

Intact cell MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, a promising proteomic profiling method in farm animal clinical and reproduction research

Laura Soler, Svetlana Uzbekova, Elisabeth Blesbois, Xavier Druart, Valérie

Labas

► To cite this version:

Laura Soler, Svetlana Uzbekova, Elisabeth Blesbois, Xavier Druart, Valérie Labas. Intact cell MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry, a promising proteomic profiling method in farm animal clinical and reproduction research. Theriogenology, 2020, 150, pp.113-121. 10.1016/j.theriogenology.2020.02.037 . hal-02860780

HAL Id: hal-02860780 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02860780

Submitted on 20 May 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1	
2	Revised
3	
4	Intact cell MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry, a promising proteomic profiling method
5	in farm animal clinical and reproduction research
6	
7 8	Laura Soler ^{1,2} , Svetlana Uzbekova ² , Elisabeth Blesbois ² , Xavier Druart ^{2,3} †and Valérie Labas ^{2,3}
9 10	1) INRA, Université de Toulouse, ENVT, INP-Purpan, UPS, Toxalim (Research Centre in Food Toxicology), Toulouse, France
11 12	2) INRA UMR85-CNRS UMR7247, Université de Tours, IFCE Physiologie de la Reproduction et des Comportements, Nouzilly, France
13 14 15 16	3) INRA, Université de Tours, CHU de Tours, Plate-forme de Chirurgie et d'Imagerie pour la Recherche et l'Enseignement (CIRE), Pôle d'Analyse et d'Imagerie des Biomolécules (PAIB), Nouzilly, France
17 18	⁺ Corresponding author.
19	Abstract
20	The objective of this review is to provide new insights into the possible use of a proteomic
21	method known as Intact Cell Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption-ionization Time-Of-Flight Mass
22	Spectrometry (ICM-MS) in animal clinical research. Here, we give an overview of the basics of
23	this technique, its advantages and disadvantages compared with other proteomic
24	approaches, past applications and future perspectives. A special emphasis on its
25	implementation in animal reproduction science is given, including examples of the reliable
26	use of ICM-MS on fertility screening. In mammals, the ICM-MS profiles from pig epididymal
27	spermatozoa reflect the proteome changes that they undergo during epididymal maturation
28	and could be associated with the acquisition of fertilizing ability. In chicken, using adequate
29	pre-processing and bioinformatics analysis tools, sperm ICM-MS profiles showed
30	characteristic spectral features that allowed their classification according to their actual

fertilizing ability. The association of ICM-MS and Top-down proteomic strategies allowed the identification of chicken fertility biomarkers candidates such as protein vitelline membrane outer layer protein 1 (MVO-1) and avian beta-defensin 10 (AVB10). In female reproduction, a similar approach on ovarian follicular cells allowed the identification of specific markers of oocyte maturation in the oocyte and surrounding cumulus cells. Altogether, these results indicate that ICM-MS profiling could be a suitable approach for molecular phenotyping of male and female gametes.

38

39 **1. Clinical proteomics in animal sciences**

40 Clinical proteomics is a field of proteomics whose objective is the identification of 41 biomolecules showing differential abundance in a certain body fluid, cell and/or tissue associated 42 with a defined clinical condition. These molecules, usually denominated "biomarkers", can be 43 thereafter used in clinical applications for diagnosis, stratification of patients for specific treatment, 44 or therapy monitoring. A combination of different proteomic biomarkers or "biomarker profile" can 45 also be employed, giving a combined measure associated with the studied condition [1,2]. Biomarker 46 profiles are potentially better at defining a complex patho-physiological condition than single biomarkers, and clinical proteomics is moving its focus to the identification of such fingerprints 47 48 panels that can define a diagnostic phenotype [1]. In animal sciences, clinical proteomics has not 49 been as widely used as in human medicine, but there is growing interest in identifying and 50 implementing the use of disease biomarker profiles [3]. However, applications of veterinary clinical 51 proteomics go beyond, and also include food security, quality assessment of animal products (meat, 52 milk, eggs, wool), generation of model organisms and phenotyping of production traits [4,5]. One 53 good example of the latter is the application of clinical proteomics in animal reproduction science, and more specifically in searching for gamete quality biomarkers. Optimization of reproduction 54 management is actually essential in farming since keeping high productivity often relies on adequate 55 56 selection of breeders as well as the use of optimal gamete handling protocols (sperm

57 cryopreservation, oocyte in vitro maturation, in vitro fertilization, etc) [6]. Actually, one of the main 58 problems in animal breeding is that the selection for production traits, such as fast growth, egg-59 laying capacity or milk productivity, usually has a detrimental effect in reproductive traits [7]. 60 Breeders are therefore interested in the accurate phenotyping of the fertility of their animals to 61 maintain high productivity [7].

62

63 2. Technical approaches employed in clinical proteomics: the emergence of protein profiling 64 platforms

65 Traditionally, biomarker research using proteomics starts with an initial discovery step, whose objective is untargeted screening of the whole proteome of a certain biological system in 66 67 defined conditions, in search of biomarkers [8]. The initial discovery approach is usually based on the 68 orthogonal separation of whole proteins contained in the sample either using gel electrophoresis or 69 liquid chromatography followed by a "bottom-up" protein analysis (Figure 1). In this strategy, 70 isolated proteins or protein mixtures are digested with a protease to obtain peptides. These 71 fragments of proteins can be analyzed directly by mass spectrometry (MS) and identified by a 72 peptide mass fingerprint (PMF) strategy [9]; and/or using tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) using 73 a peptide fragment fingerprint (PFF) in order to obtain sequence peptides [10]. However, this 74 method is cumbersome and complicated to be implemented in a clinical setting for routine 75 diagnostics, since it involves complex sample preparation procedures, analytic processing of technical 76 complexity and limited throughput. This workflow typically results in a long list of candidates 77 (peptides/proteins showing differential relative abundance) to be integrated in order to construct a 78 biomarker profile. These need to be verified and validated following a second analysis, this time 79 using large cohorts, and high-throughput targeted analysis through the application of multiplexed 80 assays [11]. One of the most recent and efficient techniques developed for the latter is known as MRM (Multiple Reaction Monitoring), which shows several advantages such as being accurate, fast 81 82 and very sensitive [12]. However, the applicability of this technology in clinical settings remains to be

seen, since the development of such tests depends on the use of pre-existing quality MS data, is
expensive, as well as the specific detection and/or quantification of some of the desired targets can
be challenging [13].

Behind the tremendous progress and possibilities in mass spectrometry, "Bottom-up" proteomics has 86 87 been and is still the driving force in proteome analysis. However, the proper assignment of 88 proteoforms with their pattern of modifications remains a challenge for bottom-up proteomics. The 89 real goals in proteomic analyses are knowing the functions of the intact proteins in biological 90 processes with or without their different modifications, their locations and interactions. Many of 91 these aspects may get lost when breaking down proteins to their corresponding peptide fragments. 92 Beside this conventional strategy, the top-down mass spectrometry constitutes an alternative 93 (Figure 1) [14, 15]. In this approach, intact proteins are analysed by MS and MS/MS in order to obtain 94 structural informations allowing both the identification of the proteins and the characterization of 95 the post-translational modifications. To perform Top-down proteomics, protein fractionation 96 combined to high-resolution mass spectrometry and specific bioinformatics tools are necessary. 97 Despite the technical difficulty of proteome-wide analysis at the intact protein level, Top-down 98 proteomics offers an alternative to digestion-based approaches. For several years, there is growing interest in developing untargeted methodologies based on the use of peptide/protein profiling 99 100 platforms, of which the most important are based on the use of Surface Enhanced Laser Desorption 101 Ionization Time-Of-Flight Mass Spectrometry (SELDI-TOF MS), Capillary Electrophoresis Mass 102 Spectrometry (CE-MS, [16]) or Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption/Ionization Time Of Flight Mass 103 Spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). One of the first approaches to peptide/protein profiling is SELDI-TOF 104 MS, which employs active coatings/surfaces with affinity for specific biomolecules. Liquid samples 105 (biological fluids or tissue extracts) are incubated with these surfaces, thus reducing sample 106 complexity and allowing the acquisition of readable peptide/protein profiles by applying MS analysis 107 directly to the surface-bound molecules. These profiles are later compared between conditions, in 108 search for diagnostic patterns [16]. Several studies have explored the possible application of SELDI-

