

Accurate protein-peptide titration experiments by nuclear magnetic resonance using low-volume samples

Christian Kohler, Raphaël Recht, Marc Quinternet, Frédéric de Lamotte, Marc-André Delsuc, Bruno Kieffer

▶ To cite this version:

Christian Kohler, Raphaël Recht, Marc Quinternet, Frédéric de Lamotte, Marc-André Delsuc, et al.. Accurate protein-peptide titration experiments by nuclear magnetic resonance using low-volume samples. Affinity Chromatography: Methods and Protocols, 1286, Humana Press, 341 p., 2015, Methods in Molecular Biology, 978-1-4939-2447-9. 10.1007/978-1-4939-2447-9_22. hal-02801062

HAL Id: hal-02801062 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02801062v1

Submitted on 5 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Chapter Title	Accurate Protein–Peptide Titration Experiments by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Using Low-Volume Samples				
Copyright Year	2015				
Copyright Holder	Springer Scien	ce+Business Media New York			
Author	Family Name	Köhler			
	Particle				
	Given Name	Christian			
	Suffix				
	Organization	Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire			
	Address	1, rue Laurent Fries, BP 1014267404 Illkirch Cedex, France			
Author	Family Name	Recht			
	Particle				
	Given Name	Raphaël			
	Suffix				
	Organization	Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire			
	Address	1, rue Laurent Fries, BP 1014267404 Illkirch Cedex, France			
Author	Family Name	Quinternet			
	Particle				
	Given Name	Marc			
	Suffix				
	Division	FR CNRS-3209 Bioingénierie Moléculaire, Cellulaire et Thérapeutique (BMCT)			
	Organization	CNRS, Université de Lorraine			
	Address	Biopôle, Campus Biologie Santé, CS 5018454505 Van- dœuvre-lès-Nancy Cedex, France			
Author	Family Name	Lamotte			
	Particle	de			
	Given Name	Frederic			
	Suffix				
	Organization	INRA, UMR AGAP			
	Address	34398 Montpellier Cedex 5, France			
Author	Family Name	Delsuc			
	Particle				
	Given Name	Marc-André			
	Suffix				
	Organization	Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire			
	Address	1, rue Laurent Fries, BP 1014267404 Illkirch Cedex, France			

Metadata of the chapter that will be visualized online

Corresponding Author	Family Name	Kieffer
	Particle	
	Given Name	Bruno
	Suffix	
	Organization	Institut de Génétique et de Biologie Moléculaire et Cellulaire
	Address	1, rue Laurent Fries, BP 1014267404 Illkirch Cedex, France
	Email	kieffer@igbmc.fr
Abstract	NMR spectro equilibrium d methods, prov known with h these experim tubes, a metho here a protoco method to mea standard.	scopy allows measurements of very accurate values of lissociation constants using chemical shift perturbation vided that the concentrations of the binding partners are igh precision and accuracy. The accuracy and precision of ents are improved if performed using individual capillary of enabling full automation of the measurement. We provide I to set up and perform these experiments as well as a robust asure peptide concentrations using tryptophan as an internal
Keywords (separated by '-')	Affinity measu binding consta	rements - Protein-peptide interactions - NMR - Equilibrium nts

Chapter 22

Accurate Protein–Peptide Titration Experiments by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Using Low-Volume Samples

Christian Köhler, Raphaël Recht, Marc Quinternet, Frederic de Lamotte, 4 Marc-André Delsuc, and Bruno Kieffer 5

Abstract

NMR spectroscopy allows measurements of very accurate values of equilibrium dissociation constants using 7 chemical shift perturbation methods, provided that the concentrations of the binding partners are known 8 with high precision and accuracy. The accuracy and precision of these experiments are improved if 9 performed using individual capillary tubes, a method enabling full automation of the measurement. We 10 provide here a protocol to set up and perform these experiments as well as a robust method to measure 11 peptide concentrations using tryptophan as an internal standard.

Key words Affinity measurements, Protein-peptide interactions, NMR, Equilibrium binding 13 constants 14

1 Introduction

Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) provides a powerful tool to 16 study protein-ligand and protein-protein interactions at atomic 17 resolution [1]. Among many other possibilities, NMR can be 18 used to measure very accurately the equilibrium constant of the 19 interaction, provided that its equilibrium dissociation constants 20 (K_d) is in the range of 10 μ M or above, a value that corresponds 21 to the study of rather weak interactions. Several methods have been 22 developed to measure protein-ligand dissociation constants, and 23 they are usually classified in two main classes: the "ligand- 24 observed" and the "protein-observed" methods. While "ligand- 25 observed" methods, such as Saturation Transfer Difference (STD) 26 or WaterLogsy share common principles with other biophysical 27 approaches, the "protein-observed" approach is unique to NMR 28 for its ability to deliver site-specific information [2, 3]. Thanks to 29 these properties, NMR is now an established tool in pharmaceutical 30 industry where it is used in drug discovery strategies, essentially at 31

