



HAL
open science

Relationships between GPP, NPP, NEP, Ra and Rh in forest ecosystems

Sebastiaan Luyssaert, Ivan Janssens, G. Matteucci, Lisa Wingate, C. Beer, Philippe Ciais, A.J. Dolman, I. Inglima, Michaela Jung, B. Kruijt, et al.

► **To cite this version:**

Sebastiaan Luyssaert, Ivan Janssens, G. Matteucci, Lisa Wingate, C. Beer, et al.. Relationships between GPP, NPP, NEP, Ra and Rh in forest ecosystems. Open Science Conference on the GHG cycle in the Northern Atmosphere, Nov 2006, Sissi-Lassithi, Greece. <hal-02821075>

HAL Id: hal-02821075

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02821075v1>

Submitted on 6 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



HAL Authorization

Relationships between GPP, NPP, NEP, R_a and R_h in forest ecosystems

S. Luyssaert (1), I.A. Janssens (1), G. Matteucci (2), L. Wingate (3), C. Beer (4), P. Ciais (5), A.J. Dolman (6), I. Inglima (7), M. Jung (4), B. Kruijt (8), W. Kutsch (4), F. Lagergren (9), G. Le Maire (10), A. Lindroth (9), D. Lousteau (10), E. Nikinmaa (11), D. Papale (12), M. Reichstein (4), and J. Grace (3)

(1) Department of Biology, University of Antwerp, Universiteitsplein 126 2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

(2) EC Joint Research Centre, TP 440, via E. Fermi, I-21020 Ispra (VA), Italy

(3) University of Edinburgh, Institute of Atmospheric and Environmental Science, School of Geosciences, Crew Building, The King's Buildings, West Mains Road, EH93JN Edinburgh, UK

(4) Max Planck Institute for Biogeochemistry, PO Box 100164, 07701 Jena, Germany

(5) Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE), Unité Mixte CNRS/CEA CEA Saclay, Orme des Merisiers 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

(6) Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, Dept. Geo-Environmental Sciences, De Boelelaan 1085, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands

(7) Dept. of Environmental Science, Second Univ. of Naples, Via Vivaldi 43, 81100 Caserta, Italy

(8) Alterra, Droevendaalsesteeg 3, PO Box 47, 6700 AA Wageningen, The Netherlands

(9) Lund Uni., Dept. of Phys. Geography & Ecosyst. Analysis, P.O. Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden

(10) INRA, UR 1263 EPHYSE, 71 avenue Edouard Bourlaux, 33883, Villenave d'Ornon, France

(11) University of Helsinki, P.O. Box 64, FIN-00014, Helsinki, Finland

(12) University of Tuscia, Via S. Camillo de Lellis, s.n.c., 01100 Viterbo, Italy
Sebastiaan.Luyssaert@ua.ac.be

Abstract

The forests of the terrestrial biosphere play an important role in the global carbon 'sink' sequestering large amounts of atmospheric carbon every year. Considerable uncertainty regarding the fate of this sequestered carbon and the status of our terrestrial sinks over both short and long timescales still exist and resolving some of these issues are critical in the event of a changing climate and human disturbance. How carbon flows between the different components of our forest ecosystems and its eventual allocation to long term storage pools, such as terrestrial biomass and soils are likely to vary across forests of different growth strategy (deciduous vs. evergreen), age and management regime as well as reflecting the climatic niche they occupy. The revised work plan of the Forest Activity Group in the Ecosystem Component have assembled a database that will facilitate the comparison of GPP, NEP, NPP, R_a and R_h across all the forest ecosystems that form the long-term CarboEurope-IP flux network and have further extended beyond Europe, assimilating data from other flux sites collectively encompassed by the FLUXNET network. On June 15th and 16th 2006, the Forest Activity Group assembled a workshop to analyse this global GPP, NPP and NEP dataset and describe patterns in the component carbon fluxes across forest types, climatic zones and management regimes. Further aims of the workshop were to: (1) compile and compare complete carbon-budgets for forest ecosystems, with the goal of identifying and understanding the apparent allocation priorities for carbon within different forest types and

how these sinks will endure, (2) compare model simulations of GPP, NPP and NEP for different forest types across the globe and compare critically with the observations assembled in the global database and (3) estimate the global GPP and NPP based on three independent approaches that are constrained by field observations. Conclusions from our workshop will be summarised and reported to the global carbon cycle research community during this presentation.