109 TOF profiling in veterinary sciences in the past, e.g for the detection of porcine diseases [17] or bovine paratuberculosis [18]. However, it is nowadays generally accepted that its low reproducibility 110 111 (inter-laboratory CV% values above 40%) and lack of analytical robustness (difficulties with 112 calibration and mass accuracy) impair its routine use in the clinic [13]. Capillary electrophoresis mass 113 spectrometry (CE-MS) appeared later as an alternative to SELDI-TOF MS profiling using biofluids. This 114 technique employs biomolecule separation through capillary electrophoresis as a method to reduce 115 sample complexity. Separated molecules are then directly analyzed by MS to obtain a profile [19]. 116 While CE-MS shows improved reproducibility and sensitivity compared to SELDI-TOF MS, it requires 117 laborious sample preparation and shows low throughput, which are negative aspects in clinical 118 proteomics [20]. CE-MS has been successfully applied in animal sciences [21,22], although it is 119 recognized that its application to clinical proteomics is hampered by its low throughput, the need to 120 standardize and simplify the sample preparation, as well as the development of accurate methods 121 for calibration and data extraction/processing.

122 Along with CE-MS, Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of flight mass 123 spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS) profiling is an emerging approach in clinical proteomics. This 124 technique has theoretically a higher potential for clinical application than CE-MS due to its high 125 throughput, ease of operation, simple sample preparation and the existence of established data-126 processing platforms . MALDI-TOF MS has the ability to detect the most intense intact molecular ions 127 present directly in the biological sample over a relatively wide mass range (typically 1,000 – 30,000 128 Da), with a high sensitivity (from the picomolar to the femtomolar concentrations) and a high 129 tolerance for contaminants such as salts [23]. Consequently, MALDI-MS constitutes a powerful tool 130 for the direct analysis of complex peptide/protein mixtures within biological specimens such as crude 131 extracts and intact cells. It is in fact, the possibility of using whole, untreated cells what makes this technique particularly promising for clinical applications. In spite of this, this platform has been rarely 132 used in medicine and even less in animal sciences. In this review, we will focus on the technical 133 134 aspects of the platform MALDI-TOF MS using intact cells (known as Intact cell MALDI-TO MS or ICM-

MS), as well as the past applications and possibilities of this technique especially in animal sciences.
Particularly, we will provide with a more in-deep overview on the past use of this platform in animal
gametes.

138

139 3. Intact cell MALDI-TOF MS: the basics

140 In the following paragraphs we will describe the typical ICM-MS protocol workflow (Figure 2).

141

142 *3.1. Sample preparation*

143 An important application of ICM-MS is to detect endogenous peptides and proteins (intact 144 forms unmodified by any chemical treatments) directly in whole cells using MALDI-TOF profiling 145 without previous sample pre-fractionation, protein extraction or treatment. In this context, "intact 146 cell" means that whole cells are subjected to analysis without any preparatory steps, although they 147 can be cryopreserved or kept frozen prior to analysis. Direct analysis of cells by MALDI-TOF MS thus 148 avoids the problems associated with extraction procedures (lost or degraded biological material), 149 avoids the variability introduced by sample preparation and allows significant increase of a number 150 of conditions to compare (up to several hundred). Samples susceptible of being analyzed through 151 ICM-MS must consist of homogenous cellular populations, thus derived from cell culture or being 152 isolated from a cell mix. Pre-treatment of such cells only requires the removal of the biological matrix 153 where cells are contained, such as biological fluids or culture media. Usually, cells are harvested, 154 rinsed and centrifuged, discarding after the supernatant containing biological matrix proteins. Wash 155 buffers must keep cell integrity, promote crystallization and ionization, and ideally chelate salts. 156 Good examples of the latter are Tris HCl-sucrose buffer (osmolarity at \approx 300 mOsm/L) [24,25] or 157 ammonium acetate [26,27]. It is important to avoid buffers containing phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), since the salts and the negative charge provided by PBS can interfere with the analysis. 158

159

160 3.2. Matrix deposition

161 Although sample deposition on the MALDI plate is a simple process, the protocol followed at this stage can have a significant effect on the outcome of the analysis [28], and so this has to be 162 163 optimized for each sample type. A known amount of cells (usually 2×10^3 to 1×10^6 cells) is deposited 164 on the target of a conductive MALDI metallic plate and mixed with an adequate matrix solution. The 165 matrix consists of crystallized molecules, the three most commonly used being 3,5-dimethoxy-4-166 hydroxycinnamic acid (sinapinic acid) [24-27,29-33], α-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (CHCA, alpha-167 cyano or alpha-matrix) [34,35] and 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid (DHB) [36]. A solution of one of these 168 molecules is made, often in a mixture of highly purified water and UV-absorbing weak organic acid 169 such as acetonitrile (ACN), which is present in a concentration ranging 40-60%. A counter ion source 170 such as Trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) is usually added to generate the ions, in a concentration ranging 171 0.1-3%. The ratio of analyte to matrix is important, and must be optimized for each sample type. 172 There are multiple ways of performing the mix of samples and matrix. Among these methods, the 173 'dried droplet' method is the most usually employed [24-26, 29, 32-34,36]. It consists of depositing 174 the sample and subsequently the matrix on the plate and then allowing co-crystallization. However, 175 the thin layer [30-31] (a saturated matrix layer + mix of sample/matrix) or the sandwich [27] (a 176 saturated matrix layer + dried droplet) methods can provide better results on a qualitative level. The 177 resulting mixture is then allowed to completely dry at room temperature.

178

179 3.3. Spectra acquisition

Once the MALDI sample plate is prepared and placed within the mass spectrometer, a laser pulse hits the cells-matrix mixture. The matrix absorbs the laser energy, causing its own desorption and protonation, and subsequent sample protonation and vaporization. The generated ions are then accelerated by an applied high voltage (15-25 kV), separated in a linear field-free tunnel and detected as an electrical signal at the end of the TOF analyzer. Peptides and proteins of different masses and charges (mostly under the single-charged ions form) then fly at different speeds through the TOF analyzer. Their arrival times at the detector are distributed according to the square root of 187 the mass-to-charge ratio ($\sqrt{m/z}$). The result is a spectrum in the range of 1,000-30,000 m/z, whose 188 components (peaks) show a degree of intensity that correlates with their abundance in the original 189 sample. Each spectrum is produced by averaging several hundred to thousand laser shots that are 190 spread over the entire sample spot surface. Several replicate spectra (a minimum of 6, up to 12) are 191 taken for each biological sample under the same experimental conditions and a minimum of three 192 reads per spot are recommended to be performed. Spectral acquisition is performed using an 193 automated MS mode, thus increasing the speed and throughput of this technique. External mass 194 calibration must be performed, using a peptide and protein known mixture whose masses must 195 cover the analytical mass range. A high degree of mass accuracy is desired, and typical calibration 196 error should not be higher than 0.5%. In order to optimize mass accuracy, it is recommended to 197 apply an additional internal calibration (by superposing sample and calibration standard) as well as a 198 lock-mass correction using a high-intensity mass at the middle of the mass range (error of 0.05%).

