



HAL
open science

France

Anne Probst, Sophie Legu dois

► **To cite this version:**

Anne Probst, Sophie Legu dois. France. Working group on effects of the convention on long-rangr transboundary air pollution. 2007. hal-02818768

HAL Id: hal-02818768

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02818768v1>

Submitted on 6 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destin e au d p t et   la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publi s ou non,  manant des  tablissements d'enseignement et de recherche fran ais ou  trangers, des laboratoires publics ou priv s.

MNP Report 500090001/2007

Critical Loads of Nitrogen and Dynamic Modelling

CCE Progress Report 2007

J. Slootweg, M. Posch, J.-P. Hettelingh (eds.)

Contact:

J. Slootweg

CCE/LED

wge

Working Group on Effects

of the

Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution



ICP M&M Coordination Centre for Effects

This investigation has been performed by order and for the account of the Directorate for Climate Change and Industry of the Dutch Ministry of Housing, Spatial Planning and the Environment within the framework of MNP project M/500090, Coordination Centre for Effects (CCE); for the account of the European Commission LIFE+ programme within the framework of MNP project E/555065 European Consortium for Modelling Air Pollution and Climate Strategies (EC4MACS) and for the account of (the Working Group on Effects within) the trust fund for the partial funding of effect-oriented activities under the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution.

ISBN: 978-90-6960-175-5

© MNP 2007

Parts of this publication may be reproduced, on condition of acknowledgement: 'Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, the title of the publication and year of publication.'

Contents

Acknowledgements	4
Abstract	5
Rapport in het kort	6
Introduction	7
Part I Status of Maps and Methods	
1. Status of European critical loads with focus on nitrogen.....	9
2. Summary of national data	21
3. Critical loads and dynamic modelling of nitrogen.....	41
4. Tentatively exploring the likelihood of exceedances: Ensemble Assessment of Impacts (EAI)	53
5. LRTAP land cover map of Europe	59
6. Application of the harmonized land cover map	71
7. Background database for computing critical loads for the EECCA countries, Turkey and Cyprus.....	89
Part II National Focal Centre Reports	103
AUSTRIA	104
BELGIUM (Wallonia)	111
BULGARIA	115
CANADA.....	121
CYPRUS	123
CZECH REPUBLIC	129
GERMANY.....	133
FRANCE.....	139
IRELAND	144
ITALY.....	146
LATVIA.....	149
THE NETHERLANDS.....	153
NORWAY	155
POLAND	159
RUSSIA	161
SLOVENIA.....	166
SWEDEN	170
SWITZERLAND	174
UNITED KINGDOM.....	180
Appendix A. Instructions for submitting empirical critical loads of nitrogen.....	189
Appendix B. Instructions for submitting critical loads of N and S and dynamic modelling data.....	191
Appendix C. Guidance notes for lead authors of the IPCC fourth assessment report on addressing uncertainties	198

FRANCE

National Focal Centre

Anne Probst — Sophie Leguédois
 ECOLAB (UMR 5245 CNRS/UPS/INPT)
 ENSAT-INP
 Av de l'Agrobiopole
 Auzeville-Tolosane BP 32607
 F-31326 Castanet-Tolosan cedex
anne.probst@ensat.fr
sophie.leguedois@ensat.fr

Jean-Paul Party
 Sol-Conseil
 251 rte La Wantzenau - Robertsau

Collaborating institutions

Laurence Galsomiers — Christian Elichegaray
 ADEME
 Centre de Paris - Vanves
 Département Surveillance de la Qualité de l'Air
 27, rue Louis Vicat
 F-75737 Paris cedex 15

Étienne Dambrine
 INRA-Centre de Nancy
 Équipe Biogéochimie des Écosystèmes Forestiers
 F-54280 Champenoux

Erwin Ulrich — Luc Croisé
 Office National des Forêts
 Direction Technique
 Département Recherche et Développement
 Réseau RENECOFOR
 Boulevard de Constance
 F-77300 Fontainebleau

Louis-Michel Nageleisen
 Ministère de l'Agriculture, de l'Alimentation, de la
 Pêche et des Affaires Rurales (DGFAR)
 Département de la Santé des Forêts Antenne
 Spécialisée
 Centre INRA de Nancy
 F-54280 Champenoux

