

breed R : An open statistical package to analyse genetic data

Facundo Munoz, Leopoldo Sanchez Rodriguez

▶ To cite this version:

Facundo Munoz, Leopoldo Sanchez Rodriguez. breedR: An open statistical package to analyse genetic data. 2016. hal-02800531

HAL Id: hal-02800531 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02800531

Submitted on 5 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





breedR

An open statistical package to analyse genetic data (WP6)

Designing Trees for the Future

http://famuvie.github.io/breedR/

Facundo Muñoz

4th–6th april 2016







1. Introduction

- 2. Additive-genetic effects
- 3. Environmental effects
- 4. Competition effects
- 5. Longitudinal data
- 6. Genomic data

......

- 7. Multi-environment trials
- 8. Multi-trait models
- 9. Simulation framework
- 10. Remote computing



Approximate





1 Introduction





- R-package implementing statistical models specifically tailored to the analysis of forest genetic resources
- A inference tool for Linear Mixed Models, with facilities for typical needs
- **breedR** acts as an **interface** providing the means to:
 - **Combine** any number of prefabricated model **components** into a larger model
 - 2 Compute automatically **incidence** and **covariance matrices** from a few input parameters
 - 8 Fit the model

.....

4 Plot data and results, and perform **model diagnostics**





2 alternatives:

.

- Project web page http://famuvie.github.io/breedR/
 - Set up this URL as a package repository in .Rprofile (detailed instructions on the web)
 - install.packages('breedR')
 - Not possible to use CRAN (or yes?) due to closed-source BLUPF90 programs
 - Stable version, with automatic updates
- GitHub dev-site https://github.com/famuvie/breedR
 - if(!require(devtools))
 install.packages('devtools')
 - devtools::install_github('famuvie/breedR')
 - Development version, latest features, more inestable, manual updates



.......



- These slides show WHAT can be done with breedR
- For **HOW** to perform these analyses, refer to the website:

http://famuvie.github.io/breedR/

Package's help: help(package = breedR)

Help pages ?remlf90

🐜 Where to find help

- Code demos demo(topic, package = 'breedR') (omit topic for a list)
- Vignettes vignette(package = 'breedR') (pkg and wiki)
- Wiki pages
 - Guides, tutorials, FAQ
- Mailing list http://groups.google.com/group/breedr
 - Questions and debates about usage and interface
- Issues page

......

- Bug reports
- Feature requests







Figure 1: GPL-3

- **breedR** is FOSS. Licensed GPL-3
 - RShowDoc('LICENSE', package = 'breedR')
- You can use and distribute breedR for any purpose
- You can **modify** it to suit your needs
 - we encourage to!
 - please consider contributing your improvements
 - you can distribute your modified version under the GPL
- However, breedR makes (intensive) use of the BLUPF90 suite of Fortran programs, which are for *free* but not free (remember CRAN?)





$$y = X\beta + Zu + \varepsilon$$
$$u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, G)$$
$$\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, R)$$

- A quantitative variable y is modelled as a linear function of fixed effects β and random effects u, with unaccounted residuals ε
- The function remlf90() yields a REML fit of a model to a dataset
- Additional functions (e.g. summary(), fixef(), ranef(), plot(), etc.) extract and present specific results





```
ped <- globulus[,1:3]
res <- remlf90(
  fixed = phe_X ~ gg,
  genetic = list(
    model = 'add_animal',
    pedig = ped,
    id = 'self'),
  data = globulus)
summary(res)</pre>
```

......

```
## Linear Mixed Model with pedigree and spatial
## effects fit by AI-REMLF90 ver. 1.122
     Data: globulus
##
## AIC BIC logLik
## 5799 5809 -2898
##
## Variance components:
##
           Estimated variances S.E.
## genetic
                         3.397 1.595
## Residual
                      14.453 1.529
##
##
               Estimate S.E.
## Heritability 0.1887 0.08705
##
## Fixed effects:
      value s.e.
##
## gg.1 13.591 0.5014
## gg.2 14.085 0.7984
## ...
```





2 Additive-genetic effects





- Random effect at individual level
- Based on a **pedigree** (determining the *relationship* matrix A)

$$Zu, \quad u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_a^2 A)$$

- BLUP of **Breeding Values** from own and relatives' phenotypes
- Represents the additive component of the genetic value
- More general:
 - family effect is a particular case
 - accounts for more than one generation
 - mixed relationships
- More flexible: allows to select individuals within families
- More accurate: direct inference over the additive-genetic variance of the base population



..........



