



HAL
open science

Spatial panel multinomial logit models for large samples

Abdourahmane Diallo, Ghislain Geniaux

► **To cite this version:**

Abdourahmane Diallo, Ghislain Geniaux. Spatial panel multinomial logit models for large samples: An application to land use change models. 6. World Conference of the Spatial Econometrics Association, Jul 2012, Salvador de Bahia, Brazil. 21 p. hal-02803125

HAL Id: hal-02803125

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02803125>

Submitted on 5 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Spatial Panel Multinomial Logit Models for large samples : An application to Land Use Change Models

¹ A. DIALLO

¹INRA SAD Ecodeveloppement Avignon (UR 767)

SEW 2012

Plan

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Spatial Multinomial choice models
- 3 Full GMM estimation
- 4 The linearized version of full GMM estimator
- 5 MC analysis

Panel Data in Spatial Econometrics Models

Benefits

- Modeling heterogeneity
- More specifications.

Costs

- More complex estimation procedures(Incidental parameters problem).
- Large data sets.

Introduction

- The objectives of our article are:
 - 1 to propose a full GMM for spatial panel multinomial choice model,
 - 2 to derive a linearized version of our GMM estimator.

GMM

The structural model :

$$y_{jt}^* = \alpha_{jt} I_n + \lambda_t W y_{jt}^* + X_t \beta_{jt} + \epsilon_{jt} \quad (1)$$

$$y_{ijt} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y_{ijt}^* \geq y_{ikt}^* \quad k \neq j \in A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Under the assumptions in Kelejian and Prucha (1999), we can rewrite the spatial latent model :

$$y_{jt}^* = (I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} \alpha I_n + (I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} X_t \beta_j + (I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} \epsilon_{jt}$$

We obtain that the variance-covariance matrix is proportional to: $V = I_t \otimes [(I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} ((I - \lambda_t W)^{-1})']$

Let us denote

$$\sigma_V^2(\lambda_t) = \text{diag}(V_t) = (\sigma_1^2(\lambda_t), \sigma_2^2(\lambda_t), \dots, \sigma_n^2(\lambda_t))'$$

$$V_t = (I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} ((I - \lambda_t W)^{-1})'$$

$$X_t^* = \frac{(I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} X}{\sigma_V(\lambda_t)} \text{ and } W_t^* = \frac{(I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} I_n}{\sigma_V(\lambda_t)}, \text{ A set of alternatives ,}$$

J the cardinal of A and A^- is a subset of A with cardinal $J - 1$.

Suppose that unobserved components are independently identically distributed across individuals and time-periods and drawn from the type l extreme value distribution, then the choice probability for the individual i to select an alternative j at period t is:

$$P_{ijt} = \frac{\exp\left(X_{it}^* \beta_j + W_{it}^* \alpha_{jt}\right)}{1 + \sum_{k \in A^-} \exp\left(X_{it}^* \beta_k + W_{it}^* \alpha_{jt}\right)}$$

The previous model implies both heteroskedasticity and autocorrelation \implies multinomial panel logit estimation method is inconsistent.

We propose, like in Pinkse and Slade (1998), Klier and McMillen (2008) and Diallo and Geniaux (2012), a GMM estimator based on the moment conditions implied by the likelihood function for a spatial panel multinomial logit model.

$$\tilde{u}_{ijt} = y_{ijt} - p_{ijt}$$

is a generalized residual.

Let us denote $\theta = [\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_J), \lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_T), \alpha = (\alpha_{11}, \dots, \alpha_{JT})] \in \Theta$, where Θ is the parameter open space.

$$Q(\theta) = S_{nT}'(\theta) \Sigma_{nT} S_{nT}(\theta)$$

where $S_{nT}(\theta) = \frac{1}{nT} Z' \tilde{u}$, Σ_{nT} some positive definite matrix

and Z is a matrix of instruments $Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & Z_2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & Z_T \end{pmatrix}$.