AU1

2

3

6



the hit-to-lead step, where low to medium affinity ligands are 32 gradually optimized into potent ligands [4]. The classical approach 33 to study ligand-protein interactions relies on the measurement of 34 protein chemical shift perturbations (CSP) induced by the binding 35 of the ligand. This is generally performed using proteins that are 36 enriched with magnetically active isotopes such as nitrogen 15 or 37 carbon 13 and the prior knowledge of the protein resonance assign-38 ments that links a measured nucleus frequency to the 39 corresponding molecular site. The chemical shift perturbations 40 are then monitored using heteronuclear correlation spectra upon 41 successive addition of increasing amounts of ligand. This approach 42 is applicable to very large protein complexes such as the proteasome 43 or the nucleosome, provided that appropriate labeling strategies are 44 used such as the selective labeling of methyl groups [5]. It has been 45 recently shown that this approach is also applicable with non-46 labeled protein samples thanks to the latest progress in NMR 47 spectrometer sensitivity and the use of relaxation optimized pulse 48 sequences such as Methyl SOFAST [6]. For proteins with molecu-49 lar weights of less than 20 KDa, the common approach relies on the 50 cost-effective production of ¹⁵N labeled samples and the use of 51 highly sensitive ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC correlation spectra to monitor 52 CSP. Here, we present a protocol enabling the equilibrium dissoci-53 ation constants between a binding peptide and a small protein to be 54 measured with high precision and accuracy. The method relies on 55 the use of several low-volume samples, an approach that provides 56 better accuracy when compared to the classical sequential titration 57 method [7]. The protocol takes advantage of the ability to quantify 58 precisely the amount of ligand present in the different samples as an 59 accurate knowledge of the active concentrations of the interacting 60 partners determines the reliability of the final result. The practical 61 aspects of these measurements are illustrated using the interaction 62 between the third SH3 domain of Vinexinß and a model poly-63 proline peptide from the N-terminal domain (NTD) of the Reti-64 noic Acid Receptor γ (RAR γ) as a prototypal case (Fig. 1). In this 65 particular study, both accurate and precise measurements of 66 $K_{\rm d}$ values for different peptides are needed to understand the 67 molecular basis of the affinity modulation by the phosphorylation 68 of the RARy NTD [8]. 69

2 Materials

2.1 Protein Production The protein is obtained using heterologous expression in *E coli* 71 according a protocol that depends on the system under study. 72 Produce 4-5 mg of purified ¹⁵N labeled protein using adapted 73 expression and a purification protocols (*see* **Note 1**). 74

74



Fig. 1 The titration protocol presented here is illustrated with data originating from an interaction study between a model peptide from the proline-rich region of the RAR_{γ} NTD and the third SH3 domain of the human Vinexin β [8]. The residues highlighted in orange and red show Chemical Shift Perturbation (CSP) of their ¹H-¹⁵N correlation peaks upon addition of increasing amounts of peptide, indicating the location of the binding site on the protein surface. The CSP of *red highlighted* residues that were used to fit the equilibrium dissociation constant K_d

2.2 Peptide Synthesis

Peptides are obtained from the peptide synthesis platform at 76 IGBMC using an ABI 443A synthesizer adapted to FMOC chem-77 istry. Purify the crude peptide products by reverse phase high 78 performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) before undergoing 79 a second chromatographic purification step in a migration column 80 containing a cluster of resin balls (stable phase). Check the purity 81 (95 % or better) of the resulting product by examining the HPLC 82 elution profile, and by analyzing the peptide by mass spectrometry 83 and NMR (*see* **Note 1**). 84

2.3 Capillary System Use 1.7 mm outer-diameter capillary system for NMR measure- ⁸⁶ ments. This system is composed of 75 mm long capillaries capped ⁸⁷ with a teflon tube which is placed into a sample holder. Use a ⁸⁸ sample volume of 50 μ L, which produces a filling height of ⁸⁹ 40 mm that was tested to be sufficient. The sample holders have a ⁹⁰ standard 5 mm outer diameter upper section with a transition to a ⁹¹ 3 mm outer diameter (60 mm long) stem. The sample holder is ⁹² reusable and fits all conventional 5 mm rotors. Fill the space ⁹³ between the capillary and the sample holder with 50 μ L of D₂O ⁹⁴ (deuterated water) for the external lock. The system was purchased ⁹⁵ from "New-Era" (Vineland, NJ, USA).

97

85

AU2



2.5

Christian Köhler et al.

2.4 NMR Measurements

Theoretical

Measurements from NMR Frequencies

Aspects of K_d

The NMR measurements should be performed using a high-field $_{98}$ (above 600 MHz) NMR spectrometer equipped with a triple resonance cryogenic probe. Set the acquisition parameters to keep the $_{100}$ measurement time within reasonable limits of 1-2 h per titration $_{101}$ point. If available, use a sample changer to run the experiment $_{102}$ unattended overnight (*see* Note 2). $_{103}$

104

108

The binding of a ligand peptide (L) to a protein (P) to form a 105 peptide–protein complex (PL) is described by the following 106 equilibrium: 107AU3

$$P + L \underset{k_{\text{off}}}{\overset{k_{\text{on}}}{\longleftrightarrow}} PL \tag{1}$$

The dissociation equilibrium constant K_d is defined as:

$$K_{d} = \frac{k_{\text{off}}}{k_{\text{on}}} = \frac{[P][L]}{[PL]}$$
(2)

Where [P], [L] and [PL] are the concentrations of the free protein, the free ligand and the complex respectively and k_{on} and k_{off} the association and dissociation rates respectively. The ability to determine the value of the dissociation constant from chemical shift measurements depends on the exchange kinetic between free and bound species, defined as: 114

$$k_{\rm exc} = k_{\rm off} + k_{\rm on}[L] \tag{3}$$

For k_{exc} values significantly larger than the NMR frequency difference $2\pi(\nu_i^{\text{bound}} - \nu_i^{\text{free}})$ between the bound and free states of the protein, the observed frequency, ν_i is a weighted average between 117 the frequencies of the free and bound states: 118

$$\nu_i = x_1 \nu_i^{\text{bound}} + (1 - x_i) \nu_i^{\text{free}} \tag{4}$$

 $x_i \in [0, 1]$ is the occupancy of a given binding site *i* within the protein. This averaging situation occurs when k_{off} is rather fast, which corresponds to ligands of weak affinity (in the micromolar to millimolar range). Assuming that the frequency change of a given nucleus within the protein is essentially due to local perturbations, its value provides therefore a direct measurement of the occupancy of the binding site localized in its vicinity using: 121 122 123 124 125

$$x_i = \frac{\nu_i - \nu_i^{\text{free}}}{\nu_i^{\text{bound}} - \nu_i^{\text{free}}} \tag{5}$$

The subscript *i* highlights the unique ability of NMR spectroscopy 126 to measure site-specific affinity binding constants. The value of the 127 site-specific dissociation constant, K_d^i , is subsequently obtained 128 using a nonlinear fit of the following equation: 129 Author's Proof

