

Investigating human-animal interactions from the animal's perspective

Xavier Boivin

▶ To cite this version:

Xavier Boivin. Investigating human-animal interactions from the animal's perspective. Seminaire SRUC, Jun 2013, Roslin, United Kingdom. 43 p. hal-02803865

HAL Id: hal-02803865 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02803865

Submitted on 5 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Investigating human-animal interactions from the animal's perspective (X. Boivin, UMR1213 Herbivores, INRA Theix)




Seminar SRUC Scotland, June 12 2013




















Evolution of the French modern beef cattle husbandry




Test of docilité



Docility can be defined as the ability of an animal to accept the constraint imposed directly by the human (forced proximity, contact, driving)

(Boivin et al, 1992, 1994, Le Neindre et al, 1995)

Docility test : Comparaison between twice a day suckling system and outdoors system for beef cattle



(*) proportion of agressive animals at 8 month of age

(Boivin et al., 1994, 1998)





Human-animal relationship Theoretical framework and research strategy






A theoretical framework

Paul Hemsworth's work in pigs and dairy cows production

Associative learning







The standard human approach test

A theoretical framework

The importance of the human-animal interactions in early age and the concept of « socialisation »





Lorenz and the geese (1935), Scott and the dogs (1962) The concept of sensitive period of contact

The « socialisation » concept



A social relationship (including the human) is mainly based on an attachment and adjustement to the other members of the group during sensitive periods of animal life (Scott, 1972, 1992)

===> practical interest for the farmer: efficient, quick and durable

Probleme of definition for the human-animal relationship


Social group : mutual attraction between individuals <u>from the</u> <u>same species</u> outside periods of reproduction (Chauvin, 1963, Wilson, 1975)

Sociality concept based on species evolution (genetic basis) and their behaviour

Overlap between communication systems of humans and animals (Hediger 1965, Estep et Hetts, 1992, Montagner et al, 1995)



A theoretical framework (inspired by Estep et Hetts, 1992)



Existence of a genetic variability?

Projet ANR Critère et Objectifs de Sélection Animale pour un Développement Durable (COSADD) Post Doc H. Benhajali



In bull testing station

On farm



Docilité test

(Le Neindre et al, 1995, Phocas et al, 2006)




Crush test Mvt weighing Mvt 10s human



Approach test Benhajali et al, 2010

Heritabilities: 0,2~0,3

Genetic corrélations of the evaluation on farm and on bull testing station




What about sensitive periods to human contact in farm herbivores?

- In early age
- At weaning
- après la mise-bas



Fear of people depends on husbandry systems (e.g. beef cattle in a crush at 20 months of age)



Recording of the heart rate




(Boivin et al., 1994, 1998)

Is the social environment modifying the efficiency of the human contact provides in early age?






Fearful beef cows have fearful calves despite early handling



* Salers calves stroked in early age

A vertuous cycle for improving the farm herbivores-humans relationship



(pour revue Hemsworth et Boivin, 2011)


Touching/stroking farm mammals during humananimal interactions: what does it mean for them?

INRA UMRH Theix, INRA PRC Tours, Univ. Vet Vienna



Contact with the calves (from farmers' statement) / dairy cows behaviour

• Median flight distance of adult cows per farm (cm)





(France, South-west, Lafitte, ISAE 2012)

Evaluation of an affective bond between young herbivores ans their human caregiver (in relation to the attachement, theory: Bolby, Ainsworth; pour revue Cassidy et al, 2010)

(« an internal working model » in its relationship with the other)

→ Reunion/separation with the familiar caregiver

Preference for the familiar caregiver?
 The corregiver of a convrite basis?






Test of social isolation/réunion/séparation

(Inspired from Bolby, Ainsworth, for revue Cassidy, 2010)

Apaisement with the caregiver?


Distress of separation?



Préférence for the familiar caregiver in artificially reared lambs?


vocalisations






(Boivin et al., JAS 1998)

Duration of contact and vocalisations



Temps passé près du soigneur (sec)

Evolution des vocalisations



traitement x phase : p < 0.05


* 3x3 min/jour pour 5 jours dés l'âge de 24 h puis 2 fois/semaine

Tallet et al, AABS 2005

Contacts favorisent affinité en isolement
pas d'effet additionnel de l'alimentation

Importance of feeding and gentling






Attraction for the human regarding feeding time (Tallet et al Dev. Psycho. 2008) SAM Percentage of contacts with the familiar person 80 Human (2min) then food 70 60 Simultaneously (2min) 50 40 30 Food then (>20 min) 20 human (2min) 10 session of treatment 18 21 22 23 24 25 28 29 30 26 27 12 15 7 consecutive days 2 days a week

(Tallet et al., AABS 2009)

Lamb's behaviour in social isolation in a test pen


Evolution of vocalisations

Lambs' attraction to the caretaker (s)



Four weeks of human contact (3x5 min/day) since one day of age Gentling young herbivores for apeasing them? Anthropomorphism or real interest for the animals?