199

200 3.4. Spectra pre-processing

201 Raw MALDI data usually contain a large set of spectra, each containing thousands of 202 measurements composed by m/z-intensity pairs, most of which correspond to noisy signals. In order 203 to distinguish true from noise signals as well as to reduce experimental variance within the data set, 204 several spectral treatments (known as pre-processing) must be carried out. This pre-processing 205 includes the following steps: (1) internal lock-mass calibration using a mass of known or unknown 206 identity, to provide with a higher mass accuracy, (2) baseline correction to remove the effect of noise 207 introduced by the matrix, (3) filtering to smooth the signal, (4) alignment of all spectra using common 208 m/z, (5) automatic peak detection on average spectra (area and/or intensity), and (6) normalization. 209 The criteria followed for this pre-processing can drastically change the outcome of analysis, and so it 210 is essential to pay attention to this stage in order to perform a rigorous study [37].

211

212 3.5. Statistical analysis and pattern matching algorithms

213 Once this is accomplished, applying statistical methods and/or machine-learning techniques is often desired in order to identify those spectral features (peaks) associated with a given condition 214 215 (candidate biomarkers or biomarker profiles), sample grouping (through hierarchical classification or 216 principal component analysis) and classification (through the constructions of class-predictive models 217 based on the use of data-dependent algorithms or through comparison with reference spectra 218 contained in a database). While processing and data analysis were initially based on the use of 219 general tools like R or SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences) softwares, requiring 220 programming skills and implying a lack of uniformity in the criteria followed for this process, a 221 number of user-friendly tools specific for MALDI profiling data are currently available. In general, there are two kinds of tools regarding the type of post-processing analysis: those based on the use of 222 223 a reference spectra database to which test spectra are compared, and those in which the user sets 224 examples of the different studied classes. The first group of tools includes commercial software 225 packages containing reference databases, and its use is mainly restricted to microorganism 226 identification (e.g. MALDI Biotyper from Bruker Daltonics or Anagnostec SARAMIS from Shimadzu). 227 The second group entails tools that allow both supervised and unsupervised techniques to analyze 228 data following a clinical approach. In general, the biomarker research-oriented analysis starts with 229 performing a statistical analysis (parametric or non-parametric) using quantitative values (normalized 230 peak height or area). The m/z peaks presenting significant differences between the different studied 231 conditions are selected and relative data are then utilized to perform additional analysis such as 232 Receiver Operating Characteristic curves, cluster analysis, Principal Component Analysis, etc. It is 233 important to set a statistical stringency adequate to the desired statistical power (usually set at 0.8), 234 especially in studies using a reduced sample number. This statistical analysis can be completed with 235 machine-learning techniques. In this case, the diagnostic performance of the ICM-MS-based 236 predictive model for a given condition should be compared with that of traditional diagnostic tests of 237 the same condition. In the recent years, different open-source tools (e.g. Mass-up, MALDIquant) and

238 commercial tools (e.g. ProgenesisMALDI-Nonlinear Dynamics, ClinProTools-Bruker Daltonics.
 239 MarkerView[™]- AB Sciex) specifically designed for MALDI profiling analysis have been released.

240

241 4. Top-Down MS for identification of proteins detected by ICM-MS

242 The progress in the general use of MS-based profiling has been somewhat hampered by the 243 difficulties in confidently identifying diagnostic MS signatures [38]. ICM-MS analyses (in general all 244 profiling methods) are ideally completed with the identification of the m/z peaks present in the 245 spectra. As aforementioned, these masses correspond to native, endogenous molecules present in 246 the sample. Of all the mass spectrometry-based identification approaches currently available (Figure 247 3), Top-Down proteomics represents the best approach to identify endogenous peptidoforms and 248 proteoforms, as involves the detection of an intact molecular species and its direct fragmentation, 249 thus providing with a complete description of the primary structure of the protein and of its 250 modifications [39].

251 As it is generally desired to employ the same platform for both profiling and sequencing, 252 following an on-line approach, there is big interest in the scientific community in advancing in 253 technology and data-processing systems that would allow MALDI Top-Down analysis in complex 254 samples (such as protein extracts, whole cells or tissue slides). Post-Source (PSD) [40] or In-Source 255 Decay (ISD) [41] MS methodologies can be used for de novo sequencing of the whole proteins, with 256 the condition of these being enriched or purified, since their main limitation is the inability of dealing 257 with complex samples, and the MS/MS strategy is routinely restricted to small peptides with m/z <258 5,000 [42,43]. Thus, the in-situ targeted identification of peaks of interest observed by MALDI 259 remains challenging. Sample complexity can be decreased through fractionation (e.g. using 260 chromatography, gel separation, depletion of abundant proteins, etc), and fractions are later re-261 analyzed by MALDI-TOF/TOF. This approach indeed increases the number of positively identified 262 biomolecules, but still shows a low throughput. Alternatively, Top-Down proteomics combining liquid 263 chromatography separations and high resolution tandem mass spectrometry (LC-HR-MS/MS) with

264 electrospray (ESI) source seems to be the most appropriate approach to identify endogenous peptido- and proteoforms [36,41]. ESI generates multiply charged ions and is the preferred MS 265 266 method for the analysis of both peptides and intact proteins, especially on a proteome-wide scale 267 (global approach). Nowadays, Top-Down proteomic studies have largely been implemented using ESI 268 coupled to either Fourier transform ion cyclotron resonance (FT-ICR) or Orbitrap mass analyzers to 269 obtain high resolution (R> 100,000) and mass accuracy (< 5 ppm). Thus, a large number of whole, 270 intact biomolecules can be directly identified, whose masses can be matched with MALDI m/z peaks, 271 with a mass accuracy tolerance < 0.05%. As described in the literature, the combination of ICM-MS 272 and Top-Down HRMS is therefore a convenient analytical strategy [44, 45].

273

274 5. Intact Cell MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry – from bacteria to mammalian cells

275 The use of ICM-MS was firstly reported in 1996 by Holland et al., and was applied to bacterial 276 chemotaxonomy [46]. In this study, it was described that ICM-MS protein profiles contained species-277 specific signatures, so they could be used as a taxonomic tool [47]. In effect, the analysis of 278 endogenous peptidoforms and proteoforms can be considered as a functional genomics analysis and 279 therefore useful for phenotyping [48]. ICM-MS has been applied in different studies for bacterial 280 identification, aiding at the development of the field of phyloproteomics [46,49,50]. Identification of 281 the microorganisms was based on the identification of species-specific signatures in the ICM-MS 282 spectra using bioinformatic tools and in the subsequent construction of reference spectral databanks [51]. Thereafter, comprehensive and curated databases were constructed using rigorous 283 284 standardization procedures and commercialized together with tools that allowed a robust 285 identification analysis [52, 53]. Nowadays, ICM-MS has become a valuable tool for the identification 286 and the classification of microorganisms, including bacteria [47] or yeast [54-56], and it has been 287 introduced in clinical routine microbiological diagnostics. Thus, this approach assists clinicians to provide a fast, accurate diagnosis associated with an adequate antimicrobial treatment in infectious 288 289 diseases. Furthermore, this approach can also be applied to detect specific bacterial biomarkers, such

as antibiotic resistance, and may potentially predict infectious complications or/and being used forthe development of novel virulence factor-based therapies [57].