Low Volume NMR Titration

$$x_i^2 - x_i \left(1 + \frac{[L]_0}{[P]_0} + \frac{K_d^i}{[P]_0} \right) + \frac{[L]_0}{[P]_0} = 0$$
(6)

with: $[L_0] = [L] + [PL]$ and $[P_0] = [P] + [PL]$ 130

 $K_d^{\ i}$ and $\nu_i^{\ bound}$ are adjustable parameters to minimize the value 131 of the target function: 132

$$f\left(K_{d}^{i},\nu_{i}^{\text{bound}}\right) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \left(\nu_{i,j}^{\text{calc}} - \nu_{i,j}^{\text{obs}}\right)^{2}$$
(7)

 $\nu_{i,j}^{\text{calc}}$ is a frequency calculated for a given total concentrations of 133 protein $[P]_{0,j}$ and ligand $[L]_{0,j}$, using equations (Eqs. 4 and 6) 134 while $\nu_{i,j}^{\text{obs}}$ is the corresponding measured frequency. The subscript 135 *j* identifies each single titration point from the total number of N 136 different mixtures of protein and ligand. 137

The protein frequencies are usually measured using 15 N or 13 C 138 labeled proteins and heteronuclear correlation spectra. For small 139 proteins, such as a SH3 domain, 1 H ${}^{-15}$ N correlation spectra provide an inexpensive and accurate way to monitor the chemical shift 141 perturbations induced by the binding of a ligand. Both nitrogen 142 and its bound amide proton frequencies are reported using a com-143 posite chemical shift (frequency) usually defined as: 144

$$\delta_{\rm comp} = \sqrt{\delta_{15_{\rm N}}^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma_{\rm H}}{\gamma_{\rm N}}\delta_{1_{\rm H}}\right)^2} \tag{8}$$

145

146

3 Methods

3.1 Design of the NMR Titration Experiment

- 1. The feasibility of the affinity measurement by NMR will depend 147 on the K_d value and the ability to get the protein and the 148 peptide at concentrations that are compatible with NMR measurements. The minimal protein concentration required to 150 acquire ${}^{1}\text{H}-{}^{15}\text{N}$ heteronuclear correlation spectra varies 151 between 10 and 100 μ M, depending on the available NMR 152 spectrometer. Check with classical methods (UV, DLS, ...) 153 whether the protein of interest can be concentrated up to 154 these values using a non-labeled protein sample. 155
- 2. Check the quality of the ¹⁵N labeled sample by recording a ¹⁵⁶ $^{1}H^{-15}N$ HSQC spectrum of your stock protein solution at ¹⁵⁷ its highest concentration. Standard large volume NMR tubes ¹⁵⁸ (5 or 3 mm tubes) can be used for this purpose. Check the ¹⁵⁹ stability of the protein sample at the planned measurement ¹⁶⁰ temperature by recording a $^{1}H^{-15}N$ HSQC spectrum after a ¹⁶¹ few days at this temperature. The appearance of a subset of ¹⁶² sharp peaks is indicative of protein degradation (*see* **Note 3**). ¹⁶³



- 3. Desalt the peptide and transfer it to the buffer used for the 164 protein. Both steps could be done at once using a gel 165 filtration column such as the Superdex Peptide 10/300 GL 166 (*see* Note 4).
- 4. Since the method presented here is only applicable when the 168 protein-peptide interaction leads to a so-called "fast exchange 169 regime," it is important to check whether this condition holds 170 true for the system of interest at an early stage of the study. This 171 could be done by preparing an initial sample with approxi-172 mately stoichiometric concentrations of protein and peptide 173 and by recording a ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC spectrum of this sample. 174 Four distinct situations may be encountered: 175
 - The correlation map of the mixture is identical to the one 176 obtained for the sole protein, indicative of an absence of 177 interaction. 178
 - The spectrum displays broader correlation peaks and sev-179 eral peaks are missing. This case corresponds to more com-180 protein plex situations where the undergoes an 181 intermediate time-scale exchange between two (peptide-182 bound and free) or more states, preventing K_d 183 measurements. 184
 - A second set of correlation peaks is observed. This is indicative of a "slow exchange regime" corresponding to tight interactions between the protein and the peptide. No quantitative measurement of the K_d will be possible using chemical shift measurements. 189
 - The correlation map of the mixture contains the same 190 number of peaks, but several of these peaks have different 191 frequencies when compared to the peptide-free spectrum 192 of the protein. This situation will allow the measurement of 193 the K_d . 194

195

Several factors do affect the accuracy and precision of equilibrium 196 constant measurements by NMR, the most important one being 197 inaccurate estimations of protein and ligand concentrations (see 198 **Note 5**). While the protein concentration may be measured with 199 reasonable accuracy using its absorption at 280 nm, this is not the 200 case for the peptides, in particular when they lack tryptophan or 201 tyrosine residues. It is therefore essential to ensure an accurate 202 measurement of protein and peptide concentrations. We report 203 hereafter a simple method that provides reasonable accuracy 204 for peptide concentration measurements by NMR (below 10 %) 205 (see Note 6). 206

> Prepare a stock solution of tryptophan by weighting about 207 6 mg of L-Tryptophan (MW: 204.23 g/mol). Dissolve the 208 powder in 5 mL of D₂O 99.9 %. 209

3.2 Measurement of Peptide and Protein Concentrations



Fig. 2 1D proton spectrum of a mixture between a model peptide (sequence P_5VP_5RVYK) corresponding to the proline-rich region of the RAR_Y NTD and the tryptophan solution of known concentration. The amount of peptide required for this concentration measurement was 15–20 µg. The ratio between the averaged integrals of the tryptophan peaks and those of the peptide indicated that the peptide was 2.3 times more concentrated than the tryptophan. Given the concentration of the tryptophan standard, this led to concentration of 4.5 ± 0.2 mM for the peptide stock solution. The relative uncertainty on the peptide concentration using this method was 4.4 %

