Saint-André et al. 2008




A concrete example, the CIFOR network


Assessing the Impact of Slash Management on the Eucalyptus

Productivity


- Unchanged









- Significantly Changed








Stand Basal Area Growth as function of dominant height growth







A concrete example, the CIFOR network

Assessing the Impact of Slash Management on the Eucalyptus


Productivity

				v = 0.26	2l n/y) 1	1015	
يو	80% -	1		-	2Ln(x) - 1 1 = 0.7419		
urfac	70% -					•	>
la sı	60% -						
Intensité de la réponse sur la surface	50% -						
onse	40%		•	*/			
ı rép	3 0% -			_ •			
de k	20% -						
ısité	10% -		<u>/ </u>				
nter	0% -	* /	* , *	T	Т	1	
	-10%		200	400	600	800	1000
	-20% -	, .					
	-30%						
		Ratio	o N dan	s les res	idues / N	Idans le	sol

			Soil properties			Intensity of the response					
Site	Fertilization (kg/ha)	Residues (kg/ha)	Total (kg/ha)	C (g/kg)		N (g/kg)	C/N	Height	Circ	G	Ratio N/soilN
Congo	16	349	365		5.4	0.34	16	30%	19%	739	6 1073.5
Brazil	15	296	311		16.6	0.96	17	20%	19%	419	6 324.0
South-Africa	17	1378	1395		66.5	3.2	21	16%	13%	35%	6 435.9
India-Kayampoovam	42	100	142	:	21.5	1.83	12	7%	3%	229	6 77.6
China	17	134	151	;	8.33	0.7	12	11%	13%	189	6 215.7
India-Vattavada	42	150	192	ļ	52.3	4.5	12	11%	13%	149	6 42.7
India-Surianelli	42	90	132	4	40.9	2.49	16	10%	6%	20%	6 53.0
India-Punnala	42	50	92		43.6	2.89	15	12%	15%	139	6 31.8
Australia-Manjimup		481	481		50	3	17				160.3
Australia-Busselton		381	381		39	1.5	26				254.0

As a result the impact reached up to 30% for height growth, 20% for the circumference and 70% for the stand basal area after one rotation

Impact correlated to a loading index (N in residues/ [N] in soil)






43% in North America (29% in USA, 14% in Canada) 45% in Europe (35% from Scandinavia)

Mainly:

- "North American long-term soil productivity" study (LTSP network)
- Experiment network in Scandinavia
- "Site Management and Productivity in Tropical Plantation Forests" network (CIFOR project)




Consequences on nutrient outputs (data compilation from 230 articles, 749 case studies)

Consequences on soil properties and tree growth (data compilation from 140 articles, 168 case studies)



Main harvest treatments considered in the meta-analysis.

Conventional stem-only harvest (S(WB), control) compared to different types of intensive removals or to stem wood harvest (S(W), stem bark left on site; mitigation measure).




Removing harvesting residues (e.g. branches), combined with mitigation measures (stem bark left on site) => compensation effects




Increases in nutrient exportation (% changes)

Nutrient exportation as % of nutrient socks in soils (0-80 cm)




Examples for: Treatment S(WB)B vs S(WB): hatched boxplots
Treatment S(WB)BF vs S(WB): solid boxplots






Increases in nutrient exportation (% changes): relationships with stand age




Open circle, broadleaf trees; grey square, sparse canopy coniferous (mainly *Pinus*, also *Larix* or *Agathis*); black triangle, dense canopy coniferous (*Picea*, *Abies* and *Pseudotsuga*).














Critical point 1 : Site effect on nutrient concentration in the tree compartments

Critical point 4: Indicators on the impact, responses curves

Remediation by ashes


Critical point 5 : Non desirable elements in ashes, impact on ecosystem functionning

Critical point 2 : Knowledge on the energy used in a given harvesting operation

Critical point 3 : Conversion matrix to assess PCI and Ash content



Critical point 1 : Site effect on nutrient concentration in the tree compartments



Size of the compartment
Site effect on the curve – **not directly related to soil concentrations**



M-POETE, A Mobile-Platform for the Observation and the Experimentation in Terrestrial Ecosystems (Zeller et al)

Infra-red spectrometry in situ Translation of the curves (site effect) by making few measurements on the field




Critical point 2: Knowledge on the energy used in a given harvesting operation

















Critical point 3: 0

Ecology, Biogeochemis









Critical point 4: Indicators on the impact of increased harvest on tree growth, responses curves

Critical point 5 : Non desirable elements (such as heavy metals) in ashes, impact on ecosystem functioning



Two projects have started in 2014, funded by the French environmental and energy management agency (ADEME)

- INSENSE: Ecosystems sensitivity indicators to increased biomass removal in forests
- RESPIRE: Slash management in forests: potentialities, environmental impact and compensation by wood ashes.

Starting points for a future collaboration?