292 Theoretically, ICM-MS could be applied to any cell type including superior eukaryotes, with 293 the sole condition of cells being isolated (not in a tissue). Even if this approach is not yet routinely 294 applied to the analysis of mammalian cells, there is sufficient evidences in the literature showing that 295 ICM-MS spectra from "whole cells" or "intact cells" are sufficiently characteristic to address biological 296 questions in human and animal clinical research (Table 1). In fact, ICM-MS has been applied mostly in 297 cultured cells as a phenotyping tool in order to differentiate similar cell lines including glial [27] or 298 cancer [58] lines. It has also been employed to evaluate the response of established cell lines to 299 different treatments, like toxic chemicals [30]. Another series of studies have isolated different 300 circulating white blood cells like monocytes or neutrophils to evaluate their differential response to 301 different conditions [29, 59-62].

302

303 6. ICM-MS proteomic analysis in animal sciences

304 ICM-MS is a promising diagnostic tool, showing several advantages such as being cost-305 effective, simple and showing a high throughput. As it is based on the comparison of peptide and 306 small proteins profiles rather than the comparison of single biomarkers, it is theoretically more prone 307 to identify phenotypic differences associated with a certain physiological, pathological or 308 experimental condition [5]. Bearing that in mind, multiple applications of ICM-MS in animal sciences 309 could be foreseen. As it could be easily applied in cultured cells, it might be useful in screening 310 studies in toxicology and infectious diseases, thus helping evaluate in a fast way the effect of single 311 and combined substances (toxic compounds, pathogens, immuno-reactive substances, etc) in order 312 to establish similarities, for instance, in their mechanisms of action, evaluating the nature of their 313 response, etc. There are several examples in the literature describing how ICM-MS can be easily 314 implemented in cellular toxicology tests. For instance, it has been shown in a fish cell model that 315 proteome changes induced by copper sulfate, acridine, and β -naphthoflavone were reflected in the

316 ICM-MS profiles [30]. Similar results were obtained in human cell lines treated with hydrogen 317 peroxide and aflatoxin B1 [26].

318 The use of ICM-MS for disease diagnostics in animals could be implemented in blood 319 circulating cells, where the presence of pathognomonic ICM-MS spectra patterns could be 320 researched. This could be particularly interesting in the course of infections often leading to sub-321 clinical symptoms (e.g. paratuberculosis), and/or those involving intracellular pathogens in blood 322 cells (ehlichiosis, anaplasmosis, babesiosis etc.). Several studies carried out in human samples have 323 actually evidenced that ICM-MS analysis of human monocytes evidenced proteome changes 324 occurring upon microbial stimulation [29]. ICM-MS spectra from M1 and M2 macrophage subtypes 325 were also significantly different, as well as those obtained from macrophages in contact with 326 extracellular (group B Streptococcus, Staphylococcus aureus) and intracellular (Mycobacterium bovis, 327 Orientia tsutsugamushi, Coxiella burnetii) bacteria [60]. The ability of ICM-MS-based analysis to 328 detect molecular differences linked to specific phenotypes has allowed the discovery of several 329 markers. For instance, whole cell MALDI profiling analysis of peripheral chicken cells evidenced that 330 thymosin beta-4 was an abundant peptide present in avian monocytes/macrophages (and not in 331 granulocytes) and that it was regulated by bacterial lipopolysaccharides and peptidoglycans [63]. The 332 same approach helped to put in evidence that β -defensin 2 is an important anti-microbial peptide in 333 chicken and turkey heterophils [63].

However, clinical research in animal science is not only focused in finding disease biomarkers, but also in finding molecular tools that could help in animal production. Reproduction management represents one of the most important aspects of animal production, and very few molecular tools are available to monitor the implementation of new management methods in farm animals. In this sense, ICM-MS has been proven useful to follow cell-specific peptidome/proteome changes in the cells related to the animal reproductive system. In the following sections, we will discuss how ICM-MS profiling has been implemented for the analysis of male and female gametes in farm animals.

342 7. ICM-MS proteomic analysis of male gametes

Evaluation of sperm quality is usually based on the assessment of individual sperm 343 parameters (motility, morphology), which is cumbersome and sometimes not well correlated with 344 345 the actual fertilizing ability of tested sperm. Thus, the development of a fast, accurate tool for 346 fertility screening is largely needed in human and veterinary medicine. From all possible molecular 347 biology techniques applicable, proteomics seems to be one of the most adequate to study sperm 348 biology. Numerous proteomic studies aiming to identify sperm markers of fertility were recently 349 performed in several mammalian species (reviewed in [64-66]). However these approaches are not 350 directly applicable for their routine use and the use of individual biomarkers has not been yet 351 successfully implemented in fertility clinics to stratify sperm according to their quality. Sperm peptide 352 and small protein profiling through ICM-MS has been recently proven useful to identify proteome 353 patterns linked with fertility in chicken [45, 67]. The method included only a few and simple 354 preparatory steps namely cell counting, washing sperm cells to eliminate seminal plasma proteins, 355 and resuspension in the same buffer at a known concentration. The plating procedure was simple: 356 sperm suspension was spotted onto a MALDI plate, overlaid with an adequate matrix and allowed to 357 dry. Further MS analysis can be automated, this increasing the throughput of the method. Using 358 adequate pre-processing and bioinformatics analysis tools, sperm ICM-MS profiles showed 359 characteristic spectral features that allowed their classification according to their actual fertilizing 360 ability [67]. This method was successfully employed in different chicken genetic lines, as well in other 361 livestock species, thus showing a high versatility. Moreover, several peaks of chicken sperm ICM-MS 362 spectra corresponding to peptidoforms (due to specific or non specific proteolytic activities) or 363 proteoforms were identified using HRMS, including some fertility biomarkers candidates, such as 364 protein vitelline membrane outer layer protein 1 or different forms of the avian beta-defensin 10. It 365 has been also shown that the ICM-MS profiles from pig epididymal spermatozoa reflect the 366 proteome changes that they undergo during epididymal maturation, mostly those related with a 367 differential activity of sperm intracellular proteases and peptidases [68]. Moreover, it was observed

that the analysis of whole cells allowed a better discrimination of different maturation stages compared with sperm cell extracts as obtained with neutral detergents [68]. In short, these results indicate that ICM-MS profiling could be a suitable approach for molecular phenotyping of male gametes.

372

373 8. ICM-MS proteomic analysis of female gametes

374 Today, it is possible to initiate early embryonic development of just about any mammalian 375 species by co-incubating oocyte with spermatozoa following a protocol known as in vitro fertilization 376 (IVF). The original process includes the administration of high levels of gonadotropins to stimulate 377 oocyte maturation and ovulation [69]. Oocyte maturation is a transition from immature germinal 378 vesicle (GV) transcriptionally silenced oocyte to metaphase-II stage (Meta-II) which is accompanied 379 by polar body extrusion, reorganization of the organelles through the ooplasm and molecular 380 modifications including changes in protein abundance and post-translational modifications. Oocyte 381 maturation plays an important role in the acquisition of oocyte developmental competence, referred 382 as oocyte quality, which, at the same time, is crucial for embryo quality [70]. Maturation can be 383 accomplished in vitro starting from the oocytes recovered from small antral follicles at the stage prior 384 to selection and dominance, by using specific culture media, following a protocol known as in vitro 385 maturation (IVM). IVM requires little or no use of in vivo-administered gonadotropins and has been 386 proposed as an alternative to conventional IVF with ovulated oocytes in humans since it reduces the 387 primary adverse effects caused by controlled ovarian stimulation, including the ovarian 388 hyperstimulation syndrome [71]. IVM is actually a routine technique in animal reproduction 389 biotechnologies, used especially in dairy cows of high genetic merit, where the success rate after 390 artificial insemination is often low. In cattle, immature oocytes can be obtained from the ovaries 391 either by ovum pick up or after slaughter, then subjected to IVM, fertilized and developed to 392 transferable embryos. This technique of in vitro embryo production allows the use of less semen (this 393 is important in the case of expensive and rare sperm), as well as increasing the progeny of oocyte

