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Forest trees are keystone species, dominating many terrestrial ecosystems in regions where the most pronounced climate changes will occur. Climate change generates new adaptive challenges for trees. Their life history traits could either constrain or accelerate their adaptation. On one hand, long generation time can slow down evolutionary responses. On the other hand, long distance (LD) gene flow could compensate for their long generation time, facilitating evolutionary change in a shifting climate. We critically examine the latter hypothesis, by reviewing data and theory about the extent of gene flow in trees and its evolutionary consequences. Abundant evidence of LD effective dispersal indicates that genes may move within one generation over larger scales than the predicted shifts of tree habitat. Gene flow can have antagonistic effects on adaptation and persistence in the specific temporal and spatial frame of predicted climate change. Both theory and empirical data however suggest that the positive effects of LD gene flow in forest trees may dominate in many instances. The balance between the different effects of gene flow may however differ between the leading edge, the core and the rear of the distributions. Finally, we suggest future experimental and theoretical research areas for a better integration of trees dispersal biology and evolutionary quantitative genetics.
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Introduction

While evidence of climate change and its impact on world’s biota is steadily increasing, so are our concerns about the biological or human mediated capacities of species and populations to cope with these changes (Solomon et al. 2007). Such concerns are presumably more acute for sedentary and long lived organisms such as trees, which are less likely to track favourable conditions fast enough by migration. Furthermore, trees constitute a large ecologically and economically important functional group of woody plants that dominate many terrestrial ecosystems in regions where the most pronounced climate changes are projected to occur. The near-surface temperature is expected to shift northwards in mean rates of 110-430 m yr^-1 during the 21st century for Mediterranean, temperate and boreal forests, the major forest biomes in mid and high latitudes (Loarie et al. 2009). Local estimates of this shift, in a scale of 1 km2, vary by three orders of magnitude (0.01 to 10 km yr^-1). Niche modelling under various climatic and greenhouse emission predictions suggests that bioclimatic envelopes (glossary) for forest trees will shift northwards in North America (Iverton et al. 2008) and north-eastwards in Europe (Thullier 2003). The estimated shift distance varies from 300 to 800 km within one century depending on the climate or greenhouse gas emission scenario (Mc Kenney et al. 2007), with considerable variation across both models and species. The large bioclimatic envelope of many forest trees hides a collection of highly differentiated populations and genotypes with contrasting adaptation to local climate (Box I). Shifts in bioclimatic envelopes are therefore likely to generate not only potential extinction and recolonization, but also large reorganization of genetic diversity within the species range if divergent or locally-adapted populations respond in different ways.
With climate change, environments change continuously and the optimal sets of adaptations maximizing fitness under local conditions may shift accordingly. The evolutionary responses of populations can then be pictured as a race where populations are tracking the moving optima both in time (Bürger & Krall, 2004) and in space (Pease et al. 1989; Polechova et al. 2009). Migration and adaptation are often perceived as alternative responses to these challenges (Aitken et al. 2008) because evolution allows populations to adapt to novel conditions without migrating, whereas migration lets populations track favourable conditions without evolving. Range shifts and adaptation can also occur simultaneously (e.g. Cwynar & MacDonald 1987). Seed dispersal allows colonization of new favourable habitat. However, both seeds and pollen dispersal in trees affect the spread of genetic variation within the range. Such gene flow affects adaptation by shaping the distribution of genetic variation both within and among populations (Lopez et al. 2008). Trees are characterized by their particular life history, combining long generation time (allowing divergent strategies at different life stages) and the capacity for long dispersal distances through pollen and seeds. Given the anticipated intensity and directionality of climatic change, do trees have the adaptive capacity to respond and how will gene flow affect that response? Valuable insight into predicted shifts of bioclimatic envelopes of sessile oak (Quercus petraea) in Europe (according to Thuiller 2003)

Predicted bioclimatic envelopes of sessile oak in 2080, assuming that correlations between present distribution (Panel A, light grey area) and climatic data are maintained. Climate of black areas would not be suited any more to sessile oak in 2080, while climate of dark grey areas would become favourable. Overall shifts of several hundred kilometres are foreseen which provide some hints on the scale of gene dispersal needed to track climate change. Predictions were made according to different IPCC models of greenhouse gas emissions (GG) and climatic changes (CC) (Solomon et al. 2007). Panel B: GG is A2 and CC is CSIRO2; Panel C: GG is A2 and CC is HadCM3; Panel D: GG is A1F1 and CC is HadCM3.
these issues is provided by the study of evolutionary changes in trees during the climate change that occurred following the last glaciations (Petit et al. 2008). Because of their economic importance, local adaptation in forest trees has very early on been the subject of intensive study at very large spatial scales. Decades-old common garden experiments of forest trees in the Northern hemisphere suggest that an interaction between divergent selection across contrasted environments and large pollen flow maintained enough diversity in local forest trees populations to support adaptability to past changing environments (Kremer et al. 2010). Whether interaction between gene flow and selection will be as efficient in the future remains unclear, as the predicted rates of environmental changes might exceed historical ones.

We here critically examine the hypothesis that long distance (LD) gene flow could compensate for the long generation time of trees, facilitating evolutionary change in a shifting climate, by reviewing both data and theory, about the extent of gene flow in forest trees and its evolutionary consequences. We first review the recent literature on long distance pollen and seed dispersal in trees and show that it can match the predicted climate change velocity within one generation. We then review the theoretical predictions and experimental evidence for the

---

**Provenance tests and norms of reaction**

Provenance tests are common garden experiments that gather usually very large number of populations planted by forest geneticists in multiple replicates over decades (Morgenstern 1996). They provide crucial information on the level of genetic variation within and between populations for fitness related traits, resulting from a balance of divergent selection across populations, gene flow, and random genetic drift. Extensive surveys of genetic diversity and variation have been conducted in these experiments and indicate that (1) extant populations harbour large levels of genetic variation (Hamrick et al. 1992) continuously replenished by extensive gene flow (2) adaptive traits exhibit high levels of population differentiation, despite gene flow, as a result of strong divergent selection (Savolainen et al. 2007) (3) clinal patterns of population differentiation along climatic or geographical variables are congruent across species suggesting systematic adaptive responses to directional selection, particularly for phenological traits; and (4) the extent distribution of between versus within population differentiation for fitness related traits has developed rapidly following post glacial recolonisation and is not the legacy of ancient population structure (Kremer et al. 2010).