Anne-Christine Le Gall
 Direction des Risques Chroniques
 Unité MECO
 INERIS BP N°2
 F-60550 Verneuil-en-Halatte

Marc Rico — Hélène Cadière
 Ministère de l'Ecologie et du Développement Durable
 Bureau de la pollution atmosphérique, des
 équipements énergétiques et des transports
 Direction de la Pollution et de la Prévention des
 Risques
 20, avenue de Ségur
 F-75007 Paris

Modelled critical loads and dynamic modelling data

The objectives of this call for data were to submit updated critical loads and to provide time series of modelled chemical variables for different deposition scenarios, i.e. dynamic modelling results. In 2005, the French National Focal Centre (NFC) provided updated critical load values for nitrogen (acid and nutrient) and sulphur as well as dynamic modelling results (Probst et al., 2005). In 2007, the French NFC: (1) tested the updated critical concentrations for the calculation of critical loads of nutrient nitrogen proposed by the Coordination Centre for Effects (CCE) and, (2) sent data for dynamic modelling. In comparison with 2005, the only major change is the removal of coastal ecosystems (EUNIS code B1.4) from the dynamic modelling database as, for those ecosystems, critical loads were determined empirically (Probst et al., 2005).

Calculation method

The data were computed following the method used in 2005 by the French NFC (Probst et al., 2005) which is in accordance with the Mapping Manual (UBA, 2004). For steady state critical loads, the Steady State Mass Balance (SSMB) model was applied on the soil top-layer (0–20 cm). VSD (Posch et al., 2003) was used for dynamic modelling. The results obtained with VSD for soils with high buffering capacity show significant differences with more complex models (Probst et al., 2003; Probst et al., 2005). However VSD allows better consistency for impact assessment within Europe (Probst et al., 2005). Due to software inconsistencies, the French NFC could not run VSD in 2007. In consequence, the modelling was performed by the CCE with the data provided by the French NFC.

Data sources

Table FR-1. Critical loads and dynamic modelling parameters.

Parameter	Unit	Description
Chemical criterions used and critical values		See Table FR-3
Acceptable critical nitrogen concentration	meq m ⁻³	Derived from the acceptable nitrogen leaching (0 for plain deciduous forest; 50 for plain coniferous forest; 100 for mountain forest ecosystems — Party and Thomas, 2000) and the amount of water percolating through the root zone.
<i>BCdep</i>	eq ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹	RENECOFOR network measurements extrapolated at the national scale (Ulrich et al., 1998; Croisé et al., 2002)
<i>BCweath</i>	eq ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹	PROFILE simulations (Party, 1999)
<i>BCuptake</i>	eq ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹	Calculated from [BC] in vegetation (Party, 1999) and net uptake of biomass by harvesting (IFN, 2002)
<i>Nuptake</i>	eq ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹	Calculated from [N] in vegetation (Party and Thomas, 2000) and net uptake of biomass by harvesting (IFN, 2002)
<i>fde</i>	eq ha ⁻¹ a ⁻¹	Extrapolated from Guidance manual data (UBA, 2004) to French soil conditions (see Table FR-2)
All soil parameters		From RENECOFOR network data (Brêthes et al., 1997) and CCE network data (Badeau and Peiffer, 2001). See Table FR-4.

Table FR-2. Denitrification factor values (adapted from UBA, 2004).

Soil type	<i>fde</i>
Non hydromorphic soil	0.05 to 0.2
Hydromorphic silt or sandy soil	0.3
Hydromorphic clay	0.4
Peat soil and marshes	0.5

Table FR-3. Critical limit values.

Soil and bedrock type	ANC criteria	Critical limit value
Soft calcareous sediments	Al:BC	1.2
Hard calcareous sediments	Al:BC	1.2
Soft acid sediments		
	<i>Sands</i> pH	4.6
	<i>Sandy silex formations</i> pH	4.6
	<i>Others</i> Al:BC	1.2
Hard acid sediments		
	<i>Schists</i> pH	4.6
	<i>Sandstones</i> pH	4.6
	<i>Others</i> Al:BC	1.2
Metamorphic rocks		
	<i>Acid granite</i> pH	4.6
	<i>Others</i> Al:BC	1.2
Volcanic rocks	Al:BC	1.2

Table FR-4. Soil parameters (from Brêthes and Ulrich, 1998).