- 2. Measure the concentration of the L-Tryptophan stock solution 210 (5-6 mM) by measuring the absorption at 280 nm (ε_{280} 211 = 5,690 mol⁻¹·cm⁻¹) (*see* Note 7). 212
- 3. Prepare a NMR sample by mixing a small volume $(10-20 \ \mu L)$ 213 of peptide (whose stock solutions are usually available at milli- 214 molar concentration) with (5–20 $\ \mu L$) of L-Tryptophan stock 215 solution. Complete with D₂O to get a total sample volume of 216 150–170 $\ \mu L$, suitable for a 3 mm tube. 217
- 4. Record a 1D proton NMR spectrum of the sample with water 218 pre-saturation for solvent signal suppression. Adjust the num- 219 ber of scans to get a reasonable signal-to-noise ratio according 220 the sensitivity of your spectrometer. A long relaxation delay 221 (10–15 s) should be used to account for the long T1 of the 222 tryptophan aromatic protons (about 3 s) (Fig. 2). 223
- 5. Perform a baseline correction and integrate the signals of the 224 tryptophan aromatic protons as well as one or few isolated 225 resonance peaks of the peptide (we often use methyl groups 226 resonances). Compute the ratio between the areas (normalized 227 by the number of protons resonating at the corresponding 228 frequency) measured for the peptide and the tryptophan to 229 get the concentration of the peptide stock solution $[L]_0$ using: 230

$$[L]_0 = \frac{A_{\rm L} N_{\rm w}}{A_{\rm w} N_{\rm L}} \frac{\mathrm{DF}_{\rm L}}{\mathrm{DF}_{\rm w}} [W]_0 \tag{9}$$

Where $A_{\rm L}$ is the areas measured under one or several peaks 231 corresponding to $N_{\rm L}$ proton resonances of the peptide. $A_{\rm w}$ and 232



3.3 NMR Capillaries

Preparation and NMR

Acquisition

 $N_{\rm w}$ are the corresponding values obtained for the tryptophan 233 resonances. DF_L and DF_w are the dilution factors used to 234 prepare the sample from the peptide and the tryptophan stock solutions, respectively. [*W*]₀ is the concentration of the tryptophan stock solution determined in **step 2**. 237

- 6. Measure the protein concentration using its absorption at 238 280 nm. 239
 - 240
- 1. Prior the titration experiment, the protein concentration 241 needed to achieve a reasonable signal-to-noise (S/N) ratio on 242 the heteronuclear ¹H–¹⁵N HSQC spectra should be adjusted. 243 On a 700 MHz equipped with a cryoprobe, a protein concen- 244 tration (the SH3.3 domain of Vinexin β) of 50 to 80 μ M in a 245 1.7 mm capillary tube provides good quality spectra. This will 246 highly depend on the available NMR equipment as well as on 247 the system under study. The use of NMR capillary tubes is of 248 particular interest when titration experiments have to be per- 249 formed in high salt concentrations (see Note 8). As an example, 250 the comparison of relative sensitivity measured on SH3 samples 251 using standard 5 mm, 3 mm tubes and capillary tubes at 252 700 MHz is provided in Table 1. Despite the apparent reduced 253 signal-to-noise ratio observed for low-volume samples, the 254 relative sensitivity (sensitivity per amount of material) is signifi- 255 cantly increased, up to a factor of 3 with capillaries as shown in 256 Table 1 (see Note 9). 257
 - 2. Prepare the different protein–peptide mixtures in Eppendorf 258 tubes. Adjust the sample volume according the capacity of the 259 chosen capillaries. For 1.7 mm capillaries, the volume is 260 adjusted to 75 μ L using the protein buffer (*see* **Note 1**). Fill 261 the capillaries using a stretched Pasteur pipette or a Hamilton 262 syringe. Add 50 μ L buffer in the capillary holder for external 263 lock. After capping the capillaries, insert them within the capil-264 lary holder as shown in Fig. 3. As an example, we provide here a 265 sample preparation table (Table 2) that was used to measure the 266

t.1 Table 1

Experimental sensitivities per amount of protein, relative to a 5 mm (550 µL) NMR tube

550 µL 5 mm 180 µL 3 mm 50 µL capillary 50 μL capillary Sample tube 9 % D₂0 tube 9 % D₂0 9 % D₂0 no D_2O in sample geometry in sample in sample in sample t.2 Ratio of protein material 1 0.33 0.09 0.1t 3 HSQC S/N 763 569 179 241 t.4 **Relative sensitivity** 1 2.26 2.613.16 t.5

AU4



Fig. 3 Preparation of capillary tubes (*left*) for ¹H–¹⁵N HSQC measurements (*right*). The insert shows a close-up on the effect of increasing amounts of peptide on the cross peak corresponding to the backbone amide proton of Tryptophan 42, which is located within the binding site (*see* Fig. 1)

affinity of SH3.3 domain of Vinexin β to a proline rich peptide 267 from the RAR γ NTD (*see* Note 10). 268

- For each sample, record a ¹H-¹⁵N HSQC heteronuclear spec- ²⁶⁹ trum with sufficient acquisition time and resolution to allow a ²⁷⁰ precise measurement of nitrogen and proton frequencies. ²⁷¹
- 4. The processed spectra should be superposed in order to iden-272 tify the ¹H-¹⁵N correlation peaks that are subjected to the 273 largest frequency shifts upon addition of the peptide. Perform 274 a peak-picking on each spectrum in order to compute a composite chemical shift perturbation using: 276

$$\Delta \delta_{\rm comp} = \sqrt{\left(\Delta \delta_{\rm N}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\gamma_{\rm H}}{\gamma_{\rm N}} \Delta \delta_{\rm H}\right)^2} \tag{10}$$

where $\Delta \delta_{\rm N}$ and $\Delta \delta_{\rm H}$ are the difference between the nitrogen 277 and proton chemical shifts measured with a given amount of 278 peptide and those measured in absence of peptide. $\gamma_{\rm H}$ and $\gamma_{\rm N}$ 279 are the gyromagnetic ratios of the proton and the nitrogen 280 respectively (*see* **Notes 11** and **12**). 281