Furthermore, when replicated provenance tests were established, reaction norms of populations can be constructed that visualise their response across a wide range of environmental conditions (Rehfeldt et al. 1999; Rehfeldt et al. 2002). Reaction norms of fitness-related traits follow generally quadratic functions. Panel A illustrates the reactions norms of two populations of *Pinus contorta* (Rehfeldt et al. 1999) for height at age 20. Δ accounts for the difference between the climate of the site where the population stems from (dotted line) and the optimal climate corresponding to the site where the population exhibits the highest value for height (bold line). Such reaction norms show that the climatic tolerances of individual provenances are narrower than the whole species climatic envelope, that climatic optima for growth differ among local populations, correlating with their climate of origin, and that climatic tolerance only partially overlap between provenances. These tests also suggest that populations located at the extremities of the natural distribution inhabit climates that are suboptimal for their growth and development. For population 2 (coming from latitude 59.1°N), as illustrated in A, the optimal climate (2.5°C) is warmer than the climate of its geographic source (-2.5°C), while for southern populations the opposite pattern occurs. The overall picture is a negative correlation between Δ and the latitude of origin of the population as shown in panel B by the example in the case of *Pinus contorta* (Rehfeldt et al. 1999). This pattern is consistent with theoretical predictions that asymmetric gene flow from the core to peripheral populations increases maladaptation at the edges of the natural distribution (Kirkpatrick & Barton, 1997; Garcia-Ramos & Kirkpatrick 1997, see also text).
Examples of observed LD pollen and seed dispersal in trees (more than 3 km for pollen and 1 km for seeds). The Table is arranged first by propagule type (pollen or seed), than by vector type (wind, insects, birds, bats, elephants, fish or generally vertebrates) and dispersal type (potential, viable or effective, defined in a footnote below), and finally alphabetically by species name.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Dispersal system</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Dispersal Distance</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Betula spp.</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Potential Central-North-Eastern Europe</td>
<td>1000 km</td>
<td>Sillamo et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus banksiana and Picea glauca</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Potential Canada</td>
<td>3000 km</td>
<td>Campbell et al. 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus sylvestris</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Viable Northern Europe</td>
<td>600 km</td>
<td>Vans et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus taeda</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Viable Eastern North America</td>
<td>40 km</td>
<td>Williams 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cecropia obtusifolia</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Effective Central America</td>
<td>10% &gt; 14 km</td>
<td>Kaufman et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. excelsior</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Effective Scotland</td>
<td>25-35% &gt; 3 km</td>
<td>Bacles et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pinus sylvestris</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Effective Spain</td>
<td>4.3% &gt; 100 km</td>
<td>Robledo-Arnuncio 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercus robur</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Effective Eastern Europe</td>
<td>35% &gt; 80 km</td>
<td>Buschbom et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Populus trichocarpa</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Effective Western North America</td>
<td>5% &gt; 5-10 km</td>
<td>Stavov et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficus spp.</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Insects</td>
<td>Effective Central America</td>
<td>14 km (isolated mother trees)</td>
<td>Nelson et al. 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficus sycomorus</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Insects</td>
<td>Effective Namibia</td>
<td>16.5 km</td>
<td>Ahmed et al. 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbus domestica</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Insects</td>
<td>Effective Central Europe</td>
<td>-1% &gt; 12-16 km</td>
<td>Kamm et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Swietenia humilis</td>
<td>Pollen</td>
<td>Insects</td>
<td>Effective Central America</td>
<td>40-80% ≥ 4 km (n small fragments)</td>
<td>White et al. 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P. excelsior</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>Wind</td>
<td>Effective Scotland</td>
<td>1.4 km</td>
<td>Bacles et al. 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annona glabra</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>Potential Australia</td>
<td>5.2 km</td>
<td>Westcott et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xylopia hypolampra and 7 other species</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>Birds</td>
<td>Potential Cameroon</td>
<td>6.9 km</td>
<td>Hollbrook &amp; Smith 2000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ficus carica and Morus alba</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>Bats</td>
<td>Potential Israel</td>
<td>20 km</td>
<td>Tsoar et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamirandus indica</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>Elephants</td>
<td>Potential Myanmar (Burma)</td>
<td>5.4 km</td>
<td>Campos-Araiz et al. 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duvoia dicea and 2 other species</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>Fish</td>
<td>Potential Peru</td>
<td>5.5 km</td>
<td>Anderson et al. 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prunus mahaleb</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>Vertebrates</td>
<td>Potential Spain</td>
<td>33% &gt;1500 m</td>
<td>Jordana et al. 2007</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sorbus domestica</td>
<td>Seed</td>
<td>Vertebrates</td>
<td>Effective Central Europe</td>
<td>12.2 km</td>
<td>Kamm et al. 2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aThree types are distinguished: “potential” dispersal is the distance dispersed by a propagule (pollen or seeds) at any, commonly unknown, condition; “viable” is the same as “potential” but excluding non-viable propagules; cases of “effective” dispersal are the pollen that gave rise to seeds, or seeds that established, yielding seedlings, saplings or young/adult plants.

*bThe proportion (in %) of propagules dispersed to equal or greater distances than the specified threshold. The threshold distances were defined by the authors of each study, often arbitrarily or according to features of the study landscape and/or populations.
effects of gene flow on adaptation in trees. We conclude that the positive effects of gene flow may often dominate its negative effects, although regional variation may influence the balance of those effects. We finally elaborate on the theoretical and experimental approaches that should be implemented to improve our ability to predict the scale and distribution of gene flow effects on forest ecosystems in the context of climate change.

How far do seeds and pollen disperse in trees?

Gene flow in plants is mediated by both seed and pollen dispersal, which vary greatly among species (Ennos 1994). Seed and pollen dispersal however have distinct effects on the rate of demographic spread, and the rate at which genes move across the range of a species. The spatial scale of effective propagule dispersal (glossary) in trees depends on a variety of physical and biological processes that determine the amount and availability of pollen and seeds, their movement, their viability before and during movement, and the probability of successful pollination leading to viable seed and the seedling establishment rates. Different combinations of these components may yield effective dispersal (glossary) distances spanning from a few centimeters to thousands of kilometers (Nathan et al. 2008), generally following markedly leptokurtic patterns. Aerobiological studies show that airborne tree pollen (both viable and non-viable) has the potential to be transported in substantial amounts over hundreds to thousands of kilometers (Table 1, Fig. 2). However, documented dispersal distances of viable pollen (yet prior to successful fertilization) are about one order of magnitude shorter, up to 600 km (Table 1). Documented distances of effective pollen dispersal (when pollination led to successful mating) are of lower magnitude, up to 100 km (Table 1). Documented wind-driven effective seed dispersal is up to a few kilometers (Table 1), thus about two

FIGURE 2

Virtual long distance pollen dispersal of Pinus taeda

Virtual pollen release, using the Regional Atmospheric Modeling System (RAMS) and its Eulerian-Lagrangian particle transport module (HYPACT). This regional atmospheric simulation was forced with meteorological data from National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) NCEP-DOE Reanalysis II data set. The experimental settings are described in Bohrerova et al. (2009). The figure shows a portion of the southeast United States, centered on eastern South Carolina. Pollen was arbitrarily released from two locations, in North Carolina outer banks (black point) and South Carolina (grey point), at a simulated afternoon on 27 March 2006, corresponding with the peak of pollen release at the Duke forest, NC. The dispersing pollen plumes (black for NC pollen, grey for SC pollen) are shown as “clouds.” The wind was moderate, mainly toward the northeast. The figure shows a snapshot of the pollen plume at 6:00 AM, 36 hours after the release. The viability is resolved by the model as an additional property of the pollen. Mortality due to UV and vapour pressure deficit is calculated, with rates fitted to empirical equations, based on observations of a bench-scale experiment. The pollen in the image, 36 hours after release is about 40% viable.
orders of magnitude shorter than effective pollen dispersal. Although animal-mediated seed dispersal can reach a scale of tens of kilometers, pollen dispersal distances are in general considerably longer than that of seeds, and especially in wind-driven dispersal systems.