A 3-column data.frame or			
matrix with the codes for each	self	dad	mum
individual and its parents	69	0	64
A family effect is easily translated		0	
into a podigroo:	70	0	41
into a pedigree.	71	0	56
use the family code as the	72	0	55
identification of a fictitious	73	0	22
mother	74	0	FO
use 0 or NA as codes for the	74	0	50
unknown fathers			















Figure 3





3 Environmental effects





- The residuals of any LMM must be noise
- However, most times there are environmental factors that affect the response
- This causes that observations that are close to each other tend to be more similar that observations that are far away
- This is called spatial autocorrelation
- It may affect both the estimations and their accuracy
- This is why experiments are randomized into spatial **blocks**



- You can plot() the spatial arrangement of various model components (e.g. residuals)
- Look like independent gaussian observations (i.e. noise)?
- Do you see any signal in the background?



Figure 4



......

Plot the **variogram of residuals** with variogram()



Figure 5





$$\gamma(h) = \frac{1}{2}V[Z(\mathbf{u}) - Z(\mathbf{v})], \quad {\rm dist}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = h$$

The empirical isotropic variogram is built by aggregating all the pairs of points separated by h, no matter the direction.



Designing Trees for the Future





$$\gamma(x,y) = \frac{1}{2}V[Z(\mathbf{u}) - Z(\mathbf{v})], \quad \mathsf{dist}(\mathbf{u},\mathbf{v}) = (x,y)$$

The empirical row/col variogram is built by aggregating all the pairs of points separated by exactly x rows and y columns.







$$\gamma(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}V[Z(\mathbf{u}) - Z(\mathbf{v})], \quad \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{v} \pm \mathbf{x}$$

The empirical anisotropic variogram is built by aggregating all the pairs of points in the same direction separated by $|\mathbf{x}|$.







- Include an explicit spatial effect in the model
- I.e., a random effect with a specific covariance structure that reflects the spatial relationship between individuals



......



$Zu, \quad u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_s^2 I)$

- u is the vector of random effects for the blocks
- $\hfill\blacksquare Z$ is an indicator matrix such that Z[i,j]=1 if the observation i belongs to block j
- σ_s^2 is the spatial variance parameter
- The **block** effect, is a very particular case of spatial effect:
 - It is designed from the begining, possibly using prior knowledge
 - Can account for non-spatial effects (e.g. operator)
 - Introduces independent effects between blocks
 - Most neighbours are within the same block (i.e. share the same effect)





A cubic B-spline B(x):



Piecewise curve defined in the intervals determined by 5 knots
Each *piece* is a polynomial of 3rd degree



 $\langle 0 \rangle$

A cubic **B-spline** B(x) with regularly spaced knots:



The curve is constrained for C² continuity at each knot
Only 1 degree of freedom controls the scale



......



A number of overlapping curves form a **base** of B-splines $\{B_j(x)\}$



Figure 10 Designing Trees for the Future



.......



Each, can be **scaled** using a coefficient $\{u_j B_j(x)\}$







.....



And summed to a linear combination $f(x) = \sum_{j} u_{j}B_{j}(x)$



Eigure 12 Designing Trees for the Future



- $f(x) = \sum_j u_j B_j(x)$ provides a spline representation of a wide family of curves, in terms of a vector of coefficients u
- For any set of points $x = \{x_i\}$, the vector of values $f(x_i)$ can be written as a matrix operation $f = [B_j(x_i)]u$
- breedR extends this to two dimensions and defines a random effect

$$Bu, \quad u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_s^2 R_s)$$

u is the vector of spline effects

.

