



HAL
open science

Effect of a pleasure-oriented intervention on the nutritional quality of midafternoon snacks and on the relationship between food liking and perceived healthiness within mother-child dyads

Delphine Poquet, Emilie Ginon, Coraline Sénécal, Claire Chabanet, Stéphan S. Marette, Sylvie Issanchou, Sandrine Monnery-Patris

► To cite this version:

Delphine Poquet, Emilie Ginon, Coraline Sénécal, Claire Chabanet, Stéphan S. Marette, et al.. Effect of a pleasure-oriented intervention on the nutritional quality of midafternoon snacks and on the relationship between food liking and perceived healthiness within mother-child dyads. *Food Quality and Preference*, 2020, 84, pp.103947. 10.1016/j.foodqual.2020.103947 . hal-02865892

HAL Id: hal-02865892

<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02865892>

Submitted on 22 Aug 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

1 **Effect of a pleasure-oriented intervention on the nutritional quality of midafternoon snacks and**
2 **on the relationship between food liking and perceived healthiness within mother-child dyads**

3

4

5 **Delphine Poquet¹, Emilie Ginon², Coraline Sénécal¹, Claire Chabanet¹, Stéphan Marette³, Sylvie**
6 **Issanchou¹, Sandrine Monnery-Patris¹**

7

8

9 ¹Centre des Sciences du Goût et de l'Alimentation, Agrosup Dijon, CNRS, INRAE, Université
10 Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-21000 Dijon, France.

11 ²CEREN, EA 7477, Burgundy School of Business, Université Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-21000
12 Dijon, France.

13 ³Université Paris-Saclay, INRAE, AgroParisTech, UMR Economie Publique, 78850, Thiverval
14 Grignon, France.

15

16 **Email address of the corresponding author: sandrine.monnery-patris@inrae.fr**

17

18

19

20

21

22 **Abstract**

23 The aims of the present study are to assess the impact of a pleasure-oriented intervention on the
24 nutritional quality of midafternoon snacks chosen by mother-child dyads and to evaluate the evolution
25 of the relationship between the liking and perceived healthiness of the food items offered for the snack
26 choices. Data were collected at two laboratory sessions (T1 and T2), during which children and
27 mothers were separately asked to choose two food items (among 10) for a midafternoon snack, first
28 for themselves and then for the other dyad member. Participants also rated their liking and perceived
29 healthiness of the 10 food items. After T1, dyads were randomly assigned to an experimental group
30 (N=94) with an in-home pleasure-oriented intervention to stimulate the pleasure of consuming healthy
31 foods or to a control group (N=93). Our study shows the lack of a significant effect of the intervention
32 on the nutritional quality of snacks chosen at T2. However, for the children in the experimental group,
33 the absence of significant relationship between liking and perceived healthiness at T1 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = -0.05$, P
34 $= 0.56$) became a significant and positive link at T2 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = 0.13$, $P = 0.002$). Moreover, this increase
35 of the relationship in T2 was significantly higher for the experimental group compared to the control
36 group ($P = 0.05$). For mothers, the existing relationship between liking and perceived healthiness at T1
37 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = 0.27$, $P < 0.001$) increased significantly between T1 and T2 ($P = 0.006$) only in the
38 experimental group, even if this increase was not significantly higher compared to the control group (P
39 $= 0.21$). Since the relationship between food liking and perceived healthiness in mother-child dyads
40 increased after the intervention, one could argue that this higher positive attitude towards healthy
41 foods could constitute the first step in a behavioural change in favour of healthier choices.

42 **Keywords**

43 Home-based intervention; nutritional quality; snack choices; liking; healthiness; attitude

44

45

46 **1. Introduction**

47 In France, midafternoon snack is a frequent habit; 62% of children aged 1 to 17 years old consume a
48 midafternoon snack daily, while six out of ten adults regularly take a midafternoon snack (Anses,
49 2017). This midafternoon snack is usually taken between 4.30 p.m. and 5.30. p.m. (INPES, 2004).
50 Nevertheless, these snacks are far from adequate from a nutritional point of view (Anses, 2017).
51 Nutritional information can drive healthier choices by children and their mothers, but at the expense of
52 pleasure (Poquet et al., 2019). Since pleasure is a strong driver of food choice, particularly for
53 midafternoon snacks (Tibère, Rochedy, & Sarrat, 2018), the aims of the present study are to assess the
54 impact of a pleasure-oriented intervention on the nutritional quality of midafternoon snacks chosen
55 within mother-child dyads and to evaluate the evolution of the relationship between food liking and
56 perceived healthiness for the different food items offered as snack choices.

57 The French National Nutrition and Health Program (PNNS; Programme National Nutrition Santé)
58 recommends that midafternoon snacks include one to two food items among three categories: fruits,
59 cereal products and dairy products. However, recent observations have highlighted that French
60 children up to 10 years of age consume 25% of their total daily sugar intake during the midafternoon
61 snack and that the snacks often include pastries, cakes and sweet biscuits (Anses, 2017). Eating a
62 midafternoon snack is also a frequent habit among French adults (Si Hassen et al., 2018a). Overall,
63 fatty, sweet products such as pastries, cookies, and chocolate contribute most to the energy intake
64 (33%) from midafternoon snacks among French adults (Si Hassen et al., 2018a). A recent study
65 showed that in women, having children in the household was associated with a lower contribution of
66 fruits and a higher contribution of sugary products and fatty sweet foods to the total energy intake
67 from snacks compared to those of women living without children (Si Hassen et al., 2018b). Thus, it
68 seems relevant to identify strategies to orient midafternoon snack choices towards healthy foods in
69 mother-child dyads.

70 It has been shown that providing a nutritional message can drive healthier midafternoon snack choices
71 in mother-child dyads (Marette et al., 2016). A recent study also showed that the nutritional quality of

72 mothers' and children's snack choices was higher when food products were labelled with the Nutri-
73 Score, a front-of-pack nutritional labelling system, than when they were not (Poquet et al., 2019).
74 However, health information may not always achieve the expected effect, because it may negatively
75 impact taste expectations. For instance, it was found that children aged 9-11 years rated a new drink
76 with a health label as less pleasant than the same drink presented without any health label (Wardle &
77 Huon, 2000). Another study showed that preschoolers rated crackers as less tasty and consumed fewer
78 of them when they were presented as instrumental in achieving a health goal than when crackers were
79 not associated with a message (Maimaran & Fishbach, 2014). In adults, the counterproductive effects
80 of health messages or nutrition information have also been observed. For example, French consumers
81 were more likely to choose unhealthy foods when an advertisement with a health message was present,
82 whereas their choice of healthy foods doubled in the absence of a message (Werle & Cuny, 2012).
83 Moreover, consumers tend to believe that healthiness and tastiness were negatively correlated, as
84 described by the 'unhealthy=tasty' intuition (Raghunathan, Walker, & Hoyer, 2006), and may in turn
85 reject the foods that were associated with a nutritional message. **Nevertheless, an opposite association**
86 **was observed in French students, for whom a food product described as healthy was considered tastier,**
87 **more pleasurable and of better quality than a food product described as unhealthy (Werle, Trendel, &**
88 **Ardito, 2013).** Finally, Poquet et al., (2019) found that the increase in the nutritional quality of
89 midafternoon snacks chosen within the mother-child dyads when nutritional information was provided
90 was associated with a significant decrease in the liking of the snacks. This result was interpreted as a
91 hedonic cost associated with changes in favour of healthier snack choices in children as well as in
92 mothers. Since pleasure is one of the main determinants of children's food choices (Nguyen, Girgis, &
93 Robinson, 2014; Waddingham, Shaw, Dam, & Bettiol, 2018), it seems relevant to identify strategies to
94 orient mothers' and children's choices towards healthy foods using the pleasure of consuming healthy
95 foods as a lever.

