Measuring and modeling soil intra-day variability of the 13CO2 & 12CO2 production and transport in a scots pine forest

Stephanie Goffin, Florian Parent, C. Plain, Daniel Epron, Christophe Wylock, Benoit Haut, Martin Maier, Helmer Schack-Kirchner, Marc Aubinet, Bernard B. Longdoz

MEASURING AND MODELING SOIL INTRA-DAY VARIABILITY OF THE 13CO2 & 12CO2 PRODUCTION AND TRANSPORT IN A SCOTS PINE FOREST

Goffin Stéphanie, Parent F., Plain C., Epron D., Wylock C., Haut B., Maier M., Schack-Kirchner H., Aubinet M., Longdoz Bernard

Background & Objectives

Soil CO2 efflux (Fs)





Fs: One of the largest component of C cycle

10 times greater than fossil fuel emissions

Soil: large C pool

Fs changes may rival the loading of atmosphere by fossil fuel

Uncertainties >>>

? Climate Change Impact?

? Positive feedback to the GHG effect?

Past | today | Future

Empirical description | Mechanistic understanding

Background & Objectives



Fs

Transport

Diffusion

Avection +
Dispersion

Liquid phase

Production

Autotrophic



Heterotrophic

Abiotic

Background & Objectives

Fs



Transport

f(porosity, humidity…)

Production Ps

Heterotrophic

Autotrophic

f(Temperature, humidity, substrate)

Porosity





Fine and Coarse Roots Corg



⇒ Multilayer Approach needed

Background & Objectives



· Discrimination during CO2 assimilation

· Discrimination changes with climatic conditions

During drought, discrimination decrease photoassimilats more enriched in 13CO2 (ex: -25‰)

Temporal variation of 13CO2 may give informations about transfert time of photoassimilates

13CO2 may differs between CO2 sources

13CO2 may helps for partitionning Fs between sources

⇒ Understanding of δ13CO2 fluctuations (space & time) needed

Background & Objectives

Improving mechanistic understanding of Fs



Multilayer Approach

Isotopic Signal Analysis

1) Determine the CO2 production rate ***Ps*** and its isotopic signature ***13Ps*** for the different soil horizons.

2) Find factors affecting ***Ps*** & ***13Ps*** intra & inter day fluctuations

3) Evaluate by modeling which processes (production or transport) drive ***Fs*** temporal variability

1. Determine Ps and δ13Ps for ≠ layers



- 12CO2 & 13CO2 balances for each i layer

$$\frac{\Delta(\varepsilon_i * C_i)}{\Delta t} = \frac{F_{down} - F_{up}}{thick_i} + Ps_i$$ for 12CO2 & 13CO2

- Diffusive Flux-Gradient approach

$$F_x = D_{x\_i} * \frac{C_x - C_i}{z_x - z_i} = \left(D * \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta z}\right)_{x\_i}$$ for 12CO2 & 13CO2

$$\Rightarrow Ps_i = \varepsilon_i * \frac{\Delta C_i}{\Delta t} + \frac{\left(D * \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta z}\right)_{down\_i} - \left(D * \frac{\Delta C}{\Delta z}\right)_{up\_i}}{thick_i}$$

Vertical profile of their dependence on SWC measured on samples at ALBERT-LUDWIGS-UNIVERSITÄT FREIBURG

12CO2 & 13CO2 vertical profile measured by INRA SCIENCE & IMPACT, UNIVERSITÉ DE LORRAINE

➨ Ps and δPs for each layer

1. Determine Ps and δ13Ps for ≠ layers (Parent et al. 2013)

Field Measurements



1. Determine Ps and δ13Ps for ≠ layers (Parent et al. 2013)

Field Measurements

Half-hourly In situ measurements during 21 days



TDLS:

12[CO2] & 13[CO2]

1) **membrane tube ≡ [CO2] & δ*13*CO2 in soil layers**

2) **from chamber ≡ *EFs & δ13EFs***

1. Determine Ps and δ13Ps for ≠ layers

Site Description

Hartheim experimental site

Slow growing 46 year old Scots Pine Forest (Pinus sylvestris L.)

