



**HAL**  
open science

## Pathways to persistence: plant root traits alter carbon accumulation in different soil carbon pools

Lorenzo Matteo Walter Rossi, Zhun Mao, Luis Merino-Martin, Catherine Roumet, Florian Fort, Olivier Taugourdeau, Hassan Boukcim, Stéphane Fourtier, Maria del Rey Granado, Tiphaine Chevallier, et al.

### ► To cite this version:

Lorenzo Matteo Walter Rossi, Zhun Mao, Luis Merino-Martin, Catherine Roumet, Florian Fort, et al.. Pathways to persistence: plant root traits alter carbon accumulation in different soil carbon pools. *Plant and Soil*, 2020, 452, pp.457-478. 10.1007/s11104-020-04469-5 . hal-02879796

**HAL Id: hal-02879796**

**<https://hal.inrae.fr/hal-02879796v1>**

Submitted on 27 Nov 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 **Supplementary Materials**

2 **Article: Pathways to persistence: plant root traits alter carbon accumulation in different soil carbon**  
3 **pools**

4 Lorenzo M.W. Rossi<sup>a,b</sup>, Zhun Mao<sup>a</sup>, Luis Merino-Martín<sup>a,c</sup>, Catherine Roumet<sup>c</sup>, Florian Fort<sup>d</sup>, Olivier  
5 Taugourdeau<sup>e</sup>, Hassan Boukcim<sup>e</sup>, Stéphane Fourtier<sup>a</sup>, Maria Del Rey-Granado<sup>c</sup>, Tiphaine Chevallier<sup>f</sup>,  
6 Rémi Cardinael<sup>g,h,i</sup>, Nathalie Fromin<sup>c</sup>, Alexia Stokes<sup>a</sup>

7

8 Contact author: Lorenzo MW Rossi

9 [Email: lmw.rossi@gmail.com](mailto:lmw.rossi@gmail.com)

10 Address: AMAP, INRAE Montpellier, PS2 TA/A51, 34 398 Montpellier cedex 5, France

11

12 a) University of Montpellier, AMAP, INRAE, CIRAD, CNRS, IRD, PS2 TA/A51, 34 398 Montpellier cedex  
13 5, France

14 b) University of Cassino, Via Di Biasio 43, 03043 Cassino (Fr), Italy.

15 c) CEFE, Univ Montpellier, CNRS, EPHE, IRD, Univ Paul Valéry, Montpellier, France

16 d) CEFE, CNRS, Univ Montpellier, Univ Paul Valéry Montpellier 3, EPHE, IRD, Montpellier, France

17 e) Valorhiz, 1900, Boulevard de la Lironde PSIII, Parc Scientifique Agropolis F-34980 Montferrier sur  
18 Lez, France.

19 f) Eco&Sols, IRD, CIRAD, INRA, University of Montpellier, Montpellier SupAgro, Montpellier, France

20 g) CIRAD, UPR AIDA, Harare, Zimbabwe.

21 h) AIDA, University of Montpellier, CIRAD, Montpellier, France

22 i) Crop Science Department, University of Zimbabwe, Box MP167, Mt. Pleasant, Harare, Zimbabwe

23 **Table S1:** Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) showing relationships between mean root elongation rate and climatic variables.

24 Variables tested include: mean daily soil temperature, mean daily air temperature, minimum daily air temperature, maximum daily air temperature, mean  
 25 daily solar radiation and a) RER<sub>TOT</sub>: mean root elongation rate of a single root, b) RER<sub>OLD</sub>: mean root elongation rate of a single root that was already present  
 26 at the previous sampling date (i.e. that were older than 14 days), c) RER<sub>NEW</sub>: mean root elongation rate of a single newly initiated root (i.e. that were aged 1  
 27 to 14 days). The correlations were performed for data from each RER sampling date, i.e. every 2 weeks for each species over the 10 month period.