96 To the best of our knowledge, only one study has examined the effects of a message focusing on the
97 pleasure of healthy eating compared to a message focusing on the health benefits of healthy eating on
98 food choices from a buffet (Trudel-Guy et al., 2019). The results of this study showed that both types

99 of messages were effective in improving the nutritional quality of food choices, but only among a
100 sample composed of participants with a suboptimal diet quality. Moreover, this study only targeted
101 adults and not children. Even if the literature is scarce on the topic, some authors suggest that focusing
102 on eating pleasure might be an ally with regard to healthy eating among children. A recent review
103 emphasized that pleasure from eating could constitute an opportunity to promote healthy eating in
104 children (Marty, Chambaron, Nicklaus, & Monnery-Patris, 2018). The authors identified three
105 dimensions of pleasure from eating during childhood: the sensory dimension, which refers to pleasure
106 from sensory sensations during food consumption; the interpersonal dimension, which relates to
107 pleasure from the social context of food consumption; and the psychosocial dimension, which refers to
108 pleasure from cognitive representations of food (Marty et al., 2018). Moreover, it was found that
109 children with more hedonic-based attitudes towards food were more likely to choose healthy food
110 options in a buffet, while children with nutrition-based attitudes chose less healthy foods (Marty et al.,
111 2017).

112 Thus, the first aim of our study was to test the efficiency of a pleasure-oriented intervention targeting
113 the three dimensions of pleasure from eating on the nutritional quality of midafternoon snacks chosen
114 within mother-child dyads. This intervention has been implemented in the home because it has been
115 previously shown that a familiar setting should constitute a logical location to promote healthier food
116 choices in mother-child dyads (Snuggs, Houston-Price, & Harvey, 2019). To assess the impact of this
117 pleasure-oriented intervention on the nutritional quality of midafternoon snacks, we used an
118 experimental design in which the participants, before and after the intervention, were faced with the
119 same variety of food items and were asked to choose midafternoon snacks among them. Our first
120 hypothesis was that after the pleasure-oriented intervention, the snack choices of the mothers and
121 children would be of better nutritional quality than their snack choices before the intervention in the
122 experimental group but not in the control group. We also examined the evolution of the difference in
123 the nutritional quality of the children's snacks when chosen by the child or by their mother. This
124 comparison seems relevant since mothers are still mostly in charge of food purchases in French
125 households (Mathé & Hébel, 2013), but they also take into account the desires of their child when

126 offering them foods for their midafternoon snack (Marette et al., 2016; Tibère, Rochedy, & Sarrat,
127 2018). In addition, since mothers have been described as ‘indulgent’ when choosing a lower number
128 of healthy foods for their child than for themselves (Marette et al., 2016), we also examined the effect
129 of the intervention on the difference in the nutritional quality of the snacks chosen by the mothers for
130 themselves and for their children.

131 Since a food-pleasure orientation can lead to healthier food choices than a health/nutrition orientation
132 in children (Marty et al., 2017), one could assume that stimulating the pleasure of consuming healthy
133 foods could increase their liking. Thus, **the second** aim was to assess for the first time the relationship
134 between food liking and perceived healthiness of a range of sweet food items for children and mothers
135 and to determine whether this potential link could be modified by an intervention promoting the
136 pleasure of consuming healthy foods. Our second hypothesis was that after the pleasure-oriented
137 intervention, the relationship between liking and perceived healthiness of the food items would
138 increase among participants of the experimental group compared to those of the control group.

139 **2. Materials and Methods**

140 **General design**

141 The experiment was performed in Dijon, Burgundy, France from February to June 2018. The general
142 design is presented in Fig. 1 and summarized below. In total, 187 mother-child dyads participated in
143 the present study. **Mothers were included in the study because, as indicated previously, they are still**
144 **mostly in charge of food purchases in French households (Mathé & Hébel, 2013).** Data were collected
145 during two sessions conducted in the laboratory at T1 (February) and T2 (April). During these two
146 sessions, participants were invited to choose two out of ten food items for their own midafternoon
147 snacks. Then, they were asked to choose two food items among the same set of ten food items for the
148 midafternoon snack of the other dyad member. The participants also rated their liking and perceived
149 healthiness of the ten food items. At the beginning of the session, the participants were informed that
150 one of the two chosen snacks, i.e. the snack chosen by the participants for themselves and the one
151 chosen by the other dyad member would be randomly selected to be consumed on site in another

152 friendly room. This specific procedure was used because the random draw reinforced the participants’
 153 direct involvement since the participants will consume the selected snack immediately after the
 154 session. This immediate consequence is supposed to ensure the sincerity of the participants’ choices in
 155 accordance with their preferences. After T1, the dyads were randomly assigned to either an
 156 experimental group, in which they received a pleasure-oriented intervention aimed at stimulating the
 157 pleasure of consuming healthy foods for a midafternoon snack, or a control group, in which they
 158 received a programme focused on table decoration. A snack booklet, in which the participants had to
 159 note beverages and food items consumed during the midafternoon on the two weekend days following
 160 the receipt of the booklet, was sent at T1, T2, and T3 (June) to each participant (results not shown in
 161 the present paper).

T1		Intervention	T2		T3
February		March	April		June
Laboratory	Home	Home	Laboratory	Home	Home
<p>Choices Participants chose 2 food items for their own midafternoon snacks. Then, they were asked to choose 2 food items among the same set of 10 food items for the midafternoon snack of the other dyad member</p> <p>Online questionnaire Participants rated liking and perceived healthiness of the 10 food items for themselves and for the other dyad member</p>	<p>1st snack booklet</p>	<p>Experimental group Participants received 3 boxes targeting the 3 dimensions of pleasure from eating to stimulate the pleasure of consuming healthy foods</p>	<p>Choices Participants chose 2 food items for their own midafternoon snacks. Then, they were asked to choose 2 food items among the same set of 10 food items for the midafternoon snack of the other dyad member</p> <p>Online questionnaire Participants rated liking and perceived healthiness of the 10 food items for themselves and for the other dyad member</p>	<p>2nd snack booklet</p>	<p>3rd snack booklet + Questionnaire Participants indicated involvement in the intervention</p>
		<p>Control group Participants received 3 boxes concerning the table decoration</p>			

162 **Fig. 1.** General design of the longitudinal study

163 *2.1. Food items selected for the laboratory sessions at T1 and T2*

164 Table 1 shows the ten food items selected for the two laboratory sessions and their nutritional scores
 165 based on the algorithm used to determine the Nutri-Score (a French nutritional labelling system
 166 officially chosen by the French government to be displayed on food products), providing an
 167 assessment of their nutritional quality (Ministère des solidarités et de la santé, 2017). It must be noted

168 that the Nutri-Score was not displayed on the packages of the food items when the participants were
 169 making their choices. At least one food item with good nutritional quality belonged to each of the
 170 three categories (fruits, cereal products and dairy products) recommended by the PNNS as a
 171 midafternoon snack (INPES, 2004). All food items were available in individually portioned
 172 packaging.