Detecting mating events over hundreds of kilometers is experimentally very difficult and many biological processes take place (viability, phenology, pollen competition) between pollen release and fertilization. Similarly, quantifying the multiple demographic and dispersal parameters affecting seed dispersal and subsequent establishment is still hard to accomplish (but see Nathan et al. 2011). These experimental constraints and biological reality both explain the disparities between reported potential and effective dispersal distances. Their relative contributions remain unresolved and addressing this point will require innovation (see section 5.1).

Interactions between physical and biological processes influencing long-distance dispersal (LDD) and the way these will be affected in a changing climate are particularly poorly understood. The local environment around the release point of the propagule and the conditions at which the propagule was released can have strong effects of either decreasing or increasing the rate and extent of LD propagule transport (Bohrer et al. 2008; Wright et al. 2008). Also important is the interaction between weather patterns that drive extreme LDD, and the conditions that affect survival during the dispersal event, particularly in pollen, where a migration event may span many hours and days (Schueler et al. 2005).

In that respect, predicting how climate change itself may modify patterns of gene flow in the future remains a formidable challenge. Projected changes such as warmer atmosphere (Kuparinen et al. 2009), or higher fecundity, earlier maturation, and changes (reduction or increase) in surface wind speed (Nathan et al. 2011) can be implemented into mechanistic models of plant spread in future environments. Although this approach facilitates assessing the upper and lower bounds of future gene flow and population spread, it is unlikely to provide accurate predictions for a particular species and system, due to the large uncertainty about key hard-to-measure parameters, such as the spatial patterns of phenological schedules for pollen and of post-dispersal survival of seeds. Moreover, genetic variation in traits affecting dispersal of both pollen and seeds is common in plants and, accordingly, plant dispersal traits have been observed to evolve fast in response to environmental change, especially in the context of range expansion (Darling et al. 2008).

In particular, increased frequency of traits facilitating seed dispersal distance on the evolutionary load

The average distance between population mean and optimal phenotype (i.e. maladaptation) is predicted from equation 2.2 in Box 2, as $\sqrt{\frac{2}{V_s}} \cdot L$. The model is illustrated with the example of the evolution of bud set date in the Sitka spruce as explained in Box 2. The standard deviation within populations for phenotypic expression of bud-set date is 17 days. Heritability was taken to be 0.5. No data is available on the strength of stabilizing selection $V_s$. Compiling the results of many studies (e.g. as shown in Johnson & Barton 2005), the median value of $P/V_s$ is 0.2 (solid line). We also used a stronger value of stabilizing selection ($P/V_s$ = 1, dashed line). The optimal dispersal distance is smaller when selection is stronger (and thus the spatial fitness gradient steeper) but the evolutionary load is also much reduced (compare dashed and solid line).
dispersal have been found in recently founded populations, at the expanding edge of the range, while the converse trend was found in fragmented southern populations at the rear end (Riba et al. 2009). Identifying how global change (including climate change) will alter selection pressures acting on dispersal is therefore crucial in order to predict the extent of gene flow in future environments.

Despite gaps that prevent us from precisely predicting the extent of LD gene flow, the available data on effective dispersal and predicted habitat shifts still suggest that these two processes may operate over comparable scales for many tree species, but also that inter-specific variation in the rate and magnitude of LD gene flow may substantially affect the variation in the response of forest trees to climate change (Nathan et al. 2011, Table 1).

**What are the possible effects of gene flow on adaptation?**

We can conceptualize the potential effects of gene flow on adaptation through a simple model of species range evolution, where selection varies both in space and time (Pease et al. 1989; Polechova et al. 2009; Box 2). Local climate can be thought of as imposing specific selection pressures on a complex set of phenotypic traits (e.g.

---

**BOX 2**

**A simple conceptual framework illustrating the antagonistic effects of gene flow**

Two closely related theoretical models (Pease et al. 1989; Polechova et al. 2009) have explored the question of evolution in environments changing both in space and time, mimicking the effects of climate change in species with wide distributions. These models envision a species distributed along some linear environmental gradient, such as the Sitka spruce distributed along a large latitudinal gradient of temperatures (Mimura & Aitken 2007a). We use this empirical example to illustrate potentially realistic values of parameters for the model. We assume fitness depends quadratically on how well an individual tree is adapted to its local ecological conditions, i.e., how its phenotype matches the local optimum. By averaging over phenotypes in the local population, one may then write the mean fitness (here the Malthusian population exponential growth rate) in a given location as:

$$ \bar{r} - r_0 = \frac{P}{2V_s} \left( \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{z} - \theta} \right)^2, $$

(2.1)

where $P$ is the contribution to population growth of an individual with the optimal phenotype, $V_s$ describes how well individuals that deviate from this optimal phenotype perform (and is thus inversely related to the strength of stabilizing selection), $\theta$ is the optimal phenotype in that location, $\bar{z}$ is the local mean phenotype in the population and $P$ is the local phenotypic variance around this mean. This expression shows that the mean fitness in a variable population subject to stabilizing selection is reduced in two ways: (1) *Standing load* ($P/2V_s$): caused by phenotypic variation and present even when the mean phenotype matches the optimum; (2) *Evolutionary load* ($\left( \frac{\bar{z}}{\bar{z} - \theta} \right)^2/2V_s$): caused by departure of the mean phenotype from the local optimum (Lande & Shannon 1996). Evolutionary loads can be generated by selection that varies over space or time (see Bridle et al. 2009 for a review).

Pease et al. (1989) and Polechova et al. (2009) make specific predictions about how migration might affect the evolutionary load in a changing climate. Their models assume the optimum phenotype changes linearly through space, with slope $b$. This is similar to Sitka spruce, where bud set date increases linearly with the local mean annual temperature, which itself varies linearly with the distance to the Southern margin of the species range: assuming current bud set date corresponds to the optimum, this gives an estimate of $b$ such that optimal bud set date increases by 13 days every 1°C, or by 3.24 days per 100 km (Mimura & Aitken 2007a; Aitken et al. 2008). Climate change can be approximated by this gradient of optimal phenotypes being constantly shifted through space at rate $v$. According to different climate models, mean annual temperature may increase by 3-5°C in the generation time of Sitka Spruce (Aitken et al. 2008), which gives an estimate of $v$ as a shift of approximately 1000 to 2000 km per generation. Migration is modelled as a diffusion process, with average distance between parent and offspring $a$. There are feedbacks between the evolution of the mean phenotype through time and space and that of the population density, mediated through gene flow and the local growth rates (Pease et al. 1989; Polechova et al. 2009).