a - Correlation between climate factors and RER <sub>TOT</sub> of the <b>total root system</b>							b - Correlation between climate factors and RER <sub>OLD</sub> of the <b>old roots</b>						
		Soil temperature	Mean air temperature	Minimum air temperature	Maximum air temperature	Mean solar radiation	Family	Species	Soil temperature	Mean air temperature	Minimum air temperature	Maximum air temperature	Mean solar radiation
Poaceae	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	-0.23	-0.21	-0.1	-0.18	-0.1	Poaceae	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	-0.27	-0.26	-0.17	-0.22	-0.12
	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	0.01	-0.04	-0.03	0.09	0.38		<i>Lolium perenne</i>	0.14	0.13	0.31	0.09	0.21
	<i>Festuca rubra</i>	-0.21	-0.27	-0.34	-0.2	0.15		<i>Festuca rubra</i>	-0.25	-0.3	-0.41	-0.25	0.05
	<i>Bromus erectus</i>	-0.02	-0.05	-0.01	0.02	0.29		<i>Bromus erectus</i>	0.17	0.28	-0.07	0.2	0.29
	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	0.1	0.08	0.34	0.1	0.43		<i>Poa pratensis</i>	-0.03	0	0.4	0.04	0.21
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	<b>0.76***</b>	<b>0.81***</b>	0.45	<b>0.68**</b>	0.33	Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	0.28	0.28	0.15	0.4	0.45
	<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	0.02	-0.02	-0.02	0.09	0.4		<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	0.18	0.25	-0.07	0.24	0.38
	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<b>0.70**</b>	<b>0.70**</b>	0.44	<b>0.68**</b>	<b>0.72**</b>		<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<b>0.73**</b>	<b>0.71**</b>	0.51	<b>0.74**</b>	<b>0.89***</b>
	<i>Onobrychis viciifolia</i>	0.13	0.09	0.3	0.17	<b>0.54*</b>		<i>Onobrychis viciifolia</i>	0.04	0.02	0.26	0.07	0.36
	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	0.01	0.01	-0.05	0.02	0.22		<i>Medicago sativa</i>	0.03	0.01	-0.05	0.03	0.23
Rosaceae	<i>Sanguisorba minor</i>	-0.06	-0.06	-0.06	-0.04	0.16	Rosaceae	<i>Sanguisorba minor</i>	0.13	0.2	-0.08	0.1	-0.33
Plantaginaceae	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	0	-0.04	0	0.04	0.3	Plantaginaceae	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	-0.05	-0.07	-0.05	-0.03	0.21

c - Correlation between climate factors and RER <sub>NEW</sub> of <b>new roots</b>						
		Soil temperature	Mean air temperature	Minimum air temperature	Maximum air temperature	Mean solar radiation
Poaceae	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	<b>-0.55*</b>	<b>-0.54*</b>	-0.47	<b>-0.60*</b>	<b>-0.66**</b>
	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	0.03	0	-0.05	0.1	0.29
	<i>Festuca rubra</i>	-0.11	-0.16	-0.04	-0.15	0.26
	<i>Bromus erectus</i>	-0.06	-0.07	-0.08	-0.05	0.17
	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	0.09	0.08	0.36	0.06	0.38
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	<b>0.59*</b>	<b>0.67**</b>	0.17	<b>0.57*</b>	0.17
	<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	0.11	0.1	0.37	0.08	0.41
	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<b>0.76***</b>	<b>0.75**</b>	0.48	<b>0.76***</b>	<b>0.76**</b>
	<i>Onobrychis viciifolia</i>	0.13	0.1	0.3	0.15	0.51
	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	0.04	0.06	-0.35	0.04	0.21
Rosaceae	<i>Sanguisorba minor</i>	0.09	0.16	0.04	0.06	-0.05
Plantaginaceae	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	-0.05	-0.06	-0.06	-0.03	0.19

28

29

30

31

32 **Table S2:** Pearson's correlation coefficients (r) showing relationships between cumulative root length production and climatic variables.

33 Variables tested include: mean daily soil temperature, mean daily air temperature, minimum daily air temperature, maximum daily air temperature, mean  
 34 daily solar radiation and a) RLP<sub>TOT</sub>: cumulative root length production of the 30 roots that were analysed, b) RLP<sub>OLD</sub>: cumulative root length production of roots  
 35 that were already present at the previous sampling date (i.e. that were older than 14 days), c) RLP<sub>NEW</sub>: cumulative root length production of newly initiated  
 36 roots (i.e. that were aged 1 to 14 days). The correlations were performed for data from each RLP sampling date, i.e. every 2 weeks for each species over the  
 37 10 month period.

a - Correlation between climate factors and RLP <sub>TOT</sub> of the <b>total root system</b>						
		Soil temperature	Mean air temperature	Minimum air temperature	Maximum air temperature	Average solar radiation
Poaceae	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	-0.4	-0.41	-0.46	-0.45	-0.38
	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	-0.02	-0.05	-0.08	0.04	0.29
	<i>Festuca rubra</i>	-0.19	-0.25	-0.32	-0.18	0.18
	<i>Bromus erectus</i>	-0.01	-0.05	0.01	0.03	0.31
	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	0.12	0.09	0.35	0.12	0.46
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	0.36	0.37	-0.01	0.33	0.38
	<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	0.03	0	0.03	0.07	0.34
	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<b>0.72**</b>	<b>0.72**</b>	0.46	<b>0.70**</b>	<b>0.74**</b>
	<i>Onobrychis viciifolia</i>	0.13	0.09	0.3	0.17	<b>0.54*</b>
	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	0.01	-0.01	-0.04	0.02	0.24
Rosaceae	<i>Sanguisorba minor</i>	-0.06	-0.07	-0.05	-0.04	0.18
Plantaginaceae	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	0	-0.04	0	0.04	0.31