Where $Z_t = [X_t, WX_t, W^2X_t, W^3X_t]$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$.

$$(G_{\alpha_j})_{it} = p_{ijt}(1 - p_{ijt})((I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} I_n)_i$$

$$(G_{\beta_j})_{it} = p_{ijt}(1 - p_{ijt})X_{it}^*$$

$$(G_{\lambda_t})_{it} = p_{ijt} \left[\sum_{k \in A} p_{ikt} H_{it} (\beta_j - \beta_k) - \frac{X_{it}^* (\beta_j - \beta_k) \Lambda_{ii}}{\sigma_i^2} \right. \\ \left. + \sum_{k \in A} p_{ikt} H_{it}^* (\alpha_{jt} - \alpha_{kt}) - \frac{(W^* I_n)_{it} (\alpha_{jt} - \alpha_{kt}) \Lambda_{ii}}{\sigma_i^2} \right]$$

Where $H_t = (I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} W X_t^*$, $H_t^* = (I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} W W_t^*$ and

Λ is a the digonal matrix of the following matrix

$$\left((I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} W (I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} (I - \lambda_t W)^{-1'} \right).$$

It is important to note that, when $\lambda_t = 0$ and $\alpha_{jt} = 0$,

$$G_{\alpha_j} = p_j(1 - p_j)[I_t \otimes I_n]$$

$$G_{\beta_j} = p_j(1 - p_j)X$$

$$G_{\lambda_t} = p_j \left[\sum_{k \in A} p_k W X_t (\beta_j - \beta_k) \right]$$

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ \vdots \\ X_T \end{pmatrix}$$

As Klier and Klier and McMillen (2008) and Diallo and Geniaux (2012), we develop a linearized version of our GMM

LGMM

$$\tilde{u}_{ijt} = y_{ijt} - p_{ijt}$$

$$\tilde{u}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha) - \tilde{u}(\beta^{SMNlogit}, \lambda = 0, \alpha = 0) = G(\theta - \theta_0)$$

where $G(\cdot)$ is a gradient term

$$\tilde{u}(\beta, \lambda, \alpha) = G(\theta - \theta_0) + \tilde{u}(\beta^{SMNlogit}, \lambda = 0, \alpha = 0)$$

where $\theta_0 = (\beta^{SMNlogit}, \lambda = 0, \alpha = 0)$.

linearized procedure

We estimate $\beta^{SMNlogit}$ by standard multinomial logit estimation method with $\lambda = 0$ and $\alpha = 0$ ¹ and we calculate $u(\beta^{SMNplogit}, 0, 0)$, we obtain the following gradient terms:

$$G_{\alpha_j}(\beta^{SMNplogit}, \lambda = 0, \alpha = 0) = p_j(1 - p_j)[I_t \otimes I_n]$$

$$G_{\beta_j}(\beta^{SMNplogit}, \lambda = 0, \alpha = 0) = p_j(1 - p_j)X$$

$$G_{\lambda_t}(\beta^{SMNplogit}, \lambda = 0, \alpha = 0) = p_j \left[\sum_{k \in A} p_k WX_t(\beta_j - \beta_k) \right]$$

¹spatial autocorellation, heteroscedastic and heterogeneity are ignored

linearized procedure

Regress G_α , G_β and G_λ on Z . The predicted values are \hat{G}_α , \hat{G}_β and \hat{G}_λ . Finally regress $u(\beta^{SMNPlogit}, 0, 0) + G'_\beta \beta^{SMNlogit}$ on \hat{G}_α , \hat{G}_β and \hat{G}_λ . The coefficients of this last regression provides the estimated values of α , β and λ .

This algorithm provides accurate estimators of λ and α , and an biased estimator of β .

