

Ozonation using hollow fiber contactor technology and its perspectives for micropollutants removal in water: A review

Alice Schmitt, Mendret Julie, Michel Roustan, S. Brosillon

► To cite this version:

Alice Schmitt, Mendret Julie, Michel Roustan, S. Brosillon. Ozonation using hollow fiber contactor technology and its perspectives for micropollutants removal in water: A review. Science of the Total Environment, 2020, 729, pp.138664. 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.138664. hal-02872090

HAL Id: hal-02872090 https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02872090

Submitted on 20 May 2022 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0048969720321811 Manuscript_79d027ea33646bd724bd5db4dca581d5

1	Ozonation using hollow fiber contactor technology and its perspectives
2	for micropollutants removal in water:
3	a review
4	
5	Alice Schmitt ^a , Julie Mendret ^a , Michel Roustan ^b , Stephan Brosillon ^a
6	
7	^a IEM (Institut Européen des Membranes), UMR 5635 (CNRS-ENSCM-UM2),
8	Université Montpellier 2, Place E. Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier, France
9	^b TBI, Université de Toulouse, CNRS, INRA, INSA, Toulouse, France
10	
11	Submitted to Science of the Total Environment
12	
13	Corresponding author:
14	Julie Mendret
15	IEM (Institut Européen des Membranes), UMR 5635 (CNRS-ENSCM-UM2),
16	Université Montpellier 2, Place E. Bataillon, F-34095 Montpellier, France
17	Tel: +33 467144624 ; Fax: +33 467149119
18	E-mail: julie.mendret@umontpellier.fr

20 Abstract

Membrane contactor is a device generally used for the removal or the absorption of a gas into another fluid. The membrane acts as a barrier between the two phases and mass transfer occurs by diffusion and not by dispersion. This article is a review of the application of membrane contactor technology for ozonation applied to water treatment. The challenge of removing micropollutants is also discussed. In the first part, the ozonation process is mentioned, in particular chemical reactions induced by ozone and its advantages and disadvantages. In the second part, generalities on membrane contactor technology using hollow fibers are presented. Then, the benefit of using a membrane contactor for the elimination of micropollutants is shown through a critical analysis of the influence of several parameters on the ozonation efficiency. The impact of the membrane material is also highlighted. Finally, several modeling approaches are presented as a tool for a better understanding of the phenomena occurring in the contactor and a possible optimization of this process.

- **Keywords:** Membrane contactor; Ozonation; Micropollutants; Mass transfer; Modeling

42

43 1. Introduction

To protect the ecosystem and drinking water resources, requirements on water treatment will 44 become increasingly stricter. It is only a matter of time before treatment plants will be 45 46 required to incorporate treatment steps to ensure that micropollutants (i.e. harmful substances, detectable in the environment at very low concentrations (ng/L up to µg/L)) are eliminated 47 and do not enter the water bodies. For instance, in Switzerland, a new Swiss water protection 48 act entered into force in 2016 aiming to reduce the discharge of micropollutants from 49 wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) (Office fédéral de l'environnement, 2014). As a 50 consequence, selected WWTPs must be upgraded by an advanced treatment for 51 52 micropollutants abatement with suitable and economic options such as ozonation. Ozone treatment is easy to automate and clean to handle. It provides a chemical-free means of 53 removing 90 percent of emerging contaminants (Prieto-Rodríguez et al., 2013; Snyder et al., 54 2006). It can be quite simply incorporated into existing and new applications and is a reliable 55 and control-supported process. Another advantage of ozonation is the direct oxidation, 56 57 breaking the molecule which is destroyed and not only absorbed. Ozonation is thus an interesting technology for water reuse since it can both disinfect and oxidize, or be used with 58 other technologies in a multiple-barrier concept. 59

The conventional reactors used during the ozonation processes are presented in Table 1. A schematic drawing of each reactor is exposed in Figure 1. Depending on the application (i.e. the objectives of the ozonation), the reactor is chosen according to its contact time (especially for slow reactions), its hydrodynamics (especially for fast and moderately fast reaction, where a plug flow is preferable), and its ozone transfer (especially for very fast reaction, where a high interfacial area is preferable). Generally, ozone in water treatment is injected in the form of bubbles, with disadvantages such as operational costs, stripping of volatile organic
compounds, high footprint of the reactor, mass transfer limitations (leading to high energetic
costs) and foam generation. Moreover, in some cases, these processes do not ensure a
controlled dosage, and can lead to the production of by-products sometimes more dangerous
than the original products (Gao et al., 2016; Gogoi et al., 2018; Schlüter-Vorberg et al., 2015).

Type of reactor	Disperse d phase	Value range of kLa according to the literature (s-1)	Value range of gas retention Eg	Value range of power consumption (kW.m-3 of reactor)	Advantages	Disadvantages	Application fields
Bubble column with porous diffusers	Gas	0.0001-0.1 (K _L a between 0.005 and 0.12 (Chabanon and Favre, 2017))	< 0,2	0.01- 1	Smooth operation Low maintenance cost	Risk of clogging Complex hydrodynamics	Drinking water (Low ozone dose transferred and slow reaction)
Turbine engine or with radial diffuser	Gas	0.01-0.2	< 0.1	0.5 - 4	Gas/Liquid mix and contact Flexible to the variation in the liquid flow	High energy consumption Mechanical equipment maintenance	Drinking water and wastewater (High ozone dose transferred, moderately fast reaction)
Packed column	Liquid	0.005-0.02 K _L a between 0.0004 and 0.07 (Chabanon and Favre, 2017))	> 0.3	0.01 - 0.2	Transfer and plug flow Low maintenance cost	Risk of fouling of the lining	Gas washing, production of ozonated water (Fast reaction)
Static mixer	Gas	0.1-10	Around 0.5	10 - 200	Mix and transfer Low maintenance cost Low size of installation	High energy consumption Short contact time Risk of clogging	Drinking water and wastewater (Very fast reaction)

Table 1: Conventional reactors used for ozonation, adapted from Suez, 2007

Venturi injector	Gas	0.06-0.21 (Roustan, 2003)	1-10 (Roustan, 2003)	N.A.	High mass transfer (Cachon et al., 2019; Ozkan et al., 2006) High gas-liquid interfacial area High energy efficiency Applicable to short contact time (Briens et al., 1992) No additional equipment needed (i.e. located directly in the process stream) (Bauer et al., 1963) Minimal maintenance (Cachon et al., 2019)	Power from a recirculating pump required, or pressurized water supply (Cachon et al., 2019)	Petroleum refining, Hydrogenation, Fermentation, Waste-water treatment (Briens et al., 1992)
------------------	-----	---------------------------	----------------------------	------	--	--	---



*Venturi injector*77 Figure 1: Schemes of conventional reactors used for ozonation

The use of membrane contactors for ozone diffusion in water treatment recently emerged as a 78 79 very interesting option. Indeed, by using a bubbleless operation, membrane contactors can overcome these challenges. Indeed, membrane contactors have been pointed out as a good 80 alternative for the transfer of gas to the liquid phase (Alves dos Santos et al., 2015; Berry et 81 al., 2017; Pabby and Sastre, 2013; Stylianou et al., 2016), and to control the dosage of ozone 82 during ozonation processes (Atchariyawut et al., 2009; Bamperng et al., 2010; Berry et al., 83 84 2017; Janknecht et al., 2001; Jansen et al., 2005; Leiknes et al., 2005; Merle et al., 2017; Picard et al., 2001; Pines et al., 2005; Shanbhag et al., 1998, 1995; Stylianou et al., 2018, 85 2016; Wenten et al., 2012; Zoumpouli et al., 2018). The following list describes the major 86 87 advantages of a membrane contactor technology:

This process has a smaller foot print than conventional reactor, thanks to its large 88 interfacial area. Since treatment of wastewater are targeted, very fast reaction will 89 90 occur and thus the transfer will be accelerated by the reaction, as a consequence it is interesting to develop high interfacial area in the reactors. According to Reed et al., 91 membrane contactors have an interfacial area between 1640 and 6562 m²/m³. 92 93 According to Chabanon et al., membrane contactors have a surface area/volume ratio around 1,000-10,000 m²/m³, whereas this ratio is between 50 and 600 m²/m³ for a 94 bubble column and between 10 and 500 m²/m3 for a packed column. (Chabanon and 95 96 Favre, 2017). In contrast, conventional contactors have an interfacial area between 3 and 492 m²/m³.(Reed et al., 1995). Pines et al (2005) have carried out calculations, an 97 hypothetical case of 167 m³/h flow rate and 2 mg/L transferred ozone dose was used in 98 order to compare the volume required for hollow fiber membrane contactors (PVDF) 99 100 configurations compared to a fine-bubble diffuser contactor. The assumptions were a 101 gas O₃ concentration of about 6%, no chemical reaction and a system mass transfer limited. Stylianou et al (2016) have carried out the same calculations based on their 102

experimental results obtained with ceramic tubular membrane. The volume of each reactor was 12 m³, 1.9 m³ and 0.15 m³ respectively for bubble column; ceramic tubular membrane contactor and PVDF hollow fiber membrane. This first approach demonstrates the real interest of membrane contactor to increase the compactness of the unit operation.

The mass transfer (i.e. the KLa) obtained with a membrane contactor is significantly higher than with other conventional reactors. For a membrane contactor, the mass transfer is estimated between 0.05 and 0.50 s-1, whereas it is between 0.005 and 0.12 s-1 for a bubble column and between 0.0004 and 0.07 s-1 for a packed column. The difference is mainly due to a surface area/volume ratio particularly interesting with the membranes.

The compound of interest (i.e. here the ozone molecule) has a uniform distribution,
thanks to the large exchange surface (i.e. interfacial area) offered by the hollow fiber
membranes.

The risk of flooding and of entrainment of the dispersed phase is avoided thanks to a bubbleless process.

- Increasing the production capacity of a membrane contactor is easy by adding more
 membrane modules (i.e. this process is especially modular).
- The exchange surface is independent of the flow rates. The process can work
 efficiently at different gas/liquid ratios, and therefore has a wide range of capacities
 for the same number of modules.
- Operations are performed under low pressures because transfer is driven by the concentration gradient and not by the pressure gradient. Therefore, energy requirement by this process is lower.

A gas stream recycling can be implemented, and thus energy and reagent savings can
be made.

- Thanks to the independent flow adjustment for gas and liquid phases, an optimization
 of applied reagent dosage (i.e. here ozone) also allows to save reagent.
- All the ozone diffused through the membrane is transferred into the liquid phase (reacting or not in this phase), due to the bubbleless process. The rest (in the gas phase) can be recycled to the ozone generator thanks to a lower moisture content than in conventional processes (Phattaranawik et al., 2005; Stylianou et al., 2016). In comparison to bubble column where 25% of ozone is not transferred in the liquid phase, fewer reagents are needed for the same oxidation.
- Less by-products (e.g. bromates) could be produced than in conventional ozonation
 processes thanks to the minimization of the dissolved ozone concentration ((Heeb et al., 2014; Merle et al., 2017).
- On the contrary, according to several studies, the main disadvantages of the membranecontactor process are the following (Gabelman and Hwang, 1999; Mulder, 1996).
- The risk of wetting is important and depends on the transmembrane pressure. Its
 consequence is a lower ozone transfer.
- A risk of bubbling is common and also depends on the transmembrane pressure.
 Ozone which is diffused by bubbles through the membrane may not be completely
 transferred into the liquid phase, and thus stays in gaseous form.
- The liquid can cause the fouling of the fibers. Fouling is one of the main problems in the application of porous membrane for water treatment (Van Geluwe et al., 2011).
 Gas/liquid contactors are less sensitive to fouling than filtration membranes) because no flow circulates through the membrane pores (Van Geluwe et al., 2011; Yu et al.,

2015). However, membrane contactors have generally a small diameter, and therefore 151 152 suspended particles in the gas phase or in the liquid phase can cause plugging (Van Geluwe et al., 2011). When the gas circulates in the lumen and the liquid in the shell 153 side, this phenomenon is limited. There is little available literature on ozone transfer 154 into water with membranes, and to date no literature concerning the fouling during 155 156 such a process. Most studies focus on ozone as a pretreatment (i.e. as a supplementary agent) within hybrid treatment processes, leading to the reduction of fouling or the 157 increase of the biodegradation of contaminants in membrane bioreactor, and therefore 158 to better membrane performances (Kim et al., 2008; Laera et al., 2012; Van Geluwe et 159 160 al., 2011; Zoumpouli et al., 2018).

The overall resistance to transfer is increased due to the addition of a new phase (i.e.
the membrane).

• A bypass may be created into the shell side. If the liquid is in the shell side, a part of the water could not be treated. If the gas is in the shell side, a part of the oxidizing compound could not be transferred in the other phase.

In recent years, very interesting reviews on advanced oxidation processes for water treatment 166 were generated, but none concerning the ozonation with membrane contactors (Von Gunten, 167 168 2018). In this context, this review focuses on the ozonation of water using membrane contactors, that had not yet been previously reviewed in detail. Hollow fibers and tubular 169 technologies for the elimination of micropollutants in water are described more specifically. 170 171 The significance of the membrane material is highlighted, as well as the importance of the modeling in order to optimize the transfer. Conversely, processes like ozonation on catalytic 172 membranes, or ozonation for cleaning, are not covered. 173

175 **2.** General principles of ozonation

176 2.1. Ozonation reaction



177

178 Figure 2: Ozonation reaction in water during oxidation of a pollutant M

The ozonation reaction is described in Figure 2 (Nawrocki and Kasprzyk-Hordern, 2010). Several mechanisms occur simultaneously and by chain reactions during degradation of an organic substance M. In the first case, molecular ozone can directly oxidize the polluting substance. In the second case, ozone can decompose into hydroxyl radicals. This way leads to a succession of radical reactions, initiated by the interaction between hydroxyl radicals and ozone (Gordon, 1995; Westerhoff et al., 1997). Hydroxyl radicals are non-selective and have strong oxidation properties (Kanakaraju et al., 2018). 186 These mechanisms coexist and the kinetic expression of the reaction can be described by187 equation 1:

188
$$r_M = k_{03}[O_3][M] + k_{0H}[OH^\circ][M]$$

189
$$= [O_3][M][k_{03} + k_{0H}R]$$
[1]

190 Where:

- 191 r_M : reaction rate (mol.L⁻¹.s⁻¹)
- [HO°]: concentration of hydroxyl radicals (mol. L^{-1})
- $[O_3]$: concentration of molecular ozone (mol.L⁻¹)
- k_{O3}, k_{HO°}: second-order rate constant for the reaction of micropollutant (M) with O₃
 and HO° (L. mol⁻¹s⁻¹)
- R=[HO°]/[O₃]: ratio between the concentration of hydroxyl radicals and the concentration of molecular ozone, varying between 10⁻⁹ and 10⁻⁷ and depending on the water type (according to Elovitz et al., 2000)

The mechanism favoring the oxidation efficiency depends on the k_{O3} value, which is a second order rate constant. When $k_{O3} < 100 \text{ mol.L}^{-1}.\text{s}^{-1}$, the main way of degradation is the radical mechanisms. When k_{O3} is between 100 and 10,000 mol.L⁻¹.s⁻¹, both radical and molecular mechanisms occur simultaneously with the same order of magnitude. When $k_{O3} > 10,000$ mol.L⁻¹.s⁻¹, molecular mechanisms are promoted. (Bourgin et al., 2017)

204 2.1. Ozonation efficiency for the elimination of micropollutants in water

Advanced treatment technologies remove MP more efficiently than primary and secondary treatments (Luo et al., 2014). Several studies about the application of ozonation for MP elimination have been carried out (Behera et al., 2011; Huber et al., 2003; Lishman et al., 2006; Paxéus, 2004; Santos et al., 2007). Ozone eliminates a wide range of MP in WWTP, with a dose of dissolved ozone around 3-8 mgO₃/L (Gomes et al., 2017; Hollender et al., 210 2009; Margot et al., 2013; Nakada et al., 2007; Reungoat et al., 2012, 2010; Rosal et al., 2010). This process is also efficient on several pharmaceuticals often detected in surface 212 waters (Tootchi et al., 2013). The degradation efficiency of MP depends on several 213 parameters (see 2.3. Influential parameters), in particular their reaction rates with O_3 and 214 HO°, which involve different mechanisms of MP removal (see 2.3.2. Influence of the reaction 215 rate of the compound with O_3 and HO°).