- $\blacksquare~B$ is the matrix of spline bases evaluated at the observations
- σ_s^2 is the spatial variance parameter
- R_s imposes a fixed positive correlation between coefficients of neighbouring spline bases

Spatial modelling Number of knots of a splines model



- The smoothness of the spatial surface can be controlled modifying the number of base functions
- This is directly determined by the number of knots (nok) in each dimension
- If not explicitly set, it is determined heuristically by breedR as a function of the number of observations



Spatial modelling Bidimensional First-Order Autoregressive Process



An AR1(ρ) on the line is a collection of random variables {x_i} where

$$x_t = \rho x_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t, \quad \varepsilon_t \sim \mathcal{N}(0, 1), |\rho| < 1$$

• A few random simulations with $\rho = 0.5$:





breed $\!R\!$ extends this model to the plane using and defines a component

$$Zu, \quad u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_s^2 R_{\mathrm{AR}})$$

- *u* is the vector of random effects for each individual location on a regular grid
- Z is an indicator matrix such that Z[i, j] = 1 if the observation i is at site j
- σ_s^2 is the spatial variance parameter

.....

 R_{AR} defines a separable correlation structure based on the kronecker product of two AR1 processes

Spatial modelling Autoregressive parameters of a AR model



- The smoothness of the AR effects can be controlled by the autoregressive parameters (ρ_x,ρ_y) in each dimension
- They can be given explicitly
- Otherwise, breedR fits a model for each combination of parameters in a default grid and returns the most likely









Figure 16: spatial-effects

- All capture a similar underlying environmental pattern
- with somewhat increasing ranges of variability

.....






Figure 17: change residuals

- The spatial variability is taken mostly from the model residuals
- which increasingly look like pure noise





4 Competition effects







Figure 18: Competition model

- Each individual have **two** (unknown) Breeding Values (BV):
 - direct BV affects its
 own phenotype,
 - competition BV affects its neghbours'
- The total effect of the neighbouring competition BVs is given by their distance-weighted sum







Let ∂i be the set of neighbouring locations of tree i, and $u_c = (u_{c,k})'$ the vector of competition BVs

$$\omega_i(\alpha) = \sum_{k \in \partial i} z_{ik}(\alpha) u_{c,k}$$

where $z_{ik}(\alpha) \propto 1/d^{\alpha}_{ik}$, such that

Figure 19: distance-plot

....

 $\sum_{k \in \partial i} z_{ik}(\alpha)^2 = 1.$

This condition is **variance-estabilizer** ensuring $\forall i$:

$$\operatorname{Var}(\omega_i) = \operatorname{Var}(u_c) = \sigma_c^2$$





The decay parameter α controls the relative intensity of competition of the neighbours



- The weights z_{ik} are scale-invariant
- e.g. a tree twice as far is weighted 1/2^α as much
- higher values of α concentrate the weights on the closest trees





$$Z_d u_d + Z_c(\alpha) u_c, \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_d \\ u_c \end{pmatrix} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_a \otimes A), \quad \Sigma_a = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_d^2 & \sigma_{dc} \\ \sigma_{dc} & \sigma_c^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Each set of BVs is modelled as a zero-mean random effect with structure matrix given by the pedigree and independent variances σ_d^2 and σ_c^2
- Both random effects are modelled jointly with covariance σ_{dc}
- Z_d is an indicator matrix linking observations and individuals
- $Z_c(\alpha)$ weights the competition effect of the neighbours with (fixed) **decay** parameter α



$$Z_p u_p, \quad Z_p = Z_c, u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_p^2 I)$$

- Optional companion effect with environmental (rather than genetic) basis
- Modelled as an individual independent random effect that affects neighbouring trees in the same (weighted) way







Figure 21

Competition is often observable in the first lag of the variogram of residuals

increased antagonism between neighbouring phenotypes
 Designing Trees for the Future







Figure 22

- direct and competition
 BV are usually negatively
 correlated
- selection based only on direct genetic merit tends to favour competitive individuals, hampering the global performance
- the competition model allows for selection based on a joint assessment





5 Longitudinal data





- Measurements repeated in time or along some climatic or geographical variable (*e.g.* temperature, precipitation, latitude, altitude, ...)
- All model parameters (*e.g.* variances, random effects) can be functions of the longitudinal variable
- Increased complexity: from estimating numerical values (dimension 0) to estimating (infinte-dimensional) functions (with finite data)
- Strategies:

.

- assume parametric shape (e.g. linear regression)
- nonparametric components (e.g. splines, Legendre polynomials)





Designing Trees for the Future

........

Climatic gradient Mean ring-length by Mean Annual Precipitation



Figure 24

......



.....