Further assuming that genetic variation for the trait under selection is relatively weak and does not vary through space, Pease et al. (1989) predict that the loss of fitness at the scale of the range due to evolutionary load is approximately

$$ L = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{ab}{V_s} + \frac{v^2}{G} + \frac{G}{V_s} \right), $$

(2.2)

where $G$ is the genetic variance for the trait. Though this prediction might be crude in the case of forest trees with large within population genetic variance, it has heuristic value. Indeed, the first term in parentheses can be interpreted as the component of phenotypic mismatch due to spatial variability in the optimal phenotype and gene flow (migration load); this part of the load increases with dispersal distance ($a$). The second term describes phenotypic mismatch due to the lagging response of the mean phenotype to temporal change in the local optimum (lag load). This part of the load decreases with dispersal distance because migration helps the species track its shifting optimum through space. The third term in (2.2) shows that the evolutionary load declines with genetic variance $G$ because response to selection increases. Dispersal distance also affects the evolution of genetic variance $G$ (Barton 2001; Polechova et al. 2009; Bridle et al. 2010), with positive effects on the evolutionary load (equation 2.2), but negative effects on the standing load $P/2V_s$ (equation 2.1).
phenology, frost hardness, growth, seed size), and defining different optimal trait values through various trade-offs, depending on specific combinations of climatic conditions encountered within the range. As an illustration, in Sitka Spruce, trees originating from higher latitude with lower annual mean temperature cease growing earlier in the season than trees from lower latitude, when grown in common garden (Mimura & Aitken 2007a), suggesting different optimal bud set (glossary) dates along temperature gradients within the range. The simple conceptual model in Box 2 connects adaptation to demography by assuming that an individual’s contribution to population growth declines as it departs from the locally optimal phenotype. This model suggests that gene flow has antagonistic effects on adaptation by modifying the various sources of genetic load (glossary) depressing population mean fitness, and thus population growth (see Bridle et al. 2009 for a review). We here review these effects by considering their alternative evolutionary consequences.

### Gene flow constrains local adaptation

Because gene flow homogenises allele frequencies across space, high gene flow could constrain adaptive divergence (glossary) along environmental gradients (Garcia-Ramos & Kirkpatrick 1997; Bohrer et al. 2005; but see Barton 2001; Yeaman & Guillaume 2009; Bridle et al. 2010 for a revised consideration of the strength of such constraints). Some theoretical models predict in particular that gene flow from large central populations into small peripheral ones may swamp local adaptation in marginal areas, preventing range spread beyond some critical environmental limit (Kirkpatrick & Barton 1997; review in Bridle & Vines 2007). Gene flow then causes phenotypic clines (glossary) for adaptive traits to deviate from their optima (Box 2). Interestingly, the interaction of strong gene flow with selection on multiple traits could result in some phenotypic clines being flatter, and some steeper, than optimal because of genetic and selective interactions among traits (Guillaume 2011, Duputie et al. in revision). Furthermore, the constraining effects of migration on divergence are predicted to be more severe when divergence involves many loci of small effects rather than few major genes with large effects on the phenotype (Yeaman & Guillaume 2009). In forest trees, the former situation seems to be the most common (Neale & Kremer 2011).

Common garden experiments in forest trees (Box 1) suggest that genotypes can perform poorly when transferred to climates far from their location of origin. Maladaptation of LD migrants could thus reduce the mean fitness in forest tree populations, generating a migration load (glossary). Such migration load would be of concern if gene flow is extensive over long distances (see section 2) and if phenotypic mismatch of immigrants is mostly due to long lasting genetic effects (Aitken et al. 2008). In a Swedish population of Pinus sylvestris, Nilsson (1995) indeed found that offspring sired by naturally dispersing pollen had significantly slower growth and higher freezing resistance than expected if offspring were sired only by pollen produced locally. Natural pollination thus resulted in a phenotypic shift corresponding to that expected if most pollen originated from higher latitudes by 1 to 2 degrees (Nilsson 1995).

There is however little evidence that gene flow has strongly limited adaptation in forest trees in the past. Comparison of genetic differentiation at neutral molecular markers versus adaptive traits (glossary) repeatedly suggests that extensive gene flow (presumably mostly through pollen dispersal) has not prevented rapid adaptive divergence of extant populations (Savolainen et al. 2007; Kremer et al. 2010, for theoretical predictions see Kremer & Le Corre 2011). Populations under different climates may however have diverged while still being far from the locally optimum phenotype. Determining how much observed phenotypic clines deviate from what would be optimal under local conditions is however difficult to assess, and remains an open issue in evolutionary biology (Barton, 2001; Butlin et al. 2003). When provenance tests (glossary) have been replicated over a broad range of climatic conditions, provenance (glossary) reaction norms (glossary, Box 1) allow comparison of optimal and original climate for each population. Mismatches are not uncommon (Wang et al. 2010) and interestingly some studies (Rehfelt et al. 1999; Rehfelt et al. 2002) found more of them at the edge of distributions: e.g. populations of Pinus contorta from locations with extreme climates grow better in milder conditions, closer to the core, than in their original location. Such a pattern is consistent with the theoretical expectation that gene flow from the core increases maladaptation in marginal populations (Garcia-Ramos & Kirkpatrick 1997).

### Gene flow enhances the response to selection

Natural selection operates by sieving from genetic variation found within populations. Local genetic diversity is therefore the fuel of evolutionary change. Forest tree populations harbour high diversity both for molecular markers and quantitative traits (Hamrick et al. 1992), with heritabilities (glossary) typically above 0.4 for wood characteristics or phenological traits such as bud set date (Cornelius, 1994). The maintenance of such high levels of quantitative variation for traits closely linked to fitness remains a paradox where strong stabilizing selection (glossary) is acting to reduce variation within populations (see Johnson & Barton 2005 for a review). Theoretical models predict that increases in genetic variance (glossary) due to gene flow could be substantial (Barton, 2001). Along climatic gradients, the increase in genetic variance within localities due to gene flow is predicted to be proportional to the change in mean breeding value (glossary) along the typical dispersal distance. For example, in Sitka Spruce, the breeding value for bud set
date varies by 3.24 days every 100 km while the within-population phenotypic standard deviation of bud set date is typically about 10-25 days (Mimura & Aitken 2007a, Aitken et al. 2008); LD gene flow over distances of about 100 km would then lead to heritability for bud set date greater than 0.4 even for relatively strong stabilizing selection. In addition to the mean dispersal distance, the whole shape of the dispersal kernel (glossary) is predicted to affect the spatial distribution of genetic variation and diversity of migrants (Travis et al. 2010; Fayard et al. 2009), especially in the context of range expansion.