From equation 1, a chemical kinetic model can be deducted for the prediction of micropollutants abatement by ozonation (Elovitz and Von Gunten, 1999; Guo et al., 2018; Lee et al., 2014, 2013; Lee and von Gunten, 2016; Wang et al., 2018) :

219
$$-\ln\left(\frac{M}{M_0}\right) = k_{0_3} \int [O_3] dt + k_{HO^\circ} \int [OH^\circ] dt \quad [2]$$

220 Where:

• k_{O3} , $k_{HO^{\circ}}$: second-order rate constant for the reaction of micropollutant (M) with O_3 and HO°

• $\int [O_3] dt$ and $\int [HO^\circ] dt$: O_3 and HO° exposures, which are defined as the time-integrated concentration of O_3 and HO° over a given reaction period

Other species than molecular ozone and hydroxyl radicals play an important role in the 225 mechanisms of ozone consumption (Westerhoff et al., 1997). As shown in Figure 2, a part of 226 hydroxyl radicals does not react with micropollutants but with scavengers. The presence of 227 scavengers depends on the matrix to treat (e.g. natural water, drinking water, and wastewater) 228 229 (Buffle et al., 2006). It corresponds to some background water constituents, for instance 230 carbonates (Yao et al., 2018). By scavenging hydroxyl radicals, some compounds can inhibit the ozone decomposition without producing hydrogen peroxide or superoxide radical ions. 231 232 Other compounds can promote ozone decomposition by forming superoxide radical ions.

Water with natural organic matter will be consequently complex to model because of itsheterogeneity (Westerhoff et al., 1997) (see 2.2.1.).

235

236 2.2. Influential parameters

237 2.2.1. Effect of matrix

The efficiency of ozonation process depends on the matrix to treat. For instance, water is a complex mixture with a lot of different compounds, both organic and inorganic. They react



simultaneously, with molecular and free-radical mechanisms. Therefore, it is possible to 240 formulate the overall ozone consumption of water using two criteria. The first one is the 241 Immediate Ozone Demand (IOD), which represents the amount of ozone to apply before 242 detecting a measurable residue of ozone. It reflects very fast reactions of the natural organic 243 244 matter of the water with ozone. The higher the pH and the temperature of the water are, the faster the ozone self-destructs. The second criterion is the slow consumption velocity. This is 245 defined by k_d, which is in this case a first order rate constant. In order to determine these two 246 characteristics, a graph (see Figure 3) can be drawn, representing the residual ozone in 247 function of the transferred ozone dose. The intercept of the straight line with the horizontal 248 axis gives the IOD, and the slope allows calculating $k_d \tau$, which is the Damköhler number 249 250 (Roustan et al., 1998).

Figure 3: Determination of Immediate Ozone demand (mg/L) and $k_d (min^{-1})$, in a G/L

contactor operating continuously for the 2 phases, adapted from Roustan et al., 2003

For instance, for a surface water at 18° C, the IOD is about 0.4 gO₃/m³ and the k_d about 0.18

254 min⁻¹ (Roustan, 2003). Another example is provided by the works of Cruz-Alcalde et al.,

255 2019. The authors measured an average IOD of 16 mgO₃/L at the outlet of the biological
256 treatment of 5 different WWTP.

Antoniou et al. predicted the ozone dose to be transferred to remove 42 pharmaceuticals. They 257 258 found that the sensitivity of pharmaceuticals to degradation with ozone differs, depending on the target compound, but mostly on the matrix (i.e. the type of water) (Antoniou et al., 2013). 259 260 Specifically, most of the difference is explained by the Dissolved Organic Carbon (DOC) of the water to be treated (Antoniou et al., 2013; Hansen et al., 2016). Antoniou et al. correlated 261 the specific dose of ozone required to achieve reduction by one decade of each investigated 262 pharmaceutical with the DOC of the effluent, which consumes a part of the dissolved ozone 263 available by reacting with it. 264

265

2.2.2. Influence of the reaction rate of the compound with O_3 and HO°

As seen previously, the rate constant k_{03} is a significant parameter for the ozonation 266 efficiency. This parameter depends on the compound. A high second order reaction rate with 267 O₃ leads to a good elimination of the pollutant. Yue et al. showed that compounds with a high 268 k₀₃ were effectively removed with a rate superior to 95% with an ozone dose transferred 269 varying between 0.3 and 1.5 mg/L, and a contact time of 8.6 min during pilot-scale 270 271 experiments using a conventional ozonator (Yue et al., 2009). Bourgin et al. came to the same conclusions during conventional ozonation of surface water (i.e. Lake Zürich water, 272 Switzerland). For instance, diclofenac and carbamazepine, with a k_{03} superior to $10^4 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, 273 were removed at more than 90% even for the lowest ozone dose transferred of 0.5 mg.L⁻¹ 274 (Bourgin et al., 2017). Zimmermann et al. showed the same results within a gas bubble 275 column. For substances reacting fast with ozone (e.g. diclofenac and carbamazepine, $k_{O3}=10^4$ 276 M⁻¹.s⁻¹), they observed a good elimination for an ozone dosage transferred between 0.21 to 277 1.24 go3.gDissolved Organic Carbon⁻¹, except for the lowest dose (Zimmermann et al., 2011). 278

For compounds with a low to moderate reaction rate with O_3 (i.e. ozone resistant compounds 279 with k_{03} up to $10^1 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and moderately ozone-resistant compounds with k_{03} between 10^2 280 and 10³ M⁻¹.s⁻¹), generally, oxidation increases with increasing ozone exposure and is 281 influenced by the quality of the water matrix (Bourgin et al., 2017; Yue et al., 2009; 282 Zimmermann et al., 2011). Yue et al. showed variable results. For instance, ibuprofen and 283 clorfibric acid were removed between 3 and 62%. The same phenomena was observed for 284 bezafibrate, which was removed between 28 and 99% under the same ozone exposure (Yue et 285 al., 2009). Bourgin et al. found that sucralose (i.e. compound which only react with HO° and 286 have a low reaction rate with O₃) was removed between 19 and 90%. The author also found 287 that during conventional ozonation of resistant compounds, the abatement was moderate, even 288 with a high ozone dose transferred of $3 \text{ mgO}_3.\text{L}^{-1}$ (Bourgin et al., 2017). In their work about 289 transformation by-products of pharmaceutically compounds during drinking water ozonation, 290 291 Tootchi et al. selected carbamazepine as pharmaceutical with a fast reaction rate with ozone and bezafibrate as pharmaceutical with a slow to moderate reaction rate with ozone (i.e. 292 respectively over and under 10^4 M^{-1} .s⁻¹). The authors found that the major oxidation pathway 293 for carbamazepine was the direct route (i.e. reaction with molecular ozone), while for 294 bezafibrate it was both radical and molecular reactions (Tootchi et al., 2013). 295

296 2.2.3. Influe

2.2.3. Influence of operating parameters

Other parameters have an influence on the ozonation efficiency. For example, a higher pH promotes free radical mechanisms and causes a faster ozone decomposition because of the presence of hydroxide anions (Buffle et al., 2006; Mecha et al., 2016). A higher temperature leads to a better mobility of the water molecules and thus to a lower water viscosity and a higher water diffusivity. A higher ionic strength decreases the solubility of ozone and thus makes ozonation processes more difficult. The influence of these parameters is discussed later with more details in the specific case of membrane contactors (section 5).

304 2.3. Ozonation by-products

During the ozonation reaction, a low mineralization can occur (i.e. the oxidation could be incomplete). It conducts to the accumulation of intermediates, which are degradation byproducts. These by-products in some cases, but not systematically, could be potentially more toxic than the initial contaminants (Gao et al., 2016; Gomes et al., 2017; Luo et al., 2014; Margot et al., 2013; Petala et al., 2008, 2006; Stalter et al., 2010a, 2010b; von Gunten, 2003a).

Several by-products can be cited as examples, like the NDMA (i.e. N-Nitrosodimethylamine),
or the formaldehydes, which are produced by the reaction between ozone and natural organic
matter (Hollender et al., 2009; Richardson, 2003; Samadi et al., 2015; Wert et al., 2007).

According to Gao et al., the products of parabens after reaction with hydroxyl radicals have a higher toxicity to green algae than the original paraben. They showed that when the alkylchain length of the parabens increases, the ecotoxicity of the degradation products also increases (Gao et al., 2016).

318 Bromates are other degradation by-products. They are formed during the ozonation of bromide-containing waters, such as river waters (Nobukawa and Sanukida, 2000; von Gunten, 319 2003a). Bromates are potentially carcinogenic and are not removed in biological filtration 320 321 processes. Moreover, it is the only ozonation by-product regulated in drinking water (Merle et al., 2017; von Gunten, 2003a). Therefore, a lot of studies about water ozonation focus on this 322 compound. A limit was established by the European Union at 10 µg/L in drinking water, but 323 324 with the recommendation for the member states of having a lower value if possible (AIDA, 1998). 325

The bromate-forming mechanism is described in the Figure 4. In order to minimize bromates'formation, several solutions can be considered.

328 Figure 4: Bromate formation – chemical pathway, adapted from von Gunten, 2003b

329 The first one is an ammonia (NH₃) addition. NH₃ does not alter the ozone stability, and therefore does not interfere with oxidation processes. NH₃ reacts with HOBr, which is an 330 important intermediate of the bromates' formation. Adding NH₃ leads to a lower bromates' 331 formation up to a certain concentration of ammonia (except during the initial phase of 332 ozonation in which it has no influence). No improvement is noticed beyond this limit. A 333 334 balance between HOBr and NH₃ is established, which always leaves a fraction of HOBr, transformed then into BrO_3^- (i.e. bromates). This method is therefore not efficient with waters 335 that already have a medium or high level of ammonia (von Gunten, 2003b). 336

337 A second solution to minimize bromates' formation is a pH depression. This method



influences bromates' formation by shifting the balance between HOBr and OBr⁻ toward
HOBr. When the pH decreases, hydroxyl radicals' exposure decreases as well, leading to a
smaller overall oxidant exposure (i.e. ozone and hydroxyl radicals' exposure) and a lower
bromates' formation. In the same way as the solution of ammonia addition, this solution does

not reduce the initial fast bromates' formation, which is almost independent of the pH (vonGunten, 2003b).

344 3. Generalities on membrane contactors with hollow fibers

345 3.1. Principle of a G/L membrane contactor with hollow fibers

346 In gas/liquid membrane contactors, the membrane acts as an interface between two phases. In contrast with membranes used for filtration, membrane contactors are non-selective (i.e. they 347 348 don't offer any preference between compounds). The phases could be liquid/liquid or gas/liquid. The phases are keeping separated. The operation is bubbleless, thus the transfer 349 350 takes place mainly by diffusion and not by dispersion of one phase into another. The driving 351 force of the transfer is the concentration gradient. However, the pressure gradient have to be taken carefully to keep the interface at the entrance of the pores (Gabelman and Hwang, 1999) 352 and thus avoid some problems with the membrane. 353

Depending on the membrane material, the fluids, and the operational conditions, the interface could be on one side or the other of the membrane, and sometimes inside. For a gas/liquid system and a hydrophobic membrane, the phase that fills the pores is the gas one. For a hydrophilic membrane, the liquid phase fills the pores. The best configuration for the diffusion of ozone is described in a next part.

Membranes could be made with organic (polymers) or inorganic (ceramic) materials. The selection of the material is made according to the future use of the membrane contactor, the fluids to keep in contact, the desired fluxes, etc.

According to several sources about gas absorption membrane contactor (Al-Saffar et al., 1995; deMontigny et al., 2006; Dindore et al., 2005; Li et al., 2010), counter-current mode

364	performs better than co-current mode. According to DeMontigny (deMontigny et al., 2006),
365	counter-current mode can be up to 20% more efficient than co-current mode.

366

367 3.2. Mass transfer using membrane contactors

As described by Bamperng et al. (see Figure 5), the absorption of a gas into the liquid phase is broken down into several parts (Bamperng et al., 2010). The first is a transport of the interested gas from the bulk of gas to the interface, between gas phase and a membrane. The second is the transport of gas through the membrane pores. The last part is the dissolution of a gas component into a liquid, eventually with a chemical reaction in addition which accelerates the transfer.



374

Figure 5 : Mass transfer regions and resistance-in-series in non-wetted membrane contactor,

adapted from Atchariyawut et al., 2007

At the interface between the 2 phases, the Henry's law is applicable if the following assumptions are respected. The solute (i.e. here the ozone) has to be slightly soluble in the solvent ($x_{03} < 0.05$) and the gas phase is assumed to be perfect (moderate pressure and a temperature far from the condensation temperature) (Roustan, 2003).

$$C_i = K_{Hi} \cdot p_i \quad [3]$$

According to this law, dissolved gases concentrations (C_i in mol/L) are proportional to the partial pressure of the gas in the air (p_i in hPa), depending on the dissolution constant of the gas (K_{HI} in mol.kg⁻¹.hPa⁻¹ or mol.L⁻¹.hPa⁻¹). Henry's law constant depends on the compound and can be expressed in several ways, and thus in several units.

388 3.2.2. The membrane mass transfer coefficient

389 The membrane mass transfer coefficient k_m is defined by the following relation, using the 390 membrane structure properties:

391
$$k_m = \frac{D_g \times \varepsilon_m}{\tau_m \times l_m}$$
 (Mavroudi et al., 2006) [4]

392 Where:

- **393** k_m : Mass transfer coefficient in the membrane (m.s⁻¹).
- **394** D_g : Diffusion coefficient in the gas phase (m².s⁻¹)
- 395 ε_m : Membrane porosity (dimensionless)
- 396 τ_m : Membrane tortuosity (dimensionless)
- 397 l_m : Membrane thickness (m)

398 3.2.3. Molar flux

399 The molar flux of the compound of interest (i.e. here the ozone) at the gas side can be 400 expressed by:

401
$$J_i = k_g \times (C_{i,gas} - C_{i,gas,interface}) \quad (Berry et al., 2017) [5]$$

402 Where:

403 - J_i : Molar flux of the compound of interest across the membrane (mol.m⁻².s⁻¹)

404 - k_g : Mass transfer coefficient in the gas (m.s⁻¹).

