



# Field experiment on the effect of girdling and gibberellin application on flowering of 12 year-old seedlings of Douglas-Fir and Norway spruce

Marc Bonnet-Masimbert

## ► To cite this version:

Marc Bonnet-Masimbert. Field experiment on the effect of girdling and gibberellin application on flowering of 12 year-old seedlings of Douglas-Fir and Norway spruce. International symposium Forest Tree Physiology, Sep 1988, Nancy, France. hal-02852971

**HAL Id: hal-02852971**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02852971>**

Submitted on 7 Jun 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Field experiment on the effect of girdling and gibberellin application on flowering induction of 12 yr old seedlings of Douglas fir and Norway spruce

M. Bonnet-Masimbert

with the technical assistance of G. Chanteloup, P. Delanzy and J. Coupaye

INRA, Station d'Amélioration des Arbres Forestiers, Ardon, 45160 Olivet, France

### Introduction

Climate has a strong influence on flowering of trees and, for field experiments, it is difficult to control environmental conditions. Thus, many experiments on flowering induction in conifers are performed on potted trees, which are at least partly maintained under greenhouse conditions. The benefits of establishing indoor orchards instead of classical soil-based ones have been proposed. However, hundreds of hectares of soil-based seed orchards have been established and must be managed for a more abundant and regular flowering. Also, besides clonal orchards (generally grafted), some seedling seed orchards have been established which, for an equivalent size, are less mature than grafts. This paper deals with preliminary field experiments on Douglas fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*) and Norway spruce (*Picea abies*) seedlings.

### Materials and Methods

Two experiments using combinations of bark girdling (G) (double semicircular overlapping 5

mm wide girdles) and trunk injections of gibberellins (GA4/7) were tested in 1986 on 12 yr old seedlings, 6–8 m high, raised in the INRA (Orléans) nursery.

#### *Douglas fir*

50 seedlings, belonging to 7 open-pollinated families, were divided among 4 treatments applied just before vegetative bud burst: 1) control; 2) girdling; 3) girdling + 50 mg GA4/7 (45% A7) + 5 mg naphthalene acetic acid (NAA); 4) girdling + 100 mg GA4/7 + 10 mg NAA. At treatment time, each tree received soil fertilization with 200 g of  $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$ . GA4/7 injections were made in 300  $\mu\text{l}$  of ethanol in the xylem of the 1981 internode. On each tree, 4 branches on both the 1982 and 1984 whorls were selected for shoot measurement and cone counts. Two branches were plastic-bagged (in order to simulate a localized 'greenhouse effect') for 2 wk starting 3 wk after the beginning of the treatment.

#### *Norway spruce*

30 seedlings, belonging to 6 open-pollinated families, were divided among 5 treatments. Treatments were applied when about 50% of the lateral shoot elongation was completed and consisted of: 1) girdling; 2) girdling + 2 x 50 mg GA4/7 at a 2 wk interval in the 1983 internode; 3) same as treatment 2 applied in the 1981 internode; 4) girdling + one application of 100 mg GA4/7 in the 1983 internode; 5) same as

treatment 4 applied in the 1981 internode. GA4/7 injections were made in 300  $\mu$ l of ethanol. On each tree, 4 branches (in the 4 cardinal directions) on both 1983 and 1984 whorls were selected for shoot measurement and cone counts, 2 of them were plastic-bagged for 4 wk, starting at the beginning of treatments.

The length of the terminal and 2 subterminal shoots were measured in the fall on each selected branch and their total number of male and female cones were counted the following spring on the entire branch. Also, the total number of female cones on the entire tree was counted. On each sampling branch, terminal and subterminal shoot elongation and number of male and female cones were subjected to variance analysis (see the text for the analyzed factors) and Duncan's test. The family effects are not presented here.

## Results and Discussion

### *Douglas fir*

The main results are given in Table I. Girdling with or without GA4/7 had a strong significant stimulatory effect on both male

and female flowering. On the other hand, GA4/7 increased the length of both terminal and subterminal shoots. The higher whorl produced significantly more female cones but, curiously, this was also true for male cones. Bagging the shoot slightly reduced terminal elongation but had no effect on flowering. Finally, all 3 treatments differed significantly from the control for the mean total number of female cones per tree.

### *Norway spruce*

The main results are summarized in Table II. All the trees were girdled, but treatment 1 alone only slightly stimulated flowering compared to GA + girdling treatments. Therefore GA4/7 had a specific and significant effect on both male (only for 1 injection of 100 mg GA4/7) and female flowering (the best results corresponding to 2 injections of 50 mg GA4/7, (treatments 2 and 3). This differential sexual response may be related to differences in the dif-

**Table I.** Douglas fir: the effect of girdling (G) and of GA4/7 + NAA (GA) on the number of cones per branch and per tree and on shoot elongation.

| Factor       | Shoot elongation  |                    | Flowering          |                      |                    |
|--------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
|              | terminal          | subterminal        | per branch<br>male | per branch<br>female | per tree<br>female |
| Treatment    |                   |                    |                    |                      |                    |
| 1 C          | 16.5 <sup>b</sup> | 11.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 7.2 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.6 <sup>c</sup>     | 81 <sup>b</sup>    |
| 2 G          | 16.8 <sup>b</sup> | 12.3 <sup>ab</sup> | 225.7 <sup>a</sup> | 12.4 <sup>b</sup>    | 266 <sup>a</sup>   |
| 3 G + 50 GA  | 18.9 <sup>a</sup> | 12.8 <sup>a</sup>  | 249.1 <sup>a</sup> | 19.2 <sup>a</sup>    | 367 <sup>a</sup>   |
| 4 G + 100 GA | 18.4 <sup>a</sup> | 12.4 <sup>a</sup>  | 281.4 <sup>a</sup> | 14.9 <sup>b</sup>    | 279 <sup>a</sup>   |
| Whorl        |                   |                    |                    |                      |                    |
| 1982         | 14.2 <sup>b</sup> | 10.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 150 <sup>b</sup>   | 6.5 <sup>b</sup>     |                    |
| 1984         | 21.1 <sup>a</sup> | 14.4 <sup>a</sup>  | 209 <sup>a</sup>   | 16.3 <sup>a</sup>    |                    |
| Bagging      |                   |                    |                    |                      |                    |
| yes          | 16.5 <sup>b</sup> | 10.4 <sup>a</sup>  | 215 <sup>a</sup>   | 11.6 <sup>a</sup>    |                    |
| no           | 18.6 <sup>a</sup> | 12.6 <sup>a</sup>  | 169 <sup>a</sup>   | 11.2 <sup>a</sup>    |                    |

