



HAL
open science

Paternity recovery in a maritime pine polycross trial

Marjorie Vidal, Maël Ruby, Pierre Alazard, Luc Harvengt, Christophe Plomion, Laurent Bouffier

► To cite this version:

Marjorie Vidal, Maël Ruby, Pierre Alazard, Luc Harvengt, Christophe Plomion, et al.. Paternity recovery in a maritime pine polycross trial. Meeting of IUFRO, Jul 2013, Whistler, Canada. 2013. hal-02804252

HAL Id: hal-02804252

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02804252v1>

Submitted on 8 Oct 2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License


Maritime pine is the main plantation species in France with nearly one million hectares of cultivated forests in **South Western France**. A breeding program has been implemented since the early 1960s using a **recurrent selection scheme**. The breeding strategy combines two successive experimental designs: polymix crossing to evaluate parental breeding values and full-sib crossing from which selections are made for the next generation. This strategy is efficient both to increase genetic gains and to maintain genetic variability. The breeding program reaches its third generation: more than 300,000 trees have been phenotyped allowing accurate evaluation of genetic parameters and breeding values.

Future improved varieties must be adapted to ongoing environmental changes and to wood industry diversification. In this rapidly evolving context, forest tree breeding programs have to integrate an increasing number of selection criteria. They are also requested to propose different varieties adapted to various environments and diversified uses. **Thus, accelerating the breeding cycles to quickly renew variety composition is of main importance. Molecular tools can be of great help to cope with these challenges.**

Can pedigree recovery be implemented in forest tree breeding programs to shorten and simplify selection cycles?

Material and Methods

- **Sampling in a polycross trial**
186 mothers x 2 father polymixes (PMX INRA and PMX FCBA)
→ 186 half-sibs families, 35trees/family, 6000 progenies
- **Sampling for paternity recovery**
500 progenies from PMX FCBA
→ 50 half-sibs families x 10 trees
Parental generation: 50 genotypes (mothers of HS families) + 41 genotypes (composition of PMX FCBA)
- **SNP selection and genotyping**
 - 2,480 polymorphic SNPs available
 - SNP selection criteria for pedigree recovery: no LD and MAF>0.45
 - 2 multiplexes of 32 and 35 SNPs
 - Genotyping using Mass Array technology (Sequenom)
- **Phenotyping for numerous traits relative to:**
 - Growth (height, circumference)
 - Wood quality (straightness, wood density, spiral grain)
 - Water use efficiency (WUE)




Pedigree recovery

- **Efficiency of paternity recovery**
 - 56 validated SNP markers with manageable cost (10\$/sample)
 - Famoz software
- **17% of pollen contamination**
Variable contamination rates depending on families
- **354 realized matings in a single polycross**

50 ♀ x 42 ♂ = 2100 possible matings
→ 354 realized matings /399 studied progenies
- **Low Differential Reproductive Success between fathers**
Contribution of the 41 fathers of the FCBA PMX

Distribution expected (mean and confidence interval) if equal contribution
- **Further studies in progress with 1000 supplemental offspring**
 - Comparison between 2 polymixes (contamination rate, male contribution)



Consequences for genetic evaluation

- Comparison of genetic parameters (h^2) and breeding values (BLUPs) from 2 individual mixed models:
- **“mother” Model**: relationship matrix based on mother ID (pedigree before paternity recovery)
 - **“mother+father” Model**: relationship matrix based on mother ID +father ID (pedigree after paternity recovery).
- **Higher accuracy for genetic parameter estimation**

➢ Lower Standard Errors for “mother+father” model.
 - **BLUP evaluation can be highly modified after paternity recovery**
Correlation between BLUPs estimated with “mother” and “mother +father” Models

➢ Strong correlation between mother BLUP estimated by the two models.
➢ Low impact for backward selection
➢ **Integration of father identity can modify significantly progeny BLUP evaluation**
→ High impact for forward selection
 - **BLUP accuracy is improved when the full-pedigree is known**

Towards new breeding strategies based on pedigree recovery

• **More accurate BLUP estimates thanks to pedigree recovery in progeny trials (knowledge of the pedigree + identification of mislabeled trees)**

• **Polymix breeding with parental analysis (Lambeth *et al.*, 2001)**

1. Maximizing the number of crossings with polymix strategies
2. Pedigree recovery
→ Simplification of breeding and testing and shortening selection cycles.

Simulations are required to define optimal breeding strategy:

- number of polymix(es),
- polymix composition (number of pollens, genetic merit, relatedness)
- number of crossings/ polymix
- defining a pre-screening step to limit the number of genotyped trees