405 - $C_{i,gas,interface}$: Concentration of the compound of interest at the interface on the gas side 406 (mol.m⁻³)

407 - $C_{i,gas}$: Concentration of the compound of interest in the gas bulk (mol.m⁻³)

408 The molar flux across the membrane can be described by:

409
$$J_i = k_m \times \left(C_{i,gas,membrane} - C_{i,gas,interface} \right) \quad (Berry et al., 2017) [6]$$

410 Where:

C_{i.gas.membrane}: Concentration at the gas-membrane interface (mol.m⁻³), which can described 411 by $C_{i,gas,membrane} = C_{i,gas,interface}/S$, where S is the solubility of the gas in the membrane. 412 Some studies assumed that C_{i,gas,membrane}=C_{i,gas} (i.e. the concentration at the gas-membrane 413 interface) is continuous (Pines et al., 2005; Shen et al., 1990). 414 Ci,gas.interface : Concentration at the membrane-liquid interface on the membrane side 415 (mol.m⁻³), which can described by $C_{i,gas,interface}=C_{i,liquid,interface} x$ He, where He is the 416 Henry's law constant (dimensionless, as described in [7]) and Ci,liquid.interface is the 417 concentration at the membrane-liquid interface on the liquid side. 418



420
$$J_i = k_L \times (C_{i,liquid,interface} - C_{i,liquid}) \quad (Berry et al., 2017) [8]$$

- 421 Where:
- 422 k_L : Mass transfer coefficient in the liquid (m.s⁻¹)
- 423 $C_{i,liquid,interface}$: Concentration of the compound of interest at the interface on the liquid 424 side (mol.m⁻³)
- 425 $C_{i,liquid}$: Concentration of the compound of interest in the liquid bulk (mol.m⁻³)

Therefore, thanks to the previous equations, the following formula can be used to express themolar flux from the overall mass transfer coefficient (Berry et al., 2017).

428
$$J_i = K_L \times \left(\frac{C_{i,gas}}{s} - He \times C_{i,liquid}\right) [9]$$

429 Where K_L is the overall mass transfer coefficient (m.s⁻¹) described in the following section 430 (see 3.2.4).

431 The mass balance on the liquid phase for steady state conditions can be described by:

432
$$\frac{dC_{i,liquid}}{dx} = \frac{1}{u_{L,mean}} K_L a \times \left(\frac{C_{i,gas}}{s} - He \times C_{i,liquid}\right) \text{ (Berry et al., 2017) [10]}$$

433 Where:

434 - a : Surface area of membrane per volume of liquid $(m^2.m^{-3})$

435 - x : Direction of the flow (m)

436 - $u_{L,mean}$: Mean liquid velocity (m.s⁻¹)

437 Integrating the previous equation leads to the following relation, allowing the calculation of 438 the theoretical K_{La} . The boundary conditions are such that the concentration of the compound

- 439 of interest (i.e. the ozone) at the liquid inlet (i.e. x=0) is zero, and is equal to C_{i,liquid,out} at the
- 440 liquid outlet (i.e. x=L, representing the membrane length):

441
$$\frac{K_L a.L}{u_{L,mean}} = \frac{1}{He} ln \left(\frac{\frac{C_{i,gas}}{S}}{C_{i,gas} - He \times C_{i,liquid,out}} \right) [11]$$

442 3.2.4. The overall mass transfer coefficient

443 The total resistance can be described by the resistance in series model, by analogy to Ohm's444 Law:

445
$$\frac{1}{K_L \times A_{outer}} = \frac{1}{S \times k_g \times A_{inner}} + \frac{1}{k_m \times A_m} + \frac{He}{k_L \times A_{outer}}$$
(Berry et al., 2017) [12]

446 Where:

447 - K_L : Overall mass transfer coefficient (m.s⁻¹)

448 - k_m , k_g , k_L : Mass transfer coefficient, respectively in the membrane, in the gas, and in the

449 liquid $(m.s^{-1})$. When a chemical reaction occurs in the liquid, k_L can be replaced with

450
$$k_L = \frac{k_L^r}{E}$$
, such as $E = \frac{J_{03} \text{ with reaction}}{J_{03} \text{ without reaction}}$. E is called the enhancement factor and takes into

451 account the effect of the reaction, which increases the concentration gradient and therefore

452 the transfer speed at the interface (see Figure 5) (Nguyen, 2018). E can also be described

453 in terms of Hatta number and instantaneous enhancement factor.

454 - A_{outer}, A_m, A_{inner}: Respectively the outer, logarithmic mean, and inner surface of the
 455 membrane (m²)

456 - S: Solubility (e.g. of ozone) in the membrane material (dimensionless)

457 - He: Solubility (e.g. of ozone) in water (dimensionless). He can be described by the
458 following equation.

459
$$He = \frac{1}{\alpha} = \left(\frac{c_{03,G}}{c_{03,L}}\right)_{eq}$$
 (Roustan, 2003) [13]

This equation is one form of the Henry's law. For the dissolution of ozone in water at 295K,
the Henry's constant is 3.823 (mg/L)/(mg/L) (Atchariyawut et al., 2009). At 293K, this same
constant is reduced to 2.907 (Roustan, 2003).

For hollow fibers membranes where the gas flows inside the fibers and the liquid outside, the previous equation becomes $\frac{1}{K_L} = \frac{d_o}{s \times k_g \times d_i} + \frac{d_o}{k_m \times d_{ln}} + \frac{He}{k_L}$, where d_i, d_{ln}, d_o are respectively the inner, logarithmic mean, and outer diameters of the fibers (m).

466 **4. Membrane materials**

467 4.1. Membrane materials for gas/liquid membrane contactors



468

4.1.1. Microporous/dense membrane

469 Figure 6: Membrane configurations (a. non-wetted porous membrane, b. wetted porous
470 membrane, c. dense membrane, d. composite membrane), adapted from Nguyen et al., 2011

The material of the membrane affects its performance and has to be chosen according to its application. Properties of the membrane, especially the pore size and the surface porosity,



influence the transfer rate. Membranes can be classified in 3 categories: dense, porous, or 473 474 composite (see Figure 6). According to Bakeri et al., the higher the pore size is, the higher the membrane mass transfer coefficient is (i.e. resistance within membrane is higher in dense 475 membrane than in microporous) (Bakeri et al., 2012). Yet, higher pores increase the risk of 476 wetting, which reduces the transfer quickly (Bakeri et al., 2012). Therefore, the operating 477 pressure can be higher with dense membrane than with microporous because no bubbles are 478 formed (i.e. no risk of bubbling). However, during their experiments, Pines et al. found a 479 global mass transfer coefficient comparable between a Teflon nonporous membrane (i.e. a 480 dense membrane) and different porous membranes (see Figure 7). The porous membranes 481 used in this work were made with Teflon, PVDF, or PTFE. The pore volume was from 45 up 482 to 55%. The pore size was from 0.5 up to 5 μ m, and membrane thickness from 0.102 to 0.254 483 mm. (Pines et al., 2005) 484

485 Figure 7: Global mass transfer coefficient at liquid side Reynolds number of 2000 for

486 different materials, adapted from Pines et al., 2005

According to Nguyen et al., a dense material can compete with classical porous membrane 487 488 contactors materials, depending on the dense skin thickness (i.e. it can have the same mass transfer coefficient in the membrane) (see Figure 8). In their work, the authors highlighted the 489 interest of composite fibers for the CO₂ absorption. The fibers were fabricated from porous 490 polymers as supports and coated with dense permeable (here to CO₂) materials. The use of a 491 dense or a composite membrane seems therefore to be a possible solution to avoid bubbling 492 and wetting problems (i.e. transmembrane pressure limitations), without minimizing the 493 global mass transfer. (Chabanon and Favre, 2017; Nguyen et al., 2011) 494



495 Figure 8: Effective mass transfer coefficient of a dense skin layer versus the layer thickness496 for a polymer permeability of 500 Barrer, adapted from Nguyen et al., 2011

497 4.1.2. Advantages and disadvantages of organic membranes used in membrane
498 contactor

Table 2 summarizes the advantages and disadvantages of various organic materials which canbe used for membrane contactor.

Family	Abbreviation	Material	Characteristics	Advantages	Disadvantages	Sources
Polyolefins and	PVDF*	Polyvinylidene fluoride	Hydrophobic. Semi-crystalline (4 different crystalline forms with for each different mechanical and chemical resistances). Less hydrophobic than PTFE, but more hydrophobic than the other materials presented here. Degradation temperature between 375 and 400°C, and thus appropriate for water treatment.	Thermal stability, resistant to most of the corrosive chemicals and organic compounds. More resistant to ozone than PP. Better ozone flux than with PTFE for a same Reynolds number (liquid), but lower flux at long-term (after a couple of hours).	Sensitive to	(Bamperng et al., 2010; Choi and Kim, 2011; Khaisri et al., 2009; Mori et al., 1998)
nuoroporymers	PTFE*	Polytetrafluoroethylene	Hydrophobic (contact angle between water and membrane at 110° for a PTFE dense film). More hydrophobic than PVDF.	High thermal and chemical stability (resistant to solvents and oxidizers). More resistant to ozone than PVDF.	ausorption	(Khaisri et al., 2009; Mori et al., 1998)
	PE	Polyethylene	Hydrophobic	High thermal and chemical stability		(deMontigny et al., 2006; Drioli et al.,
	РР	Polypropylene		(resistant to solvents and oxidizers)		2006)
Polysulfones	PES	Polyether sulfone	Less hydrophilic than cellulose acetate	High thermal and chemical stability, stable at a wide range of pH values,	Risk of fouling by	(Drioli et al., 2006)
	PSu	Polysulfone	Contact angle between water and membrane at 73°	resistant to chlorine.	ausorption	(Zhang et al., 1989)
Cellulose and its chemical derivatives	СА	Cellulose acetate (di or tri) Hydrophilic		Low fouling, high permeability to water, good selectivity.	Low thermal and chemical stability (especially to basis and chlorine)	(Mark, 1999; Zhang et al., 1989)
Polyamides ands Polyimides	PA Polyamide Low permeability to water (use for nanofiltration and reverse osmosis membranes)		High thermal, chemical, and mechanical stability, good selectivity.	Sensitive to chlorine, risk of fouling by adsorption of proteins	(Kwon et al., 2012, 2011)	
501						

502 Table 2: Synthesis of the different organic materials used in membrane contactors (* Material resistant towards ozone)

503

4.2. Membrane materials used for ozonation with membrane contactors

504 4.2.1. Organic/inorganic membrane

505 Organic membranes are made with polymers. They are often used because of the possibility to 506 modulate their intrinsic properties (e.g. mechanical, thermal, selectivity, etc). These 507 membranes can be prepared by sintering, stretching, track-etching, phase inversion, or other 508 ways. A material often used with ozone is for instance the PVDF (i.e. polyvinylidene fluoride) 509 (Atchariyawut et al., 2007; Bamperng et al., 2010; Jansen et al., 2005; Khaisri et al., 2009; 510 Leiknes et al., 2005; Pines et al., 2005).

Inorganic membranes are made with ceramic, metals, glass, or zeolite. They can be porous (e.g. ceramic) or dense (e.g. made with metals or glass). Ceramics are the major class of inorganic membranes. These membranes are prepared by mixing a metal (e.g. aluminium, titanium, silicium, zirconium) with a non-metal (i.e. nitride, oxide, or carbide). They are prepared by sintering or sol-gel processes, and have a great thermal, chemical, and mechanical stability (Mulder, 1996). Membranes can also be hybrid (i.e. composed with both organic and inorganic materials).

Ceramic membranes could be a good alternative for membrane contactors in comparison to 518 519 organic membranes because of their chemical, thermal, and mechanical stabilities. They seem therefore to be an appropriate material for the use of ozone, which is a strong oxidant. 520 However, those membranes have hydrophilic properties due to the presence of hydroxyl 521 522 groups on their surface, and thus water is able to penetrate in their pores resulting in a higher mass transfer resistance (Bamperng et al., 2010; Stylianou et al., 2016). Consequently, 523 524 ceramic membranes have a lower mass transfer coefficient compared to hydrophobic organic membranes. The surface of ceramic membranes can be modified by grafting hydrophobic 525 compounds in order to solve this problem. The ozone mass transfer of such membranes may 526

be 5 times higher than non-grafted ceramic membranes (Picard et al., 2001). In the experiments of Kukuzaki et al., the authors used shirasu porous glass (i.e. as membrane), coated with nonafluorohexyltrichlorosilane (i.e. a highly hydrophobic compound). The ozone mass transfer coefficient of these membranes was higher than the one of non-coated membranes (i.e. the overall mass transfer coefficient was about 10^{-6} m/s for the hydrophilic non-coated membranes and about 10^{-5} m/s for the hydrophobic coated membranes) (Kukuzaki et al., 2010).

Other disadvantages of ceramic membranes have to be considered. Ceramic membranes have 534 a higher cost than polymeric counterparts (i.e. \geq \$1,000 /m² versus \$100/m², respectively for 535 the ceramic versus the polymeric membrane material), due to higher production costs and 536 expensive starting materials (Amin et al., 2016; Ciora and Liu, 2003). However, the 537 membrane performance stability can be assured because of a higher cleaning efficiency with 538 harsh chemical if necessary (thanks to better chemical and thermal resistances). Therefore, 539 540 ceramic membranes have less fouling propensity, and thus a longer operational life, making the cost of ceramic membranes more competitive (Ciora and Liu, 2003; Guerra and 541 Pellegrino, 2013). 542

Moreover, another drawback is the higher inner diameter of the ceramic in comparison to polymeric membranes, leading to a lower surface area per unit volume. Tubular membranes (i.e. internal diameter between 5 mm and 15 mm) and capillary membranes (i.e. internal diameter between 0.5 mm and 5 mm) can be produced with ceramic materials, but hollow fibers (i.e. internal diameter < 0.5 mm) are tougher to obtain, unlike with polymeric materials (Amin et al., 2016). 549 4.2.2. Hydrophobic/hydrophilic membrane

As explained before, pores are filled with liquid in hydrophilic membranes while they are filled with gas using a hydrophobic membrane. Ozone and oxygen diffusion are higher in gas than in water, thus the membrane resistance is lower with a hydrophobic membrane. However, wetting and condensation problems may occur, unlike with hydrophilic membrane (see 5.1 Transmembrane operating pressure (TMP) using membrane as gas/liquid contactor).

555 4.2.3. Sustainability

The sustainability of membrane material under highly reactive character of ozone is one of themain challenges of using a membrane contactor for ozonation.

Bamperng et al. compared a membrane contactor with PVDF material to a membrane 558 contactor with PTFE material, for the ozonation of dye wastewater. They found that PTFE has 559 a better sustainability because its performance was barely reduced, while PVDF lost 30% of 560 561 its initial performance within a few hours (Bamperng et al., 2010). Dos Santos et al. 562 investigated the resistance to ozone oxidation of organic (i.e. polymeric) membranes in order to select the best material to use in ozonation process for water treatment. The authors showed 563 564 that materials with electrophilic atoms attached to the carbon in the polymer backbone (e.g. PVDF and PTFE) have a good resistance to ozone. They observed that membranes with single 565 C-C or Si-C bonds (e.g. PP and PDMS) also have a good resistance to ozone but showed 566 structural modifications after a long period of use. Lastly, materials with carbon-carbon 567 double bonds (e.g. PEI and PES) were highly degraded (Alves dos Santos et al., 2015). 568

569 5. Ozonation using membrane contactors

In this review, experiments using oxygen as gas (instead of ozone) have also been taken intoaccount as oxygen and ozone are oxidizing gas, and have a relatively similar behavior.