Family of **orthogonal** polynomials **dense** in \mathscr{L}_2

 Any regular curve can be approximated as much as needed by taking a linear combination of polynomials up to a sufficiently high order







For each observation of an individual $i \mbox{ at } j$

$$y_{ij} = \mathbf{X}_i \beta + \sum_{k=0}^{\mathrm{ord}} a_{ik} \mathcal{L}_k(j) + \varepsilon_{ij}$$
$$(a'_0, \dots, a'_{\mathrm{ord}})' \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma \otimes \mathbf{A})$$
$$\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_e^2)$$

- The Breeding Value of an individual is a function of an environmental variable
- This function is parameterised as a linear combination of Legendre orthogonal polynomials of order up to a fixed ord
- Each individual is described by ord + 1 correlated **coefficients**



......



Functional Breeding Values for each individual



Figure 26: random-regression





6 Genomic data





- breedR allows random effects with an arbitrary covariance structure (generic)
- This can be used to leverage genomic information (GBLUP)





This additional component allows to introduce a random effect ψ with **arbitrary** incidence and covariance matrices Z and Σ :

$$Z\psi, \quad \psi \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_{\psi}^2 \Sigma_{\psi})$$

Applications:

 include additional not-predefined components *e.g.* Dominance, Hybrid populations, Genomic evaluation, etc.





$$Zu, \quad u \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_G^2 G)$$

- Use markers to compute a relationship matrix G for individuals
 - Several methods available
 - e.g. VanRaden et al. 2009

$$G = XX' / \sum 2p(1-p)$$

- **Replace** the additive-genetic model, which uses the pedigree-based relationship matrix *A* with a generic model with a genomic relationship matrix *G*
- Z is an **indicator** matrix linking observations with individuals
- Predicts genetic value of **individuals**, not markers
- Improved accuracy wrt pedigree-pased evaluation







Figure 27: relationship-matrices

Note the increased level of detail in the relationship structuure





7 Multi-environment trials



.......





Figure 28





Fixed effects

- some can be **transversal** accross environments
 - e.g. origin, provenance
- other take different values at each environment
 - e.g. the intercept, replicate
 - modelled as a fixed interaction

Random effects

- some can be transversal accross environments
 - *e.g.* main effect of the genotype
- other can be environment-specific
 - *e.g.* blocks, G×E, residuals
 - modelled as a group of (correlated or not) random effects





$$y = X_0 \beta_0 + Z_0 u_0 + X \sum_e \mathbb{1}_{\{e\}} \beta_e + Z \sum_e \mathbb{1}_{\{e\}} u_e + \varepsilon$$
$$u_0 \sim \mathcal{N}(0, G_0)$$
$$(u_1, \ldots)' \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_G \otimes G)$$
$$\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, D_R \otimes I)$$

- Particular case of the general LMM
- For each **environment** e, there is a **group** of random effects u_e , each with covariance structure G, possibly cross-correlated through Σ_G
 - Independent site-specific residual variances



.......



$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{C13} = & \mathsf{orig} + \mathsf{site} + \mathsf{fam} + \sum_{e=1}^{3} f_e \mathbb{1}_e + \varepsilon \\ & \mathsf{fam} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \sigma_f^2 \mathbf{I}) \\ & (f_1, f_2, f_3)' \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_{G \times E} \otimes \mathbf{I}) \\ & \varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(0, D_3 \otimes \mathbf{I}) \end{aligned}$$

- One global family effect (fam)
- One group of three **site-specific** family effects $(f_i, i = 1, 2, 3)$
- Jointly, they represent the $G \times E$ interaction with genetic cross-covariation $\Sigma_{G \times E}$





Figure 29: GxE-interaction

- Sum of main and interaction effects
- Note:
 - different variances per site
 - high genetic correlation
 - some families are more interactive



......





Figure 30: genetic-correlations

Ecovalence Measure of interactivity of families





. . .

$$\varphi(x) \propto \sum_{i \in x} \sum_{e} f_e^2$$



Figure 31: ecovalence



....





