

Intra and inter-annual climatic conditions have stronger effect than grazing intensity on root growth of permanent grasslands

Catherine Picon-Cochard, Nathalie Vassal, Raphaël Martin, Damien Herfurth, Priscilla Note, Frédérique Louault

▶ To cite this version:

Catherine Picon-Cochard, Nathalie Vassal, Raphaël Martin, Damien Herfurth, Priscilla Note, et al.. Intra and inter-annual climatic conditions have stronger effect than grazing intensity on root growth of permanent grasslands. 2020. hal-02867228

HAL Id: hal-02867228 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02867228

Preprint submitted on 13 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	Title: Intra and inter-annual climatic conditions have stronger effect than grazing intensity on
2	root growth of permanent grasslands
3	
4	Running head: Root production in grazed grasslands
5	
6	List of authors: Catherine Picon-Cochard ^{1*} , Nathalie Vassal ^{1,2} , Raphaël Martin ¹ , Damien
7	Herfurth ^{1,2} , Priscilla Note ^{1,3} , Frédérique Louault ¹
8	
9	Institute of origin:
10	1. Université Clermont Auvergne, INRAE, VetAgro Sup, UREP, 63000 Clermont-
11	Ferrand, France
12	2. Université Clermont Auvergne, VetAgro Sup, 63370 Lempdes, France
13	3. INRAE, UE1414, Herbipôle, 63122 Saint-Genès-Champanelle, France
14	
15	
16	*: Corresponding author: Catherine Picon-Cochard, Tel: (+)33 443 761 615; email:
17	catherine.picon-cochard@inrae.fr
18	
19	Keywords: annual root and above-ground production; ingrowth core; leaf and root traits; root
20	dynamics; soil moisture; soil temperature
21	
22	Type of paper: Regular article
23	
24	

25 Abstract (200 words)

• **Background and Aims**. Understanding how direct and indirect changes in climatic conditions, management, and species composition affect root production and root traits is of prime importance for grassland C sequestration service delivery.

Methods. We studied during two years the dynamics of root mass production with ingrowthcores and annual above- and below-ground biomass (ANPP, BNPP) of upland fertile grasslands
subjected for 10 years to a gradient of herbage utilization by grazing.

• Results. We observed strong seasonal root production across treatments in both a wet and a 32 dry year but response to grazing intensity was hardly observed within growing seasons. In 33 abandonment, spring and autumn peaks of root growth were delayed by about one month 34 35 compared to cattle treatments, possibly due to later canopy green-up and lower soil temperature. BNPP was slightly lower in abandonment compared to cattle treatments only during the dry 36 year, whereas this effect on ANPP was observed the wet year. In response to drought, the root-37 to-shoot biomass ratio declined in the abandonment but not in the cattle treatment, underlining 38 higher resistance to drought of grazed grassland communities. 39

Conclusions. Rotational grazing pressure and climatic conditions variability had very
limited effects on root growth seasonality although drought had stronger effects on BNPP than
on ANPP.

43

44

- 45 46
- 47

48

49

50 Introduction

51 Permanent grasslands provide many services that tie in to human activities through livestock products, but also contribute to regulate greenhouse gas emission, because their soils 52 accumulate large amounts of carbon in organic matter fractions. Intensification of management 53 practices through changes in mowing, fertilization and grazing intensity may affect these 54 services as well as climate variability through increased drought intensity and frequency 55 56 (Conant et al. 2001; Jones and Donnelly 2004; Soussana and Duru 2007). As root activity (growth, exudation, turnover) is a major input of C and N compounds into grassland soils, 57 improving our understanding of plant roots dynamics under different management and climatic 58 59 conditions may help to identify management options to maintain the C sequestration abilities of this ecosystem and thus its sustainability. 60

Different practices of management, such as mowing and grazing, modify the amount of soil 61 62 C and N fluxes through direct effects of defoliation, fertilization or returns of excreta to soil on root growth and soil abiotic factors and indirect effects through species composition changes 63 (Bardgett and Wardle 2003; Dawson et al. 2000; Soussana et al. 2004). In mown grasslands it 64 has been shown that root mass production is generally lower when grass is frequently mown 65 and fertilised (Leuschner et al. 2013; Picon-Cochard et al. 2009). This may be explained by 66 changes in root-to-shoot allocation, with increase of above-ground growth in order to maximize 67 light capture. The complexity of these phenomena in grazed grassland is greater than in mown 68 systems owing to animals' selective defoliation of plant species, and also because returns to 69 soil are spatially heterogeneous (Rossignol et al. 2011). In addition, level of soil fertility may 70 71 buffer the degree of root response to defoliation in grazed grasslands as plants exhibit specific responses to defoliation in fertile and unfertile grasslands (Duru et al. 1998). Overall this can 72 explain why no clear trend is found for the effects of grazing on above- and below-ground 73 production (e.g. see syntheses of Milchunas and Lauenroth (1993) and McSherry and Ritchie 74

(2013)), although a meta-analysis emphasizes a negative effect of grazing intensity on above-75 76 and below-ground carbon stocks compared to ungrazed systems (Zhou et al. 2017). In addition, repeated defoliations induced by grazing and mowing of grassland can simultaneously increase 77 (i) soil temperature by increasing solar radiation reaching the soil and (ii) soil moisture due to 78 lower leaf area index and reduction of vegetation transpiration (Moretto et al. 2001; Pineiro et 79 al. 2010; Smith et al. 2014). Soil moisture can also be modified by high stocking rate through 80 changes of soil bulk density due to soil compaction (Pineiro et al. 2010). These direct effects of 81 grazing on soil abiotic factors should affect root growth of grazed grassland, although all these 82 phenomena are not very well documented in field conditions. 83

84 Species composition change induced by management is also an important determinant of above- and below-ground response in grazed grassland; intensive practices (high grazing 85 intensity, fertilization) generally favour the development of fast growing species (exploitative 86 87 strategy) with highly digestible shoot and root tissues, low C/N and tissue density whereas at the opposite extensive practices (low grazing intensity, absence of fertilization) favour slow 88 growing species (conservative strategy) with poorly digestible organs and high tissue density 89 90 (Klumpp et al. 2009; Louault et al. 2005; Soussana and Lemaire 2014; Wardle et al. 2004). Root-to-shoot biomass allocation, but also functional traits (used as proxies of ecosystems 91 properties like ANPP or BNPP, e.g. Laliberté and Tylianakis 2012), are thus likely to change 92 in response to intensification of practices, e.g. from ungrazed to intensely grazed in temperate 93 grassland (Klumpp and Soussana 2009) or in alpine meadows, steppes and desert-steppes (Zeng 94 et al. 2015). Overall, according to Ziter and MacDougall (2013), the uncertainty surrounding 95 nutrient-defoliation responses makes it difficult to predict whether C storage will be higher in 96 managed compared to unmanaged grasslands. Thus soil fertility should be considered when 97 comparing different grazing intensities in grassland, as species adapted to fertile conditions will 98

99 exhibit either trait related to avoidance or to tolerance strategies toward defoliation, both having
100 similar exploitative resource-use strategy (Louault et al. 2005).

Increased climate variability is another source of response uncertainty in managed 101 102 ecosystems. As more frequent and longer period of drought associated with heat waves may threaten and shape the long-term dynamics of perennial ecosystems such as grasslands 103 (Brookshire and Weaver 2015), it is important to understand how above- and below-ground 104 compartments respond to climatic conditions variability. However, there are few data on above-105 106 and below-ground biomass responses to drought for grassland (Byrne et al. 2013; Wilcox et al. 2015), although some evidence shows that the 'slow' trait strategy (resource conservation) is 107 108 associated with drought tolerance (Pérez-Ramos et al. 2012; Reich 2014). It has also been shown that the timing of drought has more influence on the below- than on the above-ground 109 110 compartment especially in grazed vs. ungrazed grassland, as peak of shoot biomass can occur 111 before the drought period (Frank 2007). In addition, comparing two contrasting grazed grasslands, Klumpp et al. (2011) showed that during wet years extensive managed grassland 112 (low stocking density combined with low soil fertility) had a higher storage capacity than 113 114 intensive managed grassland (moderate stocking density combined with N fertilization), whereas the reverse was observed during dry years, as a result of higher canopy senescence in 115 extensive vs. intensive management. Changes in root morphology and functioning may thus be 116 an important mechanism in plant adaptive strategies to drought, and have been less well studied 117 than above-ground plant responses (Biswell and Weaver 1933; Dawson et al. 2000; McInenly 118 et al. 2010). However, there are not enough data to make generalizations about combined 119 impacts of management and climatic conditions variability such as precipitation reduction on 120 root and shoot biomass production and plant traits defining plant strategies related to resource 121 122 use and grazing intensity.