b - Correlation between climate factors and RLP <sub>OLD</sub> of the <b>old roots</b>						
		Soil temperature	Mean air temperature	Minimum air temperature	Maximum air temperature	Mean solar radiation
Poaceae	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	-0.29	-0.34	-0.38	-0.28	0
	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	0	-0.07	-0.02	-0.08	0.24
	<i>Festuca rubra</i>	-0.16	-0.22	-0.31	-0.15	0.19
	<i>Bromus erectus</i>	0.18	0.25	0	0.23	0.38
	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	0.02	0.03	0.4	0.1	0.31
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	0.28	0.26	0.2	0.41	<b>0.51*</b>
	<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	0.22	0.26	0.02	0.29	0.47
	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<b>0.72**</b>	<b>0.69**</b>	0.52	<b>0.75****</b>	<b>0.90****</b>
	<i>Onobrychis viciifolia</i>	0.06	0.03	0.28	0.1	0.42
	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	0.04	0	0.02	0.08	0.33
Rosaceae	<i>Sanguisorba minor</i>	-0.04	-0.05	-0.06	-0.02	0.18
Plantaginaceae	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	0	-0.04	0.02	0.05	0.33

c - Correlation between climate factors and RLP <sub>NEW</sub> of <b>new roots</b>						
		Soil temperature	Mean air temperature	Minimum air temperature	Maximum air temperature	Mean solar radiation
Poaceae	<i>Dactylis glomerata</i>	<b>-0.52*</b>	<b>-0.51*</b>	-0.51	<b>-0.54*</b>	-0.48
	<i>Lolium perenne</i>	-0.25	-0.3	-0.43	-0.27	0.04
	<i>Festuca rubra</i>	-0.08	-0.14	-0.03	-0.1	0.33
	<i>Bromus erectus</i>	0.01	-0.03	0.01	0.05	0.33
	<i>Poa pratensis</i>	0.13	0.1	0.34	0.12	0.46
Fabaceae	<i>Trifolium repens</i>	<b>0.51*</b>	<b>0.57*</b>	0.11	0.49	0.26
	<i>Trifolium pratense</i>	0.17	0.14	0.36	0.17	<b>0.51*</b>
	<i>Lotus corniculatus</i>	<b>0.69**</b>	<b>0.69**</b>	0.41	<b>0.70**</b>	<b>0.77****</b>
	<i>Onobrychis viciifolia</i>	0.06	0.01	0.02	0.13	0.43
	<i>Medicago sativa</i>	0.03	0.02	-0.03	0.05	0.27
Rosaceae	<i>Sanguisorba minor</i>	0.17	0.14	0.19	0.22	0.46
Plantaginaceae	<i>Plantago lanceolata</i>	0.01	-0.03	0.01	0.05	0.32

38

39 **Table S3:** Pearson's correlation coefficients (*r*) showing relationships between root variables and soil variables. Table S3a) shows the significant correlations  
 40 obtained with the means of the three replicates for every soil and root characteristic for a total of n=12. Table S3b) shows the significant Pearson's correlations  
 41 obtained using all data where n = 34. Abbreviations: RER<sub>TOT</sub> – root elongation rate of the entire root system; RER<sub>OLD</sub> – of roots older than 14 days; RER<sub>NEW</sub> – of  
 42 new roots aged 1 – 14 days; RLP<sub>TOT</sub> – root length production of the entire root system; RLP<sub>OLD</sub> – of old roots; RLP<sub>NEW</sub> – of new roots; Root biomass – total root  
 43 biomass of a core sampled at 37 weeks; absorptive root diameter– mean diameter of absorptive roots at 37 weeks; hemicellulose + water soluble compounds  
 44 – concentration of hemicellulose and water soluble compounds in absorptive roots; cellulose, lignin – concentrations of cellulose and lignin in absorptive  
 45 roots; C:N – ratio of carbon to nitrogen in absorptive roots; SIR – microbial substrate induced respiration.