Extensive gene flow in trees is generally thought of as a major explanation for their high within-population diversity (Hamrick et al. 1992). Together with the strong selection acting at the juvenile stage experienced in trees, this may allow rapid adaptation to changing climate without large significant reductions in population mean fitness (for empirical examples of rapid genetic changes in forest trees see Jump et al. 2006). There is however little direct empirical demonstration of this. Using a mechanistic model of beech stand dynamics, Kramer et al. (2008) predicted little effect of pollen dispersal distance on the evolution of within-stand genetic diversity, but their model ignored the potentially large phenotypic divergence of immigrants (e.g. Nilsson 1995). If gene flow between differentiated populations is a persistent source of genetic variation, there should be strong correlations between genetic variance within populations and the amount of heterogeneity in the environment at the regional scale. In a study of 142 populations of lodgepole pine, Yeaman & Jarvis (2006) indeed found that the variance for growth among individuals from the same locality measured in provenance tests (glossary, Box 1) correlated with regional climatic heterogeneity.

**Gene flow facilitates the tracking of environmental change**

Assuming an initially locally adapted population, the new selection pressures induced by climate change will cause the optimal phenotype to deviate from the extant mean phenotype, thus creating a lag load (glossary, Box 2). Gene flow will reduce the lag load in two different ways: (i) by helping track the shift of the optimum phenotype through dispersal of pre-adapted genotypes found somewhere else in the range (see equation 2.2 in Box 2), and (ii) by augmenting the response to selection (glossary) through a general increase in genetic variation (see previous paragraph). Quite generally, dispersal should help adaptation in environments that are changing both in space and time (Blanquart & Gandon 2011).

---

**FIGURE 4**

*Long distance effective pollen dispersal of Pinus sylvestris L.*

Estimated effective pollen immigration rates into a *Pinus sylvestris* remnant (encircled with dashed line) from five long-distance populations (encircled with continuous lines) in Central Spain, obtained using maximum-likelihood genetic mixture analysis combined with Monte Carlo assessment of small parameter uncertainty. Continuous (resp. dashed) arrows indicate pollen immigration rates significantly (resp. non-significantly) different from zero. 95% confidence intervals between brackets (modified from Robledo-Arnuncio 2011 with permission from The Publisher).
theoretical predictions, there is little experimental evidence on the impact of gene flow on the lag load of tree populations engaged in an evolutionary race with a changing environment. Simulating the evolution of growth cessation date in pine and birch, Kuparinen et al. (2010) found that the large lag that developed after one century of climate warming (about 40 days behind the optimal date) was only moderately reduced (by 2 days) by the higher pollen and seed dispersal distances of birch along the climatic gradient.

**Gene flow affects inbreeding levels**

Gene flow may also affect genetic variation for fitness independently from the issue of adaptation to climate, by affecting genetic resemblance between mates. Early inbreeding depression (glossary) is widespread in largely outcrossing species such as trees and inbreeding was found more frequently in isolated marginal populations (Mimura & Aitken 2007b), which may depress their mean fitness and their ability to persist in a changing environment. In many plant species with small isolated populations, progeny formed by crosses between populations show higher fitness than that obtained by within population crosses (e.g. Willi & Fischer 2005). Such a pattern of heterosis (glossary) is however not expected to be generally very strong in trees, due to the combination of large population size and extensive gene flow (for theoretical predictions see Glémin et al. 2003; Lopez et al. 2009). Conversely, small amounts of gene flow between formerly isolated populations can also in theory seriously disrupt fitness due to negative interactions between genes having evolved separately (Edmands & Timmerman 2003), but contrasting results from artificial crosses between distant populations fail to provide solid evidence for this type of outbreeding depression (glossary) in trees (Harfouche et al. 2000; Goto et al. 2011). Because tree populations are seldom isolated from each other, outbreeding depression due to negative gene interactions is expected to be rare (Frankham et al. 2011).

How will the different effects of gene flow balance each other in the context of climate change?

We here suggest that the positive effects of gene flow may often dominate negative effects for forest trees confronted to climate change. This is in particular the case due to the specific life cycle of forest trees. However, this balance of effects is likely to be modulated by (i) the regional context (e.g. expanding edge or retracting part of the range), and (ii) the dispersal syndrome (relative strength of pollen versus seed dispersal).

**Balance between antagonistic effects**

Maladaptation in a changing climate is caused by mismatches between optimal and realized mean phenotypes, due to environments that are changing in time and space too fast for the population to adjust to these changes. Such mismatch depresses the mean fitness of populations, generating an evolutionary load (glossary, Box 2). Setting aside the effects of gene flow on genetic variance, the simple model in Box 2 predicts that there is an optimal level of migration that minimizes such evolutionary load under a shifting climate: when dispersal distance is short, the lag load decreases fast with increasing migration, which helps the population track the optimal climate (Fig. 3). If gene flow is too high, however, local adaptation is prevented (migration load) and maladaptation increases (albeit slowly) with increasing migration (Fig. 3). The optimal dispersal distance is higher if the environment changes more quickly in time in a given location, and if selection varies less sharply in space (Box 2). As an illustration, in the evolution of bud set date in Sitka Spruce (Mimura & Aitken 2007a; Aitken et al. 2008, see Box 2), the optimal migration distance is relatively large (immigrants should on average originate from locations with mean temperature differing by more than 3°C to the local site, i.e. more than 1000km). This suggests that, for a range of realistic dispersal distances, the positive tracking effect of dispersal should dominate its negative effects on local adaptation.

Once the effects of gene flow on the evolution of genetic variance are taken into account, the constraining effects of migration on adaptation in marginal populations is much weakened: very high gene flow seems instead to facilitate adaptation across a wide array of environmental conditions, but at the cost of a reduced fitness everywhere in the range, which could ultimately compromise species persistence (for theoretical predictions see Barton 2001; Polechova et al. 2009), and this effect on population fitness becomes greater when the stochastic effects of finite populations are included (Bridle et al. 2010). The very high fecundity, long life span and strong competition at the juvenile stage, which are characteristic of forest trees, could in principle permit very high genetic load causing massive mortality at the juvenile stage, without having much impact on adult density. Further exploration of connections between forest trees population dynamics and genetic diversity are needed to conclude when the demographic cost of adaptation compromises persistence.

Overall, models that integrate different antagonistic effects predict that intermediate levels of gene flow (e.g. between one and ten migrants per generation) suffice to replenish genetic variance eroded by drift and selection, and alleviate inbreeding depression without causing large migration load, thus maximize mean fitness in heterogeneous environments (Lopez et al. 2009; see also Blanquart & Gandon 2011 for the case of spatio-temporal variation). Empirical evidence that migration enhances fitness in marginal habitats of several plant species supports such predictions (Kawecki 2008). We lack similar direct evidence in forest trees. The admixture of genotypes of diverse geographical origin is increasingly thought of as
key to successful establishment of introduced populations, because of it increases the genetic variance necessary for adaptive responses (see Zheng & Ennos 1999 for an example in introduced pine populations). Experimental manipulation of gene flow in forest trees would provide valuable data to better understand its constraining or boosting effects on adaptation to local climate.