- objective
 - Perception of the human presence and the tactile contact (animals not used to such contact)



Heart rate (Batt/min)


(HILD et al, IEC 2013)

Towards a transdisciplinary approach including collaboration between animal behavioural science, animal physiology and genetic

The lambs is a very good experimental model :

Strong and robust behavioural responses despite physiological sampling (Projet ANR BOND007)

Running divergent selection in the Roman breed in their social response and towards humans (Boissy et al, 2005, Ligout et al, 2011 Caroprese et al, 2012) → possibility to work on genotypexenvironnement interaction



Towards a transdisciplinary approach including collaboration between animal behavioural science, animal physiology and genetic

-Behavioural responses

(approach, reduction of agitation and vicalisation, ears position, relaxation posture, exploration basis...)

- Physiological responses

(cortisol, oxytocin, heart rate variability, imunu response...)

(référence to the concept of affective relationships and attachement. For review, Kraemer, 1992, Uvnas-Moberg, 1997, Carter, 1998, Cassidy, 2010) and the research work on positive emotions in the team (Waiblinger et al, 2007, Boissy et al, 2007)

(Coulon et al, PNEC 2013)

Tranport to the abattoir

Mutiple regression analysis % of variability explained by the different factors

Cortisol in bleeding (Stress at slaughtering) β \mathbb{R}^2 Ρ 0,005 - Duration of transports 2.1 0,02 - Familiarity of the groupe during -0,30,0001 4.0 transport <0,0001 - Belief that bulls are sensitive to 9.6 -1 human contact


(Mounier et al, Animal 2007)

Tranport to the abattoir


Mutiple regression analysis % of variability explained by the different factors

difficulties of loading in the truck (synthetic variable)

	β	R²	Р
 Appropriate handling facilities (cattle race, loading place) 	-1,47	40,3	<0.0001
 bulls mixed during fattening 	0,41	0,7	0,02
- Familiar group during transport	-0,02	0,9	0,01
 farmer's positive attitudes towards contact with the bulls 	0,08	6,7	<0.0001
			animal 2007)



What bring psychology, sociology and ergonomy in our understanding on the Human-farm animal relationship



(Boivin et al, INRA productions animales sur le travail en élevage2012)

Attitudes of the farmers towards animals and their work with animals (Kling et al, 2003, Boivin et al, 2013 for review)

Farm animals? A working companion (Lamine, 2006) →a professionnal relationship (Kling, 2003, Porcher 2010)

- The instrumentalised animal
- The communicative animal
- The affectional animals

→ Different visions of the job, différent level of interest in the job
 → Different types of behaviour towards the animals (Dockes et Kling, 2007)

Farmers' logics and work organisation (Le Guen, 2006)

« Contact with my calves at weaning, I would like too but I have no time for it anymore »

Logic of work: choice of a farmer for combining professionnal ambitions and way of life

Looking for the lost time...

FERME PRÉS VERTS Soigneur robotisé DEC 307



« On a choisi le DEC de Rovibec pour l'économie de temps et pour sa très bonne réputation! » Luc Deschênes, Gaëtan Deschênes et Dominique Sirols St-Gabriel de Rimauski, QC TROUPEAU: 33 VACHES - MOYENNE: 12 078 KG



OBJECTIFS DE LA FERME PRES VERTS · Sauvar du temps · Maintaini un niveau élavé d'afficacité MOYENS UTILISES · Introduction du DEC 307 (distributeur de RTM et de concentrés, sans mélangeur intégré) RESULTATS OBTENUS · Économie de tamps: 2½ heures par jour · Délai de récupération du système = 4,8 années (instalation et équipements INCLUS)





Working diffently

The 5 rationalities in farmers' attitude towards work

(Fioreli, Porcher, Dedieu, INRA Productions animales 2009)

- Économics
- technical
- Search for identity
- relative to the body engagement during work (outside/inside, rythms of life...)
- Relational (chosen collegues, animals)

Trans disciplinary projects to improve farme'rs training

Refering to the concept of husbandry pratices,... the relationnal practices?

Husbandry pratiques :

Strategies including choices and technical actions depending on the farmer's objectives. (Landais et al, Cah. Sci. Hum. 23 (3-4) 1937: 421-437).

Relationnal Practices :

Strategies targeting to improve the work with animals and reduce their stress for imroving production and welfare (Boivin et al, INRA Productions animales 2012). **Transdisciplinary projects to improve farmers'** *training or decision making*

Studying the relationnal pratices in the different production systems

- **Do they exist and how to evaluate them?**
- What is their variability with the transforming systems (milking robot, mechanisation, change in housing...)?
- What factors impact them (herd size, breed, farmers characteristics such age...)?

Transdisciplinary projects to improve farmers' *training or decision making*

Studying the relationnal pratices in the different production systems

- How are they included in the general working organisation?
- What real consequence of these practices (production, work satisfaction and safety, animal health and welfare)?

Many thanks for your attention



Crédits photo (X. Boivin, J. Lensink, C. Maitre, M. Ruis)