a) Pearson's correlations at species level (n = 12, mean of three replicates)								b) Pearson's correlations at individual level (n = 34)					
		$\Delta C_{SUM}$	$\Delta C_{POM}$	$\Delta C_{finePOM}$	$\Delta C_{silt}$	$\Delta C_{silt+clay}$	SIR	$\Delta C_{SUM}$	$\Delta C_{POM}$	$\Delta C_{finePOM}$	$\Delta C_{silt}$	$\Delta C_{silt+clay}$	SIR
Root growth dynamics	RER <sub>TOT</sub>	-0.32	-0.2	-0.06	-0.1	-0.17	0.45	-0.32	-0.2	-0.06	-0.1	-0.17	0.45
	RER <sub>OLD</sub>	-0.17	-0.25	-0.04	<b>0.72**</b>	0.37	<b>0.74**</b>	-0.17	-0.25	-0.04	<b>0.72**</b>	0.37	<b>0.74**</b>
	RER <sub>NEW</sub>	-0.24	-0.13	-0.2	-0.12	-0.55	0.18	-0.24	-0.13	-0.2	-0.12	-0.55	0.18
	RLP <sub>TOT</sub>	-0.34	-0.33	-0.18	0.37	0.34	0.51	-0.34	-0.33	-0.18	0.37	0.34	0.51
	RLP <sub>NEW</sub>	-0.3	-0.3	-0.15	0.33	0.17	0.43	-0.3	-0.3	-0.15	0.33	0.17	0.43
	RLP <sub>OLD</sub>	-0.32	-0.25	-0.22	<b>0.66*</b>	0.34	<b>0.70*</b>	-0.32	-0.25	-0.22	<b>0.66*</b>	0.34	<b>0.70*</b>
Root morphological traits	Root biomass	-0.2	-0.46	-0.37	<b>0.78**</b>	0.3	<b>0.80**</b>	0.18	-0.08	0.02	0.3	-0.07	<b>0.69***</b>
	Absorptive roots diameter	-0.2	<b>-0.72**</b>	-0.17	<b>0.79**</b>	0.14	0.56	0.08	<b>-0.44*</b>	0.21	0.14	0.36	<b>0.50**</b>
Root chemical traits	Hemicelluloses +H <sub>2</sub> O soluble compounds	-0.06	<b>-0.61*</b>	-0.13	<b>0.82**</b>	-0.3	<b>0.68*</b>	0.26	-0.3	0.25	0.22	0.23	<b>0.60***</b>
	Cellulose	-0.18	0.47	-0.14	-0.22	0.19	-0.53	-0.24	0.13	-0.16	-0.03	0.18	-0.31
	Lignin	0.15	0.56	0.26	<b>-0.84***</b>	0.49	<b>-0.60*</b>	-0.19	0.18	-0.17	-0.21	-0.26	<b>-0.46**</b>
	Root C:N ratio	0.26	0.37	0.09	<b>-0.68*</b>	0.29	<b>-0.86***</b>	-0.06	0.28	-0.07	-0.3	-0.17	<b>-0.79***</b>
	SIR	-0.16	-0.19	-0.11	<b>0.65*</b>	-0.57		0.27	-0.15	0.18	<b>0.48**</b>	0.12	

46

47 In bold, significant *r* values: \* *p* < 0.05, \*\* *p* < 0.01, \*\*\* *p* < 0.001

48 **Fig. S1:** Spatial disposition of growth boxes.

49 In left panel, replicates are depicted in different colours according to their family (green: N<sub>2</sub>-fixing  
 50 Fabaceae, brown: non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing Poaceae, yellow: non N<sub>2</sub> fixing *P. lanceolata*, blue: non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing *S.*  
 51 *minor*). The different shades of colour representing different species are shown in the legend. The blue  
 52 dot on the upper right-hand corner of the different colored squares shows the boxes that were  
 53 equipped with air/soil temperature and soil moisture sensors. Soil cores were removed from each row  
 54 of 'soil core replicates' growth boxes. Half the boxes were fitted with rhizotrons ('rhizotron replicates').  
 55 Photographs of each species can be seen in the bottom right panel of the figure.



56

57

58 **Fig. S2:** Growth boxes used in the experiment.

59 Above a gravel layer, soil was homogeneously compacted into growth boxes. Seeds were sown at a  
60 density of 155 plants m<sup>-2</sup>. Panes of plexiglass on the front of the box allowed root elongation to be  
61 observed over the 37 week long experiment.

62




63

64

65 **Fig. S3:** Climatic conditions over the 37 weeks of experimentation.

66 a) Mean daily air temperature (solid black line), minimum air temperature (segmented blue line), maximum air temperature (segmented red line), mean daily  
67 soil temperature (dotted black line) and mean daily air humidity (segmented black, dotted line). In b), mean daily solar irradiation (solid black line) and  
68 evapotranspiration (segmented red line).