394 donors [72]. However, proteomic changes that occur in the oocyte during maturation and that define the quality of in vitro matured oocytes are mostly unknown, and so there is no accurate way of 395 396 evaluating/monitoring how different IVM protocols can affect the process. Classic proteomics has 397 been employed in the past with this objective. In fact, by using 2D-DIGE or 2DE coupled with nano-398 liquid chromatography and high resolution tandem MS, proteomes were established for bovine, 399 porcine and murine oocytes identifying numerous proteins including putative markers of oocyte 400 developmental competence including proteins needed for fertilization, reprogramming, embryo 401 genome activation and first cleavages during early embryogenesis [73-75]. However, these proteomic 402 approaches require a high amount of oocytes to be performed. In cows, only 8-10 oocytes fully-403 grown oocytes can be collected per ovary, so the amount of material is limited. The bovine oocyte is 404 about 120 µm in diameter, but it contains a relatively low intra-cellular protein content, about 80-405 100 ng per oocyte. ICM-MS was recently adapted to bovine ovarian cells from the individual antral 406 follicles to obtain the protein/peptide signatures (<17kDa) of single oocytes, surrounding cumulus 407 cells and granulosa cells [76]. It was demonstrated, that this technology could be applied to single 408 bovine oocytes, and that single-oocyte ICM-MS spectra are characteristic of each stage of oocyte 409 meiotic maturation from prophase-I in immature oocytes to metaphase-II in mature ones [76]. In 410 this study, ICM-MS coupled to an optimized Top-down HR-MS proteomic approach on ovarian 411 follicular cells allowed the identification of specific markers of oocyte meiotic maturation including 412 IGF2 binding protein 3 and hemoglobin B in the oocyte and thymosins beta-4, and beta-10, histone 413 H2B and ubiquitin in surrounding cumulus cells.

414

415 9. Conclusions

416 ICM-MS is a peptide/protein profiling platform widely used for phyloproteomics that has been 417 recently revealed as a promising tool for molecular phenotyping in clinical proteomics. The 418 applicability of this technique has been already shown in clinical microbiology and it is starting to 419 bring some attention in biomedicine. Indeed, specific characteristic features present in ICM-MS 420 profiles in a given condition can be considered as biomarker profiles, and individual differential 421 features can be identified following Top-Down proteomics. Although still not widely used, ICM-MS 422 profiling can have multiple applications in animal research, ranging from basic sciences - as for 423 example, in newly established primary cell lines phenotyping or in vitro toxicological studies - to 424 applied sciences for use in disease diagnostics, male fertility classification according to semen 425 profiling or monitoring of oocyte quality, among others.

426

427 Acknowledgements

428 This work was supported by the French National Infrastructure of Research CRB anim funded by 429 "Investissements d'avenir", ANR-11-INBS-0003 and from the French National Institute of Agronomic 430 Research. The high-resolution mass spectrometer was financed (SMHART project) by the European 431 Regional Development Fund (ERDF), the Conseil Régional du Centre, the French National Institute for 432 Agricultural Research (INRA) and the French National Institute of Health and Medical Research 433 (Inserm). Laura Soler has received the support of the EU in the framework of the Marie-Curie FP7 434 COFUND People Programme, through the award of an AgreenSkills fellowship (under grant 435 agreement n° 267196).

436 References

437 [1] V. Thongboonkerd. Clinical proteomics: Towards diagnostics and prognostics.Blood, 2007,
438 109, 5075–5076.

439 [2] H. Mischak, G. Allmaier, R. Apweiler, T. Attwood, M. Baumann, A. Benigni, S. E. Bennett, R. 440 Bischoff, E. Bongcam-Rudloff, G. Capasso, J. J. Coon, P. D'Haese, A. F. Dominiczak, M. Dakna, H. 441 Dihazi, J. H. Ehrich, P. Fernandez-Llama, D. Fliser, J. Frokiaer, J. Garin, M. Girolami, W. S. Hancock, M. 442 Haubitz, D. Hochstrasser, R. R. Holman, J. P. A. Ioannidis, J. Jankowski, B. A. Julian, J. B. Klein, W. 443 Kolch, T. Luider, Z. Massy, W. B. Mattes, F. Molina, B. Monsarrat, J. Novak, K. Peter, P. Rossing, M. 444 Sánchez-Carbayo, J. P. Schanstra, O. J. Semmes, G. Spasovski, D. Theodorescu, V. Thongboonkerd, R. 445 Vanholder, T. D. Veenstra, E. Weissinger, T. Yamamoto and A. Vlahou. Recommendations for biomarker identification and qualification in clinical proteomics. Sci. Transl. Med., 2010, 2, 46ps42. 446

- F. Ceciliani, D. Eckersall, R. Burchmore and C. Lecchi. Proteomics in veterinary medicine:
 applications and trends in disease pathogenesis and diagnostics. Vet. Pathol., 2014, 51, 351–62.
- P. D. Eckersall, A. M. de Almeida and I. Miller, J. Proteomics, a new tool for farm animal
 science. Proteomics, 2012, 75, 4187–9.
- 451 [5] E. Bendixen, M. Danielsen, K. Hollung, E. Gianazza and I. Miller, J. Farm animal proteomics--a 452 review. Proteomics, 2011, 74, 282–93.
- 453 [6] A. M. de Almeida and E. Bendixen, J. Pig proteomics: a review of a species in the crossroad 454 between biomedical and food sciences. Proteomics, 2012, 75, 4296–314.
- 455 [7] J. L. Williams. Genetic Control of Meat Quality Traits, in Meat Biotechnology, ed. F. Toldrá,
 456 Springer New York, New York, NY, 2008, pp. 21–60.

