



HAL
open science

Analysis of different treatments schemes of ERT dataset in view of monitoring the structure of a soil tilled layer in space

Maud Seger, Arlène Besson, Guy Richard, Bernard B. Nicoullaud, Guillaume Giot, Isabelle I. Cousin

► **To cite this version:**

Maud Seger, Arlène Besson, Guy Richard, Bernard B. Nicoullaud, Guillaume Giot, et al.. Analysis of different treatments schemes of ERT dataset in view of monitoring the structure of a soil tilled layer in space. EGU European Geosciences Union, General Assembly 2010, May 2010, Vienne, Austria. 2010. <hal-02813667>

HAL Id: hal-02813667

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02813667v1>

Submitted on 6 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Analysis of different treatments schemes of ERT dataset in view of monitoring the structure of a soil tilled layer in space

Seger M.¹, Besson A.¹, Richard G., Nicoullaud B., Giot G., Cousin I.


¹ Centre de Recherche INRA Orléans Unité de Science du Sol 2163 Avenue de la Pomme de Pin-CS 40001 Ardon 45075 ORLEANS cedex 2 – France
maud.seger@orleans.inra.fr

2D images of electrical resistivity (ER) of soil do not provide directly relevant informations on soil structural variability. A reconstruction process leading on inversion techniques for imaging the spatial distribution of resistivity is required. However in heterogeneous media, noise in ER dataset can restrict inverse processing. In this work, we have tested several schemes of ER treatment to obtain an accurate modelling of the soil structure variability in 2D from resistivity. Our approach gathered 4 steps: from raw ER dataset measured in field, on a plot locally and artificially compacted by wheel traffic to the final ERT compared to a visual morphological profile (VMP) of soil structure. We discuss then on the impact of filtering, meshing implemented in inversion process and time lapse constraint on final ER results.

Material and method


Site and in situ measurements

- Step 1:** Compaction of 4 bands (A, B, C and D) by in-field wheel traffic at the soil field capacity (mass water content =23%)
- Step 2:** 2D ERT perpendicular to the traffic direction. ERT 14th april: reference model for time lapse method ERT 11th June: ERT to be analysed
72 electrodes, spaced 10 cm apart, Wenner alpha array, Syscal Pro resistivity meter (IRIS Instrument). Resistivity (ER) expressed into conductivity (EC).
- Step 3:** Description of the soil structure by VMP method at location of ERT. VMP picture and delineation of structural components.
- Step 4:** Comparisons between in-field VMP picture and ERT results. Deviation coefficient of ERT values are given for structural zones delineated on VMP.



Results and discussion

Visual morphological profile (VMP)




Compacted zones due to wheel tracks are clearly identified in the tilled layer on the VMP picture. We delineate also the plough pan at 30 cm depth and the BT layer.

Filtering

- F0: Systematic errors**
→ P and C effects due to faulty electrodes removed




- F1: Outliers / frequency**
→ Distribution 5% of highest values removed
- F2: Outliers/mean values**
→ Values higher than 3 times standard deviation removed (as suggested by Chilès et al. (1999) for asymmetric frequency distribution)



Effects of filtering on inversion results

Deviation coefficients of modelled EC values sampled in the compacted zone after filtering on raw EC dataset. Root mean square errors (RMSE, %) of inversions depending on filtering and meshing after 7 iterations



Filtering + Meshing

	Normal mesh	Refined mesh
F0	2.0	1.2
F0+F1	1.8	1.2
F0+F2	1.8	1.2

→ No relevant impact of filtering and meshing on EC results.

Inversion (Res2Dinv software, Geotomo software. Loke and Barker, 1996)

Least-square smoothness constraint - Damping factor optimized (L-curve method) - Iterations stopped after 5 % of change in RMS

C O N S T R A I N I N G	M E S H I N G	
	• Normal mesh	• Refined mesh
• Independent inversion	538 model blocks 720 apparent ER measured Cell width = electrode spacing	1692 model blocks 720 apparent ER measured Cell width = 1/2 electrode spacing
• Time lapse inversion	→ Nodes between electrodes: 1 → Inversion parameters < model cells	→ Nodes between electrodes: 2 → Inversion parameters > model cells

We have tested different schemes of treatment (filtering, meshing, inversion types) and analyzed their impact on 2D ERT results in term of soil structural variability. Faulty electrodes or/and voids, soils cracks can produce noise in ER dataset. Even if filtering is small, this last is required to facilitate convergence and to enhance the stability of inversion process.


In addition better imaging of the soil structural variability is obtained when inversion is constrained (1) by a reference model obtained just after in-field compaction and (2) on a refined mesh. Further work would consist to test these schemes on ERT measured after in-field ploughing for which the soil structure is generally highly heterogeneous.

References

- Loke, M.H., Barker, R.D., 1996. Rapid least-squares inversion of apparent resistivity pseudosections by a quasi-Newton method. Geophysical Prospecting 44, 131-152.
- Chilès, J.P., Delfiner, P., 1999. Geostatistics Modeling Spatial Uncertainty. Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics


Effects of inversion type

Deviation coefficients of modelled EC in the compacted zone after time-lapse and independent inversions



- Smallest deviation coefficients for time lapse EC results
- Time lapse inversion: sharply modelling of compacted zones.

The reference ERT obtained just after compaction constrained accurately the inversion.




Filtering: F0+F2; Time-lapse inversion with refined mesh.

Black lines delineates the structural components as mentioned on VMD picture.

RMS= 1.2%

As expected, the most conductive zones correspond to the compacted bands. The plough pan is hard to identify.



The DIGISOIL project (FP7-ENV-2007-1 N°211523) is financed by the European Commission under the 7th Framework Programme for Research and Technological Development, Area "Environment", Activity 6.3 "Environmental Technologies".

European Geosciences Union
General Assembly 2010

Vienna, Austria, 02-07 May 2010