t.1 Table 2

Composition of samples used for the titration of the C-terminal SH3 domain of human Vinexin β with the P_5VP_5RVYK peptide

t.2	Sample N°	Conc. Peptide stock (µM)	Volume SH3 (µL)	Volume peptide (µL)	Volume buffer (µL)	Conc. SH3 (µM)	Conc. peptide (µM)	Stoichiometric ratio
t.3	1	45	15	0	60	64.4	0	0
t.4	2	45	15	18	42	64.4	10.8	0.17
t.5	3	450	15	3	57	64.4	18	0.28
t.6	4	450	15	6	54	64.4	36	0.56
t.7	5	450	15	15	55	64.4	90	1.40
t.8	6	4,500	15	3	57	64.4	180	2.80
t.9	7	4,500	15	6	54	64.4	360	5.59
t.10	8	4,500	15	12	48	64.4	720	11.18
t.11	9	4,500	15	16	44	64.4	960	14.91
t.12	10	4,500	15	30	30	64.4	1,800	27.95
t.13	11	4,500	15	50	10	64.4	3,000	46.58

3.4 Data Analysis and Error Estimates

The first step of the analysis consists in estimating the number of 2882 peptide binding site on the protein surface. (1) a single binding site 284 and one step binding mechanism are characterized by a linear 285 trajectory of the peak in the ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}^{15}\text{N}$ HSQC series [6, 7, 9]. This 286 should be carefully checked, as the K_d is only defined under these 287 conditions. (2) Further check can be performed by mapping the 288 location of the corresponding amino acids on the protein structure, 289 if both the structure and the HSQC assignment are known (*see* 290 **Note 13**). (3) A last insight is provided by the numerical analysis of 291 chemical shift data. The fitting procedure described below may first 292 be applied using individual ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}^{15}\text{N}$ correlations first to extract local 293 K_d values. Their convergence to an identical dissociation constant 294 provides a strong indication that these ${}^{1}\text{H}{-}^{15}\text{N}$ sites monitor the 295 peptide occupancy of the same binding site (*see* **Note 14**). 296

1. Find the values of K_d and $\Delta \delta_{\text{comp}}^{\text{max}}$ that leads to a minimal value 297 AU5 of Eq. 8. This could be performed using least-square fitting 298 procedures available in CcpNmr or other protein NMR 299 software packages. We recommend using Python scripts 300 which offers more flexibility in data analysis and plotting (*see* 301 **Note 15**). Average the Chemical shift changes of Amide 302 groups that belong to the same binding site in order to increase 303 the precision of the binding site occupancy measurement. In 304 case of the Vinexin β SH3.3 domain, an average chemical shift 305 perturbation was calculated from 10 $^{1}H^{-15}N$ correlations 306 corresponding to residues Q19, N20, N20ND, M35, W42, 307 W42NE, T55, N59ND, Y60, and V61 (highlighted in Fig. 3). 308

2. Estimate the uncertainty on the resulting K_d values. This is 309 done using a Monte Carlo simulation where synthetic datasets 310 are generated and subsequently fitted. These synthetic datasets 311 are generated using a Gaussian distribution of $\Delta \delta_{\text{comp}}$ using 312 the values calculated from the first fit as the mean and the 313 root-mean square deviation (the square root of Eq. 8) as the 314 standard deviation. The uncertainties on protein and peptide 315 concentrations are taken into account by generating distribu- 316 tions of peptide and protein total concentrations around 317 the initial values. The width of the distribution is given by the 318 uncertainties on the concentrations (see Note 16). As concen- 319 tration values can't be negative, the Log-normal distribution 320 is chosen to generate the distribution of concentration 321 values [10]. The distribution width is then directly given by 322 the relative uncertainties on the measured concentrations 323 (see Notes 17 and Note 18). 324

4 Notes

326

- 1. The protocol used to purify the C-terminal SH3.3 domain of 327 human Vinexin β (REFSEQ: NP 001018003) was a classical 328 two steps purification protocol (Glutathione affinity and gel 329 filtration) that is described in ref. 8. Alternatively, ¹³C, ¹⁵N 330 double-labeled proteins are also suitable for titration experi-331 ments. The final buffer was a low salt phosphate buffer with 332 20 mM sodium phosphate at pH 7.0, 100 mM NaCl. 333
- We used a BRUKER Avance III 700 MHz spectrometer 334 equipped with a TCI cryoprobe and a BACS60 sample changer. 335 ¹H-¹⁵N-HSQC spectra were recorded with 32 scans and 128 336 data points in the indirect dimension resulting in a total acqui- 337 sition time of 90 min per sample. 338
- 3. Several precautions may be used to prevent, or at least slow 339 down protein degradation. Antiproteases are usually added to 340 the final sample as well as sodium azide (NaN_3) (0.01 % w/v) 341 used as an antibacterial. If the protein sequence contains free 342 cysteines, we usually add reducing agents such as Dithiothreitol 343 (DTT) or TCEP (Tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine). In that case, 344 all used buffers should be carefully degassed and oxygen 345 removed from the sample by Helium or Argon bubbling. 346
- 4. Protocols used for peptide synthesis and purification lead to the 347 presence of significant amount of trifluoro acetic acid (TFA) 348 salts in dry peptide samples. NMR provides an accurate method 349