- 457 [8] W. H. McDonald and J. R. Yates. Shotgun proteomics and biomarker discovery. Dis. Markers,
 458 2002, 18, 99–105.
- [9] Mann M, Hojrup P, Roepstorff P. Use of mass spectrometric molecular weight information to
 identify proteins in sequence databases. Biol Mass Spectrom. 1993 Jun; 22(6):338-45.
- 461 [10] Aebersold R, Mann M. Mass spectrometry-based proteomics. Nature. 2003; 422:198–207.
- 462 [11] B. Hernández, A. Parnell and S. R. Pennington. Why have so few proteomic biomarkers
 463 "survived" validation?(Sample size and independent validation considerations). Proteomics, 2014, 14,
 464 1587–92.
- 465 [12] T. Shi, D. Su, T. Liu, K. Tang, D. G. Camp, W.-J. Qian and R. D. Smith. Advancing the sensitivity
 466 of selected reaction monitoring-based targeted quantitative proteomics. Proteomics, 2012, 12,
 467 1074–92.
- 468 [13] H. Mischak, A. Vlahou and J. P. A. Ioannidis. Technical aspects and inter-laboratory variability
 469 in native peptide profiling: the CE–MS experience. Clin. Biochem., 2013, 46, 432–43.
- 470 [14] J. P. Savaryn, A. D. Catherman, P. M. Thomas, M. M. Abecassis and N. L. Kelleher. The
 471 emergence of top-down proteomics in clinical research. Genome Med., 2013, 5, 53.
- 472 [15] Kelleher NL. Top-down proteomics. Anal Chem. 2004; 76:196 A–203 A.
- 473 [16] A. Albalat, H. Husi, J. Siwy, J. E. Nally, M. McLauglin, P. D. Eckersall and W. Mullen. Capillary
 474 electrophoresis interfaced with a mass spectrometer (CE-MS): technical considerations and
 475 applicability for biomarker studies in animals. Curr. Protein Pept. Sci., 2014, 15, 23–35.
- 476 [17] M. G. J. Koene, H. A. Mulder, N. Stockhofe-Zurwieden, L. Kruijt and M. A. Smits. Serum
 477 protein profiles as potential biomarkers for infectious disease status in pigs. BMC Vet. Res., 2012, 8,
 478 32.
- 479 [18] L. Zhong, D. L. Taylor and R. J. Whittington. Proteomic profiling of ovine serum by SELDI-TOF
 480 MS: optimisation, reproducibility and feasibility of biomarker discovery using routinely collected
 481 samples. Comp. Immunol. Microbiol. Infect. Dis., 2010, 33, 47–63.
- 482 [19] A. Stalmach, H. Husi, K. Mosbahi, A. Albalat, W. Mullen and H. Mischak. Methods in capillary
 483 electrophoresis coupled to mass spectrometry for the identification of clinical proteomic/peptidomic
 484 biomarkers in biofluids. Methods Mol. Biol., 2015, 1243, 187–205.
- L. Molin, R. Seraglia, A. Lapolla, E. Ragazzi, J. Gonzalez, A. Vlahou, J. P. Schanstra, A. Albalat,
 M. Dakna, J. Siwy, J. Jankowski, V. Bitsika, H. Mischak, P. Zürbig and P. Traldi, A comparison between
 MALDI-MS and CE-MS data for biomarker assessment in chronic kidney diseases. J. Proteomics, 2012,
 75, 5888–97.
- 489 [21] R. Mansor, W. Mullen, A. Albalat, P. Zerefos, H. Mischak, D. C. Barrett, A. Biggs and P. D.
 490 Eckersall. A peptidomic approach to biomarker discovery for bovine mastitis. J. Proteomics, 2013, 85,
 491 89–98.
- 492 [22] J. E. Nally, W. Mullen, J. J. Callanan, H. Mischak and A. Albala. Detection of urinary
 493 biomarkers in reservoir hosts of leptospirosis by capillary electrophoresis-mass spectrometry.
 494 Proteomics. Clin. Appl., 2015, 9, 543–51.
- 495 [23] A. Mehta and L. P. Silva. MALDI-TOF MS profiling approach: how much can we get from it?
 496 Front. Plant Sci., 2015, 6, 184.

J. F. Povey, C. J. O'Malley, T. Root, E. B. Martin, G. A. Montague, M. Feary, C. Trim, D. A. Lang,
R. Alldread, A. J. Racher and C. M. Smales. Rapid high-throughput characterisation, classification and
selection of recombinant mammalian cell line phenotypes using intact cell MALDI-ToF mass
spectrometry fingerprinting and PLS-DA modelling. J. Biotechnol., 2014, 184, 84–93.

[25] V. Labas, L. Spina, C. Belleannee, A.-P. Teixeira-Gomes, A. Gargaros, F. Dacheux and J.-L.
Dacheux. Analysis of epididymal sperm maturation by MALDI profiling and top-down mass
spectrometry. J. Proteomics, 2015, 113, 226–43.

[26] N. H. L. Chiu, Z. Jia, R. Diaz and P. Wright. Rapid Differentiation of In Vitro Cellular Responses
to Toxic Chemicals by Using MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry. Environ. Toxicol. Chem., 2015, 34, 161–
6.

507 [27] J. Hanrieder, G. Wicher, J. Bergquist, M. Andersson and A. Fex-Svenningsen. MALDI mass 508 spectrometry based molecular phenotyping of CNS glial cells for prediction in mammalian brain 509 tissue. Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2011, 401, 135–47.

510 [28] G. M. Toh-Boyo, S. S. Wulff and F. Basile. Comparison of sample preparation methods and 511 evaluation of intra- and intersample reproducibility in bacteria MALDI-MS profiling. Anal. Chem., 512 2012, 84, 9971–80.

513 [29] D. Portevin, V. Pfluger, P. Otieno, R. Brunisholz, G. Vogel and C. Daubenberger. Quantitative 514 whole-cell MALDI-TOF MS fingerprints distinguishes human monocyte sub-populations activated by 515 distinct microbial ligands. BMC Biotechnol, 2015, 15, 24.

516 [30] S. L. Kober, H. Meyer-Alert, D. Grienitz, H. Hollert and M. Frohme. Intact cell mass 517 spectrometry as a rapid and specific tool for the differentiation of toxic effects in cell-based 518 ecotoxicological test systems. Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2015, 407, 7721–31.

[31] B. Munteanu, B. Meyer, C. von Reitzenstein, E. Burgermeister, S. Bog, A. Pahl, M. P. Ebert and
C. Hopf. Label-free in situ monitoring of histone deacetylase drug target engagement by matrixassisted laser desorption ionization-mass spectrometry biotyping and imaging. Anal. Chem., 2014, 86,
4642–7.

523 [32] G. Vogel, A. Strauss, B. Jenni, D. Ziegler, E. Dumermuth, S. Antz, C. Bardouille, B. Wipf, C. 524 Miscenic, G. Schmid and V. Pflüger. Development and validation of a protocol for cell line 525 identification by MALDI-TOF MS. BMC Proc., 2011, 5 Suppl 8, P45.

[33] H. Feng, L. C. Sim, C. Wan, N. S. C. Wong and Y. Yang. Rapid characterization of protein
 productivity and production stability of CHO cells by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization time of-flight mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 2011, 25, 1407–1412.

[34] R. Ouedraogo, A. Daumas, E. Ghigo, C. Capo, J. L. Mege and J. Textoris. Whole-cell MALDI-TOF
MS: a new tool to assess the multifaceted activation of macrophages. J Proteomics, 2012, 75, 5523–
5532.

[35] A. Karger, B. Bettin, M. Lenk and T. C. Mettenleiter. Rapid characterisation of cell cultures by
 matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation mass spectrometric typing. J. Virol. Methods, 2010, 164,
 116–21.

[36] L. Bai, E. V Romanova and J. V Sweedler. Distinguishing endogenous D-amino acid-containing
neuropeptides in individual neurons using tandem mass spectrometry. Anal Chem, 2011, 83, 2794–
2800.