173 **Table 1.** Food items proposed for snack choices and their nutritional scores

Food items	Nutritional scores*
Applesauce - Pom'potes ®	-3
Banana	-2
Cereal bar - NAT&vie ®	0
Strawberry yogurt drink - Yop ®	1
Fruit salad - Douceur du verger ®	2
Squeezable strawberry yogurt - Pom'potes ®	3
Chocolate biscuit - Prince ®	15
Chocolate brioche - Pitch ®	18
Chocolate crepe - Whaou ®	22
Chocolate bar - Kinder Bueno ®	27

174 **Note:** *The higher the nutritional score, the lower the nutritional quality.

175 2.2. Participants

176 Participants were recruited from the population registered in the ChemoSens Platform database. This
 177 database has been declared to the relevant authority (Commission Nationale Informatique et Libertés –
 178 CNIL – n°1148039). Participants were also recruited with the help of a consumer recruitment
 179 company. For children, the inclusion criterion was a grade level of 3rd, 4th or 5th grade. **An information**
 180 **sheet was sent to mothers and their child in which they were told that the study will aim to better**
 181 **understand the food choices of children and mothers. They were informed that they will have to**
 182 **participate in two laboratory sessions, that they will receive 3 boxes at home and that they will have to**
 183 **fill in different questionnaires in the laboratory and at home and give some feedback about the box**
 184 **content.** Mothers and their children provided written consent to participate in this experiment, and the
 185 study was reviewed and approved by the ethics evaluation committee of Inserm (IRB00003888). One
 186 hundred and eighty-nine dyads were recruited, but data from two dyads were excluded because they
 187 participated only in the first session. Thus, data from 187 mother-child dyads were included in the

188 present paper. The mothers were compensated for their participation with a €40 gift voucher
 189 distributed at the end of the second session.

190 After T1, all dyads participating in sessions on the same day were randomly assigned to either the
 191 experimental or control group by an experimenter who was not present during the sessions. The
 192 experimental group was composed of 94 dyads, and the control group was composed of 93 dyads.
 193 Table 2 summarizes the sociodemographic characteristics of our sample. There was no significant
 194 difference between the two groups in terms of gender or grade level of the children, and in terms of
 195 age, educational level or household monthly net income of the mothers.

196 **Table 2.** Sociodemographic characteristics of the participants

	Experimental (N=94 dyads)	Control (N=93 dyads)
Child gender (%)		
Female	48.9	48.4
Male	51.1	51.6
Child mean age (years)	9.5*	9.3*
Child grade level (%)		
3 rd grade	34.0	41.9
4 th grade	40.4	33.3
5 th grade	25.5	24.7
Mother age (%)		
≤ 40 years	60.6	67.7
> 40 years	39.4	32.3
Mother education (%)		
No diploma	2.1	0
General Certificate of Secondary Education (GCSE) under C Grade/Youth Training/Business and Technology Education Council (BTEC) First Diploma	7.5	10.8
Advanced level (A-level) qualification	21.3	19.4
Second-year or higher university-level education	67.0	67.7
Higher than Master 2	2.1	2.2
Household monthly net income (%)		
≤ 3000 €	52.1	47.3
3000 – 4000 €	30.9	33.3
≥ 4000 €	17.0	19.4

197 * Data were available for 93 children in the experimental group and for 87 children in the control
 198 group.

199

200 2.3. Experimental procedure

201 2.3.1. Sessions conducted in the laboratory at T1 and T2

202 The experimental procedure was based on a previously used protocol involving mother-child dyads
203 (Poquet et al., 2019). The mother-child dyads participated in two 1-hour sessions in the laboratory.
204 These sessions were scheduled in the afternoon, with a maximum of seven dyads per session. The
205 participants were not informed that the content of the second session would be the same as that of the
206 first session. During the experiment, mothers and children were placed in front of a computer.
207 Moreover, to avoid oral and visual interaction, the members of each dyad sat back to back, and screens
208 were installed between them at the centre of the room. Thus, the participants' choices were not made
209 in front of the experimenter. At the beginning of the session, instructions were given by the
210 experimenter. In these instructions, the experimenter insisted on the participants' freedom to choose
211 foods according to their preferences and in the absence of bad or good replies. During the sessions, the
212 concepts of pleasure and nutrition were not mentioned.

213 2.3.2. Participants' selections of snacks for themselves and for the other dyad member

214 One box containing ten real food items was distributed to each participant. Children and mothers were
215 asked to choose two food items for their own midafternoon snack. The two selected food items were
216 placed in a bag, and once filled, the bags were taken away by the experimenters. A new box containing
217 the same set of ten real food items was distributed to each participant. Then, children and mothers
218 were asked to choose two food items for the midafternoon snack of the other dyad member. Thus, a
219 child chose two food items for his or her mother, and the mother chose two food items for her child.

220 The order of the different choices was not randomized. All participants began by choosing a
221 midafternoon snack for themselves, then for the other dyad member. This procedure has been used in
222 recent studies involving mother-child dyads (Marette et al., 2016; Poquet et al., 2019). As in these
223 studies, we have considered that it was more simple and ecological to ask children, as well as mothers,
224 to choose first for themselves and then for the other dyad member. This order seems "natural", since
225 participants naturally prefer to choose for themselves (Lusk, Marette, & Norwood, 2014). Moreover,

226 any potential compensation effect was reduced since, as mentioned above , the experimenters took
227 away each bag containing the two selected food items by the participants for themselves in order that
228 participants did not see any longer their first choices while selecting the food items for the other dyad
229 member. Thus, with this procedure, the two steps (first choices for themselves, then choices for the
230 other member) were clearly separated.