	Units	Min	Max	Median
Bulk density	g cm ⁻³	0.732	1.4	0.915
Conc. Org. Acids	eq m ⁻³	0	0.02436	3.5 x 10 ⁻⁵
CEC	meq kg ⁻¹	1	38	20
Base saturation	-	0.12	1	0.78
Carbon	g m ⁻²	3920	14000	9878
C:N ratio		12	28	15

The total concentration of organic acids in soil solution is calculated from *DOC* (Dissolved Organic Carbon) which is estimated from pH and clay content in soil layer. Due to the lack of data on *pCO₂*, only one value (5 atm) was considered for *pCO₂* in the topsoil.

Results

As no major changes were made between 2005 and 2007, please refer to the 2005 national report (Probst et al., 2005) for results and comments.

Empirical critical loads of nutrient nitrogen

Method

The determination of empirical critical loads of nitrogen for French ecosystems was based on the method described in chapter 5.2 of the Mapping Manual (UBA, 2004). The values given in table 5.1 of the Mapping Manual, were adapted to the French terrestrial ecosystems (Party et al., 2001) based on: (1) the information available on the potential vegetation and the land use for each ecosystem and, (2) the adaptation rules given in table 5.2 of UBA (2004) using temperature, frost period and base cation availability estimated by expert judgement. The subsequent empirical critical loads are given in Table FR-5.

Table FR-5. Empirical critical loads, in eq ha⁻¹ a⁻¹, derived for the French ecosystems (adapted from Party et al., 2001). K: calcareous ecosystem; A: acidic ecosystem; Out Cors.: outside Corsica; Per.+Bord.: Perigord and Bordeaux regions; SW+Nantes: South-West and Nantes regions.

Potential vegetation	Land use			
	Coastal dune	Grassland	Upland meadow	Forest
Coastal dunes and heathlands	1786			
Swamps, bogs and wet heathlands	1786	714		714
<i>Quercus robur</i> dominated woodlands		1214		714
<i>Quercus-Carpinus</i> or <i>Ulmus</i> woodlands with <i>Quercus petraea</i>	1214	1214	500	857
<i>Quercus petraea</i> and <i>Q. pubescens</i> woodlands	1429	1429		1214
<i>Quercus petraea</i> , <i>Q. robur</i> or <i>pubescens</i> and <i>Q. pyrenaica</i> woodlands		1214		Per. + Bord.: 1214 SW + Nantes: 714
Mixed <i>Fagus-Quercus</i> and <i>Fagus</i> woodlands	1214	1214	500	1071
<i>Quercus pubescens</i> woodlands		K: 1789 A: 714		Corsica: 1071 Out Cors.: 1429
<i>Quercus ilex</i> woodlands	K: 1789 A: 714	K: 1789 A: 714		Corsica: 1071 Out Cors.: 1429
<i>Quercus suber</i> woodlands		714		Corsica: 1071 Out Cors.: 1429
<i>Pinus halepensis</i> and <i>P. nigra laricio corsicana</i> Mediterranean woodlands		857		1071

Potential vegetation	Land use			
	Coastal dune	Grassland	Upland meadow	Forest
<i>Pinus pinaster</i> woodlands		714		500
<i>Abies</i> and mixed <i>Abies-Fagus</i> woodlands		714	714	857
<i>Picea</i> woodlands		714		714
<i>Pinus sylvestris</i> woodlands		714		500
<i>Pinus uncinata</i> and <i>P. cembra</i> woodlands		500		500
<i>Larix</i> woodlands		714		714
Alpine and subalpine grasslands			500	

Data Sources

The French ecosystem classification and map was updated in 2003 for calculation and mapping of the critical loads of acidity and nutrient nitrogen (Probst *et al.*, 2003; Moncoulon *et al.*, 2004). The map of potential vegetation was synthesised for the French territory by Party (1999) from various vegetation maps (Dupias and Rey, 1985; Houzard, 1986; Ozenda and Lucas, 1987). Land use was derived from the map of forested and grassland areas in de Monza (1989) as well as the Digital Elevation Model GTOPO30 (USGS, 1996).