In the present experiment, we sought to assess whether grazing intensity affected root growth 123 124 dynamics, root and leaf functional traits and annual below-ground biomass (BNPP) in a fertile and productive grassland and whether root response is mirrored by the annual above-ground 125 biomass production (ANPP) and leaf traits and by changes of climatic conditions. These 126 responses could be modulated by direct effects of grazing intensity on soil microclimate. Root 127 and leaf traits were studied as response traits to grazing intensity and as effect traits of BNPP 128 and ANPP, respectively. The study was carried out in a long-term field experiment for which 129 controlled grazing intensity had been applied for 10 years. We compared abandonment of 130 grazing and two levels of herbage utilization by grazing based on five rotations per year. In two 131 132 consecutive years, the ingrowth core method was used to measure monthly root biomass production and calculate annual root production (BNPP); ANPP was measured by grazing 133 exclusion cages and community-weighted mean leaf and root traits were assessed the first year. 134 135 We tested the following hypotheses: (i) high grazing intensity increases above-ground mass at the expense of root production as a result of the direct negative effect of defoliation on root 136 growth, whatever the climatic conditions, (ii) inter-annual climatic conditions modulate above 137 and below-ground biomass production response to grazing intensity as a consequence of higher 138 presence of defoliation tolerant and drought-sensitive species (Lolium perenne or Trifolium 139 repens) in the high grazing intensity treatment; (iii) root traits respond to treatment and is a 140 determinant of BNPP, as observed for leaf traits for ANPP. 141

142

143 Materials and methods

144 Site characteristics

The experiment took place in the long-term observatory network (ACBB-SOERE) located at
St-Genès-Champanelle, France (45°43'N, 03°01'E, 880 m a.s.l.). The local climate is semicontinental with oceanic influences (mean annual temperature 8.5 °C, mean annual

precipitation 784 mm, Table 1). The site supports mesotrophic multi-specific permanent 148 grassland, dominated by species with high Ellenberg indicator values for N (Schaffers and 149 Sykora 2000), indicating a high level of fertility for the site (Table S1; Louault et al. 2017). The 150 151 soil is a cambisol with a sandy loam texture, developed on granitic bedrock. Differences in local soil composition and profile led us to consider two blocks characterized respectively by a eutric 152 cambisol (54% sand; 26% silt; 20% clay; 7.0% organic matter; pH: 5.9) and a colluvic cambisol 153 (50% sand; 26% silt; 24% clay; 7.4% organic matter; pH: 6.0) including some volcanic 154 155 materials.

156

157 <u>Management</u>

Prior to the installation of this experiment in 2005, the study area had been used for intensive 158 hay and silage production (combining grazing, mowing and fertilization), with mineral 159 160 fertilization, and two years preceding the start of the experiment (2003 and 2004), the grassland site was mown three times per year without fertilization. Then, from 2005, the grassland had 161 been managed for 10 years with a gradient of grazing intensity resulting from three treatments: 162 abandonment (Ab), low (Cattle-) and high (Cattle+) level of herbage utilization obtained by 163 modification of stocking density (0, 6.9 and 13.8 LSU ha⁻¹, livestock unit, respectively) with 164 five grazing rotations each year: mid-April, late May, early July, September and November, 165 lasting on average 9.6, 9.0, 10.7, 8.6, and 2.1 days, respectively. The two cattle treatments 166 corresponded to two levels of herbage utilization by grazing, and had on average 15.2 ± 0.5 cm 167 (mean \pm se, Cattle-) and 7.7 \pm 0.2 cm (Cattle+) residual plant height at the end of each grazing 168 rotation, respectively. For each treatment, two replicate plots were set up per block, resulting in 169 four replicates per treatment, and a total of 12 plots (2 blocks x 2 plots x 3 treatments). The 170 average distance between the two blocks is about 230 m and all treatments are randomized 171

within each block. The size of the plots differs according to treatments: 2200 m^2 for the two cattle treatments and 400 m^2 for the abandonment.

174

175 <u>Climatic and edaphic conditions</u>

Daily precipitation (mm) and air temperature (°C) were measured for the two years, and 176 recorded with a meteorological station located at the site. An aridity index was calculated as 177 precipitation minus potential evapotranspiration (P - PET, mm) with the Penman-Monteith 178 equation. Daily soil temperature (°C) was measured with thermocouple sensors (home-made 179 copper-constantan sensors) inserted at 20 cm depth in each plot and recorded with a HOBO 180 181 data logger (U12-014, Onset Instruments, MA, USA). Daily soil volumetric water content (SWC, m³ m⁻³) of each plot was measured with two probes (ECHO-10, Decagon, USA), 182 inserted horizontally at 20 cm depth, and connected to dataloggers (EM5 and EM50, Decagon, 183 USA). From January 2014 to November 2015 (DOY 132-326), SWC was measured every 30 184 min and averaged at daily scale. For each plot, average values of the two probes were used. 185 Daily relative soil water content data are shown and calculated as the ratio: 186

187 $RSWC = \frac{SWC - SWCmin}{SWCmax - SWCmin}$, where SWC is the soil moisture at a given day, SWCmin is the 188 minimum value of soil moisture and SWCmax is the maximum value of soil moisture, both 189 observed during the two years. For soil temperature and RSWC, values were averaged 190 according to root growth time scale.

191

192 <u>Root growth and root mass</u>

Six months beforehand, shallow (0-20 cm) soil was collected on each of the two blocks of the site and sieved (5 mm mesh size) to remove stones and coarse organic matter, and then left unused outside covered under a shelter and protected from direct sunlight. Thereafter, this airdried soil was used to fill the ingrowth-core each month.

In December 2013 and for each of the 12 plots, soil cores were collected with an auger (8 cm 197 diameter, 0-20 cm depth) at four locations representative of the plant community in the 198 treatment. On average mean distance between locations are 19.8 m \pm 0.2, 21.7 m \pm 0.1 and 17.2 199 $m \pm 0.2$ for Ca+, Ca- and Ab (mean \pm SD, see Fig S1), respectively. After core harvest, each 200 hole was filled with a plastic net (8 mm mesh size) containing a fixed volume of air-dried sieved 201 soil (ingrowth core), collected six months beforehand. Then, about each month and for two 202 years (2 x 10 times), ingrowth cores, containing soil and the root and rhizome material that had 203 grown therein, were extracted, and then replenished with another fixed volume of dry sieved 204 soil. Thus monthly and annual root production (BNPP, g m⁻² y⁻¹) were measured from February 205 206 2014 to December 2015. Root production period ranged on average 36.5 days, but with longer and shorter periods in winter and spring-summer, respectively (Table 1). In periods with 207 absence of precipitation, a fixed volume of water was added to adjust soil humidity to field 208 209 conditions. After collection, the ingrowth cores were transported to the laboratory and immediately stored at 4 °C before processing in the next five days. The roots were washed 210 211 under tap water and with a 200 µm sieve, and then oven-dried (48 h, 60 °C).

In order to measure root mass stock, soil cores were collected three times (December 2013, March and June 2014) with the same auger and near the ingrowth cores locations. These samples were stored in the freezer (-18°C), and after defrosting, the roots were washed with the same procedure as that used for the ingrowth cores, and then oven-dried (48 h, 60 °C).

216

217 <u>Root traits</u>

Subsamples of washed roots collected with the ingrowth cores collected in June 2014, were fresh weighed, and then frozen (-18 °C) before morphology analysis. After defrosting, roots were stained with methylene blue (5 g L^{-1}) for about 5-10 minutes, rinsed in water, spread in a transparent glass box containing a thin layer of water, and covered with a transparent plastic sheet. High resolution images were recorded with a double light scanner (800 dpi, perfection V700, Epson, JA) and analyzed with WinRhizo software (PRO 2012b, Regent Instruments, CA) with the automatic procedure. Two scans per location were recorded and separately analyzed to measure root length (m), root volume (cm³), root surface area (m²), average root diameter (mm) and length by class diameter (13 classes: 11 with 0.1 mm interval and 2 with 0.5 mm interval). Specific root length (m g⁻¹), root tissue density (g cm⁻³) and specific root area (m² g⁻¹) were calculated for fine roots as in Picon-Cochard et al. (2012).

229

230 Botanical composition

Species contribution (%) was visually observed on a circle (20 cm diameter) around each ingrowth core location in April (cattle treatments) and May (abandonment) 2014. For each zone, a score on a ten-point scale was allocated to species present according to their volume occupancy, and the percentage of each species was calculated at the plot scale by averaging values of the four zones. The list of species and their relative contributions is given in Table S2.