231 *2.3.3. Liking and perceived healthiness questionnaires*

232 The participants were invited to answer questions on a computer. First, they had to guess what the
233 other dyad member had chosen for them during the last choice (results not shown). Then, the
234 participants rated their own liking of each item (“How much do you like this food?”) using a 5-point
235 scale, with the left-most anchor labelled “I don’t like it at all” and the right-most anchor labelled “I
236 like it very much”, as well as the expected liking for the other dyad member (“How much do you think
237 your mom/child likes this food?”) using a 5-point scale, with the left-most anchor labelled “My
238 mother/child doesn’t like it at all” and the right-most anchor labelled “My mother/child likes it very
239 much”. Subsequently, the participants rated the perceived healthiness of each item, first for themselves
240 (“How healthy do you think this food is for you?”) using a 5-point scale, with the left-most anchor
241 labelled “It is not healthy at all” and the right-most anchor labelled “It is very healthy” and then for the
242 other dyad member (“How healthy do you think this food is for your mom/child?”) using a 5-point
243 scale, with the left-most anchor labelled “It is not healthy for my mom/child” and the right-most
244 anchor labelled “It is very healthy for my mom/child”. All responses were converted into values from
245 1 to 5 for the statistical analyses.

246 *2.3.4. Random draws of snacks and consumption on-site*

247 The participants were invited to indicate which snack they would like to have: the snack chosen by
248 and for themselves or the snack chosen by the other dyad member for them (results not shown). Then,
249 they randomly drew one token among two, one marked ‘1’ and the other marked ‘2’, and received the
250 snack they had chosen for themselves if they drew the token marked ‘1’ or the snack the other dyad
251 member had chosen for them if they drew the token marked ‘2’. One could argue that the consumption

252 of one of the two chosen snacks on site reinforced the participants' involvement and thus that the
253 participants' choices were more sincere, because they projected themselves into a real consumption
254 situation. After drawing a token, the participants were asked to indicate their level of satisfaction using
255 a 5-point scale, with the left anchor labelled "I am not at all satisfied" and the right anchor labelled "I
256 am very satisfied" (results not shown). The midafternoon snack consumption took place on-site in
257 another room in a convivial atmosphere.

258 *2.4. Description of the intervention*

259 *2.4.1. Content of the boxes for the participants of the experimental group*

260 Each dyad in the experimental group received three boxes at home, each targeting the three
261 dimensions of pleasure from eating: 1) the sensory dimension; 2) the interpersonal dimension and 3)
262 the psychosocial dimension (Marty et al., 2018) and aiming at stimulating the pleasure of consuming
263 healthy foods for midafternoon snacks. Four focus groups (two with five mothers and two with five
264 children who did not participate in the main experiment) had been previously conducted to test
265 different kitchen utensils in order to collect opinions regarding their easy-to-use amongst mothers and
266 to select those who stimulated the manipulation and playing in children. Moreover, mothers and
267 children were asked to read the infographics in order to check that they were acceptable for children.
268 The results of these focus groups allowed us to select kitchen utensils for the intervention in the
269 experimental group. The first box focused on fruits, the second focused on cereal products, and the
270 third focused on dairy products. These three categories are recommended by the PNNS for
271 midafternoon snacks (INPES, 2004).

272 Each box contained a card about the five senses, which targeted the sensory dimension by describing
273 with specific vocabulary the sensations and feelings experienced through the different senses when
274 consuming fruits, cereal products or dairy products. Each box contained also one kitchen utensil, a
275 recipe card and a culinary challenge. In the first box, there was an apple peeler and a set of bamboo
276 picks to make fruit skewers, in the second box moulds for cereal bars and a set of six small jars to
277 preserve cereals, and in the third box a set of small, pretty glasses (French "verrines"). In the first box

278 there was a banana-apple pie recipe, in the second box there was a cereal bar recipe with honey, and in
279 the third box there was a recipe with white cream cheese (“fromage blanc”) and caramelized apples in
280 verrines. Two of the three recipes were low in sugar content. In fact, the banana-apple pie recipe
281 contained only one packet of vanilla sugar, and the white cream cheese was caramelized with one
282 spoon of powdered sugar. The recipes targeted the sensory dimension of pleasure from eating, giving
283 participants the opportunity to consume a midafternoon snack with fruit, cereal products and dairy
284 products. The culinary challenge invited each dyad to make a recipe for their midafternoon snacks
285 with the kitchen utensils present in the box and to post a picture of the result on a dedicated blog. By
286 involving the dyad in a common activity, which acted as a source of social interaction, the culinary
287 challenge focused on the interpersonal dimension of pleasure from eating. Each box also contained a
288 card explaining how to post the results of the culinary challenge on the dedicated blog. Two
289 infographics about the history and origin of two foods belonging to the target category were present in
290 each box. The two infographics in the first box described the histories of bananas and apples, those of
291 the second box described the histories of wheat and oats, and those of the third box described the
292 histories of milk and yogurt. To entertain children in order to engage them in the intervention, behind
293 each infographic, there was a quiz composed of three questions, as well as a game (e.g., crossword
294 puzzle or labyrinth). The two infographics targeted the psychosocial dimension of pleasure from
295 eating. These infographics aimed to build knowledge on foods belonging to one of the target
296 categories to modify participants’ representations and thus increase their attraction to fruits, cereal
297 products and dairy products. Overall, we have used different keys in order to operationalize the
298 different dimensions of pleasure. The full set of cards included in the different boxes is presented in
299 “Supplementary data A”. The box content did not explicitly refer to the concepts of nutrition and
300 health and did not emphasize explicitly that consuming healthy foods could bring pleasure.

301 *2.4.2. Content of the boxes for the participants of the control group*

302 Each dyad of the control group received three boxes at home that targeted table decoration and were
303 aimed at involving participants in table decoration activities without stimulating the pleasure of
304 consuming healthy foods. Similar to the boxes addressed to the participants in the experimental group,

305 each box also contained objects and cards. More precisely, each box contained one table decoration
306 object, two infographics about table cutlery, one creative challenge, and one card explaining how to
307 post the results of the creative challenge on a dedicated blog that was different from the blog for the
308 experimental group.

309 *2.5. Statistical analysis*

310 Statistical analyses were performed with R software for Windows, version 3.4.2.

311 *2.5.1. Assessment of the effect of the intervention on the nutritional quality of the snacks*

312 To evaluate the nutritional quality of the chosen snacks, we used a nutritional score based on an
313 algorithm designed to distinguish foods with favourable and unfavourable nutritional composition.
314 This algorithm was built on the United Kingdom (UK) Food Standard Agency Nutrient Profiling
315 System which was modified to derive the Nutri-score (Anses, 2016). To calculate this nutritional
316 score, positive points from 0 to 10 are allocated for unfavourable components including energy
317 density, saturated fatty acids, sugars and sodium, while negative points from 0 to 5 are allocated for
318 favourable components including the percentage of fruits, vegetables and nuts, and the content in fibre
319 and protein. The final score is calculated as the difference between the positive points and negative
320 points and can range from -15 (the most favourable from a nutritional point of view) to + 40 points
321 (the most unfavourable from a nutritional point of view). In other words, the lower the nutritional
322 score, the better the food is in terms of nutritional quality. The nutritional score of each chosen snack
323 used in the analyses was the sum of the scores of the two selected food items among our food
324 offerings (see Table 1); it could range from - 5 to + 49.