Author's Proof



Fig. 4 Least-square fit of the chemical shifts perturbation data measured for the interaction between the P_5VP_5RVYK model peptide and the Vinexin β SH3.3 domain. (a) Semi-log plot of the composite chemical shifts computed from ten residues of SH3.3 as a function of peptide concentrations. Pseudo experimental points generated for the Monte Carlo estimate of the uncertainty on the K_d value are shown in *gray*. These points are distributed according to a gaussian distribution for the $\Delta\delta$ values and according to a log-normal distribution for the peptide and protein concentrations. (b) Distribution of the two fitted parameters after the Monte Carlo procedure. The concentration uncertainties were estimated to be 10 % for the SH3.3 protein and between 4 and 5 % for the peptide. The calculations were performed for a peptide stock solution whose concentration was either underestimated by a factor of 0.6 and 0.8 (*yellow* and *red*), or overestimated by 1.2 and 1.4 (*green* and *blue*). The *black points* reflect the effect of pure random noise of the fitting procedure as the concentration of peptide stock solution is considered to be accurate

to check both the efficiency of the desalting procedure and 350 the purity of the final peptide solution by recording ¹H and ¹⁹F 351 1D spectra of the stock peptide solution. Depending on the 352 peptide sequence, we found that the gel filtration desalting 353 method may leave significant amounts of residual trifluoroacetate 354 salts in the final sample. In this case, more efficient protocols 355 should be considered [11]. 356

- 5. Over or underestimated values of the peptide stock concentra-357 tion have a dramatic impact on the K_d values resulting from the 358 fit of Eq. 8. This effect can be evaluated by performing Monte 359 Carlo simulations with systematically biased values of ligand 360 concentrations (20 or 40 % above or below the true value, as 361 shown in Fig. 4 and Table 3). The results obtained indicate that 362 a concentration of ligand peptide that is underestimated by 363 30 % leads to an overestimation of the affinity by a factor of 364 30 % (The apparent K_d value is 36 μ M instead of 52 μ M). This 365 large effect is due to the high correlation that exists between the 366 different measurement points since the corresponding pro-367 tein-peptide mixtures are usually prepared from the same pep-368 tide stock solution. 369
- 6. A method has been recently proposed to compute the molar absorptivity of a protein or peptide at 205 nm from its amino acid sequence, providing an alternative for quantifying peptides 372

Table 3

Average values and standard deviations of dissociation constants (K_d) and chemical shift perturbations ($\Delta \delta_{max}$) values computed from Monte Carlo calculations

	Relative uncertainties (one standard deviation) on peptide concentrations				
	10 %	20 %	30 %	40 %	50 %
$K_{d}\left(\mu M\right)$	52.3 ± 5.6	52.0 ± 9.9	52.5 ± 14.6	53.9 ± 19.8	52.3 ± 22.3
$\Delta \delta_{max} \left(ppm \right)$	0.257 ± 0.002	0.256 ± 0.003	0.256 ± 0.005	0.256 ± 0.006	0.255 ± 0.007

	Ratio between measured and real peptide concentrations					t.6
	0.6	0.8	1.	1.2	1.4	t.7
$K_{d}\left(\mu M\right)$	19.6 ± 3.8	35.6 ± 4.2	52.1 ± 5.4	69.8 ± 6.1	87.4 ± 7.3	t.8
$\Delta \delta_{max} \left(ppm \right)$	0.252 ± 0.003	0.255 ± 0.002	0.257 ± 0.002	0.258 ± 0.002	0.259 ± 0.002	t.9

Experimental chemical shifts were obtained from the interaction of the P_5VP_5RVYK peptide with the Vinexin β SH3.3 t.10 domain. The uncertainty of the SH3.3 protein concentration was estimated to be 10%. The fitted values are reported for different uncertainties of the peptide concentrations (*upper panel*) or for a systematic error on peptide stock solution (*lower panel*).

lacking tryptophan or tyrosine residues [12]. Combining this 373 measurement with the quantitative evaluation of peptide con-374 centration by NMR provides an interesting way to get robust 375 estimates of concentrations. Other methods have been pro-376 posed for protein concentrations measurements by NMR, 377 such as PULCON for instance [13]. 378

- 7. In order to increase the precision of this OD measurement, we 379 usually perform several $OD_{280 nm}$ measurements with targeted 380 absorption values of 0.8, 0.4, 0.2, and 0.1. The linear regres- 381 sion of this series of measurements is used to provide an estimation of the uncertainty on the Tryptophan stock solution 383 concentration. 384
- 8. The Signal-to-Noise ratio (S/N) in NMR may be written as: 385

$$S/N \propto \frac{M_0 B_1}{\sqrt{P_s(T_a + T_s) + P_c(T_a + T_c)}}$$
 (11)

where M_0 is the spin magnetization, B_1 the radio-frequency 386 (RF) field intensity applied to the sample, and P_c and P_s are the 387 RF power absorbed by the coil and by the sample, respectively. 388 T_c and T_s are the temperature of the coil and the sample, 389 respectively, while T_a is the noise temperature of the preampli- 390 fier [14–16]. Recent progress in NMR probe development, 391 most notably the development of cryogenic probes, improved 392 the S/N by lowering T_c and T_a down to 10–25 K and by 393 reducing P_c by optimizing the coil quality factor (*see* ref. 16). 394

t.1 AU6



There remains room for S/N optimization on the P_s term, 395 which is mostly dependent on the sample itself because of 396 dielectric losses. It is known that the RF power dissipated in 397 the sample depends on the dielectric constant of the medium 398 which is very much dependent on the type of solvent and on the 399 ionic strength when working in H_2O . Thus, the P_s term 400 depends on the distribution of the electric field within the 401 sample geometry and on the strength of the RF irradiation 402 (expressed as its angular frequency ω_1) with: 403

$$P_{\rm S} \propto \omega_1^2 \tag{12}$$

Because of this dependency, $P_{\rm s}$ losses become more prominent 404 with increasing fields. On a given probe, reducing the internal 405 diameter of the NMR tube with a capillary system has two 406 opposite effects on the overall sensitivity of the measurement. 407 First, reducing the sample volume at a given concentration 408 results in a loss of signal due to a proportional reduction of 409 sample quantity. However, the power dissipated within the 410 sample P_s is also reduced and so is the noise, leading to a 411 potential improvement of the S/N. The balance between 412 these two effects strongly depends on the nature of the sample 413 itself, and the amount of the overall effect is not directly pre-414 dictable. Finally, it should be mentioned that the use of capil-415 lary tubes centers the sample in the inner volume of the coil 416 where the electric field is minimum and the impact on $P_{\rm s}$ and 417 thus on the noise is maximum. This effect has been studied 418 [17] and it was shown that in high salt conditions it is actually 419 beneficial in terms of S/N to reduce the NMR tube diameter 420 while keeping all concentrations constant. 421

