



HAL
open science

Why observers should train clinical scoring

Sabine Dippel, Armelle Prunier, Christine Leeb

► **To cite this version:**

Sabine Dippel, Armelle Prunier, Christine Leeb. Why observers should train clinical scoring. Annual meeting Society for Veterinary Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine (SVEPM), Mar 2010, Nantes, France. , 2010. hal-02821847

HAL Id: hal-02821847

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02821847>

Submitted on 6 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Why observers should train clinical scoring

Sabine Dippel ¹, Armelle Prunier ¹, Christine Leeb ²

¹ INRA, UMR SENAH, Saint-Gilles, France, contact: s.dippel[a]web.de

² BOKU - University of Natural Resources and Applied Life Sciences Vienna, Austria



Introduction

Epidemiological studies often involve clinical scoring by several observers. Extensive observer training improves observer agreement. However, this is still not common in international cooperations.

Objective

→ illustrate consequences of suboptimal observer training

Methods

Scoring systems

- body condition: 5-level scale
- injuries: no. of lesions >3 cm
- lameness: 3-level scale
- dirtiness: 3-level scale
- skin alterations: 3-level scale (adapted from Welfare Quality ®)

Training & testing

- training: 2 days, 2 farms, thereafter farm visits
- testing: ≤ 50 gestating (indoor/outdoor) and lactating sows (outdoor), 2 organic farms, 9 observers, 1 day

Analysis

- collapse parameters into binary variables
- Prevalence Adjusted Bias Adjusted Kappas (PABAK)

Results

- parameter with best agreement: “too thin”
- good agreement but low prevalence: lameness, obesity (prevalence: 3 and 8 %)
- explanation for poor agreement for skin problems and dirtiness: misunderstandings regarding parameter definition (e.g. inclusion of mud soiling).




Fig. 1: Pairwise PABAK for eight tested parameters.

Conclusions

- 1) Intensive observer training before data collection is important to ensure observer agreement
- 2) Inter-observer agreement tests before and after data collection are strongly recommended.

Acknowledgements

We thank all observers for their participation, as well as the test farms for providing access to their animals. The presented data are part of CORE organic project no. 1904 “Prevention of selected diseases and parasites in organic pig herds - by means of a HACCP based management and surveillance programme (CorePig)”