325 To assess the effect of the intervention, we calculated, for each participant, the differences in the
326 nutritional scores of the snacks chosen at T1 and at T2 (i.e., score at T2 - score at T1, hereafter
327 referred to with the term 'change') for themselves and for the other dyad member. Then, the change
328 was compared between the experimental and control groups using the Wilcoxon test for unpaired
329 samples. The nutritional quality of the children's midafternoon snacks selected by themselves or by
330 their mother as well as its change was compared after the intervention through paired-samples

331 Wilcoxon tests for each group. Finally, for both groups at T1 and T2, the nutritional quality of the
332 choices made by the mothers for themselves was compared to the nutritional quality of choices they
333 made for their child through paired-samples Wilcoxon tests. Then, the change between T1 and T2 was
334 compared between the two groups through unpaired-samples Wilcoxon tests.

335 *2.5.2. Effect of the pleasure-oriented intervention on the relationship between liking and perceived*
336 *healthiness ratings for the ten food items*

337 A new variable was defined in order to evaluate the link between the ten individual scores for food
338 liking and the ten individual scores for perceived healthiness. This new variable was defined as the
339 score obtained by the calculation of Kendall's tau (τ) between food liking and perceived healthiness
340 ratings reported by each participant for the 10 products. This variable was calculated for mothers and
341 for children of both groups at T1 and T2. Thus, altogether, eight variables were calculated. Wilcoxon
342 tests for paired samples were used to investigate the difference between T1 and T2 of this new variable
343 for children and mothers of each group. Furthermore, individual differences between T1 and T2
344 obtained for the experimental group and for the control group were compared using Wilcoxon tests for
345 unpaired samples.

346 **3. Results**


347 Descriptive analyses on the liking and perceived healthiness ratings of the 10 food items are reported
348 for the experimental and control groups at T1 and T2 in Supplementary data B (Fig. B.1). The
349 distributions of the changes in liking and in perceived healthiness ratings in both groups are also
350 presented in Supplementary data B (Fig. B.2). In this following section, we will first present the results
351 related to the effect of the intervention on the nutritional quality of the snacks. Then, the evolution of
352 the relationship between food liking and perceived healthiness in both groups will be presented. For all
353 analyses, the results of the two groups (experimental and control) will be compared.

354 *3.1. Analyses of the nutritional quality of snack choices*

355 *3.1.1. Effect of the pleasure-oriented intervention on the nutritional quality of choices made by the*
356 *participants for themselves*

357 Contrary to our hypothesis, no significant difference was found between the experimental and control
358 groups regarding the change in nutritional scores of the snacks chosen by the children **for themselves**
359 ($P = 0.58$) or by their mothers **for themselves** ($P = 0.19$). In fact, the median nutritional score did not
360 evolve in any group (Fig. 2): it was approximately 28 for the children and approximately 16 for all
361 mothers except for those at T2 in the control group, where it was equal to 20. As shown in
362 Supplementary data D (Fig. D.1), in both groups, approximately 70% of the children chose the
363 chocolate bar at T1 and at T2. When looking at the composition of the snack, we observed that at T1,
364 50% of the children who chose the chocolate crepe complemented their snack with one healthy food
365 item. An improvement was observed at T2: 75% of the children who chose the chocolate crepe
366 complemented their snack with one healthy food item. This improvement was not found in the
367 children who chose the chocolate bar: 76.3% of them chose one healthy food item at T1, and 75.4%
368 chose one healthy food item at T2.

369 Despite no significant changes in the nutritional quality of the snack choices, it is worth noting that the
370 liking for one healthy item, the cereal bar, increased significantly between T1 and T2 in the
371 experimental group ($P = 0.003$), whereas the liking did not change in the control group ($P = 0.94$). In
372 contrast, the liking decreased between T1 and T2 among the children in the experimental group for
373 two unhealthy items, the chocolate brioche ($P = 0.04$) and the chocolate bar ($P = 0.003$), whereas the
374 liking did not change in the control group ($P = 0.87$ and $P = 0.28$, respectively). No other significant
375 changes were observed between the two groups.



376

377 **Fig. 2.** Distributions of the nutritional score of the choices made by the children and the mothers for themselves (a, b) and for
 378 the other dyad member (c, d) at T1 and T2 in the experimental and control groups. **The higher the nutritional score, the lower**
 379 **the nutritional quality.**

380

381 *3.1.2. Effect of the pleasure-oriented intervention on choices made by the participants for the other*
 382 *dyad member*

383 Concerning snack choices made by the participants for the other dyad member, there was no
 384 significant group difference in the change in the nutritional score among either the children ($P = 0.19$)
 385 or mothers ($P = 0.57$). In fact, as shown in Fig. 2, the median did not evolve in any group.

386 *3.1.3. Nutritional quality of the children's midafternoon snacks selected by themselves or by their*
 387 *mother and its evolution after the intervention*

388 The results showed that in the experimental group, the children chose snacks of lower nutritional
 389 quality for themselves than their mothers did for them at T1 and T2 ($P < 0.001$). The median of the
 390 differences was equal to 1 at T1 and T2. Similar results were observed in the control group at T1 ($P <$
 391 0.001) and T2 ($P < 0.05$). The median of the differences was equal to 5 at T1 and 2 at T2. In addition,
 392 the higher nutritional quality of the choices made by the mothers compared to those made by the

393 children was not affected by the pleasure-oriented intervention. Indeed, there was no significant
394 difference between the changes in the nutritional score of snacks chosen by the children for themselves
395 and by the mothers for their child at T2 compared to T1 in the experimental group ($P = 0.48$) or in the
396 control group ($P = 0.08$); see Supplementary data C (Fig. C.1).

397 *3.1.4. Assessment of mothers' indulgent behaviour*

398 To check if the mothers were “indulgent” as previously shown (Marette et al., 2016), we compared the
399 nutritional quality of the choices made by the mothers for themselves to those they made for their
400 child. In the experimental and control groups, the mothers' choices for their child were significantly
401 more oriented towards products with low nutritional quality than the mothers' choices for themselves
402 at T1 and T2 (all $P_s < 0.0001$). The median of the differences was -2 at T1 and -5.5 at T2 in the
403 experimental group. In the control group, the median of the differences was -4 at T1 and -3 at T2.
404 These results corroborated the “indulgent” behaviour of the mothers observed in Marette et al. (2016).

405 This trend was not modified by the pleasure-oriented intervention. In fact, no significant change was
406 found in the difference in the nutritional scores of the snacks chosen by the mothers for themselves
407 and those chosen for their child at T2 compared to T1 in the experimental ($P = 0.21$) or control group
408 ($P = 0.68$); see Supplementary data C (Fig. C.2).