237

238 Above-ground biomass production

On each plot and on each sampling date, four fenced sampling areas $(0.6 \times 0.6 \text{ m})$ were used to 239 measure accumulation of above-ground biomass after above-ground standing biomass was 240 clipped at 5.5 cm. At each sampling date, biomass was sampled at a height of 5.5 cm, oven-241 dried and weighed. Measurements were made five times in the course of the year, before each 242 grazing event in Cattle+ and Cattle- plots, and three times (spring, summer, autumn) in 243 abandonment plots. Sampling areas were moved within the plot at each measurement date 244 during the year. Annual above-ground net primary production (ANPP, $g m^2 y^{-1}$) was calculated 245 as the sum of the successive biomass accumulations along the year. 246

247 <u>Leaf traits</u>

Community-weighted mean (CWM) trait values of leaf dry matter content (LDMC), specific 248 leaf area (SLA) and reproductive plant height (H) were calculated for each ingrowth core zone 249 using (i) the relative contribution of the dominant species to the community (i.e. species that 250 account for at least 85% of the cumulated species contribution of the community) measured in 251 252 2014, and (ii) leaf trait measurements made at plot scale in 2006 and 2007. Traits were measured 253 on ten vegetative plants using standard protocols (see methods in Louault et al. 2005). Reproductive plant height was measured on mature plants located in fenced zones to allow full 254 plant development. CWM is expressed with the following equation: $CWM = \sum p_i \times trait_i$, 255 256 where p_i is the relative contribution of species *i* to the community and trait, the trait of species 257 i.

258

259 <u>Statistical analyses</u>

For a given date, root mass and root traits collected at each location (four ingrowth-cores in 260 each plot), averages of data coming from the four locations were used to have a single value for 261 each of the 12 plots and test for the effect of treatment and dates. Before ANOVA, normality 262 of residuals was inspected with quantile-quantile plots of model residuals, and variance 263 264 homogeneity was confirmed by checking the plots of model residuals vs. fitted values. Data were transformed if they deviated from ANOVA assumptions (square root, ln, reciprocal). 265 Linear mixed effects models as available in the R 'nlme' package (Pinheiro et al. 2015) were 266 267 used to perform repeated measure ANOVAs to test the effects of treatments, dates and their interactions on values of root growth, soil temperature, RSWC, and root mass stock, with plots 268 nested in block as a random factor accounting for temporal pseudo-replication. For root growth 269 dynamics, soil temperature and RSWC (Fig 1, Table S1), dates correspond to 20 dates and for 270 root mass stock, dates correspond to three harvest dates (Table 2). For BNPP, ANPP and root 271

to shoot ratio (BNPP/ANPP), data were analyzed using a nested mixed model procedure, with 272 273 treatments and year used as fixed factors with plot nested in block as random factors. For leaf and root traits data, treatments were used as fixed factors with plots nested in block as a random 274 275 factor. Post hoc tests were performed to compare significance levels across fixed factors with a Tukey test ('Ismeans' package). Principal component analyses (PCA) were performed for 276 each year to analyze relationships between leaf and root traits, soil temperature, RSWC, root 277 mass stock, ANPP and BNPP measured at plot level; treatments were considered as 278 279 supplementary categories ('FactoMineR' package). All statistical analyses were performed in the R environment (version 3.5.2, R Core team 2012) using RStudio (Version 1.1.463). 280

281

282 **Results**

283 <u>Climatic conditions during the experiment</u>

284 Compared with average long-term climatic data for the site, the first and second years of the experiment had higher (+92 mm) and lower (-199 mm) precipitation, respectively (Table 1). 285 Potential evapotranspiration (PET) in the second year was also higher than the long-term 286 average (difference of 73 mm), leading to a negative annual climatic water balance (P - PET = 287 -181 mm and a deficit of 271 mm compared to the long-term average). Annual temperature in 288 the two experimental years was similar and about 0.8°C higher than the long-term average for 289 the site (Table 1). At monthly time scale and during part of the growing season (March to 290 September), in comparison with the first year, the second year had a cumulated water deficit 291 difference of -266 mm and a temperature warmer by +1.9 °C than the first year. Larger 292 differences between the two years occurred in June-July with higher temperature (+6 °C), 293 higher water deficit (P-PET = -152.6 mm) and less precipitation (-81%) in the second year. 294

295

296 Dynamics of soil temperature and relative soil water content

Soil temperature was significantly affected by treatment, dates and treatment × dates (Figure 1; 297 Table S1). For most of the dates (February to October), abandonment treatment had lower soil 298 temperature (1.76 °C, on average) than the grazing treatments, whereas the Cattle- treatment 299 showed significant lower soil temperature (-0.64 °C) than the Cattle+ treatment. However, this 300 was significantly observed for a limited number of dates in early summer of both years. Relative 301 soil water content (RSWC) fluctuated from 0.6-0.7 at the beginning of spring to 0.38 in June in 302 the wet year and to 0.2 during the dry year, which is in accordance to variation of the 303 atmospheric aridity index (P-PET). In the case of the dry year, from summer until autumn, 304 RSWC remained lower than 0.4 and the aridity index was negative. 305

306

307 <u>Root growth dynamics</u>

308 Root growth was affected by date and treatment \times date interaction (Figure 1). Each year, peak 309 of root growth occurred twice, in spring and autumn, and growth was markedly reduced in summer and winter. Only in the second year did growth stop in summer, and it was significantly 310 311 lower than the first year. Regarding treatment effect, abandonment showed significant lower 312 root growth than the two grazing treatments for the spring period in both years, and for the autumn of the second year. While in autumn 2014, a delay of growth peaks was always 313 observed, which led to a two-fold higher root growth for abandonment vs. the two cattle 314 treatments (end of September: date 8). The two grazing treatments had similar root growth 315 across years and seasons. 316

317

318 Seasonal root mass stock, BNPP, ANPP and root-to-shoot biomass ratio

Stock of root mass did not change through season and across treatment (Table 2). BNPP, ANPP
and root-to-shoot biomass ratio (R/S) were significantly lower during the second year, with a
stronger effect on BNPP (-44% on average) than ANPP (-24%) (Figure 2, Table 3). Only the

abandonment treatment maintained their value of ANPP in the second year, which led to a 48% decline in R/S (significant treatment × year, P < 0.01, Table 3). Accordingly, treatment effect was only observed for BNPP the second year, with a decline of 24% for abandonment compared to cattle treatments and for ANPP the first year: Cattle+ having 22% and 68% higher values than Cattle- and abandonment, respectively, while Cattle- had 38% higher ANPP than abandonment.

328

329 Species composition, leaf and root traits

Abandonment treatment was characterized by the dominance of tall grass species: 76% in all 330 with 27.2% of Alopecurus pratensis, 18.8% of Elytrigia repens, 11.3% of Poa pratensis and 331 10.3% of Arrhenatherum elatius, the presence of some forbs (19%) and the absence of legumes 332 (Table S2 and Table 4). The two cattle treatments differed from abandonment treatment by 333 equal presence of Taraxacum officinale (18% on average) and Trifolium repens (17% on 334 average). Difference also concerns grass species (56% in total) with the dominance of Dactylis 335 glomerata (22.2%), A. pratensis (7.6%) and Schedurus arundinaceus (5.6%) for Cattle- and 336 Lolium perenne (13.6%), D. glomerata (9.1%) and Poa trivialis (7.2%) for Cattle+. Thus, the 337 Cattle+ treatment had a higher percentage of *L. perenne* than Cattle- (Table S2). 338

Community-weighted mean leaf traits (CWM) were significantly modified by the 339 treatments. Plant height and LDMC were significantly higher (P < 0.05 and P < 0.0001, 340 respectively; Table 4) in abandonment than in the two cattle grazed treatments, whereas SLA 341 was lower (P < 0.05). Unlike leaf traits, root traits were only slightly affected by the treatments. 342 Specific root length (SRL, P < 0.1) and specific root area (SRA, P < 0.05) were lower in 343 abandonment treatment than in Cattle-, but not Cattle+. For other root traits (diameter, RTD 344 and root length % by class diameter) no between-treatment differences were observed (Table 345 4). 346

347 <u>Co-variation of traits and production</u>

348 The two main axes of the standardized PCA explained 60.1% and 56.8% of the community trait and production variation in 2014 and 2015, respectively (Figure 3). For the first year, the first 349 350 PCA axis (PC1), accounting for 43.4% of the total variation, was significantly related to leaf and root traits, ANPP and soil temperature. Soil temperature, SRA and ANPP had positive 351 loadings, and diameter, plant height and LDMC had negative loadings (Table 5). The second 352 PCA axis (PC2), accounting for 16.7% of the total variation, was significantly and positively 353 related to root diameter and negatively to SRA. For the second year, the first PCA axis (PC1), 354 accounted for 37.4% of the total variation, and was significantly related to leaf and root traits, 355 ANPP and BNPP. BNPP and SRA had negative loadings, and root diameter, plant height and 356 ANPP had positive loadings (Table 5). The second PCA axis (PC2), accounting for 19.4% of 357 the total variation, was significantly and positively related to RSWC and stock of root mass 358 359 averaged across three dates. Finally, abandonment treatment was significantly related to PC1s with negative and positive loading s for the first and the second year, respectively. 360

361

362 **Discussion**

Ten years of contrasted management had strongly modified the functional diversity and aboveground production of this fertile upland grassland (Herfurth et al. 2015; Louault et al. 2017). Accordingly, we expected that above-ground biomass patterns would be mirrored belowground, especially during the periods of grazing. Here we first discuss within-year differences of root growth, followed by inter-annual variation responses to grazing intensity and climatic conditions variability between the two contrasting years, and last we analyze relationships between traits and above- and below-ground production.