9. This gain results from several factors. First, the signal noise 422 arising from RF losses in the sample itself is minimized in 423 small diameter tubes due to a lower value of P_s , the RF power 424 dissipated within the sample (*see* **Note 8**). This effect will be of 425 increasing importance if high salt concentrations are required 426 for the protein buffer and if a cryogenically cooled probe is 427 used. A second source of sensitivity gain originates from a more 428 optimal use of the sample volume as only about 30 % of the 429 sample volume is outside the RF coil. On 5 mm tubes, suscep-430 tibility matched NMR tubes or plugs (Shigemi tubes) are usu-431 ally used to compensate this effect, allowing doubling the 432 relative sensitivity. Though the handling of these systems is 433 cumbersome, the susceptibility matched approach can also be 434 applied on capillary tubes, with a potential further 43 % gain in 435 relative sensitivity. Finally, the use of an external lock implies 436 that there is no need to add deuterium into the sample itself 437 which otherwise leads to an additional loss of signal due to 438 deuterium exchange of the amide protons. Notably, the 439 capillary sample lacking 9 % D2O enables another 21 % of gain 440 in relative sensitivity. 441

- In our example, the concentration of the protein is constant 442 while the peptide concentration varies. It has been shown that 443 an optimal sampling is achieved when both the protein and 444 peptide concentrations are varied together [18].
- 11. Peak picking is usually performed using the software packages 446 dedicated to protein NMR spectra analysis such as SPARKY 447 (http://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/home/sparky), CcpNmr Analysis 448 (http://www.ccpn.ac.uk) or CARA (http://cara.nmr.ch). 449 Peak tracking can be performed with algorithms such as 450 described in [19] for instance. 451
- 12. The ratio $\gamma_{\rm H}/\gamma_{\rm N}$ is a weighting factor that compensates the 452 difference of chemical shift ranges between proton and nitro-453 gen frequencies. Its precise value is of little importance and 454 there are also other weighting factors described in the 455 literature.
- The resonance assignment of a variety of proteins can be 457 obtained from the Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Base 458 (BMRB) at http://www.bmrb.wisc.edu/.
- 14. The knowledge of the resonance assignments is not required to 460 identify two binding sites if their affinity are different and if this 461 difference could be resolved by NMR titration experiments as 462 shown in [6].
- 15. The set of Python script used to analyze the interaction 464 between the Vinexin β SH3.3 domain and the P₅VP₅RVYK 465 RAR γ model peptide is available at http://zenodo.org (doi: 466 10.5281/zenodo.11663). 467
- 16. The propagation of uncertainties of volume measurements 468 follows the general law: 469

$$u^{2}(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}}\right)^{2} u^{2}(x_{i}) + 2\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^{N} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{i}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}} \operatorname{cov}(x_{i}, x_{j})$$
(13)

where u(y) is the uncertainty on the concentration that depends 470 on several variables $(y = f(x_i))$ depending on the specific 471 scheme that is used for sample preparation. The covariance 472 $(y = f(x_i))$ was set to 1 for volumes if the same pipette was 473 used twice, and for concentrations when the same solution was 474 used. The calculation of uncertainty propagation used for the 475 Vinexin β work is available at the following address: http:// 476 zenodo.org (doi: 10.5281/zenodo.11663). 477

17. Two main types of uncertainties have to be distinguished: an 478 erroneous estimation of the peptide stock solution will lead to a 479

t.1 Table 4

Comparison of the uncertainties on ligand concentrations for sequential or parallel titration experiments

t.2			Absolute (μ M) and relative ligand concentration uncertainties	
t.3	Sample number	Peptide concentration (μM)	Sequential titration scheme	Parallel titration scheme
t.4	0	0.0		
t.5	1	10.8	4.8%(0.52)	4.9%(0.53)
t.6	2	18.0	3.7 % (0.66)	4.9%(0.89)
t.7	3	36.0	4.1 % (1.49)	4.8 % (1.74)
t.8	4	90.0	5.4%(4.84)	4.8 % (4.28)
t.9	5	180.0	5.8%(10.5)	4.8 % (8.66)
t.10	6	360.0	6.7 % (24.3)	4.7 % (16.9)
t.11	7	720.0	7.4 % (53.5)	4.6 % (33.3)
t.12	8	960.0	6.4 % (61.9)	4.6 % (44.3)
t.13	9	1800.0	7.0 % (126.3)	4.6 % (82.7)
t.14	10	3000.0	5.1 % (152.7)	4.5 % (135.4)
t.15		Max uncertainty:	7.4 %	4.9 %

systematic bias in the resulting K_d values, while pipetting errors 480 will introduce random noise on the measurements. We have 481 simulated both effects and the resulting uncertainties on fitted 482 parameters are shown in Table 3. While a random noise of 20 % 483 on the peptide concentration leads to a resulting relative uncer-484 tainty of 20 % on the K_d value, a 20 % underestimation of the 485 peptide concentration leads to overestimation of the affinity by 486 more than 30 % (36 μ M instead of 52 μ M). This emphasizes the 487 importance of having the most accurate peptide concentration 488 values before undertaking affinity measurements by NMR or by 489 any other methods. 490

18. In order to provide a quantitative estimation of these effects, 491 we performed formal calculations to compute the uncertainties 492 on the protein and peptide concentrations for each point of the 493 titration that arise from the uncertainties of volume measure-494 ments. These later values were taken from the specifications 495 provided by the pipette manufacturer (Gilson Inc.). The result-496 ing absolute and relative uncertainties on the ligand concentra-497 tions together with their impact on the resulting K_d are 498 reported in Table 4. The parallel titration protocol leads to 499 maximal relative error on ligand concentrations of 4.9 %, a 500 value that is lower than the one obtained (7.4 %) if the experi-501 ment would have been performed using a regular sequential 502 addition of ligand to the same tube. It is worth noting that this 503 calculation is probably underestimating the uncertainty asso-504 ciated with the sequential titration protocol as the multiple 505 manipulations of the same tube will lead to unavoidable losses 506 of sample volume, in particular when susceptibility matching 507 tubes are used. 508

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the ANR program VINRAR ANR-09- 510 BLAN-0297, the Institut National du Cancer [grant number 511 INCa-PL09-194], the Ligue Regional contre le cancer and by the 512 French Infrastructure for Integrated Structural Biology (FRISBI) 513 ANR-10-INSB-05-01, as part of the European Strategy Forum on 514 Research Infrastructures (ESFRI) and through national members 515 agreements. The authors thank Claude Ling (IGBMC) for technical support, the chemical peptide synthesis service at IGBMC and Yves Nominé for critical reading of the manuscript.