409 *3.2. Effect of the pleasure-oriented intervention on the relationship between liking and perceived* 410 *healthiness ratings for the ten food items*

411 For the children in the experimental group, the median of the individual correlations between liking
412 and perceived healthiness of the food items was not different from zero at T1 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = -0.05$, $P =$
413 0.56), increased significantly between T1 and T2 ($P < 0.001$), and became significantly positive at T2
414 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = 0.13$, $P = 0.002$). Thus, at T2, the higher the perceived healthiness, the higher the liking of the
415 food item was. For the children in the control group, the median of the individual correlations was not
416 different from zero ($\tau_{\text{median}} = 0.03$, $P = 0.42$) at T1, did not change between T1 and T2 ($P = 0.23$) and
417 was still not different from 0 at T2 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = 0.09$, $P = 0.06$). Moreover, the increase in the individual

418 correlations between liking and perceived healthiness ratings between T1 and T2 was significantly
419 higher among the children in the experimental group than among the children in the control group ($P =$
420 0.05). Thus, as hypothesized, the relationship between liking and perceived healthiness ratings was
421 reinforced only in children who received the pleasure-oriented intervention.

422 For the mothers in the experimental group, the median of the individual correlations between liking
423 and perceived healthiness of the ten food items was different from zero at T1 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = 0.27, P <$
424 0.001), increased significantly between T1 and T2 ($P = 0.006$), and thus was also significantly positive
425 at T2 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = 0.35, P < 0.001$). In contrast, for the mothers in the control group, no significant
426 increase was observed. In fact, the median of the individual correlations between liking and perceived
427 healthiness of the food items was positive at T1 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = 0.28, P < 0.001$) and remained significantly
428 positive at T2 ($\tau_{\text{median}} = 0.30, P < 0.001$) but did not change between T1 and T2 ($P = 0.26$). However,
429 the increase in the median of the individual correlations between liking and perceived healthiness
430 ratings between T1 and T2 was not significantly higher among the mothers in the experimental group
431 than among the mothers in the control group ($P = 0.21$).

432 **4. Discussion**

433 To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the impact of an in-home
434 intervention based on the three dimensions of pleasure from eating on midafternoon snacks chosen
435 within mother-child dyads. Contrary to our first hypothesis, we did not find a significant impact of the
436 pleasure-oriented intervention on the nutritional quality of the choices made by the participants for
437 themselves and for the other dyad member during the experimental session in the laboratory. One
438 could argue that this result may be due to low participants' involvement. However, to ensure
439 participants' involvement, they were invited to post photos of their completed recipe and associated
440 comments on a dedicated blog. In a sense, this result is particularly realistic since participants may
441 forget a part of the content of the intervention and/or they may not connect this content with their
442 effective choices in T2. This absence of connections between the intervention and the effective choice
443 is a major problem for nutritional interventions (DeCosta, Møller, Frøst, & Olsen, 2017).

444 Another explanation regarding the absence of impact concerning the intervention to improve the
445 nutritional quality of the chosen snack could be due to the attractiveness of the Kinder Bueno®
446 chocolate bar. Even if this food item was not significantly more liked than healthy foods such as a
447 banana or applesauce (see Supplementary data C), it was more frequently selected than these two
448 highly liked products by children and mothers before and after the pleasure-oriented intervention, as
449 shown in Supplementary data D (Fig. D.1). It was also more frequently selected than the chocolate
450 crepe, while both products were liked similarly by the children. This suggests that food choices were
451 not only driven by the liking of them. Thus, we could suggest that the Kinder Bueno® chocolate bar,
452 which is a pleasure-oriented product in terms of communication, seems to drive a specific desire
453 and/or disinhibited behaviour. Indeed, it must be noted that this bar was the most commonly consumed
454 chocolate bar in France during the survey period, i.e., from 2015 to 2018 (Statistica Research
455 Department, 2019a). **The attractiveness induced by the Kinder Bueno® chocolate bar can also be due**
456 **to the brand and its extensive marketing. In fact, the producer of this chocolate bar was amongst the**
457 **first 10 TV advertisers in France in 2018, and was the 1st one for food products (Statistica Research**
458 **Department, 2019b). Robinson et al. (2007) showed that children preferred the tastes of foods and**
459 **beverages when the McDonald's brand was indicated on the packaging, demonstrating that brand**
460 **identity can influence young children's taste perceptions.** Whereas more children who chose the
461 chocolate crepe were likely to complement their snack with one healthy food item after the
462 intervention compared to before, no improvement was observed for the chocolate bar since, in most
463 cases, the children who chose this product already balanced their snack with one healthy food item at
464 T1, which limited the possibility of improvement. One explanation for why no effect of the
465 intervention on the nutritional quality of snack choices was observed could be that the choices were
466 made in a laboratory setting, whereas the intervention was implemented at home. In the same way that
467 it can be difficult to transfer the effects of an intervention away from home on in-home habits
468 (DeCosta et al., 2017), one could argue that the reverse effect is also possible, especially when an
469 attractive product is proposed. Despite the absence of a significant impact regarding the intervention
470 on the nutritional quality of the choices, the increase in liking ratings for one healthy food (the cereal
471 bar) and the decrease in liking ratings for two unhealthy foods (the chocolate brioche and the

472 chocolate bar) observed only in the children who were exposed to the pleasure-oriented intervention
473 could constitute a first step in the modification of attitudes which could, in turn, increase intrinsic
474 motivation for healthy options and consequently favour long-term behavioural modification.

475 The results of our study confirmed previous data on “indulgent” behaviour observed in mothers while
476 choosing snacks for their child (Marette et al., 2016). Even if the mothers are ‘indulgent’ by choosing
477 snacks of lower nutritional quality for their children than for themselves, children chose snacks of
478 lower nutritional quality for themselves than their mothers did for them. This result was different from
479 those of Marette et al. (2016), who showed that children chose the same number of healthy foods (i.e.,
480 two out of five) for themselves than the mothers did for their child. **This difference could be due to**
481 **methodological differences between the two studies. In fact, in the present experiment, the items were**
482 **chosen for an immediate consumption whereas in Marette et al. (2016) the products were brought at**
483 **home.** In conclusion, providing the opportunity for children to choose the foods they want to consume
484 would elicit greater food enjoyment and might enhance their autonomy (Altintzoglou et al., 2015).
485 However, offering children a large selection of foods with varying levels of nutritional quality could
486 decrease the nutritional value of their choices (Beets et al., 2014).