Adjusting coefficient

To correct the downward bias in β , we will need to calculate the marginal effects ². The general coefficient to adjust the downward bias in β is given by

$$\frac{Tr((\sigma_V(\lambda)))}{Tr((A))}$$

where Tr is the trace operator of matrix

²see (Diallo and Geniaux, 2012)

- We present the results of Monte Carlo analysis to evaluate the performance of full GMM and linearized multinomial logit GMM estimators with a spatial panel data framework.
- we generate the data according to:

$$P_{ijt} = \frac{\exp\left(X_{it}^* \beta_j + W_{it}^* \alpha_{jt}\right)}{1 + \sum_{k \in A^-} \exp\left(X_{it}^* \beta_k + W_{it}^* \alpha_{jt}\right)}$$

MC settings

- $n=1000$, $i = 1, \dots, n$ individuals, $j = 1, \dots, 4$ alternatives and $t = 1, \dots, 3$ time-period.
- X_t is drawn from bivariate $U(-1, 1)$
- $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in [0, 0.9] \times [0, 0.9] \times [0, 0.9]$
- For alternative 0 $\beta_{10} = \beta_{20} = 0$, for alternative 1 $\beta_{11} = 0.5; \beta_{21} = 1$, for alternative 2 $\beta_{12} = 0; \beta_{22} = -1$, for alternative 3 $\beta_{13} = 0; \beta_{23} = 0.7$.

MC settings

- The fixed time-varying preferential effects are generated as $\alpha_{jt} = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n X_{it} \beta_j$
- $\alpha_{0t} = 0$
- The exogenous spatial weight matrix for the experiments is created as follows: firstly we draw two random numbers from the uniform distribution $U(0, 1)$ for each observation. These numbers are used to specify the coordinates of each observation in the $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ plane.

MC settings

- To work in large samples, we use the following approximation $(I - \lambda_t W)^{-1} = I + \lambda_t W + \lambda_t^2 W^2 + \lambda_t^3 W^3$ and we suppose that each location have two neighbors.

- $$Z = \begin{pmatrix} Z_1 & 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & Z_2 & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & \cdot & 0 \\ 0 & \cdot & \cdot & 0 & Z_T \end{pmatrix}.$$

Where $Z_t = [X_t, WX_t, W^2 X_t, W^3 X_t]$, $t = 1, 2, \dots, T$.

Results of Monte Carlo experiment with 1000 repetitions, $n=1000$

			Standard Multinomial Logit						LGMM									
λ_{01}	λ_{02}	λ_{03}	b_{11}	b_{21}	b_{12}	b_{22}	b_{13}	b_{23}	b_{11}	b_{21}	b_{12}	b_{22}	b_{13}	b_{23}	λ_1	λ_2	λ_3	
0	0	0	0.505	1.005	-0.007	-1.008	-0.007	0.701	0.498	1.001	0.001	-0.998	-0.003	0.707	0.001	0.002	0.001	
			RMSE	0.082	0.081	0.082	0.083	0.080	0.081	0.076	0.081	0.075	0.087	0.077	0.080	0.119	0.124	0.120
0.1	0.2	0.3	0.496	0.993	-0.002	-1.001	-0.002	0.694	0.505	1.001	0.005	-1.019	-0.005	0.697	0.101	0.200	0.302	
			RMSE	0.089	0.088	0.087	0.090	0.090	0.091	0.076	0.081	0.075	0.083	0.075	0.078	0.122	0.126	0.129
0.7	0.8	0.9	0.405	0.800	-0.004	-0.818	-0.002	0.581	0.501	0.997	0.004	-1.049	-0.010	0.697	0.775	0.885	1.025	
			RMSE	0.097	0.095	0.098	0.096	0.099	0.101	0.085	0.095	0.085	0.096	0.080	0.083	0.142	0.156	0.161

References |

- Diallo, A., Geniaux, G., 2012. Spatial multinomial logit model for large samples: An application for land use model. In: SEA2012 meeting on Spatial Econometrics.
- Kelejian, H., Prucha, I., 1999. A generalized moments estimator for the autoregressive parameter in a spatial model. *International economic review* 40 (2), 509–533.
- Klier, T., McMillen, D., 2008. Clustering of auto supplier plants in the united states. *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics* 26 (4), 460–471.
- Pinkse, J., Slade, M., 1998. Contracting in space: An application of spatial statistics to discrete-choice models. *Journal of Econometrics* 85 (1), 125–154.