370

371 <u>Seasonality of root growth was independent of grazing intensity and climatic conditions</u>

As expected, root growth of permanent grassland is affected by seasons and peaks in spring and 372 373 autumn (Garcia-Pausas et al. 2011; Pilon et al. 2013; Steinaker and Wilson 2008), but unexpectedly, grazing pressure applied by rotations and climatic conditions variability had very 374 375 limited effects on this seasonality. This means that at below-ground level, plant community behavior was not affected by rotational grazing management nor by climatic conditions 376 variability, although a severe drought occurred in summer of the second year. Only the 377 378 abandonment treatment showed a delayed root growth peak in spring. This delay is probably 379 the result of slower shoot budburst and reduced capacity to produce new green leaves in dense litter canopy, especially at the beginning of the growing season in spring (data not shown). 380 381 Moreover, the tall and dense canopy of the abandonment treatment strongly modified soil temperature, with cooler soil conditions as expected in such abandoned vegetation (Picon-382 Cochard et al. 2006; Zhou et al. 2017; Zhu et al. 2016). As shown in some studies, light or soil 383 384 water and nutrient availabilities (Edwards et al. 2004; Garcia-Pausas et al. 2011; Steinaker and Wilson 2008) are other abiotic factors determining dynamics of root growth in grasslands, as 385 root peaks were observed before the peak of soil temperature in summer when negative climatic 386 water balance occurred, especially in the second year. Nevertheless, plants growing in 387 abandonment offset their slower root growth by producing similar root biomass at annual scale, 388 especially during the wet year. The presence of tall grass species such as A. pratensis, A. elatius 389 and E. repens with plant trait syndromes related to resource conservation strategy (lower SLA 390 and SRL and higher plant height and root depth; Pagès and Picon-Cochard 2014) might explain 391 their capacity to produce higher root biomass on a shorter-term period before canopy 392 senescence onset. Also pre-existing soil fertility can be maintained in conditions of very low 393 levels of herbage utilization (near-abandonment), because of the absence of biomass 394 395 exportation and increased internal recycling of N within senescent plants, both contributing to an increase in total N available for plant growth (Loiseau et al. 2005). 396

The similar root growth dynamics of the two cattle treatments was unexpected, considering 397 398 that infrequent defoliation and moderate excreta returns to the soil might increase root biomass production at the expense of shoot biomass (Klumpp et al. 2009). The absence of effect on root 399 400 growth and BNPP means that grazing pressure applied on plant communities by rotations (5 rotations of 9 days each on average) was too short but enough to observe effect on ANPP, in 401 402 wet conditions. Worldwide there are different ways to manage grassland by grazing (Huyghe 403 et al. 2014), rotational or permanent grazing options with different stocking rates, durations, types of herbivores. In general, this management creates high spatial heterogeneity within the 404 plots due to animals' selective defoliation of plant species, and also because returns to soil are 405 406 spatially heterogeneous. Thus in grazed grassland, disturbance induced by grazing creates patches of vegetation, which should affect locally root growth and below-ground biomass of 407 plant communities if duration of grazing is sufficient. The complexity of these phenomena in 408 409 grazed grassland is greater than in mown systems owing (Rossignol et al. 2011).

Then, again, the confounding effect of soil fertility and defoliation may mask a clear 410 response of the below-ground compartment in grazed grasslands. In view of that, we postulate 411 412 that root growth in Cattle+ treatment was favored by the higher soil temperature compensating for the negative effects of frequent defoliation on root growth while the cooler soil conditions 413 encountered in Cattle- might have slowed root growth. Soil moisture is a main determinant of 414 plant growth and can be affected by cattle treatments. Some studies showed an increase of soil 415 moisture in grazed compared ungrazed treatment due to lower leaf area index in the grazed 416 conditions (Moretto et al. 2001; Pineiro et al. 2010), or an absence of effects in others (LeCain 417 418 et al. 2002; Smith et al. 2014). The presence of herbivores can increase soil bulk density and consequently modify soil moisture. However, in our field conditions and after 10 years of 419 treatments application, soil moisture was not affected by the rotational grazing, probably 420 because the temporal scale used buffer shorter-term response. 421

We should also consider the level of soil fertility and species composition as drivers of root 422 423 growth and trait plasticity (Dawson et al. 2000). The soil fertility of our site, reflected by the nitrogen nutrition index (NNI, Lemaire and Gastal 1997), was very similar along our grazing 424 425 intensity gradient (Table S1), at least in 2014. Thus in our site we had the opportunity to compare grazing intensity effect at equivalent soil fertility. Knowing that root trait plasticity 426 generally shows larger differences with respect to soil fertility than by cutting or defoliation 427 (Leuschner et al. 2013; Picon-Cochard et al. 2009), we can expect that under similar soil fertility 428 429 grazing intensity had a less pronounced effect on root growth. Indeed, the higher presence of species tolerating defoliation, with shorter stature and root system (L. perenne, P. trivialis), but 430 431 having higher shoot and root growth capacity after defoliation and also higher rhizosphere activity (Dawson et al. 2000), probably compensated for the negative effect of defoliation in 432 the Cattle+ treatment. Also the sampling depth might have had an effect, as we expect that 433 434 harvesting root systems deeper than 20 cm should give more contrasting root growth response across the two cattle treatments according to the grass species composition due to species-435 specific differential root depth distribution (Xu et al. 2014). Taken together, we provide 436 437 evidence that higher soil temperature, high soil fertility and species composition have moderated root growth response along our grazing intensity gradient. The difficulty to assign 438 species composition in root mixtures, however, makes it difficult to draw firm conclusions. 439

440

441 <u>Climatic conditions variability shaped responses of ANPP, BNPP and root-to-shoot biomass</u> 442 production ratio along the grazing intensity gradient

According to meta-analyses and recent results (McSherry and Ritchie 2013; Zeng et al. 2015;
Zhou et al. 2017), grazing intensity generally has negative effects on above- and below-ground
biomass of grasslands whatever the climatic conditions or vegetation type, although these
effects can be modulated by levels of grazing intensity. Our results do not confirm these

findings, because ANPP and BNPP increased in response to grazing intensity compared to 447 448 abandonment, in the wet and the dry year, respectively. Methodology issues for estimating ANPP and BNPP in grazed grasslands should thus be taken into account, as some papers report 449 450 either biomass stock or fluxes measured once at peak of growth or at several periods (Scurlock et al. 2002), but also estimation of BNPP from indirect measurements (e.g. Zeng et al. 2015). 451 Mass based on stock gives a snapshot of plant functioning, generally including mixtures of 452 453 living and senescent tissues, thus depending on abiotic factors and plant growth, whereas measurements based on new shoot and root biomass reflect the growth potential of grasslands. 454 We are aware that these methods are very different, but in response to grazing intensity, BNPP 455 456 measured with ingrowth cores gave similar results as root mass stock assessed at three seasons. Nevertheless, climatic aridity index (P - PET) had stronger effects on ANPP and BNPP than 457 grazing intensity, because severe drought had a direct negative effect on plant growth. In 458 459 comparison with another experiments located alongside ours, 80% of canopy senescence was reached for a cumulated aridity index of -156 mm (Zwicke et al. 2013). As this index reached 460 -303 mm from March to August, this confirmed that a severe drought occurred in the second 461 year of our experiment, and explained root growth cessation in summer. At annual scale, ANPP 462 of the two cattle treatments showed lower resistance to increased aridity (resistance defined as 463 ANPP_{year2} / ANPP_{year1}, being equal to 0.63) than abandonment treatment (ratio=1). For BNPP, 464 results were inversed, leading to a lower resistance of root-to-shoot biomass ratio in 465 abandonment than in the two cattle treatments. The absence of root growth modification by 466 467 grazing at annual scale the wet year reflects well the change in root-to-shoot biomass allocation, albeit not significant. Other processes such as root turnover (mortality, rhizodeposition) are 468 expected to change in grazed vs. ungrazed grassland. For our site Herfurth et al. (2015) observed 469 similar root mass stock along a grazing disturbance gradient as in the present study, but by using 470 a simplified C flux model, these authors showed that the Cattle+ treatment tended to accelerate 471

472 C cycling in plant communities, resulting in a higher quantity of C allocated to the soil organic 473 matter continuum. Taken together, these results suggest that the slight BNPP increase under 474 grazing may occur with an increase in rhizodeposition, because root turnover calculated as 475 BNPP to root mass stock ratio (data not shown, Lauenroth and Gill 2003) was not different 476 across treatments.