Figure 32: spatialxE





8 Multi-trait models



$$\langle 0 \rangle$$

$$Y_1 = X\beta_1 + Zu_1 + \varepsilon_1$$

$$Y_2 = X\beta_2 + Zu_2 + \varepsilon_2,$$

$$(u_1, u_2)' \sim N(0, \Sigma_u \otimes G)$$

$$(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)' \sim N(0, \Sigma \otimes I_n).$$

- Σ_u and Σ either diagonal or fully-parameterized 2×2 matrices
- Some of the fixed or random effects can affect only a subset of the traits

e.g. fixed effect of operator





- All fixed and random effects are assumed to be trait-specific
 - transversal effects not directly supported (ultimately by PROGSF90)
- Simpler covariance structures not supported
 - *e.g.* independent effects with shared variance, exchangeable structure
- A workaround is to **reshape the dataset** to long-layout



wide to long-layout



- Reshaping operation:
 - Stack traits into a single variable value
 - Additional variable trait
 - Duplicate individual information and other variables
- Use single-trait models with MET syntax
 - trait instead of site
- This overcomes the limitations breedR's multi-trait implementation
 - more complex models like multi-trait and multisite become cumbersome







9 Simulation framework





- Simulate datasets of any size, from any most supported models
- See ?simulation for details on the syntax

Source: local data frame [500 x 14]

	self	sire	dam	beta	х	У	spatial	BV1	
	(dbl)	(dbl)	(dbl)	(dbl)	(int)	(int)	(dbl)	(dbl)	
1	41	14	40	1	1	19	-1.0738194	-1.023654	
2	42	18	33	1	18	22	1.6654315	2.477488	
3	43	11	31	1	19	19	0.7047348	1.961305	
4	44	5	38	1	1	23	0.4698724	1.207112	
5	45	16	24	1	7	12	-0.7233131	-1.919742	
6	46	9	38	1	3	5	-0.1197804	1.174054	
Variables not shown: BV2 (dbl), wnc (dbl), pec (dbl), wnp (dbl), resid									
(dbl), phenotype (dbl)									




check models under ideal scenarios

Bootstrapping:

- compute heritability (and it s.e.) for complex models
- compute more accurate s.e. for fixed and random effects
- inference on arbitrary hypotheses (involving any combination of model parameters)



- e.g. competition or splines models fitted by EM-REML (rather than AI)
 - Thus, heritability not available

.

- Other methods (e.g. *Delta*) **not feasible**
- Even when available, is approximate (relies on asymptotic normality of parameters)





- Fit the model to your data
- Write a function to simulate data from your fitted model parameters
- Write a function to fit a simulated dataset and return realised heritability

- Repeated calls to this function yields the sampling distribution of heritability
- Compute SE and CI from numerical summaries

. . . .









- SE in breedR's output are approximate
- Rely on asymptotic normality (same as heritability)
- Same Bootstrapping procedure applies

.



Figure 34





10 Remote computing

Designing Trees for the Future





If you have access to a $\ensuremath{\text{Linux}}$ server through $\ensuremath{\text{SSH}}$, you can perform computations remotely

- Take advantage of more **memory** or **faster** processors
- Parallelize jobs
- Free local resources while fitting models
- See ?remote for details





- Windows users: install cygwin with ssh beforehand (http://cygwin.org/)
- 2 configure the client and server machines so that passwordless SSH authentication works
- Set breedR options remote.host, remote.user, remote.port and remote.bin (see ?breedR.setOption)
 - Optionally, set these options permanently in \$HOME/.breedRrc

```
writeLines(
c("remote.host = '123.45.678.999'",
    "remote.user = 'uname'",
    "remote.bin = 'remote/path/to/breedR/bin/linux'"),
    con = file.path(Sys.getenv('HOME'), '.breedRrc'))
```





res <- remlf90(..., breedR.bin = "remote")</pre>

Fit model remotely

.

- R-console stays in **stand-by** until job is finished
- When job finishes (provided that connection keeps alive), results are automatically retrieved

Identical in use to local computing, but without the processor/memory burden





res <- remlf90(..., breedR.bin = "submit")</pre>

- Fit model remotely
- Connection is **closed** in the meanwhile
- R-console is active

.

 Typing res queries the server for the job status (Running/Finished/Aborted)



.....



- After you submit a job, you are free to submit more (specially with multiple-processor servers)
- Query the status of all jobs with breedR.qstat()
- Kill some job with breedR.qdel(res) or all jobs with breedR.qnuke()







breedR

http://famuvie.github.io/breedR/

Designing Trees for the Future