**Regional variation**

Predicted shifts in bioclimatic envelopes imply that current populations at the trailing and leading edges of the range will face different adaptive challenges. Southern margin populations will face climatic conditions that currently do not permit species growth. Will these challenged populations have the evolutionary potential (Gomulkiewicz & Houle 2009) to adapt before going extinct? Their persistence will depend on whether the evolutionary or demographic constraints preventing current establishment in warmer or drier climates will be relaxed enough to enable enlargement of species’ fundamental niches. Conversely, at the northern margins, new areas will become suitable for growth, but the success of colonization may depend on the genetic make-up of new population founders.

Gene flow can have contrasted consequences for populations at trailing and leading edges of a shifting range (Hampe & Petit 2005): populations at the leading edge or in the central part of the distribution are likely to receive “pre-adapted” genes from more southern populations, and gene flow may facilitate their adaptation (Hu & He 2006). The opposite may be true for populations at the rear end that encounter an entirely novel environment. The flow of pre-adapted genes from central populations is then not possible, which may increase maladaptation and extinction probabilities in populations at the southern margins. However, both demographic and genetic rescue effects of dispersal from larger populations within the species’ range may help those marginal populations to persist. The precise balance of the multifarious effects of gene flow remains to be explored in this context.

**Balance between the effects of seed and pollen flow**

Balance between the negative and positive effects of gene flow may also vary with the relative contribution of seed and pollen dispersal. Both pollen flow and seed flow contribute substantially to genetic diversity. On the one hand, pollen often disperses farther than seeds (see section 2 and Table 1) and in greater quantities. On the other hand, a single pollen grain carries half the number of alleles compared to a single seed, and only seeds can establish a new population in a remote habitat. Due to long generation times in trees, migrant seeds accumulate in a new population over years before the new generation reproduces, promoting high levels of diversity in recently founded populations (Austerlitz et al. 2000). Long distance gene flow mediated by pollen in marginal habitats is therefore conditional on the successful establishment of shorter distance migrating seeds. The movement of alleles by pollen necessarily involves combining with existing genetic variation, which explains why seed and pollen dispersal may have different consequences for population divergence, maintenance of within-population diversity, and mean fitness (Hu & Li 2003; Lopez et al. 2008). When selection varies sharply in space, pollen dispersal could, in particular, generate higher migration loads than equivalent seed dispersal (Lopez et al. 2008). This happens because selection is less efficient at removing badly adapted immigrant alleles when their deleterious effects are partly masked in hybrids (Lopez et al. 2008). Selection at the gametophytic stage may further affect these differences (Hu & He 2003; Hu & He 2006).

Most models of adaptation and migration in a heterogeneous environment (e.g. Pease et al. 1989; Kirkpatrick & Barton 1997; Polechova et al. 2009, see Box 2; but see Butlin et al. 2003) consider a single dispersal parameter. With pollen and seed dispersal, demographic migration is partially uncoupled from gene flow. Hu & He (2006) predicted that pollen dispersal could slow down or accelerate range expansion in some homogeneous environments by interfering with the spread of beneficial or deleterious mutations. At retracting range margins, seed and pollen dispersal may play very different roles on adaptation: seed dispersal enhances the probability of adaptation in a sink habitat, while pollen dispersal generally compromises it (Aguilée et al. unpublished). Conversely, both pollen flow and seed flow could have positive effects at expanding range margins. In the presence of pollen limitation, long-distance pollen flow could moreover prevent extinction in marginal populations (Butlin et al. 2003).

**Future research directions**

**Develop new methods to trace pollen and seeds**

Experimental dispersal studies monitoring LD pollen and seed dispersal have often been limited in spatial scale due to (i) overlapping of the pollen/seed shadows (glossary) masking LDD, (ii) dilution effect (LDD is rare and requires high power to observe, let alone measure), and (iii) large numbers of putative sources (characterizing their positions and genotypes is time- and cost-intensive). Using highly polymorphic genetic markers like microsatellites greatly overcomes the first point, and the advent of next-generation sequencing will improve power and resolution, however it is still necessary to conceive new experimental designs dealing with points (ii)-(iii). We propose potential strategies here, mostly relying on a stronger interaction with mechanistic approaches.

**Making use of meteorological data**

For wind-mediated gene flow, available weather data could help determine the potential range of pollen and
seed dispersal within particular landscapes, regions, or continents. Such an analysis requires regional meteorological datasets, phenological observations over a wide region and sufficient understanding of the meteorological factors driving pollen and seed emission and spread. Products of regional and global weather reanalysis, such as the North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) dataset and the European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecast (ECMWF) data, offer useful observational and model-based information on wind, temperature, humidity, radiation and other meteorological data (Schueler et al. 2005). On-line interfaces for weather and radiation simulation tools can also be used to evaluate conditions across large dispersal ranges (Bohrerova et al. 2009). For pollen, phenological data are available from pollen monitoring networks (e.g. the European Aerobiology Network, EAN) or from phenological observations (e.g. the European Phenological Network). Model-driven weather reconstructions (Solomon et al. 2007) can provide estimates of dispersal potentials in past and future climates (Kuparinen et al. 2009; Nathan et al. 2011). Improved characterizations of wind dispersal mechanisms accounting for interactions between pollen/seeds and turbulent winds in relation to weather conditions can be combined to determine annual and multiannual wind-driven pollen and seed dispersal patterns throughout large geographic regions (Muñoz et al. 2004; Thompson & Katul 2008; Nathan et al. 2011).

To experimentally trace pollen or seed movement at the continental scale, a joint use of weather data, weather forecasting models and field observation of pollen/seed pools seems most promising. Large-scale spatial characterization of presence/absence of a species, phenology, and airflows were already used to identify temporal windows ideal for LDD and relate them to the actual presence of pollen grains in physical captors (Slijampo et al. 2008). A next step would be to measure the diversity of origins in the effective pollen pools through the genetic and/or phenotypic diversity of the seed produced (Nilsson 1995).