487 At T1, we observed no relationship between liking and perceived healthiness among children in both
488 the experimental and control groups. This result is consistent with those of a study showing that
489 perceived taste and healthfulness ratings were not correlated for either healthy or unhealthy foods in
490 children aged 7-12 years old (Heard, Harris, Liu, Schwartz, & Li, 2016). Concerning mothers at T1,
491 we found a positive correlation between liking and perceived healthiness, which is in line with
492 previous results indicating that for French consumers, a food product that was described as healthy
493 was considered tastier, more pleasurable and of better quality than when it was described as unhealthy
494 (Werle et al., 2013). **This positive correlation found for adults in the experiment conducted by Werle et**
495 **al. (2013) and in our experiment, which is in contradiction with the unhealthy=tasty intuition could be**
496 **explained by cultural differences. In fact, Fischler & Masson (2008) showed that when Americans and**
497 **French were asked what food meant to them, they did not use the same concepts in the responses they**
498 **gave. Indeed, while for Americans, food was spontaneously approached in terms of nutrition, the**

499 French's responses were more related to the concepts of sociability, commensality and even
500 conviviality. It is noticeable that this opposition between healthy and tasty is mainly observed in
501 Anglo-Saxon cultures, but is not systematic in studies with French participants (Werle, Trendel, &
502 Ardito, 2013). At T2, in accordance with our second hypothesis, we found that, for children, the
503 relationship between liking and perceived healthiness became positive after the pleasure-oriented
504 intervention only in the experimental group. For the mothers, the positive relationship between liking
505 and perceived healthiness was reinforced only in the experimental group. Since the positive
506 relationship between liking and perceived healthiness was observed before the intervention only for
507 the mothers, there was more room for improvement among the children than among the mothers. In
508 addition, this is encouraging since maternal positive attitudes towards healthy foods could constitute a
509 lever that could be reinforced through a pleasure-oriented intervention, which could in turn favour
510 positive attitudes in children towards healthy foods.

511 The present study has several limitations. First, unlike an intervention conducted in laboratory, it is
512 more difficult to control participants' involvement at home. To challenge this limit and to favor
513 participants' involvement, the participants were invited to post a photo of their recipe and associated
514 text on a dedicated blog. In total, among the 94 participants of the experimental group, 61 posted at
515 least one photo on the blog. Moreover, it was possible that this involvement rate was underestimated
516 since some participants could have realized a recipe without posting a photo on the blog. Interestingly,
517 the analysis of the mothers' verbatim responses on the blog indicated that the intervention was well
518 received by the dyad. For example, this analysis revealed that the cereal bar molds were an exciting
519 discovery for the participants, which could partly explain the increase in the liking ratings for the
520 cereal bar among the children in the experimental group. The second limitation is linked to the
521 inclusion of the very well-liked chocolate bar Kinder Bueno[®] which has a very attractive power, which
522 may have impeded the potential and possible subtle effect of the pleasure-oriented intervention on the
523 global nutritional quality of the snacks selected by the participants. Other highly liked foods offered
524 during the sessions did not lead to such frequent choices in children, which raises a methodological

525 issue. Thus, in future study it could be worth to ask participants to rate not only their liking but also
526 their wanting or desire towards food items.

527

528 **Conclusion**

529 Our experiment showed that a pleasure-oriented intervention conducted at home did not improve the
530 nutritional quality of the midafternoon snacks chosen in a laboratory session in mother-child dyads,
531 probably because of the presence amongst the food offerings of highly attractive food that was chosen
532 anyway. This observation raises questions concerning the marketing of unhealthy foods. Banning the
533 advertising of some emblematic and unhealthy foods could be considered, or even a more radical
534 policy consisting of limiting the access to these products via banning vending machines in some places
535 or limiting shelf space in supermarkets. Alternatively, the use of marketing to promote healthy foods,
536 such as fruits, could be a good way to increase their attractiveness among children and thus promote
537 their consumption.

538 Since our food pleasure-oriented intervention increased the relationship between food liking and
539 perceived healthiness in the mother-child dyads, one could argue that this higher positive attitude
540 towards healthy foods could constitute the first step of a future behavioural change in favour of
541 healthier food choices. Further research is needed to assess whether such a modification in attitude
542 could induce healthier choices in the long term. **In order to learn pleasure from healthy foods, children
543 need repeated experiences in positive social contexts with these foods, as well as eating occasions to
544 observe others enjoying healthy foods, and an environment that creates positive expectations. Thus,
545 developing programmes where children and their parents would have the opportunity to increase their
546 knowledge and sensory experience with healthy foods in a pleasant and arousal context, could be a
547 way to promote the consumption of healthy foods.**

548 **Acknowledgments:** This work was supported by grants from ANR PUNCH (ANR-15-CE21-0014),
549 the Conseil Régional Bourgogne, Franche-Comté (PARI grant), and European Funding for Regional
550 Economic Development (FEDER). We acknowledge the contributions of F Bouillot in assistance with

551 the recruitment of the mother-child dyads; J Maratray for the blog creation; and F Durey, V Feyen, S
552 Israël, S Marty, E Szleper and M Bonescu in assistance with data collection. We are grateful to Sophie
553 Nicklaus for her coordination of the ANR PUNCH, her continuing support and advice about this work.
554 We thank the two anonymous reviewers for their comments.

555

556 **Declarations of interest:** None.

557

558 **Bibliography**

- 559 Altintzoglou, T., Skuland, A. V., Carlehög, M., Sone, I., Heide, M., & Honkanen, P. (2015). Providing
560 a food choice option increases children's liking of fish as part of a meal. *Food Quality and*
561 *Preference*, 39, 117–123. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.06.013>
- 562 Anses. (2016). *Faisabilité de la classification des aliments selon l'algorithme proposé par la FCD*
563 *Comparaison des résultats obtenus à ceux du système 5-C intégrant les ajustements du HCSP.*
564 (pp. 132). Maisons-Alfort: Agence Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire de l'Alimentation, de
565 l'Environnement et du Travail.
- 566 Anses. (2017). *Troisième étude individuelle nationale des consommations alimentaires (Etude*
567 *INCA3). Actualisation de la base de données des consommations alimentaires et de l'estimation*
568 *des apports nutritionnels des individus vivant en France.* (pp. 535). Maisons-Alfort: Agence
569 Nationale de Sécurité Sanitaire de l'Alimentation, de l'Environnement et du Travail.
- 570 Beets, M. W., Tilley, F., Kyryliuk, R., Weaver, R. G., Moore, J. B., & Turner-McGrievy, G. (2014).
571 Children Select Unhealthy Choices when Given a Choice among Snack Offerings. *Journal of the*
572 *Academy of Nutrition and Dietetics*, 114(9), 1440–1446.
573 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jand.2014.04.022>
- 574 DeCosta, P., Møller, P., Frøst, M. B., & Olsen, A. (2017). Changing children's eating behaviour - A
575 review of experimental research. *Appetite*, 113, 327–357.
576 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.03.004>
- 577 Fischler, C., & Masson, E. (2008). Individualisme et "convivialité". In C. Fischler & E. Masson (Eds.),
578 *Manger. Français, européens et américains face à l'alimentation* (pp. 43-45). Paris (FRA): Odile
579 Jacob.
- 580 Heard, A. M., Harris, J. L., Liu, S., Schwartz, M. B., & Li, X. (2016). Piloting an online grocery store
581 simulation to assess children's food choices. *Appetite*, 96, 260–267.
582 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2015.09.020>

583 INPES. (2004). *PNNS - Livret d'accompagnement destiné aux professionnels de santé du "Guide*
584 *nutrition des enfants et ados pour tous les parents."* (pp. 151). Paris: Institut National de
585 Prévention et d'Education pour la Santé.