517 518

AU7 519 **References**

AU8 520

- 1. Kieffer B, Homans S, Jahnke W (2011)
 Nuclear magnetic resonance of ligand binding
 to proteins. In: Podjarni A, Dejaegere A, Kieffer B (eds) Biophysical approaches determining
 ligand binding to biomolecular targets. RSC,
 Cambridge, UK, pp 15–55
- 528 2. Fielding L (2007) NMR methods for the
 529 determination of protein-ligand dissociation
 530 constants. Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc
 531 51:219-242
- 532 3. Dalvit C (2009) NMR methods in fragment
 533 screening: theory and a comparison with
 534 other biophysical techniques. Drug Discov
 535 Today 14:1051–1057
- 4. Pellecchia M, Bertini I, Cowburn D, Dalvit C, Giralt E, Jahnke W, James TL, Homans SW,
 Kessler H, Luchinat C, Meyer B, Oschkinat H,
 Peng J, Schwalbe H, Siegal G (2008) Perspectives on NMR in drug discovery: a technique
 comes of age. Nat Rev Drug Discov
 7:738–745
- 543 5. Sprangers R, Kay LE (2007) Quantitative dynamics and binding studies of the 20S proteasome by NMR. Nature 445:618–622
- 6. Quinternet M, Starck JP, Delsuc MA, Kieffer B
 (2012) Unraveling complex small-molecule

binding mechanisms by using simple NMR spectroscopy. Chem Eur J 18:3969–3974

- Bourry D, Sinnaeve D, Gheysen K, Fritzinger B, Vandenborre G, Van Damme EJ, Wieruszeski JM, Lippens G, Ampe C, Martins JC (2011) Intermolecular interaction studies using small volumes. Magn Reson Chem 49:9–15
- Lalevee S, Bour G, Quinternet M, Samarut E, AU9556 Kessler P, Vitorino M, Bruck N, Delsuc MA, 557 Vonesch JL, Kieffer B, Rochette-Egly C (2010) 558 Vinexinß, an atypical "sensor" of retinoic acid 559 receptor gamma signaling: union and sequestration, separation, and phosphorylation. 561 FASEB J 24:4523–4534 562
- Williamson MP (2013) Using chemical shift perturbation to characterise ligand binding. Prog Nucl Magn Reson Spectrosc 73:1–16
- Limpert E, Stahel WA, Abbt M (2001) Lognormal distributions across sciences: keys and clues. Bioscience 51:341–352
- 11. Roux S, Zekri E, Rousseau B, Paternostre M, Cintrat JC, Fay N (2008) Elimination and exchange of trifluoroacetate counter-ion from cationic peptides: a critical evaluation of different approaches. J Pept Sci 14:354–359
 573

509

555

563

564

565

566

567

568

548

549



- Anthis NJ, Clore GM (2013) Sequence specific determination of protein and peptide
 concentrations by absorbance at 205 nm. Pro-
- 577 tein Sci 22:851–858
- 578 13. Wider G, Dreier L (2006) Measuring protein
 579 concentrations by NMR spectroscopy. J Am
 580 Chem Soc 128:2571–2576
- 14. Hoult DI, Lauterbur PC (1979) The sensitivity
 of the zeugmatographic experiment involving
 human samples. J Magn Reson 34:425–433
- 15. Hoult DI (1996) Sensitivity of the NMR
 experiment. In: Grant DM (ed) Encyclopaedia
 of nuclear magnetic resonance. Wiley, New
 York, NY
- 16. de Swiet TM (2005) Optimal electric fields for
 different sample shapes in high resolution NMR
 spectroscopy. J Magn Reson 174:331–334

 Voehler MW, Collier G, Young JK, Stone MP, Germann MW (2006) Performance of cryogenic probes as a function of ionic strength and sample tube geometry. J Magn Reson 183:102–109

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

- Markin CJ, Spyracopoulos L (2012) Increased precision for analysis of protein-ligand dissociation constants determined from chemical shift titrations. J Biomol NMR 53:125–138
- Ravel P, Kister G, Malliavin TE, Delsuc MA (2007) A general algorithm for peak-tracking in multi-dimensional NMR experiments. J Biomol NMR 37:265–275
- 20. Kovrigin EL (2012) NMR line shapes and multi-state binding equilibria. J Biomol NMR 53:257–270

Author's Proof

Author Queries

Chapter No.: 22 317550_3_En

Query Refs. **Details Required** Author's response AU1 Please check whether the affiliations of the authors are presented appropriately. AU2 Please check whether the edited value in the sentence "1.7 mm outer-diameter capillary system..." is appropriate. Please check whether the values (bold, italic) are AU3 presented appropriately throughout the chapter (both in equations and text). AU4 Please provide the significance for "bold emphasis" given in the Table 1. AU5 The list starting with "Find the values of Kd and..." has been renumbered. Please check. AU6 Please check whether the footer presented in Table 3 is appropriate. AU7 Note that reference [20] (original [8]) is not cited in text. Please cite the reference in text or delete it from the list. Note that refs. 2b, 6b, and 11b has been AU8 renumbered and cited sequentially in text. Please check whether the cross references are appropriate. AU9 Please check whether the article title in ref. [8], is presented appropriately.

Incol