572 5.1. Transmembrane operating pressure (TMP) using membrane as gas/liquid contactor

If the pressure of the liquid is too high, membrane could be wetted (i.e. the liquid phase penetrates into the membrane pores and the membrane loses its hydrophobicity), creating a stagnant film which interferes with the transfer. The transfer is therefore better when the gas/liquid interface is kept at the membrane surface. Moreover, ozone diffusivity is better inside the gas than inside the liquid, and thus ozone transfer is promoted when the interface is located on the liquid side of the membrane. It highlights the importance of the material used, especially its hydrophobicity (Goh et al., 2019; Picard et al., 2001; Xu et al., 2019).

Picard et al. quantified the influence of the membrane's humidity. The authors studied the 580 drying time of wet membranes before an experiment, and made a correlation with the transfer 581 582 rates obtained. They concluded that water inside the membrane pores is a major limiting factor to the transfer (Picard et al., 2001). The maximum pressure to avoid this phenomenon is 583 584 called the breakthrough transmembrane pressure, or the liquid entry pressure of water (LEPw), or the wetting pressure (Choi and Kim, 2011; Smolders and Franken, 1989). It is 585 defined by Laplace equation such as $EPw = -\frac{B\gamma_L}{d_p}$, with dp the diameter of the largest pore, 586 B the form factor of the pore, and γ_L the superficial tension of the liquid (Xu et al., 2019). In 587 order to determine the LEPw of a membrane, the method described by Smolder and Franken 588 can be used (Smolders and Franken, 1989)(Choi and Kim, 2011). This consists of applying a 589 slight pressure in the liquid phase of about 0.3×10^5 Pa, during at least 10min. Then, the 590 pressure is increased by step of 0.68×10^3 Pa. The LEPw is reached when a continuous flow 591 is observed in the permeate side (i.e. in the gas phase). 592

593 The liquid pressure has to be higher than the gas pressure, in order to avoid bubbling (i.e. 594 cross of bubbles in the liquid side), but lower than the breakthrough transmembrane pressure. 595 This TMP depends on the membrane pore size: small pores allow higher pressure. For
instance, microporous membranes have a breakthrough transmembrane pressure of about 20kPa. (Fang et al., 2004)

598 5.2. Effect of several parameters on mass transfer

599 5.2.1. Effect of liquid velocity

600 Ozone flux increases with increasing liquid velocity (Atchariyawut et al., 2009; Bamperng et al., 2010; Pines et al., 2005; Stylianou et al., 2016; Zoumpouli et al., 2018). In the 601 602 experiments of Atchariyawut et al., Bamperng et al., Pines et al., Stylianou et al., and Zoumpouli et al., ozone flowed through the shell side and the liquid through the tube side (i.e. 603 604 inside the fibers). Ozone flux was calculated from a mass balance in the gas phase or in the 605 liquid phase. Concentration gradient is the driving force for the ozone transfer into the 606 membrane. When the liquid flow velocity increased, the resistance to ozone transfer at the 607 interface between the gas and liquid phases decreased (i.e. the liquid mass transfer coefficient 608 kL increases). The concentration difference was maintained high and thus higher ozone flux could be transferred. This trend was demonstrated for both porous and non-porous 609 610 membranes. According to Zoumpouli et al., liquid flow velocity is the dominant parameter for ozone transfer, followed by membrane thickness and ozone gas concentration (Zoumpouli et 611 al., 2018). However, it must be noticed that in these experiments, the impact of other 612 613 parameters (e.g. the pH) was not investigated.

For practical applications, it seems interesting to keep a high liquid velocity to have a high mass transfer and to keep important shear forces at the surface of the membrane that could decrease a possible fouling. However, higher liquid velocity implies lower residence time. For many applications, it is important to reach a given value of dissolved ozone concentration in order to get sufficient kinetic reaction or good disinfection level. As a consequence, a

36

compromise between high liquid velocity (i.e. high transfer) and high dissolved ozoneconcentration should be found.

- 621 5.2.2. Effect of gas
- 622

5.2.2.1. Gas velocity



623

Figure 9: Ozone molar transfer flux as function of gas flow velocities with PVDF membrane

at T= 28° C, liquid phase velocity = 0,46 m/s, and initial dye concentration = 100 mg/L,

adapted from Bamperng et al., 2010

Ozone flux is not influenced by gas velocity. The work of Bamperng et al (see Figure 9) showed that when gas velocity increases, ozone flux is not impacted (Bamperng et al., 2010). These experiments were made using water, direct red 23, and acid blue 113 (i.e. two dyes) and with a hollow fiber polyvinylidenefluoride (PVDF) membrane module. The ozone was produced with ozone generator by pure oxygen. The gas velocity varied between 0.12 and 0.22 m/s, and the ozone concentration was 40 mg/L. The liquid phase velocity was set at 0.46 m/s and the initial dye concentration was set as 100 mg/L. The ozone fluxes for pure water were determined by mass balance in the liquid phase, and the ozone fluxes for dye solution by
mass balance in the gas phase. Results showed that for both water and dye solution (i.e. the
liquid phase), the ozone flux did not evolve with an increasing gas velocity.

As seen previously (5.2.1), ozone flux is influenced by liquid velocity, therefore the mass
transfer resistance is in the liquid phase, and not in the gas phase (Atchariyawut et al., 2009,
2007; Bamperng et al., 2010; Berry et al., 2017; Khaisri et al., 2009). In addition, Pines et al.
found that the resistance is higher in the liquid film than in the membrane (Pines et al., 2005).

641

5.2.2.2. Nature of the gas

According to Berry et al., unlike ozone, the mass transfer resistance of oxygen is located in 642 the membrane (Schwarzenbach et al., 2006). Côté et al. showed that oxygen mass transfer 643 coefficient was better with pure oxygen than with air (Côté et al., 1989). According to the 644 authors, this difference in transfer comes from the formation of nitrogen bubbles (i.e. with 645 air), which strip the oxygen. The bubbles are formed against the fibers, and then escape to the 646 liquid side and its outside in the form of gas, since the water is initially saturated with 647 nitrogen at atmospheric pressure. Thus, the mass transfer in a membrane contactor depends on 648 the nature of the gas. These results were obtained with gas inside the fibers and liquid in the 649 650 shell.

651

5.2.2.3. Concentration in the gas

When the concentration of ozone in the gas increases, the dissolved ozone concentration measured at the outlet of the contactor in the liquid phase also increases. Stylaniou et al. obtained the results represented in the Figure 10, at a liquid temperature of 20°C, with a hydrophobically modified α -Al₂O₃ ceramic membrane (Stylianou et al., 2016). It is important to note that the membrane used was tubular, which implies a larger diameter than with hollow 657 fibers (see 4.2.1). The ozone concentration was measured with potassium iodide method. The 658 authors made the same experiments for different temperatures (i.e. 15, 25, 30 and 30°C), with 659 different concentrations (i.e. 20, 40 and 60 mg/L). All the plots present the same trend as seen 660 in the Figure 10.

The concentration of a compound (e.g. ozone or oxygen) at the interface between gas and liquid is linked to its partial pressure by Henry's law (see 3.2.1) with respect to its concentration in the gas phase (i.e., $C_{interface} = \frac{C_{O3,gas}}{s}$ where s is the dimensionless partition coefficient for ozone (Stylianou et al., 2016)). Therefore, when the concentration in the inlet gas increases, partial pressure also increases. According to the results of Stylaniou presented previously, the increase of partial pressure should raise the same way.



Figure 10: Dissolved ozone concentration at the outlet of the contactor for different ozone
concentration in gas phase and for several ionic strengths, in function of liquid velocity, at
20°C, adapted from Stylianou et al., 2016

671

667

673 In the experiments of Côté et al. with dense hollow fiber membranes, the global mass coefficient K is independent of oxygen partial pressure up to 3 bars (K=28.3 x 10^{-6} m.s⁻¹) 674 (Côté et al., 1989). However, at higher pressure, K dropped to 23.3 x 10⁻⁶ m.s⁻¹. Côté 675 explained this drop with the apparition of micro-bubbles on the surface of the fibers. This 676 phenomenon could be avoided with a higher water velocity, preventing the partial pressure 677 from exceeding the saturation point. In contrast, Ahmed et al. showed in their studies that the 678 mass transfer coefficient K increases with increasing operating pressure (Ahmed et al., 2004). 679 The same result was obtained in previous studies (Ahmed and Semmens, 1992a, 1992b; Li et 680 681 al., 2010). Li et al. found that, for hydrophilic treated polypropylene hollow fiber membranes, 682 when the operating pressures increases from 20 to 100 kPa, the membrane resistance decreased almost linearly from 8.8 x 10⁻⁴ to 4.6 x 10⁻⁴ s.m⁻¹(i.d 1/K), giving a higher oxygen 683 flux due to the higher partial pressure of oxygen (Li et al., 2010). These results go against 684 Côté's conclusions. However, the same ultimate pressure beyond which bubbles appear was 685 mentioned. It represents one of the limits of this process. 686

687

672

5.2.3. Effect of temperature

The experiments of Stylaniou et al. showed that temperature has a very little impact on the 688 689 dissolved ozone concentration, compared to the influence of liquid velocity, pH, and ozone concentration values in the gas phase (Stylianou et al., 2016). However, temperature affects 690 ozone diffusivity, decomposition rate constant, and ozone solubility in the water. At the 691 interface, Henry's law is applicable (see 3.2.1), which depends on the temperature (Sotelo et 692 al., 1989). When the temperature increases, water molecules have a greater mobility, and thus 693 the viscosity of water decreases and its diffusivity increases (by around 1.2 times every 5°C 694 according to Stylaniou et al.). As a consequence, the mass transfer coefficient in the liquid 695

696 phase raises. In the same way, when the temperature increases, lower energy is required for 697 the reaction between ozone and hydroxyl ions, and thus the ozone decomposition rate 698 increases (by around 1.5 times every 5°C). Conversely, ozone equilibrium concentration 699 decreases (by around 1.15 times) due to the decrease of gas solubility. Therefore, it seems 699 important to take this factor into account.

701

5.2.4. Effect of transfer direction

702 The majority of the studies about ozonation using a membrane contactor use the gas in the 703 shell side and the liquid inside the fibers. The main advantage of this configuration is a good 704 distribution of the dissolved ozone in the liquid, thus allowing a good treatment of the pollutants. However, this configuration is more favorable to clogging. The circulation of the 705 706 liquid in the shell side greatly reduces this risk. Nevertheless, a risk of short circuit in the shell 707 appears, and therefore this configuration promotes the formation of areas without dissolved 708 ozone, in which the pollutants are not treated (i.e. there is a risk of mal-distribution of the dissolved ozone and of channeling of the water in the carter (Dindore et al., 2005). According 709 710 to Wenten et al., the best configuration for iodide ozonation with a membrane contactor is the 711 one illustrated in the Figure 11 where the gas (ozone) passes through the tube side (i.e. inside 712 the fibers) and the liquid (acidic iodide) solution passes through the shell side (Wenten et al., 713 2012). It corresponds to the configuration where the higher iodine concentration is obtained at 714 the outside of the system (i.e. the objective was to oxidize iodide into iodine). In their study and for this configuration, the highest iodine concentration reached 300 mg/L, after a contact 715 716 time of 720s. For the other configuration, where the ozone was flowing in the shell side, the highest concentration reached 35 mg/L, after a contact time of 1800s. According to the 717 authors, the difference between the two results can be explained by the reaction of the ozone 718 719 with the membrane material when the gas was running in the shell side. It causes the transformation of the ozone into oxygen, which reacts very slowly with iodide. 720









723



725 5.2.5. Effect of chemical reaction

When the liquid used in the contactor is pure water, no chemical reaction takes place. In the experiments of Stylaniou et al., ozone flux is lower with pure water than with surface water (Stylianou et al., 2016). It can be explained by the concentration gradient, which is maintained higher when ozone reacts with surface water, and in this case with micropollutants. According to Roustan et al., with hollow fiber organic membrane, the mass transfer coefficient of oxygen

K_La is 6 times higher with fast reaction time in the liquid than without (i.e. 0.240 s^{-1} for 0.040 731 s^{-1} without) (Roustan, 2003). Therefore, the oxygen mass transfer is also 6 times higher with 732 fast reaction than without. This is reflected in the mass transfer model with the several 733 dimensionless numbers. The first one is the Hatta's number. It gives information on the 734 competition between reaction and diffusion speed, inside the liquid film. It also indicates 735 where the chemical reaction takes place. For a second order reaction (see 2.1, this number is 736 defined by $Ha = \frac{\sqrt{k_{03}C_{i,liquid} D_{03,L}}}{k_L}$, where $D_{03,L}$ is the diffusivity of ozone in liquid phase 737 $(m^2.s^{-1})$, $C_{i,liquid}$ is the concentration of the targeted compound in the liquid phase $(mol.m^{-3})$, 738 k_L is the transfer coefficient in liquid phase (m.s⁻¹), and k_{O3} is the 2nd order reaction rate 739 constant of ozone with the compound of interest $(m^3, mol^{-1}s^{-1})$. If Ha is small (i.e. Ha<0.3), 740 the reaction is slow compared to the diffusion. If Ha is high (i.e. Ha>3), the reaction is fast 741 compared to the diffusion. 742

The enhancement factor ($E = \frac{Flux at the interface with chemical reaction}{Flux at the interface without chemical reaction}$) describes the importance of the chemical reaction on the mass transfer at the interface between the gas and liquid phase. If E=1, the reaction does not accelerate the transfer. If E>1, the reaction accelerates the transfer.

747 Another number is helpful to characterize the transfer, and to determine the material which reacted in the liquid film: the M criterion, such as: $=\frac{Flux between liquid film and bulk of liquid}{Flux at the interface between gas and liquid}$ 748 . When M=1, all the compound quantity transferred from the gas phase to the liquid phase is 749 also transferred to the bulk of liquid. Therefore, the chemical reaction only takes place in the 750 bulk of liquid and the reaction does not accelerate the mass transfer (i.e. E=1 and Ha<0.3). 751 752 The concentration profile of the compound in the liquid film is linear. When M<1, the reaction takes place in both liquid film and bulk of liquid, and thus reaction accelerates the 753 transfer (i.e. E>1 and Ha between 0.3 and 3). When M=0, all the compound in the liquid 754

phase reacts in the film. No molecule reaches the bulk of liquid. The reaction accelerates thetransfer (i.e. E>1 and Ha>3).

757 5.2.6. Effect of pH

According to Wenten et al., pH of the liquid phase does not have a significant impact on the 758 759 ozonation of iodide into iodine (Wenten et al., 2012). However, several studies revealed the opposite (Bamperng et al., 2010; Stylianou et al., 2016). Free radicals mechanisms are 760 promoted with increasing pH and ozone decomposition is faster due to the presence of 761 762 hydroxide anions. Therefore, ozone resistant compounds may react by indirect reaction thanks 763 to the formation of hydroxyl radicals, which are non-selective oxidants. Simultaneously, ozone decomposition at higher pH keeps the ozone concentration gradient higher, and thus 764 765 promotes the flux through the membrane. In their experiments on dye solutions, Bamperng et al. showed that the higher the pH solution was, the higher the ozone flux was (Bamperng et 766 al., 2010). In the study of Stylaniou et al., the reaction rate constant increased with the pH 767 increase (see Table 3) (Stylianou et al., 2016). 768

Table 3: Influence of pH on reaction order and rate constant of ozone decomposition at 20°C,
adapted from Stylianou et al., 2016

		Rate constant of
nII	Reaction	ozone decomposition
рп	order n	$K'(1/s \cdot (L/mg)(n-1))$
		(±5%)
4	2	0,0017
6	2	0,0049
9	1	0,624

771

5.2.7. Effect of ionic strength

573 Stylaniou et al. investigated the effect of ionic strength on ozone flux (Stylianou et al., 2016). 574 Ionic strength was adjusted by the addition of NaCl solution. The authors made the same 575 experiments for different temperatures (i.e. 15, 25, 30 and 30°C), with different 576 concentrations (i.e. 0, 0.2 and 0.4 M). All the plots present the same trend as in the Figure 10, 577 where the dissolved ozone concentration at the outlet of the contactor decreases when the 578 liquid velocity increases. Zero ionic strength corresponds to the use of deionized water 579 without the addition of NaCl.