For each factor, values in the same column followed by the same letter do not significantly differ at 5%.

**Table II.** Norway spruce: the effect of girdling (G) and of GA4/7 (GA) (H: 1983 internode; L: 1981 internode) on the number of cones per branch and per tree and on shoot elongation.

| Factor            | Shoot elongation  |                   | Flowering         |                    |                   |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
|                   | terminal          | subterminal       | per branch        |                    | per tree          |
|                   |                   |                   | male              | female             | female            |
| Treatment         |                   |                   |                   |                    |                   |
| 1 G               | 20.6 <sup>a</sup> | 11.2 <sup>a</sup> | 0.86 <sup>b</sup> | 0.55 <sup>c</sup>  | 16.5 <sup>b</sup> |
| 2 G + 2 x 50 GA H | 18.6 <sup>b</sup> | 11.6 <sup>a</sup> | 0.15 <sup>b</sup> | 1.86 <sup>b</sup>  | 33.3 <sup>a</sup> |
| 3 G + 2 x 50 GA L | 16.2 <sup>c</sup> | 9.6 <sup>b</sup>  | 0.0 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.75 <sup>a</sup>  | 53.4 <sup>a</sup> |
| 4 G + 100 GA H    | 14.9 <sup>c</sup> | 9.4 <sup>bc</sup> | 3.6 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.07 <sup>bc</sup> | 53.9 <sup>a</sup> |
| 5 G + 100 GA L    | 12.9 <sup>d</sup> | 8.4 <sup>c</sup>  | 2.8 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.92 <sup>bc</sup> | 39.9 <sup>a</sup> |
| Whorl             |                   |                   |                   |                    |                   |
| 1983              | 14.8 <sup>b</sup> | 9.4 <sup>b</sup>  | 2.8 <sup>a</sup>  | 0.74 <sup>b</sup>  |                   |
| 1984              | 18.8 <sup>a</sup> | 10.9 <sup>a</sup> | 0.11 <sup>b</sup> | 2.12 <sup>a</sup>  |                   |
| Bagging           |                   |                   |                   |                    |                   |
| yes               | 15.7 <sup>b</sup> | 9.9 <sup>a</sup>  | 1.56 <sup>a</sup> | 1.41 <sup>a</sup>  |                   |
| no                | 17.7 <sup>a</sup> | 10.9 <sup>a</sup> | 1.15 <sup>a</sup> | 1.64 <sup>a</sup>  |                   |

For each factor, values in the same column followed by the same letter do not significantly differ at 5%.

ferentiation period between both sexes. Higher branches typically bore more female cones. Differences also existed in the proportion of shoot elongation completed at treatment time (60.3 and 79% for terminal and subterminal shoots of the lower whorl as opposed to 48.6 and 67% for the higher whorl, respectively). Even if female flowering of Norway spruce was generally located at the very top of the tree, these differences in elongation might also be related to a stage of vegetative development in the higher whorls more favorable for flowering induction (Bonnet-Masimbert, 1987).

For the total number of female cones per tree (Table II) there is no significant difference between the 4 GA4/7 treatments. In contrast to the observations on Douglas fir, GA4/7 often reduced the shoot elongation in spruce significantly. Plastic bagging caused a slight but significant reduction in the elongation of the terminal shoot. It had no significant effect on male or female flowering or elongation of subterminal shoots.

## Conclusion

It is possible to stimulate the flowering of seedlings of Norway spruce (mainly female) and Douglas fir in the field through a combination of girdling and GA4/7 treatments. GA4/7 is confirmed to be especially necessary for Norway spruce (Bonnet-Masimbert, 1987). Certainly these positive responses were partly due to the fact that 1986 was generally favorable to natural flowering induction. From comparisons between the last 5 yr, we suspect that high sunshine from mid-June to the beginning of July may have a positive effect. Kosinski and Giertych (1982) clearly demonstrated the role of light intensity on flowering of Norway spruce. However, growth response of 1986-girdled Douglas fir in 1987 and 1988 was reduced. Furthermore, bud-burst was delayed for 1–2 wk over the control, indicating a durable physiological stress. So, contrary to the results of Wheeler *et al.*, (1985), girdling can hardly be proposed as a routine treatment in soil-based seed orchards.

## References

Bonnet-Masimbert M. (1987) Preliminary results on gibberellin induction of flowering of seedlings and cuttings of Norway spruce indicate some carry-over effects. *For. Ecol. Manage.* 19, 163-171

Kosinski G. & Giertych M. (1982) Light conditions inside developing buds affect floral induction. *Planta* 155, 93-94

Wheeler N.C., Masters C.J., Cade S.C., Ross S.D., Keeley J.W. & Hsin L.Y. (1985) Girdling: an effective and practical treatment for enhancing seed yields in Douglas fir seed orchards. *Can. J. For. Res.* 15, 505-510