**Taking advantage of adequate landscape configurations**

*Genetic assignment* (glossary) methods linking pollen, seeds or seedlings to candidate parental populations could be used to evaluate the effective rate and range of contemporary gene flow among discrete populations (Manel et al. 2005). Focus could be placed initially on isolated populations or trees, particularly informative about LDD because they are less subject to dilution effects. A recent study using *genetic assignment* in such demographic setting has revealed effective pollen gene flow over 100-km distances in a wind-pollinated species (Fig. 4). For species with extremely low densities, even *parentage analysis* (glossary) may prove efficient in detecting LD gene flow (Ahmed et al. 2009). Female plants, male-sterile or self-incompatible isolated individuals might prove useful traps for investigating the composition of LD effective pollen clouds, and could be distributed at specific positions during the pollination period, e.g. using potted plants, flowering branches kept alive or flowering grafts. High precision aerial photographs and satellite images could be used to retrieve all potential sources at the regional scale and avoid biases due to ghost populations. An alternative solution, not requiring trap plants but not assessing *effective dispersal* directly, is to characterize the genetic content of the pollen pool by genotyping single pollen grains (Matsuki et al. 2007), sampled in volumetric traps from existing *aerobiology* (glossary) networks or placed at specific sites in a landscape. Note however that the atypical demographic conditions of isolated trees that facilitate LDD assessment may result in observed LDD patterns difficult to generalize (e.g. dilution effects in large populations), for which modelling approaches may be necessary.

**Combining mechanistic and genetic models**

Mechanistic and genetic tools for assessing dispersal have been developed and applied virtually independently, although they have complementary features and high potential for synergy, particularly for the analysis of LDD. For example, the ability of mechanistic approaches to assess dispersal across multiple scales complements the problematic extrapolation of genetic methods beyond the small scale in which individuals were sampled. Genetic methods, in turn, can provide data to validate mechanistically-derived kernels, and to add the required (and often hard to measure) component of post-dispersal establishment effects needed to assess *effective dispersal*. Mechanistically derived propagule transport probability functions over different distances could also be incorporated into the usual probabilistic (maximum-likelihood or Bayesian) migration rate estimation procedures based solely on genetic likelihoods, allowing jointly estimation of migration rates and mechanistic parameters that determine dispersal over long distances.

**Developing the connectivity network**

Current research on spatial patterns of dispersal and gene flow is dominated by the *dispersal kernel* concept, which bears significant disadvantages when applied to broad scales. Studies using *dispersal kernels* generally require sampling intensities that become unfeasible over long distances. Moreover, they often assume isotropy (i.e., the same *dispersal kernel* for all directions); although this assumption is unrealistic for many systems in which the dispersal vector moves in a directional manner, such as many seasonal winds, downward flow of rivers, and oriented movement of animals. Similarly, *genetic assignment* methods for migration rate estimation typically incorporate neither directional nor other kinds of spatial information. *Lagrangian dispersal* (glossary) simulations can account for dispersal anisotropy by incorporating turbulence patterns (Bohrer et al. 2008), and hourly, daily or seasonal variation in wind direction (Wright et al. 2008); this computationally-intensive
Implement experimental approaches to assess evolutionary changes in trees

Despite obvious biological constraints in trees, we recommend setting up experiments that would allow assessing evolutionary changes over a few generations. Such experiments would not only provide estimates of evolutionary rates, they would also offer the opportunity to test evolutionary hypotheses regarding responses to climate change. Existing provenance tests constitute in this respect a precious source of data, allowing the quantification of between and within sites genetic diversity for climate adaptation and the putative demographic impact of maladaptation (Box 1). Further exploitation of such data should be encouraged. Additional options can be foreseen:

**Testing for the effect of gene flow on the changes of population means and genetic and phenotypic variance over one generation.**

A straightforward design consists in conducting full sib control “hybrid” crosses between distant and close populations in comparison to “pure” within population crosses. Offspring should then be raised under controlled conditions mimicking different climatic scenarios. While more difficult to implement, because of potentially small sample sizes and unaccounted microenvironmental variation, an alternative “in situ” experiment consists in comparing “natural migrants” that have been identified by parentage analysis or genetic assignment methods to “local residents”.

**Testing the effects of the strength of selection over successive generations.**

We suggest installing short generation tree populations (birch or willow) within open top chambers, and let the population reproduce under such conditions. Strength of selection can be set by manipulating conditions within the open top chambers. Foreign pollen can be supplemented at each generation to mimic gene flow.

**Measuring the strength of selection at various filtering stages over the life cycle.**

While filtering stages (i.e. stages with strong competition and selective mortality) are well known in trees especially at the young stage (from seeds to juvenile seedlings), the changes induced by selection at each stage have only rarely been assessed. We suggest to monitor population means, and genetic variances of relevant adaptive traits as well as allelic frequencies at genes of adaptive significance after each filtering stage.

**Analysing adaptation in transferred populations.**

Artificial transfers of populations have been done in the past in forest trees and some of them are well documented (Fallour-Rubio et al. 2009). They can provide alternative ways of tracking evolutionary changes at contemporary time scales. In some cases these transfers actually mimicked climate changes, as populations were moved from cooler to milder climates. Well known examples are transfers of North American tree species to Europe, whose introduced populations have differentiated in so-called land races (Northern red oak, Daubree & Kremer 1993), or large scale transfers of native trees within Europe. Transferred populations have usually been deployed over larger areas than provenance tests and the transferred material has been tested in a real forestry context, rather than in experimental plantations.

**Develop integrative theoretical approaches**

**Extend evolutionary models of adaptation to climate change to the case of trees.**

Analytical models – as the example shown in Box 2 – provide conceptual insights into how gene flow, adaptation and biotic interactions shape species ranges in stable or changing environments (Pease et al. 1989; Kirkpatrick & Barton 1997; Barton 2001; Polechova et al. 2009; Price & Kirkpatrick 2009). Available models, however, rarely incorporate salient features of tree life cycles, such as distinct dispersal modes, overlapping generations, or fat-tailed dispersal kernels (glossary), which may profoundly affect their evolutionary responses to climate change. How the pace of adaptation in a changing environment depends on variation in fitness expressed before vs. after sexual maturity and on the correlation between juvenile vs. adult traits is for instance an important area for future research.

Exploring the effects of LD gene flow on adaptation also requires modelling dispersal as a more complex process than the simple homogeneous diffusion considered in the models summarized in Box 2. What is the evolutionary impact of rare long distance dispersal events well beyond the average dispersal distance? While the effect of fat-tailed dispersal kernels on rates of expansion (Thompson & Katul 2008) and neutral diversity (Travis et al. 2009; Fayard et al. 2009) have been explored, we lack similar theoretical investigation of their effects on adaptive diversity in the context of climate change. Answering this question would also help identifying critical features of pollen and seed dispersal distributions on which empirical estimates should focus.
The idea that climate change is equivalent to simple spatial shift of local climatic conditions is also a gross simplification. Rather, climatic change may result in new combinations of precipitation patterns, temperature, photoperiod and biotic conditions that occur nowhere within the current range, imposing entirely new selection pressures, and favouring the assembly of novel genotypes (Williams & Jackson 2007). Adaptation to climate change may thus require the production of new phenotypic combinations. Reaching such combinations means that natural selection is acting on multiple traits simultaneously. Evolutionary models have often been limited to single traits. Multivariate adaptive responses depend on the amount of *genetic correlation* (glossary) among traits, which may limit or accelerate adaptation to climate change (e.g., Etterson & Shaw 2001). Modelling those responses as a univariate rather than multivariate process, as done so far, might thus fail to provide an accurate picture of species’ adaptive capacities. Although we have begun to incorporate multivariate evolution into models of migration-selection balance (see, Guillaume & Whitlock 2007; Guillaume 2011; Duputié et al. in review), empirical data are direly missing on patterns of *genetic correlations* among key ecological traits in trees and on the spatial and temporal variation of their joint selection pressures.