An increase of ionic strength decreases the solubility of ozone and thus also decreases the equilibrium concentration of ozone at the interface between gas and liquid, as described by Henry's law (see 3.2.1). The ionic strength has also an impact on the surface tension, and thus on the allowable transmembrane pressure (see 5.1. Indeed, dissolved salts increase the surface tension, and in the same way the breakthrough pressure.

785

786 5.2.8. Effect of surfactant

Côté et al. studied the impact of surfactant in liquid phase on the oxygen flux (Côté et al., 787 788 1989). For these experiments, commercial soap containing 3.2% by weight of anionic surfactant was added to the initial deoxygenated water. It appeared that the addition of soap 789 790 increased the mass transfer coefficient (i.e. 7% for a liquid velocity of 2.28 cm/s and 20% for 791 a velocity of 7.71 cm/s). According to the authors, it is a significant parameter because the amount added (i.e. 190.5 mg/L) is equivalent to approximately 6 mg/L of surfactant (i.e. 792 lauryl sulphate), which is a concentration often observed in wastewater (Boon, 793 794 1980).Surfactants have an impact on the surface tension, and thus on the allowable

transmembrane pressure (see 5.1. Indeed, surfactants decrease the surface tension, and in the same way the breakthrough pressure. Therefore, the risk of wetting is higher.

797

5.2.9. Effect of membrane material

The membrane material has a significant role in the mass transfer efficiency. As mentioned 798 799 above (see 3.1), membranes have to be porous in order to facilitate the transfer, and hydrophobic to avoid the wetting phenomenon, which leads to the formation of a stagnant 800 film inside the membrane and thus to a reduced mass transfer. Ozone is a very strong oxidant, 801 802 and thus can attack the membrane material if this one is not sufficiently resistant. For an 803 application of ozonation with a membrane contactor, two materials stand out: the PVDF and the PTFE which are the only organic membranes that can be used with ozone. According to 804 805 Bamperng et al., PTFE leads to a more stable and higher flux than PVDF for a long operation period (i.e. few hours of use) (Bamperng et al., 2010). 806

807

5.2.10. Summary table of the effect of parameters on mass transfer

Parameters	Range in litteratureInfluence on the ozoneRange in litteraturetransfer when theparameter increases		Sources
Liquid velocity	0.002 - 0.9 m/s	+	(Atchariyawut et al., 2009; Bamperng et al., 2010; Pines et al., 2005; Stylianou et al., 2016; Zoumpouli et al., 2018)
Gas velocity	0.003 - 0.22 m/s	=	(Atchariyawut et al., 2009, 2007; Bamperng et al., 2010; Berry et al., 2017; Khaisri et al., 2009)
Concentration of O3 in the gas	20 - 60 mg/L	+	(Stylianou et al., 2016)
Operating gas pressure	6.9 - 413.7 kPa	+	(Ahmed et al., 2004; Ahmed and Semmens, 1992a, 1992b;

808 Table 4: Effect of parameters on mass transfer

			Li et al., 2010)
Temperature	15 - 50°C	+	(Stylianou et al., 2016)
рН	4 - 9	+	(Bamperng et al., 2010; Stylianou et al., 2016)
Ionic strength	0 - 0.4 mol/L	-	(Stylianou et al., 2016)
Surfactant	0 - 6 mg/L	+	(Côté et al., 1989)
Chemical reaction	With or Without (pure water)	+ (With)	(Stylianou et al., 2016)
Transfer direction	In/Out or Out/In	+ (In/Out)	(Wenten et al., 2012)

809

810 5.3. First results on micropollutants

During their experiments, Stylaniou et al. showed the technical feasibility of a membrane 811 812 contactor for the removal of various micropollutants in surface waters with diffusion of ozone and peroxone (Stylianou et al., 2018). They studied the transformation of carbamazepine 813 (CBZ), benzotriazole (BZT), p-chlorobenzoic acid (pCBA), atrazine (ATZ), and the 814 815 formation of bromates in a ceramic tubular membrane contactor, where the gas was in the 816 shell side. The CBZ was removed at more than 90%, with a diminution of its concentration below 0.1 µM at 0.4mgO₃/mgDOC. BTZ, pCBA, and ATZ was removed respectively at 70, 817 57, and 49%. The removal efficiency followed the reactivity order of the compounds with O_3 . 818 The addition of peroxone reduced the elimination of CBZ by 8%, but increased the 819 elimination of ozone-resistant compounds (i.e. pCBA and ATZ) by about 5-10%. 820

In order to make a comparison between the different contacting ozonation processes, batch experiments (i.e. conventional, prepared by continuously bubbling ozone and therefore O_3 saturated) and continuous experiments with ceramic tubular membranes having different inner surface per volume were performed. The results for the CBZ (i.e. the ozone reactive compound) were similar whatever the process. However, the hydroxyl radical exposure was slightly higher in the conventional experiments for ozone and peroxone processes, which leads to a better abatement of ozone-resistant compounds in the conventional process. Thus, the authors suggest that using a membrane with a high inner surface per volume, like for instance the hollow fibers, leads to a better efficiency of ozonation processes thanks to a more uniform distribution of oxidants (i.e. ozone and peroxone) in the water to be treated.

Concerning the bromate formation, Stylaniou and al. showed that ozone concentration lower 831 than 20 mg/L are required to be under the regulated concentration limit of bromate (i.e. they 832 did not achieve this limit even at a concentration of 0.020 mg/L, which was the lowest 833 concentration used during their experiments). Indeed, European Commission and US EPA 834 defined this limit at 10 µg/L in drinking water (EC directive 98/83; USEPA,1998). The ozone 835 concentration is a very important parameter to minimize the formation of these compounds. In 836 their experiments, Stylaniou et al. found a high bromate concentration of 49 µg/L at 0.8 837 mgO₃/mgDOC with the ozone process. In these experiments, comparing with conventional 838 process, membrane processes led to a higher bromates' formation. To conclude, the authors 839 suggest that the use of membrane contactor with both a low ozone gas concentration and the 840 841 highest possible inner surface per volume are required to improve the micropollutants abatement and to reduce the bromates' formation. 842

Merle et al. worked on a combination of a membrane contactor with advanced oxidation 843 process for the abatement of micropollutants and the minimization of the bromates' formation 844 (Merle et al., 2017). The authors used PTFE hollow fiber membrane. The water flowed inside 845 the fibers, and the gas inside the shell. They focused especially on bromates' production, and 846 studied the abatement of pCBA because of its high reactivity with hydroxyl radicals and low 847 reactivity with molecular ozone (i.e. ozone-resistant compound). Compared to the 848 conventional peroxone process (i.e. with bubbles), this process showed a better abatement of 849 micropollutants and less bromates formation, for groundwater and surface water treatment. 850 For instance, for a groundwater containing 180 µg.L⁻¹ of bromides and 0.48 mgDCO.L⁻¹, with 851 a hydrogen peroxide concentration of 5.67 mgH₂O₂.L⁻¹, and an ozone concentration in the gas 852

phase of 0.5 g.Nm⁻³, an abatement of p-CBA of 95% was achieved after 300 s of residence time, and less than 0.5 μ g/L of bromates were produced. In the conventional process, 8 μ g.L⁻¹ was formed under the same conditions. It can be noted that with a higher ozone concentration in the gas phase (i.e. 1g/Nm³, 2.5 g/Nm³ and 10 g/Nm³ in these experiments), the p-CBA abatement was better and faster, but more bromates were produced.

858

859 6. Modeling of ozone and oxygen mass transfer through membrane contactors for the 860 elimination of micropollutants

Several studies have investigated the modeling of ozone and oxygen transport through
membrane contactors during ozonation processes, from the gas phase to the liquid phase.
Most of these works focused on applications such as CO₂ absorption, acid gas capture (i.e.
gas-liquid absorption), or liquid-liquid extraction (Faiz et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014).

The modeling of such processes is useful for a better understanding of the physical and chemical phenomena occurring. It enables the evaluation of parameters' influence, and therefore can lead to an optimization of the modeled process. Before modeling, membrane ozonation was described through global mass transfer coefficients, and therefore could not be scaled-up to different devices or experimental conditions. (Kukuzaki et al., 2010; Stylianou et al., 2016). In addition, modelling can be used in design and optimization of cross-flow membrane modules for multi-components membrane gas absorption processes.

Several types of computational approaches can be seen for ozone transport simulation in membrane contactor processes. Three methods will be presented here. In the first one, dimensionless numbers are used (in particular the Sherwood number) and hypotheses are assumed in order to simplify the equations and to avoid a numerical resolution. In the second approach presented here, ozone concentrations are obtained from the partial pressures. In the last method, software like Comsol Multiphysics for example is used in order to solve the
transfer equations and directly determine concentration profiles, by simulating the ozone mass
transfer through a membrane into a liquid. A synthesis of several studies on this subject is
available in Table 5.

- Table 5: Synthesis of the studies about modelling of ozone diffusion with membrane
- 882 contactors

Publication	Modeling and determination of	Type of membrane	Investigated parameters
Ozone Mass Transfer Studies in a Hydrophobized Ceramic Membrane Contactor: Experiments and Analysis. (Stylianou et al., 2016)	Concentration of dissolved ozone at the module output	Tubular membrane Porous (Ceramic - Al ₂ O ₃)	Liquid velocity Liquid pH Gas concentration Membrane length Temperature Order of the ozone decomposition reaction
Mass transfer studies in flat-sheet membrane contactor with ozonation (Phattaranawik et al., 2005)	Ozone transfer coefficient (developed indirectly from the oxygen transport) Ozone flux Ozone concentration at membrane/liquid interface	Plane membrane Porous (PVDF)	Flow rates Temperature Matrix for ozone flux determination (pure water/solution of sodium nitrite)
A single tube contactor for testing membrane ozonation (Zoumpouli et al., 2018)	Concentration profiles Transfer resistance Transfer coefficients Ozone flux Residual ozone concentration	Tubular membrane Non porous (PDMS)	Liquid velocity Matrix (pure water/surface water/waste water/solution with humic acid) Membrane diameter Gas concentration
Modeling of ozone mass transfer through non-porous membranes for water treatment (Berry et al., 2017)	Concentration profiles Transfer resistance Overall transfer coefficient	Non porous capillary membrane (PDMS)	Membrane length, Thickness membrane Liquid velocity Gas diffusivity in the membrane Gas solubility in the membrane

883

6.1. Development of a mass transfer model based on dimensionless numbers

Stylianou, Kostoglou, and Zouboulis studied the ozone mass transfer in a hydrophobized ceramic membrane contactor (Stylianou et al., 2016). The gas was a mixture of ozone and oxygen, and flowed in the shell of the contactor. The liquid was in the tube. Based on experiments, the authors developed a mathematical model, representing the occurring phenomena and extracting the most important parameters. A first step was to model only the

mass transfer, without taking into account the enhancement factor due to ozone 890 891 decomposition. The transfer was considered as a multiphase system with three steps: diffusion in gas phase, transfer through the membrane pores, and diffusion to water. This is therefore a 892 convection-diffusion mechanism. Generally, the steady state partial differential conservation 893 equation is solved in order to obtain the ozone mass transfer. However, the authors used the 894 Leveque solution and the corresponding Sherwood number, since all the experimental 895 conditions matched (e.g. laminar flow). The dissolved ozone concentration at the outlet of the 896 contactor was then obtained thanks to a mass balance and the Sherwood number. 897

898
$$C_{f} = C_{eq} + (C_{0} - C_{eq})e^{-\frac{4LD_{O3,L}Sh}{u_{L,mean}d_{i}^{2}}}$$
[14]

900 - C_f : Solute concentration at the tube outlet (mol.m⁻³)

901 - C_{eq} : Solubility of ozone in the liquid ($C_{eq} = HP_f$, where H is a variant of the Henry's law 902 constant in mol.m⁻³.hPa⁻¹ and P_f the partial ozone pressure in hPa) (mol.m⁻³)

903 -
$$C_0$$
: Feed ozone concentration (mol.m⁻³)

- 904 L: Total tube length (m)
- 905 $D_{03,L}$: Diffusivity of ozone in the liquid phase (i.e. water) (m².s⁻¹)

906 - Sh : Sherwood number of the liquid phase (Sh can be described by a global form such as 907 $Sh = \frac{K_L L_c}{D} = A \times Re^B \times Sc^C$, where A, B, C are empirical constants, Sc is the Schmidt 908 number, Re is the Reynolds number, Lc is the hydraulic diameter of the liquid phase, D is 909 the diffusivity, and K_L is the overall mass transfer)

- 910 $u_{L,mean}$: Mean liquid velocity (m.s⁻¹)
- 911 d_i : Internal diameter of the tube (m)

Then, the mass transfer model was completed with the ozone decomposition (i.e. a mass transfer and reaction model were defined). Generally, conservation equations (i.e. diffusion and convection terms), and reaction terms, are solved numerically. Transfer and reaction are assumed to occur simultaneously. Transfer, as mentioned before, is assumed to follow Leveque relation, and reaction rate is assumed to depend on the cross-sectional averaged solute concentration. The governing equation for the perimeter averaged ozone concentration is described by the following equation:

919
$$u_{L,mean}\frac{dC}{dz} = \frac{4DSh}{d^2} (C_{eq} - C) - r_M$$
[15]

920 By using the Euler explicit numerical discretization scheme with a fine mesh, for example 921 with a discretization at N points with step such as δ =L/N, a set of differential equations results 922 can be found easily.

923 For Reynolds numbers of the liquid side up to 100 (i.e. laminar regime), dissolved ozone concentration at the outlet of the membrane contactor calculated with the developed model fit 924 well with the experimental results (deviation around 5%). Moreover, ozone properties (e.g. 925 diffusivity, ozone equilibrium concentration, ozone self-decomposition order and rate) 926 calculated from the model fit the experimental and theoretical data. The extrapolation of data 927 928 for the study of different devices and experimental conditions is possible, by changing the value of major physical parameters (e.g. diffusivity, solubility). The authors also studied the 929 930 influence of several parameters such as the liquid velocity, the pH, the gas concentration, the 931 membrane length, and the temperature. They came to the conclusion that the pH and the ozone concentration have the greatest impact on the dissolved ozone concentration at the 932 outlet of the contactor. Moreover, they noted that the ozone flux increased with the liquid 933 934 velocity (i.e. with the Reynolds number).