Furthermore, our results suggest that grazing treatments slow down the negative effect of 477 aridity on root-to-shoot biomass ratio, and seem to be better adapted to buffering the negative 478 479 effect of drought on grassland production than for abandoned grasslands. This is consistent with previous work showing that moderate grazing could be more beneficial than no grazing for 480 481 drought resistance and recovery of ANPP and BNPP (Frank 2007; Xu et al. 2012), and that BNPP was more resistant than ANPP to change in precipitation (Yan et al. 2013). Other studies 482 showed no prevalence effects of grazing, drought or fire observed on grassland production in 483 484 North America and South Africa (Koerner and Collins 2014). Nevertheless, this points to a need for further research to determine whether grazing pressure has additive or combined effects on 485 486 drought response of grasslands (Ruppert et al. 2015).

487

488 <u>Community-weighted mean leaf and root traits as predictors of ANPP and BNPP</u>

As shown by other studies (e.g. Diaz et al. 2007; Laliberté and Tylianakis 2012; Louault et al. 489 2017; Zheng et al. 2015), disturbance induced by grazing pressure has profound effects on plant 490 community and functional traits by selecting tolerant species to defoliation such as L. perenne, 491 P. trivialis or T. repens, with possible cascading effects on multiple ecosystem functions. With 492 493 the capacity to regrow quickly after defoliation, these species generally exhibited high values of SLA and low values of LDMC and plant height. They contrast with species adapted to fertile 494 495 soil, but with a slower regrowth capacity after defoliation such as D. glomerata or F. arundinacea, with opposite leaf trait values. In abandonment, competition for light tends to 496

select plants with trait syndromes related to conservative strategy (tall plants, low SLA and high 497 498 LDMC values). Thus, the CWM traits of the community will depend on the balance between these species groups, which are expected to affect ANPP and BNPP (Klumpp et al. 2009; 499 500 Milchunas and Lauenroth 1993). Although the presence of tolerant and intolerant species to defoliation in both cattle treatments, leaf trait values were similarly and positively related to 501 502 ANPP, and only differed from traits of species present in the abandonment treatment. This means that cessation of grazing strongly differentiated plant communities, whereas within the 503 504 two cattle treatments differences were slighter.

For the below-ground compartment, we expected that above-ground differences were 505 mirrored by the root growth and traits, assuming that higher root diameter values, and lower 506 SRL and SRA values are associated with lower BNPP in abandonment compared with the two 507 cattle treatments. Although root response to grazing (mainly through defoliation) generally 508 509 reported reduction of root mass or root length (Dawson et al. 2000) our study did not confirm these assumptions. The contrasting results are possibly due to variable abundance of tolerant 510 511 species to defoliation or with confounding effects of both defoliation and level of soil fertility 512 on roots of grazed grasslands (Leuschner et al. 2013; Picon-Cochard et al. 2009; Yan et al. 2013; Ziter and McDougall 2013). Thus, root growth reductions associated with grazing may 513 514 have a greater impact in locations where grazer-mediated nitrogen return is spatially decoupled from defoliation (McInenly et al. 2010). Further, higher specific root area (SRA) observed in 515 Cattle- than in abandonment and Cattle+ treatments should reflect higher presence of species 516 with fine roots such as D. glomerata or H. lanatus (Picon-Cochard et al. 2012), because soil 517 518 fertility approximated by NNI was near comparable across treatments.

519

520 Conclusions

Similar functional diversity of the plant communities and similar soil fertility across the two 521 522 cattle treatments explained the absence of changes in root mass production for these treatments. Our site disentangled confounding effects of fertility and defoliation on root production, which 523 is not generally the case for other studies. Thus, our results suggest the prevalence of a soil 524 fertility effect on root production response rather than a defoliation effect. However, we cannot 525 526 rule out the possibility that continuous rather than rotational grazing practice would give similar results. In view of that, grazing practices information should be considered in order to give 527 some generalizations about below-ground compartment response of fertile grassland with 528 respect to grazing intensity. Besides, the strong effect of climatic conditions variability on 529 530 ANPP and BNPP observed at short term could increase in the future as more frequent climatic extremes are expected. It is thus necessary to improve our knowledge of grazing practices that 531 allow higher resilience of grasslands to more frequent and intense climatic events such as 532 533 drought and heat waves.

534

535 Acknowledgments

We thank staff from INRAE-UMR0874: V. Guillot and E. Viallard for their technical expertise 536 in field measurements, D. Colosse and S. Toillon for the soil temperature database, and S. 537 Revaillot, A. Bartout, L. Bulon and S. Sauvat and M Mattei (VetAgro Sup) for their help in root 538 sample measurements, and the staff of INRA-UE1414 Herbipôle. The experiment is part of the 539 SOERE-ACBB project (http://www.soere-acbb.com/) funded by Allenvi and the French 540 National Infrastructure AnaEE-F through ANR-11-INBS-0001. Data of the weather station are 541 coming from the platform INRA CLIMATIK. DH received a doctoral fellowship from VetAgro 542 Sup and DGER pole "ESTIVE". The present work falls within the thematic area of the French 543 government IDEX-ISITE initiative 16-IDEX-0001 (CAP 20-25). 544

545

546 **References**

- 547 Bardgett RD, Wardle DA (2003) Herbivore-mediated linkages between aboveground and
 548 belowground communities. Ecology 84:2258-2268
- 549 Biswell H, Weaver JE (1933) Effect of frequent clipping on the development of roots and tops
 550 of grasses in prairie sod. Ecology 14:368-390
- Brookshire ENJ, Weaver T (2015) Long-term decline in grassland productivity driven by
 increasing dryness. Nature Commun 6:7148
- 553 Byrne KM, Lauenroth WK, Adler PB (2013) Contrasting Effects of Precipitation Manipulations
- on Production in Two Sites within the Central Grassland Region, USA. Ecosystems
 16:1039-1051
- 556 Conant RT, Paustian K, Elliott ET (2001) Grassland management and conversion into
 557 grassland: effects on soil carbon. Ecol App 11:343-355
- 558 Dawson LA, Grayston SJ, Paterson E (2000) Effects of grazing on the roots and rhizosphere of
- grasses. In: Lemaire G, Hodgson J, de Moraes A, Nabinger C, De F. Carvalho PC (eds)
- 560 Grassland ecophysiology and grazing ecology. CABI Publishing, Wallingford, pp 61–84
- 561 Diaz S, Lavorel S, McIntyre S, Falczuk V, Casanoves F, et al. (2007) Plant trait responses to
 562 grazing-a global synthesis. Glob Chang Biol 13:313-341
- Duru M, Balent G, Gibon A, Magda D, Theau JP, Cruz P, Jouany C (1998) Fonctionnement et
 dynamique des prairies permanentes. Exemple des Pyrénées centrales. Fourrages 153:97113
- Edwards EJ, Benham DG, Marland LA, Fitter AH (2004) Root production is determined by
 radiation flux in a temperate grassland community. Glob Chang Biol 10:209-227
- 568 Frank DA (2007) Drought effects on above-and belowground production of a grazed temperate
- 569 grassland ecosystem. Oecologia 152:131–139

