



HAL
open science

Adaptive silviculture regarding climate change: the geneticist's view

Francois Lefèvre, Thomas Boivin, Aurore Bontemps, Francois Courbet, Hendrik Davi, Bruno Fady, Julie Gauzere, Cindy Gidoin, Marion Durand, Marie-Joe Karam, et al.

► To cite this version:

Francois Lefèvre, Thomas Boivin, Aurore Bontemps, Francois Courbet, Hendrik Davi, et al.. Adaptive silviculture regarding climate change: the geneticist's view. Tackling Climate Change: the Contribution of Forest Scientific Knowledge, May 2012, Tours, France. hal-02805856

HAL Id: hal-02805856

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02805856v1>

Submitted on 6 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Adaptive silviculture regarding climate change: the geneticist's view

or

Facing the complexity of evolutionary processes to design adaptive forestry practices

F. Lefèvre, T. Boivin, A. Bontemps, F. Courbet, H. Davi, B. Fady, J. Gaüzere, C. Gidoïn, M. Gillmann, M.J. Karam, E. Klein, H. Lalagüe, S. Oddou-Muratorio, C. Pichot.

INRA, Avignon (URFM)

MENU

Appetizer

evidence of high evolutionary potential
and limits to adaptation

Main course, sweet and sour style

a global frame for the complexity of evolutionary
processes, potential impacts of forestry practices

Dessert of the moment

genetically oriented practices, why not ?

Appetizer : high evolvability and limits to adaptation





Thanks to plasticity and evolution, trees may perform well (survive, grow and reproduce) in new environments.

Pinus radiata : 4th version of its climatic envelope (*Yan et al, 2006*)

Region	Annual rainfall (mm)	Tmean (°C) coldest month	Tmean (°C) warmest month
California (5 pops)	420 – 700	10 – 11	16 – 18
<i>N-Z (Southland)</i>	960 – 1000	3 – 5	13 – 15
<i>N-Z (Kaingaroa)</i>	1300 – 1500	7 – 9	11 – 19
<i>Chile (Valdivia)</i>	2350	7.7	17
<i>South Afr. (Cape)</i>	900 – 1100	10 – 13	20 – 24
<i>China (Sichuan)</i>	490 – 590	-3.4 – -0.7	25 - 28
<i>Aust. (Bathurst)</i>	650 – 950	0.4 – 0.6	24 – 28
<i>Aust. (Tumut)</i>	800 – 1300	0.5 – 0.8	25 – 30


Appetizer : high evolvability and limits to adaptation

Local adaptation emerged after post-glacial recolonisation



Appetizer : high evolvability and limits to adaptation

Still important genetic variation within populations for adaptive traits



Qst estimates, the between-pop component of the genetic variation in *Picea sitchensis*

height age 3	0.79
bud break	0.29
bud set	0.89
growth period	0.87
daily growth rate	0.28
cold injury index	0.89

Mimura & Aitken (2007)


(between-pop component lower on shorter scale)

Appetizer : high evolvability and limits to adaptation

Theoretical (simplistic) short term response to selection

Traits / populations do not all have the same evolvability




evolvability can also change



	h^2	σ_P^2	σ_A/μ	
budburst	0.30	1850	24	(Ducouso, unpub.)
volume	0.21	2500	23	(Cornelius, 1994)
height	0.24	1450	19	(Ducouso, unpub.)
branch angle	0.73	111	9	(Kremer, 1994)
height	0.28	440	11	(Cornelius, 1994)

Appetizer : high evolvability and limits to adaptation


Observed rapid evolution after 1 generation in transplanted Norway spruce : role of epigenetic mechanisms



Appetizer : high evolvability and limits to adaptation

Long-term persistence of the response in a stepwise selection process

The longest breeding experience : recurrent selection of maize (Illinois, USA)



(Moose et al, 2004)

... but **species' niches still have limits** and there are **empty niches**

=> genetic diversity and heritability are necessary but not sufficient to effectively achieve evolutionary adaptation



Limits to adaptation (*Fukuyma, 2010 ; Kuparinen et al, 2010*)

- genetic constraints
- developmental constraints
- *lack of genetic diversity*
- *demographic stochasticity*
- *random genetic drift*
- *low mortality*
- *asymmetric gene flow (e.g. niche limit)*

=> *need to consider the complexity of evolutionary processes*

Evolutionary adaptation : 3 hierarchical levels + environmental filter

Dynamics



1 environment = multiple factors + interactions + heterogeneity


1 performance = multiple trait values + interactions
(*syndrome => developmental constraint*)

1 trait value = multiple gene alleles + interactions
(*genetic architecture => genetic constraint*)

Evolutionary adaptation : a stepwise dynamic process

Relatives are *alike* but not *identical* : sexual reproduction continuously generates new genetic combinations


Dynamics



evolution is successful if :

- (1) better performing genotypes emerge during reproduction**
- (2) the best performing genotypes spread in the population before it goes extinct**

Evolutionary adaptation : integration of 3 basic functions




The reaction norm (plasticity)


it varies between individuals & traits

Response to selection : integration of 3 basic functions

Trait value



Performance




The selection gradient


it varies between environments
and depends on the other traits

Response to selection : integration of 3 basic functions


Trait value



Performance



Trait optimum




The environmental sensitivity of selection

how the optimal value varies with the environment


Response to selection : integration of 3 basic functions

The evolutionary response to environmental change depends on the combination of these 3 functions

case of adaptive plasticity



case of maladaptive plasticity



=> resulting in different evolutionary responses

While facing global change and increased uncertainties, **forestry objectives** should be to accelerate adaptation and preserve the evolutionary potential by :

(1) increasing the chance of emergence of new genotypes

- *limit random drift*
- *increase the diversity of mating pairs*

(2) facilitating the spread of best adapted types

- *limit random drift*
- *limit consanguinity*
- *avoid counter-selection*
- *maintain selection pressure*

Use the global frame to anticipate multiple-level **forestry impacts** :

on the genetic diversity

- *directly through plantation*
- *indirectly through the demography*

on the performance


- *through selective thinning*

on the environment

- *biotic and abiotic*
- *attenuation, adaptation & migration ?*


**GENES'
DIVERSITY**

Environment




**TRAITS'
VALUES**

Environment



**PERFORMANCE
+ or -**



Dessert : genetically oriented practices, why not ?

- ✓ Genetic rescue of endangered populations
 - avoid over-elimination
 - large genetic base of introduced material
 - anticipate trade-off, e.g. drought vs frost resistance

- ✓ Enhance local gene flow
 - spatial distribution of seed trees
 - cumulate several seed years
 - homogenise fecundity

- ✓ Local (selective) seedling
 - reshuffling effect
 - possible selection
 - save the lone tree

- ✓ Future : genetic thinning ?

- ✓ ... *(each point deserving detailed investigation)*