6.2. Development of a mass transfer model by using transfer coefficient and partial

936

pressures

The mass transport in a membrane contactor (i.e. across concentration boundary layer of gas 937 phase, through porous membrane, and liquid boundary layer) can be described with global 938 and individual mass transfer coefficients. In order to determine these coefficients, the 939 resistance-in-series model can be used. Phattaranawik, Leiknes, and Pronks studied ozone 940 mass transfer in porous flat-sheet membrane contactor, made with PVDF (Phattaranawik et 941 al., 2005). The liquid side Reynolds numbers ranged from 454 to 1469 for the experiments 942 with O₂, and to 1136 for the experiments with O₃, both corresponding to a laminar flow. To 943 944 model mass transfer without reaction, the authors determined ozone mass transfer coefficients 945 indirectly from oxygen transfer measurements to remove ozone decomposition and potential reactions in the liquid. The oxygen mass transfer coefficient in the liquid phase is correlated 946 to Sherwood number and can be deducted from this correlation. It can also be calculated from 947 the Wilson plot method, a method which is also valid for the determination of the membrane 948 mass transfer coefficient. With this method, the liquid mass transfer and the membrane mass 949 transfer coefficients can be separated from the overall mass transfer coefficient. The authors 950 focused on liquid mass transfer coefficient. They deduced this coefficient for the ozone from 951 the oxygen coefficient, using in particular the surface renewal theory (see Equation 15). 952

953
$$k_{L,O3} = \left(\frac{D_{O3,L}}{D_{O2,L}}\right)^{0.5} \times k_{L,O2} = 0.789 \times k_{L,O2}$$
 (Cussler, 2009) [15]

To model ozone mass transfer with a chemical reaction, an enhancement factor was added to the individual transfer coefficient of the liquid film. The global transfer coefficient is described by the equation [12] (see 3.2.4. The overall mass transfer coefficient).

The authors described the procedure followed for calculating ozone fluxes without chemical 957 958 reaction (i.e. with pure water), and with chemical reaction (i.e. with sodium nitrite solutions). The procedure with pure water can be summarized in several main steps. First, the ozone flux 959 960 is assumed and the effluent ozone concentrations in both gas and liquid streams are calculated by mass balance. The average ozone concentrations are then determined. The concentrations 961 at both membrane surfaces, and the ozone partial pressures at the membrane surfaces are 962 calculated, and the flux are deducted from these pressures. Finally, the new calculated flux is 963 compared with the flux previously assumed. When the difference between the assumed and 964 the calculated flux is smaller than 0.01%, the procedure is finished. If not, the calculated flux 965 966 is the new assumed flux, and the procedure begins again (i.e. the loop begins again). With a chemical reactions, the procedure is more complex than without, due to the presence of 967 oxidation. Phattaranawik at al. concluded that both procedures can be used to design the 968 969 ozonation membrane contactor in pilot scale. However, the mathematical model should be refined with residual ozone concentration because of the authors' assumption of zero effluent 970 971 ozone concentrations in the liquid stream.

972 6.3. Determination of concentration profiles with software for the resolution of transfer973 equations

Another approach is the numerical modeling thanks to Computational Fluid Dynamics 974 975 (CFD) software (Berry et al., 2017; Zoumpouli et al., 2018). The steps of the CFD simulation set-up are described by Tu et al. (Tu et al., 2018). The first step is to define a 976 977 domain (2-D or 3-D) and a geometry based on the studied membrane dimensions. For a gas/liquid membrane contactor, 3 domains can be defined: the gas phase, the membrane, 978 the liquid phase. Then, the optimal mesh has to be chosen in order to obtain the best 979 980 compromise between accuracy and computing time. The model can be scaled if necessary, and the convergence criteria have to be set, representing the acceptable residual after all the 981

iterations. The next step is the specification of the governing equations (i.e. species and
momentum equations), and of the boundary conditions. The CFD software takes care to
couple and solve these equations, and therefore concentration profiles are obtained (Berry
et al., 2017).

During their works, Berry et al. used Comsol Multiphysics ® in order to obtain concentration profiles. The simulation was made with the gas flowing inside the tube and the liquid outside, in a non-porous capillary membrane made with PDMS. The gas was pure oxygen or a mixture of ozone and oxygen. Variations from 3.5 to 2210 of the liquid side Reynolds number were performed, corresponding to a laminar flow. The regime was also laminar on the gas side. The equations solved by the software, and their application conditions, are synthetized in Table 6.

992

- 993
- 994

Table 6: Governing equations of the mass transport used by Berry et al.

		Gas section $(0 \le r \le Ri)$	Membrane section ($0 \le r \le Ri+Lm$)	$\begin{array}{l} Liquid \; section \; (Ri {+} Lm {\leq} r {\leq} \\ Ri {+} Lm {+} Lw) \end{array}$
Momentum transport	Assumptions	Ideal and incompressible gas	gas velocity negligible because of the low gas permeability in the membranes	Laminar, steady, and fully developped flow
		Laminar, steady state, and Newtonian flow		Co-current configuration. Constant liquid density and constant liquid viscosity.
	Boundary conditions	axial symetrie : no flow crossing the boudary (ur,g= 0 when r=0)		Velocity in the r-directions at all the boundaries almost null (ur,L= 0)
		membrane wall: no-slip (uz,g=0 whan r=Ri)	x	Membrane wall : no-slip (uz,L= 0 when r=Ri+Lm)
		the velocity in the r-directions at all the boundaries are almost zero (ur,g=0)		Inlet: Averaged velocity specified at the inlet (uz,L=uL,mean whenz=0)

		Tube inlet: flow is fully developped and velocituy profile is parabolic $(uz,g=2ug,mean[1-(r/Ri)^2]$ Tube outlet: flow is fully developped ($\Box uz,g/\Box z=0$ when z=0)		Outlet: Fully developped flow (\Box uz,L/ \Box z=0 when z=L)
	Equations	Continuity: $\nabla . u_g$ Navier-Stokes: $\rho_g(u_g, \nabla u_g) = -\nabla \rho_g + \mu_g \nabla^2 u_g$	ug=0	Continuity: $\nabla . u_L$ Navier-Stokes: $\rho_L(u_L. \nabla u_L) = -\nabla \rho_L + \mu_L \nabla^2 u_L$
	Assumptions	Transport only by diffusion and convection, no reaction taking place in the system	Transport only by diffusion and convection, no reaction taking place in the system. No mutual interaction between the gases of the mixture (O ₂ /O ₃) (Dhingra and Marand, 1998)	Isotropic mass diffusivity of i
Species	Boundary conditions	Axial symmetry: no material flow across the boundary (□ug,j/□r=0 when r=0)	Gas-membrane interface: interfacial transport defined by the solubility laws (Cm1,j=Cg,j,i/Sj when r=Ri)	Concentrations of O ₂ and O ₃ nulle: CL,j,0=0
transport		Tube inlet: Cg,j=Cg,j,0 Tube outlet: the gas flux is predominantly by convection $(\Box ug,j/\Box z=0$ when z=L)	Membrane inlet: insulated boundary (Cm,j/ z=0 when z=0) Membrane outlet: insulated boundary (Cm,j/ z=0 when z=L)	Membrane-liquid interface: interfacial transport defined by the solubility laws (Cm1,j=HjCL,j,i when r=Ri+Lm)
	Equations	$u_{g} \cdot \nabla C_{g,j} = D_{g,j} \nabla^2 C_{g,j}$	$D_{m,j}\left[\frac{1}{r}\frac{\partial\left(r\frac{\partial C_{m,j}}{\partial x}\right)}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^{2}C_{m,j}}{\partial z^{2}}\right] = 0$	$u_L.\nabla C_{L,j}=x\nabla^2 C_{L,j}$

996

997 The model established by the authors resulted in the determination of the concentration 998 profiles. It can be used as a base for the prediction of the ozone and oxygen transfer for 999 various designs, materials, and hydraulic conditions. Sherwood numbers obtained with this 1000 model fit with those found in the literature (Berry et al., 2017) . The main conclusions are as 1001 follow. First, the gas solubility is a parameter which cannot be neglected, in particular for the 1002 oxygen. The main resistance of the ozone transfer is on the liquid side, and the main 1003 resistance of the oxygen transfer is in the membrane.

Zoumpouli et al. had a similar approach than Berry et al. (Zoumpouli et al., 2018). However,the configuration of the membrane contactor was different. The simulation was made with the

1006 liquid flowing inside the tube and the gas in the shell, in a non-porous tubular membrane 1007 made with PDMS. The gas studied was a mixture of ozone and oxygen. The effect of added peroxone (i.e. H₂O₂) in the liquid phase was also investigated. The liquid side Reynolds 1008 1009 number was varied up to 290. For Reynolds numbers less than 100, the simulation results were moderately overpredicted, in comparison to experimental measures. This may be a result 1010 of a non-uniform dispersion of O₃ for low flowrates. For Reynolds numbers over 100, results 1011 obtained during the experiments fitted well with the simulation results, with an absolute 1012 difference lower than 0,5 mg/L. Their main conclusion is the importance of the liquid 1013 velocity as a major parameter for the determination of the ozone global transfer, followed, in 1014 1015 that order, by the membrane length and the gas concentration.

1016 7. Conclusion

1017 Membrane contactors for ozone diffusion is a recent unit operation for water treatment by 1018 ozonation. Using a hollow fiber membrane contactor, ozone is added uniformly to the water 1019 to be treated, through many dosing points and with a great mass transfer surface area. It leads 1020 to a better transformation rate of micropollutants than with conventional ozonation processes, 1021 and potentially to a lower bromates' formation thanks to a lower residual ozone concentration.

1022 In addition, membrane contactors offer other advantages, like its modularity, its small foot 1023 print, and the independent flow adjustment for gas and liquid phases. Gas can also be 1024 recycled, leading to energy and reagents savings.

Many parameters influence the mass transfer during ozonation using membrane contactors. When carefully chosen, the efficiency of the process can then be greatly enhanced. For instance, the choice of the fiber material used is a crucial parameter. It has to provide a good ozone transfer through the membrane, but resist to ozone even for a long use. PTFE and PVDF membranes seem to be good choices for an ozonation application. The pressures to apply to the different phases also depend on the material chosen. The transmembrane pressure
seems to be the major difficulty of membrane contactor technology. It has to be set carefully,
in order to avoid bubbling and wetting problems.

1033 The modeling of membrane processes for ozonation is useful to optimize reactor design and 1034 operating conditions and to study the influence of membrane properties. This optimization 1035 enables to achieve the best pollutant removal, for the minimum by-products' production and 1036 the minimum ozone consumption.

1037 . An important challenge to overcome will be the development of more efficient membrane 1038 material. Hydrophobic membranes have to be manufactured with coating or grafting 1039 techniques, which could lead to complicated modification routes and excessive use of 1040 chemicals. Moreover, studies about long term stability of the membranes used will be 1041 essential to apply the process on an industrial scale. Finally, a key point concerns the 1042 manufacture of affordable module with an optimized hydrodynamic and a high mass transfer 1043 coefficient.

1044 **Bibliography**

1045

Ahmed, T., Semmens, M.J., 1992a. The use of independently sealed microporous hollow fiber
membranes for oxygenation of water: model development. J. Memb. Sci. 69, 11–20.

- Ahmed, T., Semmens, M.J., 1992b. Use of sealed end hollow fibers for bubbleless membrane
 aeration: experimental studies. J. Memb. Sci. 69, 1–10.
- Ahmed, T., Semmens, M.J., Voss, M.A., 2004. Oxygen transfer characteristics of hollowfiber, composite membranes. Adv. Environ. Res. 8, 637–646.
- 1052 AIDA, 1998. Directive n° 98/83/CE du 03/11/98 relative à la qualité des eaux destinées à la

58

1053

3 consommation humaine.

- Al-Saffar, H.B., Oklany, J.S., Ozturk, B., Hughes, R., 1995. Removal of carbon dioxide from
 gas streams using a gas/liquid hollow fibre module. Process Saf. Environ. Prot. 73, 144–
 1056 150.
- 1057 Alves dos Santos, F.R., Borges, C.P., da Fonseca, F.V., 2015. Polymeric Materials for
- 1058Membrane Contactor Devices Applied to Water Treatment by Ozonation. Mater. Res.
- 1059 18, 1015–1022. https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-1439.016715
- 1060 Amin, S.K., Abdallah, H.A.M., Roushdy, M.H., El-Sherbiny, S.A., 2016. An overview of
- production and development of ceramic membranes. Int. J. Appl. Eng. Res. 11, 7708–
 7721.
- 1063 Antoniou, M.G., Hey, G., Rodríguez Vega, S., Spiliotopoulou, A., Fick, J., Tysklind, M., la
- 1064 Cour Jansen, J., Andersen, H.R., 2013. Required ozone doses for removing
- 1065 pharmaceuticals from wastewater effluents. Sci. Total Environ. 456–457, 42–49.
- 1066 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.03.072
- 1067 Atchariyawut, S., Jiraratananon, R., Wang, R., 2007. Separation of CO2 from CH4 by using
- 1068 gas–liquid membrane contacting process. J. Memb. Sci. 304, 163–172.
- 1069 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2007.07.030
- 1070 Atchariyawut, S., Phattaranawik, J., Leiknes, T., Jiraratananon, R., 2009. Application of
- 1071 ozonation membrane contacting system for dye wastewater treatment. Sep. Purif.
- 1072 Technol. 66, 153–158. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2008.11.011
- 1073 Bakeri, G., Matsuura, T., Ismail, A.F., Rana, D., 2012. A novel surface modified
- 1074 polyetherimide hollow fiber membrane for gas–liquid contacting processes. Sep. Purif.
- 1075 Technol. 89, 160–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2012.01.022

- 1076 Bamperng, S., Suwannachart, T., Atchariyawut, S., Jiraratananon, R., 2010. Ozonation of dye
- 1077 wastewater by membrane contactor using PVDF and PTFE membranes. Sep. Purif.

1078 Technol. 72, 186–193. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2010.02.006

1079 Bauer, W.G., Fredrickson, A.G., Tsuchiya, H.M., 1963. Mass transfer characteristics of a

1080 venturi liquid-gas contactor. Ind. Eng. Chem. Process Des. Dev. 2, 178–187.

- 1081 https://doi.org/10.1021/i260007a002
- 1082 Behera, S.K., Kim, H.W., Oh, J.-E., Park, H.-S., 2011. Occurrence and removal of antibiotics,
- 1083 hormones and several other pharmaceuticals in wastewater treatment plants of the largest

industrial city of Korea. Sci. Total Environ. 409, 4351–4360.

- 1085 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SCITOTENV.2011.07.015
- 1086 Berry, M.J., Taylor, C.M., King, W., Chew, Y.M.J., Wenk, J., 2017. Modelling of Ozone

Mass-Transfer through Non-Porous Membranes for Water Treatment. Water 9, 452.
 https://doi.org/10.3390/w9070452

- 1089 Boon, A.G., 1980. Measurement of aerator performance, in: Papers Presented at the
- 1090 Symposium on the Profitable Aeration of Waste Water, Held at the Sudbury Conference
- 1091 Hall, London, April 1980/Organized and Sponsored by BHRA Fluid Engineering.
- 1092 Cranfield, Eng.: BHRA Fluid Engineering, c1980.

1093 Boucif, N., 2012. Modélisation et simulation de contacteurs membranaires pour les procédés

1094 d'absorption de gaz acides par solvant chimique. Lorraine, Nancy.