- [37] H. López-Fernández, H. M. Santos, J. L. Capelo, F. Fdez-Riverola, D. Glez-Peña and M. ReboiroJato. Mass-Up: an all-in-one open software application for MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry knowledge
 discovery. BMC Bioinformatics, 2015, 16, 318.
- 541 [38] P. Findeisen and M. Neumaier. Mass spectrometry-based clinical proteomics profiling: 542 current status and future directions. Expert Rev. Proteomics, 2009, 6, 457–9.
- 543 [39] D. R. Ahlf, P. M. Thomas and N. L. Kelleher. Developing top down proteomics to maximize 544 proteome and sequence coverage from cells and tissues. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2013, 17, 787–794.
- 545 [40] P. Chaurand and R. M. Caprioli. Direct profiling and imaging of peptides and proteins from 546 mammalian cells and tissue sections by mass spectrometry. Electrophoresis, 2002, 23, 3125–3135.
- 547 [41] D. Debois, V. Bertrand, L. Quinton, M.-C. De Pauw-Gillet and E. De Pauw. MALDI-in source 548 decay applied to mass spectrometry imaging: a new tool for protein identification. Anal. Chem., 549 2010, 82, 4036–45.
- 550 [42] D. Suckau and A. Resemann. MALDI Top-Down sequencing: calling N- and C-terminal protein 551 sequences with high confidence and speed. J. Biomol. Tech., 2009, 20, 258–62.
- 552 [43] Z. Liu and K. L. Schey. Optimization of a MALDI TOF-TOF mass spectrometer for intact protein 553 analysis. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom., 2005, 16, 482–90.
- [44] B. Parks, L. Jiang, P. M. Thomas, C. D. Wenger, M. J. Roth, M. T. Boyne, P. V Burke, K. E.
 Kwast and N. L. Kelleher. Top-down proteomics on a chromatographic time scale using linear ion trap
 fourier transform hybrid mass spectrometers. Anal. Chem., 2007, 79, 7984–91.
- [45] V. Labas, I. Grasseau, K. Cahier, A. Gargaros, G. Harichaux, A.-P. Teixeira-Gomes, S. Alves, M.
 Bourin, N. Gérard and E. Blesbois. Qualitative and quantitative peptidomic and proteomic
 approaches to phenotyping chicken semen. J. Proteomics, 2015, 112, 313–35.
- [46] R. D. Holland, J. G. Wilkes, F. Rafii, J. B. Sutherland, C. C. Persons, K. J. Voorhees and J. O. Lay.
 Rapid identification of intact whole bacteria based on spectral patterns using matrix-assisted laser
 desorption/ionization with time-of-flight mass spectrometry. Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 1996,
 10, 1227–32.
- 564 [47] M. Welker. Proteomics for routine identification of microorganisms. Proteomics, 2011, 11, 565 3143–3153.
- 566 [48] W. P. Blackstock and M. P. Weir. Proteomics: quantitative and physical mapping of cellular 567 proteins. Trends Biotechnol., 1999, 17, 121–7.
- 568 [49] M. A. Claydon, S. N. Davey, V. Edwards-Jones and D. B. Gordon. The rapid identification of 569 intact microorganisms using mass spectrometry. Nat. Biotechnol., 1996, 14, 1584–6.
- 570 [50] T. Krishnamurthy and P. L. Ross. Rapid identification of bacteria by direct matrix-assisted 571 laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometric analysis of whole cells. Rapid Commun. Mass 572 Spectrom., 1996, 10, 1992–1996.
- 573 [51] C. J. Keys, D. J. Dare, H. Sutton, G. Wells, M. Lunt, T. McKenna, M. McDowall and H. N. Shah, 574 Compilation of a MALDI-TOF mass spectral database for the rapid screening and characterisation of 575 bacteria implicated in human infectious diseases. Infect Genet Evol, 2004, 4, 221–242.

576 [52] K. Sogawa, M. Watanabe, K. Sato, S. Segawa, C. Ishii, A. Miyabe, S. Murata, T. Saito and F.
577 Nomura. Use of the MALDI BioTyper system with MALDI–TOF mass spectrometry for rapid
578 identification of microorganisms. Anal Bioanal Chem, 2011, 400, 1905–1911.

579 [53] A. Zavalin, E. M. Todd, P. D. Rawhouser, J. Yang, J. L. Norris and R. M. Caprioli. Direct imaging
580 of single cells and tissue at sub-cellular spatial resolution using transmission geometry MALDI MS. J.
581 Mass Spectrom., 2012, 47, i.

582 [54] J. Qian, J. E. Cutler, R. B. Cole and Y. Cai. MALDI-TOF mass signatures for differentiation of 583 yeast species, strain grouping and monitoring of morphogenesis markers. Anal Bioanal Chem, 2008, 584 392, 439–449.

[55] L. G. Stevenson, S. K. Drake, Y. R. Shea, A. M. Zelazny and P. R. Murray. Evaluation of matrixassisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry for identification of clinically
important yeast species. J Clin Microbiol, 2010, 48, 3482–3486.

588 [56] N. Fatania, M. Fraser, M. Savage, J. Hart and A. Abdolrasouli. Comparative evaluation of 589 matrix-assisted laser desorption ionisation-time of flight mass spectrometry and conventional 590 phenotypic-based methods for identification of clinically important yeasts in a UK-based medical 591 microbiology laboratory. J. Clin. Pathol., 2015, 68, 1040–2.

J. Gagnaire, O. Dauwalder, S. Boisset, D. Khau, A.-M. Freydiere, F. Ader, M. Bes, G. Lina, A.
Tristan, M.-E. Reverdy, A. Marchand, T. Geissmann, Y. Benito, G. Durand, J.-P. Charrier, J. Etienne, M.
Welker, A. Van Belkum and F. Vandenesch, Detection of Staphylococcus aureus delta-toxin
production by whole-cell MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry. PLoS One, 2012, 7, e40660.

596 [58]. Povey JF, Saintas E, Aderemi AV, Rothweiler F, Zehner R, Dirks WG, Cinatl J, Racher AJ, Wass
597 MN, Smales CM, Michaelis M. Intact-Cell MALDI-ToF Mass Spectrometry for the Authentication of
598 Drug-Adapted Cancer Cell Lines. Cells. 2019 ,2;8(10)

[59] R. Ouedraogo, C. Flaudrops, A. Ben Amara, C. Capo, D. Raoult and J.-L. Mege. Global analysis
of circulating immune cells by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass
spectrometry. PLoS One, 2010, 5, e13691.

[60]. Ouedraogo R, Textoris J, Gorvel L, Daumas A, Capo C, Mege JL. Analysis and Characterization of
 Immune Cells and Their Activation Status by Whole-Cell MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry. Methods
 Mol Biol. 2019; 2024:339-351

[61] L. Kannan, N. C. Rath, R. Liyanage and J. O. Lay Jr. Direct screening identifies mature β defensin 2 in avian heterophils. Poult Sci, 2009, 88, 372–379.

[62] L. Kannan, N. C. Rath, R. Liyanage and J. O. Lay Jr.. Thymosin beta in macrophage. Ann. N. Y.
Acad. Sci., 2007, 1112, 425–434.

[63] L. Kannan, R. Liyanage, J. O. Lay Jr. and N. C. Rath. Evaluation of beta defensin 2 production
by chicken heterophils using direct MALDI mass spectrometry. Mol Immunol, 2009, 46, 3151–3156.

- 611 [64] Druart, X., Rickard, J.P., Tsikis, G., de Graaf, S.P., 2019. Seminal plasma proteins as markers of 612 sperm fertility. Theriogenology 31, 30172-30174.
- [65] Dipresa, S., De Toni, L., Foresta, C., Garolla, A., 2018. New Markers for Predicting Fertility of the
 Male Gametes in the Post Genomic Age. Protein Pept Lett 18, 0929866525666180418120635.

[66] Jodar, M., Soler-Ventura, A., Oliva, R., 2017. Semen proteomics and male infertility. J Proteomics162, 125-134.