586 Lusk, J. L., Marette, S., & Norwood, F. B. (2014). The paternalist meets his match. *Applied Economic*
587 *Perspectives and Policy*, 36(1), 61–108. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aep/ppt031>

588 Maimaran, M., & Fishbach, A. (2014). If it's useful and you know it, do you eat? Preschoolers refrain
589 from instrumental food. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 41(3), 642–655.
590 <https://doi.org/10.1086/677224>

591 Marette, S., Issanchou, S., Monnery-Patris, S., Ginon, E., & Sutan, A. (2016). Are children more
592 paternalistic than their mothers when choosing snacks? *Journal of Economic Psychology*, 55, 61–
593 76. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joep.2016.02.006>

594 Marty, L., Chambaron, S., Nicklaus, S., & Monnery-Patris, S. (2018). Learned pleasure from eating :
595 An opportunity to promote healthy eating in children ? *Appetite*, 120, 265–274.
596 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.09.006>

597 Marty, L., Miguet, M., Bournez, M., Nicklaus, S., Chambaron, S., & Monnery-Patris, S. (2017). Do
598 hedonic-versus nutrition-based attitudes toward food predict food choices? a cross-sectional
599 study of 6-to 11-year-olds. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*,
600 14, 162. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-017-0618-4>

601 Mathé, T., & Hébel, P. (2013). Comment consomment les hommes et les femmes ? *Consommation et*
602 *modes de vie* (Vol. 309, pp. 74). Paris: Centre de recherche pour l'étude et l'observation des
603 conditions de vie (CREDOC).

604 Ministère des solidarités et de la santé. (2017). *Arrêté du 31 octobre 2017 fixant la forme de*
605 *présentation complémentaire à la déclaration nutritionnelle recommandée par l'Etat en*
606 *application des articles L. 3232-8 et R. 3232-7 du code de la santé publique.*
607 <https://www.legifrance.gouv.fr/eli/arrete/2017/10/31/SSAP1730474A/jo/texte>

- 608 Nguyen, S. P., Girgis, H., & Robinson, J. (2014). Predictors of children's food selection: The role of
609 children's perceptions of the health and taste of foods. *Food Quality and Preference*, *40*, 106–
610 109. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2014.09.009>
- 611 Poquet, D., Ginon, E., Goubel, B., Chabanet, C., Marette, S., Issanchou, S., & Monnery-Patris, S.
612 (2019). Impact of a front-of-pack nutritional traffic-light label on the nutritional quality and the
613 hedonic value of mid-afternoon snacks chosen by mother-child dyads. *Appetite*, *143*, 104425.
614 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104425>
- 615 Programme National Nutrition Santé. (n.d.). Goûter ou 4 heures : peu importe le nom, pour vos enfants
616 il a tout bon ! Retrieved March 4, 2019, from [http://www.mangerbouger.fr/Le-Mag/Le-coin-des-](http://www.mangerbouger.fr/Le-Mag/Le-coin-des-bambins/Gouter-ou-4-heures-peu-importe-le-nom-pour-vos-enfants-il-a-tout-bon)
617 [bambins/Gouter-ou-4-heures-peu-importe-le-nom-pour-vos-enfants-il-a-tout-bon](http://www.mangerbouger.fr/Le-Mag/Le-coin-des-bambins/Gouter-ou-4-heures-peu-importe-le-nom-pour-vos-enfants-il-a-tout-bon)
- 618 Raghunathan, R., Walker, R. E., & Hoyer, W. D. (2006). The Unhealthy=Tasty Intuition and Its Effects
619 on Taste Inferences, Enjoyment, and Choice of Food Products. *Advances in Consumer Research*,
620 *33*, 450-451.
- 621 Robinson, T. N., Borzekowski, D. L. G., Matheson, D. M., & Kraemer, H. C. (2007). Effects of fast
622 food branding on young children's taste preferences. *Archives of Pediatrics & Adolescent*
623 *Medicine*, *161*(8), 792–797. <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpedi.161.8.792>
- 624 Si Hassen, W., Castetbon, K., Péneau, S., Tichit, C., Nechba, A., Lampuré, A., ... Méjean, C. (2018a).
625 Socio-economic and demographic factors associated with snacking behavior in a large sample of
626 French adults. *International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity*, *15*(1), 25.
627 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12966-018-0655-7>
- 628 Si Hassen, W., Castetbon, K., Tichit, C., Péneau, S., Nechba, A., Ducrot, P., ... Méjean, C. (2018b).
629 Energy, nutrient and food content of snacks in French adults. *Nutrition Journal*, *17*, 33.
630 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12937-018-0336-z>
- 631 Snuggs, S., Houston-Price, C., & Harvey, K. (2019). Healthy eating interventions delivered in the
632 family home: A systematic review. *Appetite*, *140*, 114–133.

633 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.05.014>

634 Statistica Research Department. (2019a). Number of people using chocolate bars and other chocolate
635 items in France from 2015 to 2018, by brand (in 1,000s). June 2019.
636 <https://www.statista.com/statistics/435692/chocolate-bars-and-chocolate-items-usage-in-france/>

637 Statistica Research Department. (2019b). Les dix premiers annonceurs TV en France 2018. Mai 2019.
638 [https://www.statista.com/statistics/1068894/ten-first-advertisers-tv-according-to-pressure-](https://www.statista.com/statistics/1068894/ten-first-advertisers-tv-according-to-pressure-advertiser-france/)
639 [advertiser-france/](https://www.statista.com/statistics/1068894/ten-first-advertisers-tv-according-to-pressure-advertiser-france/)

640 Tibère, L., Rochedy, A., & Sarrat, C. (2018). Le goûter résiste à la nutritionnalisation. *Cahiers de*
641 *Nutrition et de Diététique*, 53(4), 232–239. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnd.2018.03.008>

642 Trudel-Guy, C., Bédard, A., Corneau, L., Bélanger-gravel, A., Desroches, S., Bégin, C., ... Lemieux,
643 S. (2019). Impact of pleasure-oriented messages on food choices: is it more effective than
644 traditional health-oriented messages to promote healthy eating? *Appetite*, 143, 104392.
645 <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2019.104392>

646 Waddingham, S., Shaw, K., Dam, P. Van, & Bettiol, S. (2018). What motivates their food choice ?
647 Children are key informants. *Appetite*, 120, 514–522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2017.09.029>

648 Wardle, J., & Huon, G. (2000). An experimental investigation of the influence of health information
649 on children’s taste preferences. *Health Education and Research*, 15(1), 39–44.
650 <https://doi.org/10.1093/her/15.1.39>

651 Werle, C. O. C., & Cuny, C. (2012). The boomerang effect of mandatory sanitary messages to prevent
652 obesity. *Marketing Letters*, 23(3), 883–891. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11002-012-9195-0>

653 Werle, C. O. C., Trendel, O., & Ardito, G. (2013). Unhealthy food is not tastier for everybody: The
654 “healthy = tasty” French intuition. *Food Quality and Preference*, 28(1), 116–121.
655 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2012.07.007>

656