- 1095 Bourgin, M., Borowska, E., Helbing, J., Hollender, J., Kaiser, H.-P., Kienle, C., McArdell,
- 1096 C.S., Simon, E., von Gunten, U., 2017. Effect of operational and water quality
- 1097 parameters on conventional ozonation and the advanced oxidation process O3/H2O2:
- 1098 Kinetics of micropollutant abatement, transformation product and bromate formation in a

1099

surface water. Water Res. 122, 234–245. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.05.018

1100	Briens, C.L., Huynh, L.X	., Large, J.F.,	Catros, A.,	Bernard, J.R.,	Bergougnou,	M.A., 1992
------	--------------------------	-----------------	-------------	----------------	-------------	------------

- 1101 Hydrodynamics and gas-liquid mass transfer in a downward venturi-bubble column
- 1102 combination. Chem. Eng. Sci. 47, 3549–3556. https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-
- 1103 2509(92)85069-N
- 1104 Buffle, M.O., Schumacher, J., Meylan, S., Jekel, M., Von Gunten, U., 2006. Ozonation and
- advanced oxidation of wastewater: Effect of O3 dose, pH, DOM and HO.-scavengers on
- 1106 ozone decomposition and HO . generation. Ozone Sci. Eng. 28, 247–259.
- 1107 https://doi.org/10.1080/01919510600718825
- 1108 Cachon, R., Girardon, P., Voilley, A., 2019. Gases in Agro-food Processes.
- Chabanon, E., Favre, E., 2017. 3.9 Membranes Contactors for Intensified Gas–Liquid
 Absorption Processes. Compr. Membr. Sci. Eng. https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-
- 1111 409547-2.12250-4
- 1112 Choi, Y.J., Kim, M., 2011. Preparation and characterization of polyvinylidene fluoride by
- irradiating electron beam. Appl. Chem. Eng. 22, 353–357.
- 1114 https://doi.org/10.1021/ie010553y
- 1115 Ciora, R.J., Liu, P.K.T., 2003. Ceramic membranes for environmental related applications.
- 1116 Fluid Part. Sep. J. 15, 51–60.
- 1117 Côté, P., Bersillon, J.-L., Huyard, A., 1989. Bubble-free aeration using membranes: mass
- 1118 transfer analysis. J. Memb. Sci. 47, 91–106.
- 1119 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(00)80862-5
- 1120 Cruz-Alcalde, A., Esplugas, S., Sans, C., 2019. Abatement of ozone-recalcitrant

- 1121 micropollutants during municipal wastewater ozonation: Kinetic modelling and
- surrogate-based control strategies. Chem. Eng. J. 360, 1092–1100.
- 1123 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.10.206
- 1124 Cussler, E.L., 2009. Diffusion : mass transfer in fluid systems. Cambridge University Press.
- deMontigny, D., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., Chakma, A., 2006. Using polypropylene and
- polytetrafluoroethylene membranes in a membrane contactor for CO2 absorption. J.
- 1127 Memb. Sci. 277, 99–107. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2005.10.024
- 1128 Dhingra, S.S., Marand, E., 1998. Mixed gas transport study through polymeric membranes. J.

1129 Memb. Sci. 141, 45–63. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(97)00285-8

- 1130 Dindore, V.Y., Brilman, D.W.F., Versteeg, G.F., 2005. Modelling of cross-flow membrane
- 1131 contactors: Physical mass transfer processes. J. Memb. Sci. 251, 209–222.
- 1132 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2004.11.017
- 1133 Drioli, E., Criscuoli, A., Curcio, E., 2006. Membrane contactors : fundamentals, applications
- and potentialities. Elsevier.
- 1135 Elovitz, M.S., Von Gunten, U., 1999. Hydroxyl Radical/Ozone Ratios During Ozonation
- 1136 Processes. I. The Rct Concept. Ozone Sci. Eng. J. Int. Ozone Assoc. 21, 239–260.
- 1137 https://doi.org/10.1080/01919519908547239
- 1138 Elovitz, M.S., Von Gunten, U., Kaiser, H.-P., 2000. Hydroxyl Radical/Ozone Ratios During
- 1139 Ozonation Processes. II. The Effect of Temperature, pH, Alkalinity, and DOM
- 1140 Properties. Ozone Sci. Eng. J. Int. Ozone Assoc. 22, 123–150.
- 1141 https://doi.org/10.1080/01919510008547216
- 1142 Faiz, R., Fallanza, M., Ortiz, I., Li, K., 2013. Separation of olefin/paraffin gas mixtures using

- 1143 ceramic hollow fiber membrane contactors. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 52, 7918–7929.
- 1144 https://doi.org/10.1021/ie400870n
- 1145 Fang, Y., Novak, P.J., Hozalski, R.M., Cussler, E.L., Semmens, M.J., 2004. Condensation
- studies in gas permeable membranes. J. Memb. Sci. 231, 47–55.
- 1147 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2003.10.039
- 1148 Gabelman, A., Hwang, S.T., 1999. Hollow fiber membrane contactors. J. Memb. Sci. 159,
- 1149 61–106. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0376-7388(99)00040-X
- 1150 Gao, Y., Ji, Y., Li, G., An, T., 2016. Theoretical investigation on the kinetics and mechanisms
- of hydroxyl radical-induced transformation of parabens and its consequences for
- toxicity: Influence of alkyl-chain length. Water Res. 91, 77–85.
- 1153 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2015.12.056
- 1154 Gogoi, A., Mazumder, P., Tyagi, V.K., Tushara Chaminda, G.G., An, A.K., Kumar, M., 2018.
- 1155 Occurrence and fate of emerging contaminants in water environment: A review.
- 1156 Groundw. Sustain. Dev. 6, 169–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gsd.2017.12.009
- 1157 Goh, P.S., Naim, R., Rahbari-Sisakht, M., Ismail, A.F., 2019. Modification of membrane
- 1158 hydrophobicity in membrane contactors for environmental remediation. Sep. Purif.
- 1159 Technol. 227, 115721. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2019.115721
- 1160 Gomes, J., Costa, R., Quinta-Ferreira, R.M., Martins, R.C., 2017. Application of ozonation
- 1161 for pharmaceuticals and personal care products removal from water. Sci. Total Environ.
- 1162 586, 265–283. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.01.216
- 1163 Gordon, G., 1995. The chemistry and reactions of ozone in our environment. Prog. Nucl.
- 1164 Energy 29, 89–96. https://doi.org/10.1016/0149-1970(95)00031-E

1165	Guerra, K., Pellegrino, J., 2013. Development of a Techno-Economic Model to Compare
1166	Ceramic and Polymeric Membranes. Sep. Sci. Technol. 48, 51–65.

1167 https://doi.org/10.1080/01496395.2012.690808

- 1168 Guo, Y., Wang, H., Wang, B., Deng, S., Huang, J., Yu, G., Wang, Y., 2018. Prediction of
- 1169 micropollutant abatement during homogeneous catalytic ozonation by a chemical kinetic

1170 model. Water Res. 142, 383–395. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2018.06.019

- 1171 Hansen, K.M.S., Spiliotopoulou, A., Chhetri, R.K., Escolà Casas, M., Bester, K., Andersen,
- 1172 H.R., 2016. Ozonation for source treatment of pharmaceuticals in hospital wastewater –
- 1173 Ozone lifetime and required ozone dose. Chem. Eng. J. 290, 507–514.
- 1174 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2016.01.027
- 1175 Heeb, M.B., Criquet, J., Zimmermann-Steffens, S.G., Von Gunten, U., 2014. Oxidative
- treatment of bromide-containing waters: Formation of bromine and its reactions with
- inorganic and organic compounds A critical review. Water Res. 48, 15–42.

1178 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2013.08.030

- 1179 Hollender, J., Zimmermann, S.G., Koepke, S., Krauss, M., McArdell, C.S., Ort, C., Singer,
- 1180 H., von Gunten, U., Siegrist, H., 2009. Elimination of organic micropollutants in a

1181 municipal wastewater treatment plant upgraded with a full-scale post-ozonation followed

- 1182 by sand filtration. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 7862–7869.
- 1183 https://doi.org/10.1021/es9014629
- 1184 Huber, M.M., Canonica, S., Park, G.-Y., von Gunten, U., 2003. Oxidation of Pharmaceuticals
- during Ozonation and Advanced Oxidation Processes. Environ. Sci. Technol. 37, 1016–
- 1186 1024. https://doi.org/10.1021/es025896h
- 1187 Janknecht, P., Wilderer, P.A., Picard, C., Larbot, A., 2001. Ozone–water contacting by

- 1188 ceramic membranes. Sep. Purif. Technol. 25, 341–346.
- 1189 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/S1383-5866(01)00061-2
- 1190 Jansen, R.H.S., de Rijk, J.W., Zwijnenburg, A., Mulder, M.H.V., Wessling, M., 2005. Hollow
- fiber membrane contactors—A means to study the reaction kinetics of humic substance
- 1192 ozonation. J. Memb. Sci. 257, 48–59. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2004.07.038
- 1193 Kanakaraju, D., Glass, B.D., Oelgemoller, M., 2018. Advanced oxidation process-mediated
- removal of pharmaceuticals from water: A review. J. Environ. Manage. 219, 189–207.
- 1195 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2018.04.103
- 1196 Khaisri, S., deMontigny, D., Tontiwachwuthikul, P., Jiraratananon, R., 2009. Comparing
- 1197 membrane resistance and absorption performance of three different membranes in a gas
- absorption membrane contactor. Sep. Purif. Technol. 65, 290–297.
- 1199 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2008.10.035
- 1200 Kim, J., Davies, S.H.R., Baumann, M.J., Tarabara, V. V., Masten, S.J., 2008. Effect of ozone
- 1201 dosage and hydrodynamic conditions on the permeate flux in a hybrid ozonation-ceramic
- 1202 ultrafiltration system treating natural waters. J. Memb. Sci. 311, 165–172.
- 1203 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2007.12.010
- 1204 Kukuzaki, M., Fujimoto, K., Kai, S., Ohe, K., Oshima, T., Baba, Y., 2010. Ozone mass
- transfer in an ozone-water contacting process with Shirasu porous glass (SPG)
- 1206 membranes-A comparative study of hydrophilic and hydrophobic membranes. Sep.
- 1207 Purif. Technol. 72, 347–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seppur.2010.03.004
- 1208 Kwon, Y.-N., Hong, S., Choi, H., Tak, T., 2012. Surface modification of a polyamide reverse
- 1209 osmosis membrane for chlorine resistance improvement. J. Memb. Sci. 415–416, 192–
- 1210 198. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2012.04.056

1211	Kwon, YN., Joksimovic, R., Kim, IC., Leckie, J.O., 2011. Effect of bromide on the
1212	chlorination of a polyamide membrane. Desalination 280, 80–86.

1213 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2011.06.046

- 1214 Laera, G., Cassano, D., Lopez, A., Pinto, A., Pollice, A., Ricco, G., Mascolo, G., 2012.
- 1215 Removal of organics and degradation products from industrial wastewater by a
- 1216 membrane bioreactor integrated with ozone or UV/H 2O 2 treatment. Environ. Sci.

1217 Technol. 46, 1010–1018. https://doi.org/10.1021/es202707w

- 1218 Lee, Y., Gerrity, D., Lee, M., Bogeat, A.E., Salhi, E., Gamage, S., Trenholm, R.A., Wert,
- 1219 E.C., Snyder, S.A., von Gunten, U., 2013. Prediction of micropollutant elimination
- during ozonation of municipal wastewater effluents: use of kinetic and water specific
- 1221 information. Environ. Sci. Technol. 47, 5872–5881. https://doi.org/10.1021/es400781r
- 1222 Lee, Y., Kovalova, L., McArdell, C.S., von Gunten, U., 2014. Prediction of micropollutant
- elimination during ozonation of a hospital wastewater effluent. Water Res. 64, 134–148.

1224 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2014.06.027

- 1225 Lee, Y., von Gunten, U., 2016. Advances in predicting organic contaminant abatement during
- 1226 ozonation of municipal wastewater effluent: reaction kinetics, transformation products,

and changes of biological effects. Environ. Sci. Water Res. Technol. 2, 421–442.

- 1228 https://doi.org/10.1039/C6EW00025H
- 1229 Leiknes, T., Phattaranawik, J., Boller, M., Von Gunten, U., Pronk, W., 2005. Ozone transfer
- and design concepts for NOM decolourization in tubular membrane contactor. Chem.
- 1231 Eng. J. 111, 53–61. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2005.05.007
- Li, J., Zhu, L.-P., Xu, Y.-Y., Zhu, B.-K., 2010. Oxygen transfer characteristics of hydrophilic
 treated polypropylene hollow fiber membranes for bubbleless aeration. J. Memb. Sci.

1234 362, 47–57. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2010.06.013

- 1235 Lishman, L., Smyth, S.A., Sarafin, K., Kleywegt, S., Toito, J., Peart, T., Lee, B., Servos, M.,
- 1236 Beland, M., Seto, P., 2006. Occurrence and reductions of pharmaceuticals and personal
- 1237 care products and estrogens by municipal wastewater treatment plants in Ontario,
- 1238 Canada. Sci. Total Environ. 367, 544–558.
- 1239 Luo, Y., Guo, W., Ngo, H.H., Nghiem, L.D., Hai, F.I., Zhang, J., Liang, S., Wang, X.C.,
- 1240 2014. A review on the occurrence of micropollutants in the aquatic environment and
- their fate and removal during wastewater treatment. Sci. Total Environ. 473–474, 619–
- 1242 641. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.12.065
- 1243 Margot, J., Kienle, C., Magnet, A., Weil, M., Rossi, L., de Alencastro, L.F., Abegglen, C.,
- 1244 Thonney, D., Chèvre, N., Schärer, M., Barry, D.A., 2013. Treatment of micropollutants
- in municipal wastewater: Ozone or powdered activated carbon? Sci. Total Environ. 461–

1246 462, 480–498. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2013.05.034

- 1247 Mark, J.E., 1999. Polymer Data Handbook. OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS.
- 1248 Mavroudi, M., Kaldis, S.P., Sakellaropoulos, G.P., 2006. A study of mass transfer resistance
- in membrane gas–liquid contacting processes. J. Memb. Sci. 272, 103–115.
- 1250 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2005.07.025
- 1251 Mecha, A.C., Onyango, M.S., Ochieng, A., Momba, M.N.B., 2016. Impact of ozonation in
- removing organic micro-pollutants in primary and secondary municipal wastewater:
- 1253 Effect of process parameters. Water Sci. Technol. 74, 756–765.
- 1254 https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2016.276
- 1255 Merle, T., Pronk, W., Von Gunten, U., Eawag, †, 2017. MEMBRO 3 X, a Novel Combination
- 1256 of a Membrane Contactor with Advanced Oxidation (O 3 /H 2 O 2) for Simultaneous