- Garcia-Pausas J, Casals P, Romanyà J, Vallecillo S, Sebastià M-T (2011) Seasonal patterns of
 belowground biomass and productivity in mountain grasslands in the Pyrenees. Plant Soil
 340:315–326
- 573 Herfurth D, Vassal N, Louault F, Alvarez G, Pottier J, Picon-Cochard C, Bosio I, Carrère P
- 574 (2015) How does soil particulate organic carbon respond to grazing intensity in 575 permanent grasslands? Plant Soil 394:239-255
- Huyghe C, De Vliegher A, van Gils B, Petters A (2014) Grasslands and herbivore production
 in Europe and effects of common policies. In, Huyghe C, De Vliegher A, van Gils B,
 Petters A eds, Quæ editions, Versailles, France, 287pp.
- Jones MB, Donnelly A (2004) Carbon sequestration in temperate grassland ecosystems and the
 influence of management, climate and elevated CO₂. New Phytol 164:423-439
- 581 Klumpp K, Fontaine S, Attard E, Le Roux X, Gleixner G, Soussana JF (2009) Grazing triggers
 582 soil carbon loss by altering plant roots and their control on soil microbial community. J
 583 Ecol 97:876–885.
- Klumpp K, Soussana J-F (2009) Using functional traits to predict grassland ecosystem change:
 a mathematical test of the response-and-effect trait approach. Glob Chang Biol 15:29212934
- 587 Klumpp K, Tallec T, Guix N, Soussana J-F (2011) Long-term impacts of agricultural practices
 588 and climatic variability on carbon storage in a permanent pasture. Glob Chang Biol
 589 17:3534-3545
- Koerner SE, Collins SL (2014) Interactive effects of grazing, drought, and fire on grassland
 plant communities in North America and South Africa. Ecology 95:98-109
- Laliberté E, Tylianakis JM (2012) Cascading effects of long-term land-use changes on plant
 traits and ecosystem functioning. Ecology 93:145–155

- Lauenroth WK, Gill R (2003) Turnover of root systems. In: de Kroon H, Visser EJW (eds) Root
 Ecology, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 61-83
- LeCain DR, Morgan JA, Schuman GE, Reeder JD, Hart RH (2002) Carbon exchange and
 species composition of grazed pastures and exclosures in the shortgrass steppe of
 Colorado. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 93(1): 421-435.
- Lemaire G, Gastal F (1997) N uptake and distribution on plant canopy. In: Lemaire, G (ed)
 Diagnosis of the nitrogen status in crops, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, pp 3-43
- 601 Leuschner C, Gebel S, Rose L (2013) Root trait responses of six temperate grassland species to
- intensive mowing and NPK fertilisation: a field study in a temperate grassland. Plant Soil
 373:687-698
- Loiseau P, Louault F, Le Roux X, Bardy M (2005) Does extensification of rich grasslands alter
 the C and N cycles, directly or via species composition? Basic App Ecol 6:275-287
- Louault F, Pillar VD, Aufrere J, Garnier E, Soussana JF (2005) Plant traits and function types
 in response to reduced disturbance in a semi-natural grassland. J Veg Sci 16:151–160
- Louault F, Pottier J, Note P, Vile D, Soussana JF, Carrère P (2017) Complex plant community
- responses to modifications of disturbance and nutrients availability in productivegrasslands. J Veg Sci, in press
- McInenly LE, Merrill EH, Cahill JF, Juma NG (2010) Festuca campestris alters root
 morphology and growth in response to simulated grazing and nitrogen form. Funct Ecol
 24:283-292
- McSherry ME, Ritchie ME (2013) Effects of grazing on grassland soil carbon: a global review.
 Glob Chang Biol 19:1347–1357
- Milchunas DG, Lauenroth WK (1993) Quantitative effects of grazing on vegetation and soils
 over a global range of environments. Ecol Mono 63:327-366

- Moretto AS, Distel RA, Didoné NG (2001) Decomposition and nutrient dynamic of leaf litter
 and roots from palatable and unpalatable grasses in a semi-arid grassland. App Soil Ecol
 18: 31–37
- Pagès L, Picon-Cochard C (2014) Modelling the root system architecture of Poaceae. Can we
 simulate integrated traits from morphological parameters of growth and branching? New
 Phytol 204:149-158
- Pérez-Ramos IM, Roumet C, Cruz P, Blanchard A, Autran P, Garnier E (2012) Evidence for a
 'plant community economics spectrum' driven by nutrient and water limitations in a
 Mediterranean rangeland of southern France. J Ecol 100:1315-1327
- Picon-Cochard C, Coll L, Balandier P (2006) The role of below-ground competition during
 early stages of secondary succession: the case of three-year-old Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris* L.) seedlings in an abandoned grassland. Oecologia 148:373-383
- Picon-Cochard C, Pilon R, Revaillot S (2009) Plasticity of grass root functional traits and root
 mass in response to cutting frequency and N fertilisation. Proceedings of the 7th ISRR
 Symposium, Root Research and Applications (RootRAP), Vienne September 2–4, 2009.
- 633 4pp
- Picon-Cochard C, Pilon R, Tarroux E, Pagès L, Robertson J, Dawson L (2012) Effects of
 species, root branching order and season on root traits of 13 perennial grass species. Plant
 Soil 353:47-57
- 637 Pilon R, Picon-Cochard C, Bloor JMG, Revaillot S, Kuhn E, Falcimagne R, Balandier P,
- Soussana J-F (2013) Grassland root demography responses to multiple climate change
 drivers depend on root morphology. Plant Soil 364:395-408
- Pineiro G, Paruelo JM, Oesterheld M, Jobbagy EG (2000) Pathways of grazing effects on soil
 organic carbon and nitrogen. Rangeland Ecol Manage 63:109–119

- Pinheiro J, Bates D, Debroy S, Sarkar D and R Core Team (2015) nlme: linear and non linear
 mixed effect models. R Package Version 3.1-119
- R Core Team (2012) R: a language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation
 for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria.
- Reich PB (2014) The world-wide 'fast-slow' plant economics spectrum: a traits manifesto. J
 Ecol 102:275-301
- Rossignol N, Chadoeuf J, Carrère P, Dumont B (2011) A hierarchical model for analysing the
 stability of vegetation patterns created by grazing in temperate pastures. App Veg Sci
 14:189-199.
- Ruppert JC, Harmoney K, Henkin Z, Snyman HA, Sternberg M, Willms W, Linstädter A (2015)
 Quantifying drylands' drought resistance and recovery: the importance of drought
 intensity, dominant life history and grazing regime. Glob Chang Biol 21:1258-1270
- Schaffers A, Sykora K (2000) Reliability of Ellenberg indicator value for moisture, nitrogen
 and soil reaction: a comparison with field measurements. J Veg Sci 11:225-244
- Scurlock JMO, Johnson K, Olson RJ (2002) Estimating net primary productivity from grassland
 biomass dynamics measurements. Glob Chang Biol 8:736-753
- 658 Soussana JF, Loiseau P, Vuichard N, Ceschia E, Balesdent J, Chevallier T, Arrouays D (2004)
- 659 Carbon cycling and sequestration opportunities in temperate grasslands. Soil Use Manag660 20:219-230
- Soussana JF, Duru M (2007) Grassland science in Europe facing new challenges: biodiversity
 and global environmental change. CAB Reviews: Perspectives in Agriculture, Veterinary
 Science, Nutrition and Natural Resources 272:1-12
- Soussana JF, Lemaire G (2014) Coupling carbon and nitrogen cycles for environmentally
 sustainable intensification of grasslands and crop-livestock systems. Agr Ecosyst Environ
 190:9-17

- Steinaker DF, Wilson SD (2008) Phenology of fine roots and leaves in forest and grassland. J
 Ecol 96:1222-1229
- Wardle DA, Bardgett RD, Klironomos JN, Setala H, van der Putten WH, Wall DH (2004)
 Ecological linkages between aboveground and belowground biota. Science 304:16291633
- 672 Wilcox KR, von Fischer JC, Muscha JM, Petersen MK, Knapp AK (2015) Contrasting above-
- and belowground sensitivity of three Great Plains grasslands to altered rainfall regimes.Glob Chang Biol 21:335-344
- 675 Xu X, Sherry RA, Niu S, Li D, Luo Y (2012) Net primary productivity and rain-use efficiency
- as affected by warming, altered precipitation, and clipping in a mixed-grass prairie. GlobChang Biol 19:2753-2764
- Ku X, Luo Y, Shi Z, Zhou X, and Li D (2014) Consistent proportional increments in responses
 of belowground net primary productivity to long-term warming and clipping at various
 soil depths in a tallgrass prairie. Oecologia 174, 1045-1054
- Yan L, Zhou G, Zhang F (2013) Effects of different grazing intensities on grassland production
 in China: A meta-analysis. PLoS ONE 8:e81466
- Zeng C, Wu J, Zhang X (2015) Effects of grazing on above- vs. below-ground biomass
 allocation of alpine grasslands on the northern tibetan plateau. PLoS ONE 10:e0135173
- Zheng S, Li W, Lan Z, Ren H, Wang K (2015) Functional trait responses to grazing are
 mediated by soil moisture and plant functional group identity. Sci Rep 5:18163
- 687 Zhou G, Zhou X, He Y, Shao J, Hu Z, Liu R, Zhou H, Hosseinibai S (2017) Grazing intensity
 688 significantly affects belowground carbon and nitrogen cycling in grassland ecosystems:
- 689 a meta-analysis. Glob Chang Biol 23:1167-1179
- 690 Zhu J, Zhang Y, Liu Y (2016) Effects of short-term grazing exclusion on plant phenology and
- 691 reproductive succession in a Tibetan alpine meadow. Sci Rep 6:27781

- Ziter C, MacDougall AS (2013) Nutrients and defoliation increase soil carbon inputs in
 grassland. Ecology 94:106-116
- 694 Zwicke M, Alessio GA, Thiery L, Falcimagne R, Baumont R, Rossignol N, Soussana J-F,
- 695 Picon-Cochard C (2013) Lasting effects of climate disturbance on perennial grassland
- above-ground biomass production under two cutting frequencies. Glob Chang Biol
- **697 19:3435–3448**.
- 698
- 699

Table 1. Air temperature (°C), precipitation (P, mm), potential evapotranspiration (PET) and climatic water balance: cumulated (P - PET, mm) and calculated for the 28 y period 1986-2013, mean values \pm SD) and measured for the 10 dates in 2014 and 2015 corresponding to measurements of root growth and averaged (temperature) or summed (P, PET, P PET) at annual scale.