Mean annual air Temp:10.3°C

Mean annual precip: 642 mm



Eddy Covariance tower Meteorological station



Haplic Regosol (calcaric, humic) (FAO, 2006)

Humus type is mull (1-3 cm thick)

1. Determine Ps and δ13Ps for ≠ layers

Vertical Profile of Ps

Horizon	% CO_2 Prod
Ol	11.5
Ah	64.7
AhC	15.8
C	8





2. # Factors affecting Ps and δ13Ps

(Goffin et al. 2014)

Intra-day Ps variability



Mean diel variation

- Mean diel varation explained by **LOCAL T°** in Ah & AhC
- No significant diel variation in C
- In the litter relationship with u* because of advection not taken into account

$$Ps + Adv = \frac{d(\varepsilon * C)}{dt} + \frac{d}{dz}\left(D\frac{dC}{dz}\right)$$

2. # Factors affecting Ps and δ13Ps (Goffin et al. 2014)

Inter-day δ13Ps variability

- **Significant day to day variations of δ13Ps (> 2.5‰) in Ah**



- **Best explained by soil moisture**

Soil drought impact = enrichment
Same impact as for photosynthesis discrimination !!!





- **Not observed with chamber efflux measurements**
  - Mixing of ≠ layers contributions
  - Perturbation of local soil climate by chamber ?

3. Who (transport or production) is responsible for Ps and δ13Ps temporal variability ?

(Goffin et al. undre review)

- **3 model versions simulating CO_2 production and transport**
- **Comparison of their outputs with [CO_2] and Fs measurements**

    - Reference model (RM):
      each layer produce CO_2 following Q10 relationship with the local t° & diffusion is the only transport process
    - Transport Version (TV):
      Advection and dispersion are ss
    - Production Version :
      Production is also driven by Photosynthesis Pressure Concentration Wave (PPCW) by adding a dependence on VPD

3. **Who (transport or production) is responsible for Ps and δ13Ps temporal variability ?**

(Goffin et al. undre review)

- RM: Relatively good reproduction of inter-day variability but intra-day variability too low and not in phase



- No significant improvement with TV
- PPCW : Not perfect but diurnal fluctuations are better reproduced and difference in phase is reduced

➨ **Working on production description instead on transport one is a better option to improve soil CO_2 model**

Key points

- Set up of an experimental *in-situ* device to obtain vertical profile of Ps and δPs

- Identify a dependence of one layer to local temperature

- Indentify enrichment of Ps with soil drought in Ah horizon

- Soil CO2 model should develop production description more than transport one to simulate hourly/daily variability

Thank you for your attention

Meet me on poster #21

Materials & Method

4. Laboratory Measurements



- Undisturbed soil cores of 200 cm3 collected in each horizon

- Soil horizon specific physical parameters :
  - Porosity, pF curves
  - Relationships between Ds(SWC)

Material & Method

4. **Laboratory measurements – Ds**





$$P(z) = \frac{d(\varepsilon[CO_2])}{dt} + \frac{d}{dz}\left(D_s \frac{d[CO_2]}{dz}\right)$$

Ds(SWC)AhC

Ds(SWC)C



Materials & Method

4. Laboratory Measurements– Parametization



$$D_s = \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta z_k}{\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{\Delta z_k}{D_{sk}}}$$

1. Determine Ps and δ13Ps for ≠ layers

Day to day variation

Vertical Profile of CO2 sources

Ah Production terms

Litter Production terms





· Soil production shows clear diel and daily fluctuations in **Ah**

· The diel and daily fluctuations are best explained by the T measured in the topsoil

temperature is the most important driver of soil CO2 production

·Unlike other horizons, Ol production was best explained by surface soil water content (SWC) (R2=0.46)