- 617 [67] Soler L, Labas V, Thélie A, Grasseau I, Teixeira-Gomes AP, Blesbois E. Intact cell MALDI-TOF
 618 MS on sperm: a molecular test for male fertility diagnosis. Mol Cell Proteomics. 2016, 15(6):1998619 2010.
- [68] V. Labas, L. Spina, C. Belleannee, A.-P. Teixeira-Gomes, A. Gargaros, F. Dacheux and J.-L.
 Dacheux. Analysis of epididymal sperm maturation by MALDI profiling and top-down mass
 spectrometry. J. Proteomics, 2015, 113, 226–43.
- 623 [69] B. G. Brackett, D. P. Wolf and M. Zelinski-Wooten. Advances in Animal In Vitro Fertilization.
 624 2001, 21–51.
- 625 [70] G. Marteil, L. Richard-Parpaillon and J. Z. Kubiak. Role of oocyte quality in meiotic maturation 626 and embryonic development. Reprod. Biol., 2009, 9, 203–24.
- 627 [71] E. M. Chang, H. S. Song, D. R. Lee, W. S. Lee and T. K. Yoon. In vitro maturation of human 628 oocytes: Its role in infertility treatment and new possibilities. Clin. Exp. Reprod. Med., 2014, 41, 41–6.
- [72] L. Zhang, R. S. Denniston and R. A. Godke. A simple method for in vitro maturation, in vitro
 fertilization, and co-culture of bovine oocytes. J. Tissue Cult. Methods, 1992, 14, 107–112.
- 631 [73] G. J. Arnold and T. Frohlich. Dynamic proteome signatures in gametes, embryos and their 632 maternal environment. Reprod. Fertil. Dev. 2011, 23, 81–93.
- [74] I. Virant-Klun and J. Krijgsveld. Proteomes of animal oocytes: what can we learn for human
 oocytes in the in vitro fertilization programme? Biomed Res. Int., 2014, 2014, 856907.
- [75] Q. Fu, Z.-F. Liu, Y.-L. Huang, Y.-Q. Lu and M. Zhang. Comparative proteomic analysis of mature
 and immature oocytes of the swamp buffalo (Bubalus bubalis). Int. J. Mol. Sci., 2015, 17.
- [76]. Labas V, Teixeira-Gomes AP, Bouguereau L, Gargaros A, Spina L, Marestaing A, Uzbekova S.
 Intact cell MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry on single bovine oocyte and follicular cells combined with
 top-down proteomics: A novel approach to characterise markers of oocyte maturation. J Proteomics.
 2018. 20;175:56-74.
- [77] L. F. Marvin-Guy, P. Duncan, S. Wagniere, N. Antille, N. Porta, M. Affolter and M. Kussmann.
 Rapid identification of differentiation markers from whole epithelial cells by matrix-assisted laser
 desorption/ionisation time-of-flight mass spectrometry and statistical analysis. Rapid Commun. Mass
 Spectrom., 2008, 22, 1099–1108.
- [78] L. Kannan, N. C. Rath, R. Liyanage and J. O. Lay Jr.. Thymosin beta in macrophage. Ann. N. Y.
 Acad. Sci., 2007, 1112, 425–434.
- [79] C. M. Buchanan, A. S. Malik and G. J. S. Cooper. C. M. Buchanan, A. S. Malik and G. J. S. Cooper,
 Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom., 2007, 21, 3452–3458.
- [80] X. Zhang, M. Scalf, T. W. Berggren, M. S. Westphall and L. M. Smith. Identification of mammalian
 cell lines using MALDI-TOF and LC-ESI-MS/MS mass spectrometry. J Am Soc Mass Spectrom, 2006, 17,
 490–499.
- [81] J. Bergquist. Cells on the target matrix-assisted laser-desorption/ionization time-of-flight mass-
- 653 spectrometric analysis of mammalian cells grown on the target. Chromatographia 1999, 49, 41–48.
- 654
- 655 **Figure Legends**

Figure 1: Proteomic approaches distinguishing traditional bottom-up strategies and no-classical topdown strategy

658 Figure 2: Typical ICM-MS workflow

Figure 3: Top-Down protein identification workflow adapted for ICM-MS spectra characterization. 1.

Fractionation can be done using chromatographic separation, gel separation or any other sample treatment aiming at decreasing sample complexity. 2. Top-Down protein identification can be

662 performed from whole cells, crude protein extracts, or pre-fractionated protein extracts. Analysis can

be based on the use of MALDI (A,B) or ESI (C,D) source ionisation m and fragmentation can be

664 performed using PSD/ISD (A,B), or HCD (B,C,D).



667 Figure 1.



Figure 2



Year	Model	Objectives	Proteomic approach	m/z mass identification approach	Reference
2019	Neuroblastoma cell lines	Authentication of Drug-Adapted Cancer Cell Lines.	Targeted	no	58
2019	macrophages	Characterization of activation of macrophages	Targeted	no	60
2018	Bovine oocytes	Impact of meiotic maturation on single oocytes, cumulus cells and granulosa cells.	Global	Top-Down HRMS	76
2016	Chicken spermatozoa	Diagnosis of avian male fertility	Global	Top-Down HRMS	67
2015	Chicken spermatozoa	Phenotyping of semen to discriminate avian males on their reproductive capacity	Global	Top-Down HRMS	45
2015	Porcine Spermatozoa	Characterization of epididymal sperm maturation process	Global	Top-Down HRMS	68
2015	Human monocytes	Distinction of human monocyte subpopulations and monitorization of activation profiles of monocytes exposed to distinct microbial ligands	Global	No	29
2015	Fish cell line RTL-W1	Characterization of toxic effects and identification of toxins in complex environmental samples	Global	No	30
2015	HepG2 and THP-1 cells	Characterization of <i>in vitro</i> cellular responses to toxic chemicals	Global	No	26
2014	Cancer cells (chronic myelogenous leukemia cell line K562)	Label-free quantification of histone acetylation to measure the tumor-selective pharmacodynamic responses to drug in a mouse model of gastrointestinal cancer	Targeted	No	31
2012	Human macrophages	Monitorization of the various activation patterns of immune cells (macrophage)	Global	No	34
2012	Human monocytes and polymorphonuclear neutrophils	Phenotyping of mammalian primary cells and cell lines (HL- 60, THP-1 and Hela S3)	Global	No	62
2011	Mammalian and insect cell lines	Cell line identification	Global	No	29
2011	Rodent glial cells	Brain cells phenotyping (astroglial, microglial, and oligodendroglial cells)	Global	Bottom-up using one-dimensional sodium dodecyl sulfate- polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis followed by LC- MS/MS	27
2011	Chinese hamster ovary cells	Selection of stable and high- producing cell lines for monoclonal antibody (mAb) IgG	Global	No	33
2011	Sea slug neurons	Characterization of D-amino acid-containing peptides	Targeted	Top-Down MALDI- TOF-TOF	6

2010	Human and murine mmune cells	Immune cells (monocytes, T lymphocytes and polymorphonuclear cells) phenotyping	Global	No	58
2010	Insects and animal cells	Cell lines phyloproteomic s	Global	No	35
2010	Chinese hamster ovary cells	Characterization of low/high- producing cell lines for the production of recombinant biotherapeutics	Global	No	33
2009	Avian heterophils	Direct identification of mature β- defensin 2 in avian heterophils	Targeted	Edman sequencing and bottom-up	63
2008	Human colon epithelial carcinoma T84 cell line	Identification of cell differentiation markers	Global	Bottom-up using GeLC-MS/MS	77
2007	Chicken macrophages	Identification and characterization of thymosin beta-4	Target	Purification by HPLC and Bottom- up using MALDI- TOF	78
2007	Mouse pancreatic islet alpha and beta cells	Identification of secretory products (hormones) in endocrine cells	Global	No	79
2006	Human myelomonocytic and lymphoblast, rodent BHK-21	Identification of mammalian cell lines	Global	Top-Down HRMS, MALDI-TOF-TOF and PSD, bottom- up using nanoLC- MS/MS	80
1999	Rat adrenal pheochromocytoma PC 12 cells)	Profiling of mammalian cell line after stimulation with neurotrophic factor (nerve- growth factor)	Global	No	81

721 Table 1. Literature on superior eukaryotic Intact Cell MALDI-TOF MS studies.

Intact Cell MALDI-TOF Mass Spectrometry