Year	Dates	Air temperature	Precipitation	PET	P - PET
	Annual long-term average	8.5 ± 0.6	784 ± 1376	693 ± 96	91 ± 195
	December 12 – February 23	3.7	98	37.5	60.5
	February 24 – March 23	5.3	27	46.3	-19.3
	March 24 – April 21	7.2	23.5	68.7	-45.2
	April 22 – May 25	9.2	79.5	103.1	-23.6
2014	May 26 – June 22	14.2	58	110.2	-52.2
2014	June 23 –July 20	15.1	136.5	93.9	42.6
	July 21 – August 24	14.4	90.5	100.5	-10
	August 25 – September 29	13.7	141.8	79.5	62.3
	September 30 – October 29	11.7	69	36.3	32.7
	October 30 – December 14	5.3	5.3 111		72.1
	Annual	9.2	876	691	157.7
	December 15 – March 1	1.3	132.5	31	101.5
	March 2 – March 29	4.5	36.5	36.8	-0.3
	March 30 – April 23	8.5	17.5	66.4	-48.9
	April 24 – May 28	11.0	66	113.6	-47.6
	May 29 – June 28	15.5	62.5	129.1	-66.6
2015	June 29 –July 23	21.1	26	136	-110
	July 24 – August 27	16.4	94.5	124.6	-30.1
	August 28 – September 24	12.8	77	66.3	10.7
	September 25 – October 29	7.8	55	36.1	18.9
	October 30 – December 11	7.0	54.5	25.1	29.4
	Annual	9.4	585	766	-180.9

Table 2. a) Repeated measure ANOVA is shown for treatment, date (December 2013, March 2014, June 2014) and interaction effects. Numerator (num), denominator (den) of degree of freedom (DF) and *F* values are shown. b) Root mass (g m⁻²) of abandonment, low (Cattle-) and high (Cattle+) stocking density treatments measured in winter (December 12 2013), spring (March 20 2014), summer (June 20 2014) and averaged across the three dates. Means \pm se are shown, n = 4. Superscripts ^{ns} correspond to P > 0.05.

712				
710	a)	num/den DF	<i>F</i> -value	
/13	Treatment	2/8	1.151 ^{ns}	
714	Date	2/18	2.027 ^{ns}	
715	Treatment × date	4/18	1.340 ^{ns}	
716	b) Data	Abandonmont	Cattla	Cattla+
717	0) Date	Abandonment	Callie-	Caller
/1/	December 2013	636.4 ± 133.1	403.3 ± 66.4	496.5 ± 20.6
718	March 2014	559.1 ± 166.2	609.2 ± 45.3	719.8 ± 47.5
719	June 2014	574.2 ± 84.8	482.2 ± 38.6	591.2 ± 101.7
720	3 dates average	589.9 ± 99.9	498.2 ± 43.6	602.5 ± 44.4
721				
722				
723				
724				
725				
726				
727				

- 728
- 729

730Table 3. Repeated measure ANOVA is shown for treatment, year and interaction effects on731annual root production (BNPP, g m⁻² y⁻¹), annual above-ground production (ANPP, g m⁻² y⁻¹)732and root to shoot ratio (R/S). Numerator (num), denominator (den) of degree of freedom (DF),733*F* values are shown. Superscripts $^{ns, +, *, ***}$ correspond to P > 0.05, P < 0.10, P < 0.05, P <</td>7340.01, P < 0.001, respectively.</td>

736			BNPP	ANPP	R/S
737		num/den DF	<i>F-value</i>	<i>F-value</i>	<i>F-value</i>
738	Treatment	2/8	2.51 ^{ns}	8.10^{*}	0.46 ^{ns}
739	Year	1/9	70.72***	83.77***	13.09**
740	Treatment × Year	2/9	3.83 ⁺	22.21**	9.52**
741					
742					
743					

752	Table 4. Root traits measured from ingrowth core collected in June 2014 and leaf traits
753	measured from botanical observation in abandonment (May 2014), Cattle- and Cattle+ (April
754	2014) treatments. Diameter: root diameter (mm); SRL: specific root length (m g ⁻¹); RTD: root
755	tissue density (g cm ⁻³); SRA: specific root area (m ² g ⁻¹); % 0-0.1 mm: percentage of length in
756	the class diameter 0-0.1 mm; % 0-0.1 mm: percentage of length in the class diameter 0-0.1 mm;
757	% 0-0.1 mm: percentage of length in the class diameter 0-0.1 mm; % 0-0.1 mm: percentage of
758	length in the class diameter 0-0.1 mm; Community-weighted mean (CWM) Height: plant height
759	(cm); SLA: specific leaf area (cm ² g ⁻¹); LDMC: leaf dry matter content (g g ⁻¹); Ellenberg value
760	for N. Means \pm se are shown (n = 4). num/den DF: numerator and denominator of degree of
761	freedom. Superscripts ^{ns, +, *, **, ***} correspond to $P > 0.1$, $P \le 0.1$, $P \le 0.05$, $P \le 0.01$, $P \le 0.001$,
762	respectively. For SRL and SRA, different letters correspond to significant differences between

763 treatments.

	num/den DF	F-value	Abandonment	Cattle-	Cattle+
Root traits					
Diameter	2/8	1.61 ^{ns}	0.240 ± 0.015	0.210 ± 0.006	0.222 ± 0.015
SRL	2/8	3.71^{+}	$237.2\pm26.3\ b$	332.7 ± 30.4 a	$277.8\pm23.8~ab$
RTD	2/8	0.55 ^{ns}	0.099 ± 0.007	0.095 ± 0.003	0.102 ± 0.007
SRA	2/8	4.96*	$0.137 \pm 0.011 \; b$	$0.182 \pm 0.008 \ a$	$0.155\pm0.01~ab$
% 0-0.1 mm	2/8	1.28 ^{ns}	28.5 ± 1.1	32.9 ± 5.5	28.8 ± 2.6
% 0.1-0.2 mm	2/8	0.46 ^{ns}	37.7 ± 4.4	37.7 ± 2.2	39.1 ± 1.8
% 0.2-0.3 mm	2/8	0.30 ^{ns}	16.6 ± 1.2	16.2 ± 2.4	17.1 ± 1.9
% > 0.3 mm	2/8	1.22 ^{ns}	17.2 ± 5.0	13.2 ± 1.3	15.1 ± 2.1
Leaf traits					
CWM_Height	2/8	8.45^{*}	$93.0 \pm 3.5 \text{ a}$	$72.8\pm7.0\;b$	$68.6\pm3.8\ b$
CWM_SLA	2/8	5.30*	$205.1\pm5.7\ b$	$231.8\pm7.3~a$	225.5 ± 7.1 ab
CWM_LDMC	2/8	11.22*	0.261 ± 0.008 a	$0.227\pm0.007~b$	$0.213\pm0.010\ b$

765	Table 5. Contribution of the different variables to the first two axes of the principal component
766	analysis (PCA) calculated for 2014 and 2015. Variables used in the PCA were annual relative
767	soil water content (RSWC), annual soil temperature (Tsoil, °C), root diameter (Diam, mm),
768	specific root area (SRA, m ² g ⁻¹), root mass averaged over three dates (RootMass, g m ⁻²), annual
769	root production (BNPP, g m ⁻² y ⁻¹), plant height (Height, cm), leaf dry matter content (LDMC,
770	g g ⁻¹), annual above-ground production (ANPP, g m ⁻² y ⁻¹). Treatments were added as
771	supplementary categories.
772	

773		2014		2015	
774	Variable	Axis 1	Axis 2	Axis 1	Axis 2
775	variable	(43.4 %)	(16.7 %)	(37.4 %)	(19.4 %)
776	RSWC	0.62	0.44	-0.21	0.64
770	Tsoil	0.91	0.09	-0.58	0.52
777	Diam	-0.64	0.75	0.78	0.53
778					
779	SRA	0.62	-0.58	-0.69	-0.48
	RootMass	-0.06	0.22	-0.07	0.60
780	BNPP	0.21	-0.23	-0.71	0.35
781	Height	-0.82	-0.07	0.83	-0.19
782	LDMC	-0.83	-0.12	0.61	0.03
783	ANPP	0.71	0.54	0.57	0.20
784	Suppl. Categories				
785	Abandonment	-2.62	-0.24	2.04	-0.27
786	Cattle-	1.07	-0.55	-1.21	-0.62
	Cattle+	0.70	0.18	-0.83	0.90

⁷⁸⁷ Contribution in bold indicates significant correlation of the variables on the PCA axis (P < P

788 0.05).