Efforts should be made to fill these gaps and help calibrate models with real data, to ultimately be able to merge evolutionary approaches with niche- and process-based ecological forecasting of climate induced range shifts.

**Use integrative simulation platforms.**

Trait-based, mechanistic models have recently been developed enabling predictions of species ranges under current and future non analogous climates (e.g., Morin et al. 2008). For instance the Phenofit model (Chuine & Beaubien 2001) predicts tree distributions based on existing phenological responses to local climate, drought and frost tolerance. Microevolutionary phenomena described above have only started to be incorporated in such ecological forecast models (Kearney et al. 2009; Kuparinen et al. 2010). There is therefore an urgent need to incorporate genetic and ecological concepts into integrated models to accurately predict the impact of environmental changes on species persistence over the next century and at the continental scale. Efforts should be dedicated to foster development of integrated computer simulation platforms with this aim. Individual-based, population and quantitative genetics simulation packages already exist (e.g. Nemo, Guillaume & Rougemont 2006; Metapop, e.g. Le Corre & Kremer 2003; Kremer & Le Corre, 2011) that could be extended to include the ecological and spatially explicit layers needed.

A key aspect of the modelling approach advocated here is the overlay of predictions from different processes; ecological niche and *bioclimatic envelope* modelling, variation of gene flow over geographical ranges, and evolutionary adaptation of local populations. The basal layer, the climatic layer, defines how changes in climatic conditions over the species’ geographical range modify the localization of suitable habitats (Thuiller 2003). The second layer describes spatial variation of pollen and seed dispersal and should integrate information from the climatic layer to model the changes of seed and pollen movements caused by climate change through modification of the *dispersal kernels* (Kuparinen et al. 2009; Nathan et al. 2011), pollen viability (Bohrerova et al. 2009), or the timing of pollination and female receptiveness. The third layer integrates information from the two previous ones to predict how local populations adapt to their shifting conditions (e.g., Kuparinen et al. 2010). Information from the climatic layer will set the strength of selection acting on different *adaptive traits* by indicating how far from its local optimum a population might be. Information on gene flow from the second layer will indicate hybridization rates and fitness effects, depending on the geographical origin of the migrants (Savolainen et al. 2007; Lopez et al. 2008; Yeaman & Guillaume 2009). It will also indicate the potential for colonization of new habitats. Finally, the outcome of local adaptation can be interpreted in terms of growth and persistence of local populations and how this feeds back into predictions of the intensity of gene flow over larger geographical scales.

**Conclusion**

While much emphasis has been placed on the ability of tree populations to migrate fast enough in response to climate change, we have here examined the potential consequences of long distance gene flow on their adaptive response to climate change.

Many tree species have evolved dispersal syndromes enabling the effective flow of genetic information across distant populations inhabiting contrasting environments. We have argued how such exchanges, although potentially maladaptive in some evolutionary and demographic scenarios, may in the case of forest trees favour adaptation to changing climatic conditions, compensating for their long generation time.

Our understanding of the interaction between gene flow and local adaptation under realistic ecological, demographic and dispersal assumptions is however limited, and we have suggested potential theoretical and experimental avenues of research for the integration of dispersal biology, ecology and evolutionary quantitative genetics in a better predictive inferential framework.
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GLOSSARY

Adaptive divergence: the differentiation among the mean phenotypes of populations subject to different selective pressures.
Adaptive trait: a phenotypic trait that enhances the fitness of an individual in a particular environment. Examples for trees are the time of flushing and bud set, and physiological traits determining water use efficiency.
Aerobiology: the study of airborne organisms and organic particles.
Bioclimatic envelope: the predicted potential geographic distribution of a species in a particular climatic scenario.
Breeding value: part of an individual’s phenotype that can be transmitted to its offspring through the transmission of genetic material.
Bud set: formation of a terminal bud at the end of the vegetative period, the timing of which is heritable and determines cold tolerance in boreal and temperate trees.
Connectivity map: a map describing the cost or the probability of dispersal along possible trajectories linking a set of locations.
Dispersal kernel: a probability density function of dispersal distances or locations from a source point.
Effective dispersal: dispersal leading to successful establishment or reproduction.
Evolutionary load: the mean fitness loss in a population produced by the deviation of the mean phenotype from the local optimum due to varying selection in space and time.
Fat-tailed dispersal kernel: dispersal kernels with a slow probability decrease at long distances relative to a negative exponential.
Genetic assignment: the probabilistic ascertainment of the original population of an individual genotype.
Genetic correlation: non independent genetic variation for two phenotypic traits, which can be due in particular to the fact that the same genes affect variation of several traits.
Genetic load: the loss of mean fitness in a population due to the departure of individual phenotypes from the optimum in a given environment.
Genetic variance: part of the total phenotypic variance that is due to genetic differences between individuals.
Heritability: the proportion of phenotypic variation among the individuals of a population in a particular environment that is due to genetic variation.
Heterosis: the higher fitness of progeny obtained through crosses between populations rather than within the same population.
Inbreeding depression: reduced fitness of inbred individuals.
Lag load: the loss of mean fitness in a population due to the lagging response of the phenotypic mean to temporal changes in the optimum.
Lagrangian dispersal model: a mathematical description of the trajectories of individual dispersers.
Migration load: the contribution of immigrant genes to maladaptation.
Outbreeding depression: reduced fitness of individuals born to parents from different populations.
Parentage analysis: the probabilistic determination of the parents of an individual, frequently using genetic markers.
Phenotypic cline: a continuous change of a phenotypic trait along an environmental and/or geographical gradient.
Pollen/seed shadow: the density of pollen grains/seeds dispersed at different distances from an individual. It equals the product of the dispersal kernel by the individual’s fecundity.
Provenance: the original geographic source of a population or group of individuals (used also to refer to such a population or group).
Provenance test: a common garden experiment, in one or more locations, where the genetic variation of different provenances is evaluated (see provenance).
Reaction norm: the set of phenotypes expressed by a particular genotype under a range of environments.
Response to selection: the difference between the mean phenotype of the offspring of a group of selected parents and the mean phenotype of the population before selection.
Stabilizing selection: selection that favours intermediate over extreme phenotypes.
Standing load: the loss of mean fitness in a population due to the phenotypic variance around the mean phenotype. Present even when the mean phenotype matches the optimum.