Results

The most sensitive areas to nitrogen deposition are located in the Landes (SW), the eastern part of the Paris basin, the eastern part of the Massif Central as well as in the Alps. Empirical critical loads of nitrogen are higher than critical loads for nutrient nitrogen determined with the Steady State Mass Balance (SSMB) model (Probst *et al.*, 2005). Consequently, the sensitivity of the ecosystems is lower when derived from the empirical method. Comparatively to the SSMB model, most of the ecosystems shifted to a higher critical load class with the empirical method (+ 1 class for 49 % of the ecosystems and + 2 classes for 35 % of the ecosystems).




Figure FR-1. Map of empirical critical loads of nitrogen.

References

- Badeau V, Peiffer M (2001) Base des données écologiques des placettes françaises du Réseau Européen de suivi des dommages forestiers (réseau 16 x 1- km). Premier inventaire : juillet 1993 – Octobre 1994 Deuxième inventaire : mai à août 1999. Département Santé des Forêts Antenne Spécialisée. 17pp
- Brêthes A, Ulrich E (1998) RENECOFOR : Caractéristiques pédologiques des 102 peuplements du réseau. Office National des Forêts, Département des Recherches Techniques, 573 pp
- Croisé L, Ulrich E, Duplat P, Jaquet O (2005) Two independant methods for mapping bulk deposition in France. *Atmospheric Environment*, 39: 3923–3941
- Dupias G, Rey P (1985) Document pour un zonage des régions phyto-écologiques. CNRS, Centre d'écologie des ressources renouvelables. Toulouse. 39 pp + maps
- Houzard G (1989) Les forêts primitives de France. In de Monza J.-P. (éd.), *Le grand atlas de la France rurale*. INRA et SCEES, pp. 348–349
- Inventaire Forestier National (2002) Synthèse par département. <http://www.ifn.fr/pages/index-fr.html>
- Moncoulon D, Probst A, Party J-P (2004) Critical loads of acidity: importance of weathering, atmospheric deposition and vegetation uptake for ecosystem sensitivity determination. *C.R. Geoscience*, 336: 1417–1426
- Monza (de) J-P (ed.) *Le grand atlas de la France rurale*. INRA et SCEES
- Ozenda P, Lucas MJ (1987) Esquisse d'une carte de la végétation potentielle de la France à 1/1 500 000. *Documents de Cartographie Ecologique*, XXX :49–80
- Party J-P (1999) Acidification des sols et des eaux de surface des écosystèmes forestiers français : facteurs, mécanismes et tendances. Taux d'altération sur petits bassins versants silicatés. Application au calcul des charges critiques d'acidité. Thèse de Doctorat, Université Louis Pasteur de Strasbourg. 233 pp
- Party J-P, Thomas A-L (2000) Cartographie de la végétation et charges critiques azotées pour la France. Rapport ADEME définitif (marché n°99 62 020)
- Party J-P, Probst A, Thomas A-L, Dambrine É (2001) Critical loads for nutrient nitrogen: calculations and mapping by empirical method for France [in French]. *Pollution Atmosphérique*. 172: 531–544
- Posch M, Reinds GJ (2003) VSD – User Manual of the Very Simple Dynamic soil acidification model. Coordination Centre for Effects, Bilthoven, The Netherlands
- Probst A, Moncoulon D, Party J.-P (2003) French National Focal Center report. In: M Posch, J-P Hettelingh, J Slootweg, RJ Downing (eds), *Modelling and Mapping of Critical Treshold in Europe, Status Report 2003*, Coordination Center for Effects, RIVM, Bilthoven, pp. 73–80
- Probst A, Moncoulon D, Party J-P (2005) French National Focal Center report. In: M Posch, , J Slootweg, J-P Hettelingh (eds), *European Critical Loads and Dynamic MOdelling, Status Report 2005*, Coordination Center for Effects, RIVM, Bilthoven, pp. 109–115
- UBA (2004) Manual on methodologies and criteria for modelling and mapping critical loads & levels and air pollution effects, risks and trends. Umweltbundesamt Texte 52/04, Berlin www.icpmapping.org
- Ulrich E, Lanier M, Combes D (1998) RENECOFOR — Dépôts atmosphériques, concentrations dans les brouillards et dans les solutions de sol (sous-réseau CATAENAT). Rapport scientifique sur les années 1993 à 1996. Office National des Forêts, Département des Recherches Techniques, ISBN 2-84207-134-4, 135p
- USGS (1996) <http://edc.usgs.gov/products/elevation/gtopo30/gtopo30.html>