- Micropollutant Abatement and Bromate Minimization. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett 4, 13.
 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.7b00061
- 1259 Mori, Y., Oota, T., Hashino, M., Takamura, M., Fujii, Y., 1998. Ozone-microfiltration
- 1260 system. Desalination 117, 211–218. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0011-9164(98)00098-8
- Mulder, M., 1996. Basic principles of membrane technology, 2nd ed. ed. Kluwer Academic,
 Dordrecht ; Boston.
- 1263 Nakada, N., Shinohara, H., Murata, A., Kiri, K., Managaki, S., Sato, N., Takada, H., 2007.
- 1264 Removal of selected pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) and endocrine-
- disrupting chemicals (EDCs) during sand filtration and ozonation at a municipal sewage
- 1266 treatment plant. Water Res. 41, 4373–4382.
- 1267 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2007.06.038
- 1268 Nawrocki, J., Kasprzyk-Hordern, B., 2010. The efficiency and mechanisms of catalytic
- 1269 ozonation. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 99, 27–42.
- 1270 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apcatb.2010.06.033
- 1271 Nguyen, P.T., 2018. Contacteurs à membranes denses pour les procédés d'absorption gaz-
- 1272 liquide intensifiés : application à la capture du CO 2 en post combustion Phuc Tien
- 1273 Nguyen To cite this version : HAL Id : tel-01748894 soutenance et mis à disposition de l
- 1274 ' ensemble de .
- 1275 Nguyen, P.T., Lasseuguette, E., Medina-Gonzalez, Y., Remigy, J.C., Roizard, D., Favre, E.,
- 1276 2011. A dense membrane contactor for intensified CO2 gas/liquid absorption in post-
- 1277 combustion capture. J. Memb. Sci. 377, 261–272.
- 1278 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MEMSCI.2011.05.003
- 1279 Nobukawa, T., Sanukida, S., 2000. The genotoxity of by-products by chlorination and

- 1280 ozonation of the river water in the presence of bromide ions. Water Sci. Technol. 42,
- 1281 259–264. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2000.0389
- Office fédéral de l'environnement, D.E., 2014. Rapport explicatif concernant la modification
 de l'ordonnance sur la protection des eaux.
- 1284 Ozkan, F., Ozturk, M., Baylar, A., 2006. Experimental investigations of air and liquid
- injection by venturi tubes. Water Environ. J. 20, 114–122.
- 1286 https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1747-6593.2005.00003.x
- 1287 Pabby, A.K., Sastre, A.M., 2013. State-of-the-art review on hollow fibre contactor technology
- and membrane-based extraction processes. J. Memb. Sci. 430, 263–303.
- 1289 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2012.11.060
- 1290 Paxéus, N., 2004. Removal of selected non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs),
- 1291 gemfibrozil, carbamazepine, b-blockers, trimethoprim and triclosan in conventional
- 1292 wastewater treatment plants in five EU countries and their discharge to the aquatic
- 1293 environment. Water Sci. Technol. 50, 253–260. https://doi.org/10.2166/wst.2004.0335
- 1294 Petala, M., Samaras, P., Zouboulis, A., Kungolos, A., Sakellaropoulos, G.P.P., 2008.
- 1295 Influence of ozonation on the in vitro mutagenic and toxic potential of secondary
- 1296 effluents. Water Res. 42, 4929–4940. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2008.09.018
- 1297 Petala, M., Tsiridis, V., Samaras, P., Zouboulis, A., Sakellaropoulos, G.P.P., 2006.
- 1298 Wastewater reclamation by advanced treatment of secondary effluents. Desalination 195,
- 1299 109–118. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.DESAL.2005.10.037
- 1300 Phattaranawik, J., Leiknes, T., Pronk, W., 2005. Mass transfer studies in flat-sheet membrane
- 1301 contactor with ozonation. J. Memb. Sci. 247, 153–167.
- 1302 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2004.08.020

- 1303 Picard, C., Larbot, A., Sarrazin, J., Janknecht, P., Wilderer, P., 2001. Ceramic membranes for
- 1304 ozonation in wastewater treatment. Ann. Chim. Sci. des Matériaux 26, 13–22.
- 1305 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0151-9107(01)80042-8
- 1306 Pines, D., Min, K.-N., J. Ergas, S., Reckhow, D., 2005. Investigation of an Ozone Membrane
- 1307 Contactor System. https://doi.org/10.1080/01919510590945750
- 1308 Prieto-Rodríguez, L., Oller, I., Klamerth, N., Agüera, A., Rodríguez, E.M., Malato, S., 2013.
- 1309 Application of solar AOPs and ozonation for elimination of micropollutants in municipal
- 1310 wastewater treatment plant effluents. Water Res. 47, 1521–1528.
- 1311 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2012.11.002
- 1312 Reed, B.W., Semmens, M.J., Cussler, E.L., 1995. Chapter 10 Membrane contactors. Membr.
- 1313 Sci. Technol. 2, 467–498. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-5193(06)80012-4
- 1314 Reungoat, J., Escher, B.I.I., Macova, M., Argaud, F.X.X., Gernjak, W., Keller, J., 2012.
- 1315 Ozonation and biological activated carbon filtration of wastewater treatment plant
- 1316 effluents. Water Res. 46, 863–872. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2011.11.064
- 1317 Reungoat, J., Macova, M., Escher, B.I.I., Carswell, S., Mueller, J.F.F., Keller, J., 2010.
- 1318 Removal of micropollutants and reduction of biological activity in a full scale
- reclamation plant using ozonation and activated carbon filtration. Water Res. 44, 625–
- 1320 637. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2009.09.048
- 1321 Richardson, S.D., 2003. Disinfection by-products and other emerging contaminants in
- drinking water. TrAC Trends Anal. Chem. 22, 666–684. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-
- 1323 9936(03)01003-3
- 1324 Rosal, R., Rodríguez, A., Perdigón-Melón, J.A., Petre, A., García-Calvo, E., Gómez, M.J.,
- 1325 Agüera, A., Fernández-Alba, A.R., 2010. Occurrence of emerging pollutants in urban

- 1326 wastewater and their removal through biological treatment followed by ozonation. Water
- 1327 Res. 44, 578–588. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2009.07.004
- Roustan, M., 2003. Transferts gaz-liquide dans les procédés de traitement des eaux et des
 effluents gazeux. Éd. Tec & doc, Paris; Londres; New York.
- 1330 Roustan, M., Debellefontaine, H., Do-Quang, Z., Duguet, J.-P., 1998. Development of a
- 1331 Method for the Determination of Ozone Demand of a Water. Ozone Sci. Eng. 20, 513–
- 1332 520. https://doi.org/10.1080/01919519809480338
- 1333 Samadi, M.T., Azarian, G., Seifipour, F., Huang, C.P., Yang, X., Poormohammadi, A., 2015.
- 1334 The formation of aldehydes and ketones ozonation by-products and their variation
- through general water treatment plant in hamadan, Iran. Glob. Nest J. 17, 682–691.
- 1336 Santos, J.L., Aparicio, I., Alonso, E., 2007. Occurrence and risk assessment of
- 1337 pharmaceutically active compounds in wastewater treatment plants. A case study: Seville
- 1338 city (Spain). Environ. Int. 33, 596–601.
- 1339 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envint.2006.09.014
- 1340 Schlüter-Vorberg, L., Prasse, C., Ternes, T.A., Mückter, H., Coors, A., 2015. Toxification by
- transformation in conventional and advanced wastewater treatment: the antiviral drug
- acyclovir. Environ. Sci. Technol. Lett. 2, 342–346.
- 1343 https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.estlett.5b00291
- 1344 Schwarzenbach, R.P., Escher, B.I., Fenner, K., Hofstetter, T.B., Johnson, C.A., von Gunten,
- 1345 U., Wehrli, B., 2006. The Challenge of Micropollutants in Aquatic Systems. Science (80-
- 1346 .). 313, 1072–1077. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127291
- 1347 Shanbhag, P.V., Guha, A.K., Sirkar, K.K., 1995. Single-phase membrane ozonation of
- hazardous organic compounds in aqueous streams. J. Hazard. Mater. 41, 95–104.
1349

https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3894(94)00097-Z

- 1350 Shanbhag, P. V, Guha, A.K., Sirkar, K.K., 1998. Membrane-Based Ozonation of Organic
- 1351 Compounds. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 37, 4388–4398. https://doi.org/10.1021/ie980182u
- 1352 Shen, Z., Semmens, M.J., Collins, A.G., 1990. A novel approach to ozone water mass
- transfer using hollow fiber reactors. Environ. Technol. 11, 597–608.
- 1354 https://doi.org/10.1080/09593339009384902
- 1355 Smolders, K., Franken, A.C.M.C.M., 1989. Terminology for Membrane Distillation.

1356 Desalination 72, 249–262. https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-9164(89)80010-4

- 1357 Snyder, S.A., Wert, E.C., Rexing, D.J., Zegers, R.E., Drury, D.D., 2006. Ozone oxidation of
- endocrine disruptors and pharmaceuticals in surface water and wastewater. Ozone Sci.

1359 Eng. 28, 445–460. https://doi.org/10.1080/01919510601039726

- 1360 Sotelo, J.L., Beltrán, F.J., Benitez, F.J., Beltrán-Heredia, J., 1989. Henry's law constant for
- the ozone-water system. Water Res. 23, 1239–1246.
- 1362 https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(89)90186-3
- 1363 Stalter, D., Magdeburg, A., Oehlmann, J., 2010a. Comparative toxicity assessment of ozone
- and activated carbon treated sewage effluents using an in vivo test battery. Water Res.
- 1365 44, 2610–2620. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2010.01.023
- 1366 Stalter, D., Magdeburg, A., Weil, M., Knacker, T., Oehlmann, J., 2010b. Toxication or
- 1367 detoxication? In vivo toxicity assessment of ozonation as advanced wastewater treatment
- 1368 with the rainbow trout. Water Res. 44, 439–448.
- 1369 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2009.07.025
- 1370 Stylianou, S.K., Katsoyiannis, I.A., Mitrakas, M., Zouboulis, A.I., 2018. Application of a

- 1371 ceramic membrane contacting process for ozone and peroxone treatment of
- 1372 micropollutant contaminated surface water. J. Hazard. Mater. 358, 129–135.
- 1373 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2018.06.060
- 1374 Stylianou, S.K., Kostoglou, M., Zouboulis, A.I., 2016. Ozone Mass Transfer Studies in a
- 1375 Hydrophobized Ceramic Membrane Contactor: Experiments and Analysis. Ind. Eng.
- 1376 Chem. Res. 55, 7587–7597. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.iecr.6b01446
- 1377 Suez, 2007. SUEZ degremont® water handbook Selecting ozonation reactors [WWW
- 1378 Document]. URL https://www.suezwaterhandbook.com/processes-and-
- 1379 technologies/oxidation-disinfection/oxidation-and-disinfection-using-ozone/selecting-
- 1380 ozonation-reactors (accessed 3.16.20).
- Tootchi, L., Seth, R., Tabe, S., Yang, P., 2013. Transformation products of pharmaceutically
 active compounds during drinking water ozonation. Water Sci. Technol. Water Supply
 13, 1576–1582. https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2013.172
- 1384Tu, J., Yeoh, G.H., Liu, C., 2018. Computational fluid dynamics: A practical approach,
- 1385Computational Fluid Dynamics: A Practical Approach.
- 1386 Van Geluwe, S., Braeken, L., Van der Bruggen, B., 2011. Ozone oxidation for the alleviation
- 1387 of membrane fouling by natural organic matter: A review. Water Res. 45, 3551–3570.
- 1388 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2011.04.016
- 1389 von Gunten, U., 2003a. Ozonation of drinking water: Part I. Oxidation kinetics and product
- 1390 formation. Water Res. 37, 1443–1467. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0043-1354(02)00457-8
- 1391 von Gunten, U., 2003b. Ozonation of drinking water: Part II. Disinfection and by-product
- formation in presence of bromide, iodide or chlorine. Water Res. 37, 1469–1487.
- 1393 https://doi.org/10.1016/S0043-1354(02)00458-X

1394	Von Gunten, U., 2018. Oxidation Processes in Water Treatment: Are We on Track? Environ.
1395	Sci. Technol. 52, 5062–5075. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.est.8b00586

- 1396 Wang, H., Zhan, J., Yao, W., Wang, B., Deng, S., Huang, J., Yu, G., Wang, Y., 2018.
- 1397 Comparison of pharmaceutical abatement in various water matrices by conventional
- 1398 ozonation, peroxone (O3/H2O2), and an electro-peroxone process. Water Res. 130, 127–
- 1399 138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2017.11.054
- 1400 Wenten, I.G., Julian, H., Panjaitan, N.T., 2012. Ozonation through ceramic membrane
- 1401 contactor for iodide oxidation during iodine recovery from brine water. Desalination 306,
- 1402 29–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.desal.2012.08.032
- 1403 Wert, E.C., Rosario-Ortiz, F.L., Drury, D.D., Snyder, S.A., 2007. Formation of oxidation
- byproducts from ozonation of wastewater. Water Res. 41, 1481–1490.
- 1405 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2007.01.020
- 1406 Westerhoff, P., Song, R., Amy, G., Minear, R., 1997. Applications of ozone decomposition
- 1407 models. Ozone Sci. Eng. 19, 55–73. https://doi.org/10.1080/01919519708547318
- 1408 Xu, Y., Goh, K., Wang, R., Bae, T.-H., 2019. A review on polymer-based membranes for gas-
- 1409 liquid membrane contacting processes: Current challenges and future direction. Sep.
- 1410 Purif. Technol. 229, 115791. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.SEPPUR.2019.115791
- 1411 Yao, W., Rehman, S.W.U., Wang, H., Yang, H., Yu, G., Wang, Y., 2018. Pilot-scale
- evaluation of micropollutant abatements by conventional ozonation, UV/O3, and an
- 1413 electro-peroxone process. Water Res. 138, 106–117.
- 1414 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.WATRES.2018.03.044
- 1415 Yu, X., An, L., Yang, J., Tu, S.T., Yan, J., 2015. CO2 capture using a superhydrophobic
- 1416 ceramic membrane contactor. J. Memb. Sci. 496, 1–12.

1417 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.memsci.2015.08.062

1418 Yue, C., Seth, R., Tabe, S., Zhao, X., Hao, C., Yang, P., Schweitzer, L., Jamal, T., 2009.

- 1419 Evaluation of pilot-scale oxidation of several PPCPs/EDCs (pharmaceuticals and
- 1420 personal care products/endocrine disrupting compounds) during drinking water
- 1421 ozonation treatment. Water Sci. Technol. Water Supply 9, 577–582.
- 1422 https://doi.org/10.2166/ws.2009.573
- 1423 Zhang, W., Wahlgren, M., Sivik, B., 1989. Membrane Characterization by the Contact Angle
- 1424 Technique II. Characterization of UF-Membranes and Comparison between the Captive
- 1425 Bubble and Sessile Drop as Methods to obtain Water Contact Angles. Desalination 72,
- 1426 263–273. https://doi.org/10.1016/0011-9164(89)80011-6
- 1427 Zhang, Z.E., Yan, Y.F., Zhang, L., Ju, S.X., 2014. Hollow fiber membrane contactor
 1428 absorption of CO2 from the flue gas: review and perspective. Glob. Nest J. 16, 354–373.
 1429 https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2009.259
- 1430 Zimmermann, S.G., Wittenwiler, M., Hollender, J., Krauss, M., Ort, C., Siegrist, H., von
- 1431 Gunten, U., 2011. Kinetic assessment and modeling of an ozonation step for full-scale
- 1432 municipal wastewater treatment: Micropollutant oxidation, by-product formation and
- 1433 disinfection. Water Res. 45, 605–617. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2010.07.080
- 1434 Zoumpouli, G., Baker, R., Taylor, C., Chippendale, M., Smithers, C., Xian, S., Mattia, D.,
- 1435 Chew, J., Wenk, J., 2018. A Single Tube Contactor for Testing Membrane Ozonation.
- 1436 https://doi.org/10.3390/w10101416

1437