792 Figure captions

Figure 1. Dynamics of root growth (g m⁻² day⁻¹), soil temperature (°C), relative soil water content and an aridity index (P-PET, mm) (hashed bars), measured over two years for abandonment, low (Cattle-) and high (Cattle+) stocking density treatments. Vertical bars correspond to 1 se (n = 4). Insets indicate P values from repeated measure two-tailed ANOVA (Treat: treatment, dates and interaction for main treatments). *: P < 0.05; x: P ≤ 0.1. For soil temperature, *# corresponds to significant differences between all treatments (Abandonment < Cattle- < Cattle+).

800

Figure 2. Annual root biomass production (BNPP, g m⁻² y⁻¹), annual above-ground biomass production (ANPP, g m⁻² y⁻¹) and root-to-shoot biomass ratio measured in 2014 and 2015 for abandonment, low (Cattle-) and high (Cattle+) stocking density treatments. Vertical bars correspond to 1 se (n = 4). Within a year, different letters correspond to significant differences at P < 0.05.

806

Figure 3. Principal component analysis (PCA) combining leaf and root traits, above- and below-807 ground net primary production, root mass stock, relative soil water content and soil temperature 808 measured in 2014 (a) and 2015 (b) for abandonment, low (Cattle-) and high (Cattle+) stocking 809 density treatments. Data of each plot were used in each PCA. The first two axes are shown. 810 Arrows show projections of the variables within the PCA. RSWC: relative soil water content; 811 Tsoil: soil temperature (°C), Diam: root diameter (mm), SRA: specific root area (m² g⁻¹), 812 RootMass: root mass averaged over 3 dates (g m⁻²), BNPP: annual root production (g m⁻² y⁻¹), 813 Height: plant height (cm), LDMC: leaf dry matter content (g g⁻¹) and ANPP: annual above-814 ground production (g $m^{-2} y^{-1}$). 815









Table S1. Repeated measure ANOVA is shown for root growth (g m⁻² day⁻¹), soil temperature (Tsoil, °C) and relative soil water content (RSWC) responses to treatment, dates (d1 to d20) and interaction effects. Numerator (num), denominator (den) of degree of freedom (DF) and *F* values are shown. Superscripts ^{ns, **, ***} correspond to P > 0.05, P < 0.001, P < 0.0001, respectively.

	Variables	Variables Treatment		Date	es	Treat. x Dates	
		num/den DF	F-value	num/den DF	F-value	num/den DF	F-value
	Root growth	2/8	1.80 ^{ns}	19/171	50.40***	38/171	2.096**
	Tsoil	2/8	33.93***	19/166	944.83***	38/166	9.75***
	RSWC	2/8	1.914 ^{ns}	19/163	25.287***	38/163	1.097 ^{ns}
832							
833							
834							
02E							
633							
836							
837							
010							
030							
839							
840							

Table S2. Nitrogen nutrition index (NNI %, Lemaire and Gastal 1997, Cruz et al. 2006) 841 measured on forage regrowth of May in 2014 and 2015 on the non-leguminous part to assess 842 the effect of treatments on N availability according to grazing intensity. When legumes were 843 below 4.5% in the herbage mass, NNI was assessed using the procedure defined by Cruz et al 844 (2006) based on the total forage and the legume contribution. The P-values are associated with 845 a nested mixed model: treatment used as fixed factor with plots nested in blocks as random 846 factors. Mean \pm se is shown (n = 4). For each year, different letters correspond to significant 847 differences at P < 0.05. 848

849

	Year	P-value	Abandonment	Cattle-	Cattle+
850	2014	0.146	65.64 ± 3.10 a	59.54 ± 1.78 a	63.72 ± 2.86 a
851	2015	0.018	69.72 ± 1.19 a	61.71 ± 1.53 b	69.25 ± 2.09 a

For each year, different letters correspond to significant differences at *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01;
***: P < 0.001; ns: P > 0.05.

854 **References**

Lemaire G, Gastal F (1997) N uptake and distribution on plant canopy. In: Lemaire, G (ed.)

Diagnosis of the nitrogen status in crops, pp. 3-43. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, DE.

857 Cruz P, Jouany C, Theau J-P, Petibon P, Lecloux E, Duru M (2006) L'utilisation de l'indice de

nutrition azotée en prairies naturelles avec présence de légumineuses. Fourrages 187:369-376.

Table S3. Species contribution (%) in the community present around the ingrowth core measured in April and May 2014 for Cattle-, Cattle+ and Abandonment, respectively. Mean \pm se is shown (n = 4). For each species, different letters correspond to significant differences at *: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001; ns: P > 0.05.

Group	Species	P-value	Abandonment	Cattle-	Cattle+
Grasses	Agrostis capillaris	ns	0.0 ± 0.0	0.6 ± 0.6	1.7 ± 1.2
	Arrhenatherum elatius	ns	10.3 ± 6.8	2.2 ± 2.2	2.5 ± 2.5
	Alopecurus pratensis	**	$27.2\pm7.9~a$	$7.8\pm3.3\;b$	$3.3\pm1.7~\text{b}$
	Dactylis glomerata	*	$3.1\pm2.7\;b$	$22.2\pm9.8\ a$	$9.1\pm3.8~ab$
	Elytrigia repens	*	18.8 ± 9.9 a	$2.8\pm1.8\ b$	$3.8\pm2.7\;b$
	Schedurus arundinaceus	ns	5.0 ± 2.3	5.6 ± 2.1	6.3 ± 2.2
	Holcus lanatus	*	$0.0\pm0.0\;b$	4.7 ± 1.6 a	3.4 ± 1.9 a
	Lolium perenne	***	$0.0\pm0.0\;b$	$0.9\pm0.9\;b$	13.6 ± 3.8 a
	Poa pratensis	ns	11.3 ± 2.2	3.1 ± 1.5	3.4 ± 2.5
	Poa trivialis	*	$0.0\pm0.0\;b$	5.0 ± 2.5 a	7.2 ± 2.4 a
	Trisetum flavescens	ns	0.0 ± 0.0	2.2 ± 1.3	0.6 ± 0.4
Forbs	Achillea millefolium	ns	1.3 ± 0.9	3.8 ± 2.4	3.1 ± 2.3
	Anthriscus sylvestris	ns	2.5 ± 2.1	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
	Cerastium fontanum	ns	0.0 ± 0.0	1.3 ± 0.9	0.0 ± 0.0
	Cerastium glomeratum	ns	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.3
	Cirsium arvense	ns	5.0 ± 3.5	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0
	Hypocheris radicata	ns	0.0 ± 0.0	0.9 ± 0.9	0.0 ± 0.0
	Ranunculus acris	ns	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	3.8 ± 3.8
	Stellaria graminea	ns	0.6 ± 0.6	0.6 ± 0.4	0.0 ± 0.0
	Taraxacum officinale agg.	**	$0.0\pm0.0\;b$	17.5 ± 1.8 a	19.1 ± 6.0 a
	Urtica dioïca	*	$9.7\pm4.9\;a$	$0.0\pm0.0\;b$	$0.0\pm0.0\;b$
	Veronica serpyllifolia	ns	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.3	0.0 ± 0.0
Legumes	Lathyrus pratensis	ns	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.3	0.3 ± 0.3
	Trifolium pratense	ns	0.0 ± 0.0	0.0 ± 0.0	0.3 ± 0.3
	Trifolium repens	***	$0.0\pm0.0\;b$	16.3 ± 4.0 a	17.7 ± 2.5 a



Fig S1 : Scheme of the plots and blocks on the experimental site