69



70 **Fig. S4:** Example of an image analyzed using SmartRoot software.


71 a) primary roots (in orange) from which lateral roots are initiated (in green). Each orange circle along  
72 the root axis represents a single 'mouse click' for root selection. b) A bundle of roots growing close  
73 together, where the number and diameter of roots cannot be recognized by the SmartRoot software.




74  
75

76 **Fig.S5:** a) Example of two subsequent images of roots of *Bromus erectus* taken on 23/02/2019 and  
77 10/03/2019 and b) conceptual scheme to explain root selection procedure..

78 a) The figure on the left shows newly initiated roots that will be analyzed to calculate the  $RER_{NEW}$  and  
79  $RLP_{NEW}$  on 23/02/2019. At the next date for image analysis (10/03/2019), some of the previously  
80 analyzed roots were the same length ( $RER=0$ , middle of the rhizotron), whereas other roots elongated  
81 ( $RER>0$ , bottom of the rhizotron), and were used to calculate  $RER_{OLD}$  and  $RLP_{OLD}$ . On the top part of the  
82 rhizotron, some new roots were initiated, and analyzed to calculate the  $RER_{NEW}$  and  $RLP_{NEW}$  on  
83 10/03/2019. b) Conceptual scheme showing the procedure to select 'old roots' at each sampling: at  
84 Time x 30 new roots and 30 old roots have been analyzed. Of these 30 roots, 20 are primary axis roots  
85 while 10 second order lateral (Fig. S4a). 10 roots from Time x primary old roots and 10 from Time x  
86 primary new roots are randomly selected to be analyzed and constitute the Time x+1 old primary roots.  
87 5 roots from Time x secondary old roots and 5 from Time x new secondary roots are selected and  
88 analyzed and constitute the Time x+1 secondary old roots.




89



90

91 **Fig. S6:** Carbon (C) content in each soil C pool beneath the 12 species and in the control bare soil, 37  
 92 weeks after sowing.


93 The C pools analyzed are  $C_{POM}$  in the coarse particulate organic matter  $>200\mu\text{m}$ ),  $C_{\text{finePOM}}$  (C in the fine  
 94 particulate organic matter  $200\text{-}50\mu\text{m}$ ),  $C_{\text{SILT}}$  (in the coarse silt fraction  $50\text{-}20\mu\text{m}$ ) and  $C_{\text{SILT+CLAY}}$  (C in the  
 95 fine silt + clay fraction  $<20\mu\text{m}$ ). The letters on the left hand side of the fraction bars indicate significant  
 96 differences (Tukey HSD,  $p < 0.05$ ) between C pools and within species.



99 **Fig. S7:** Mean daily root elongation rate ( $RER_{TOT}$ ) for all the roots analyzed in the rhizotrons (without  
100 distinguishing between old and new roots) in Fabaceae ( $N_2$ -fixing, black circles) and Poaceae (non  $N_2$ -  
101 fixing, white squares) family.

102 Mean daily  $RER_{TOT}$  in Fabaceae peaked in May - June, whereas in Poaceae, mean daily  $RER_{TOT}$  was fairly  
103 constant between February and June, with no marked peaks. Data are means  $\pm$  standard error of the RER  
104 data in the 2 weeks prior to the measurement of root elongation.

105




106

107


108 **Fig.S8:** Mean root elongation rate of individual roots (RER, measured every 2 weeks) for each of the 12  
 109 species.

110 The solid black line is the RER<sub>NEW</sub> of the roots that were newly initiated (aged 1 to 14 days), and were not  
 111 present at the previous sampling date. The dotted black line represents the RER<sub>OLD</sub> of the roots that were  
 112 already present at the previous sampling date, and so were older than 14 days.



114 **Fig. S9:** Linear regression at the individual level (n = 34 samples), between  $\Delta C_{\text{POM}}$  (as the difference in  
 115 carbon (C) in the coarse POM C pool,  $\Delta C = C_{t37} - C_{t0}$ , after 37 weeks), and a) diameter of absorptive roots  
 116 and b) hemicelluloses + water soluble compounds.

117 The black symbols are the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing Fabaceae species, the white symbols the non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing Poaceae  
 118 species, the red dots are *S. minor* and the green dots are *P. lanceolata*. The red line is the linear model  
 119 function of the variables and R<sup>2</sup>, F and p of the linear model are shown.




120

121


122 **Fig. S10:** Linear regression at individual level ( $n = 34$  samples) between  $\Delta C_{\text{silt}}$  (as the difference in carbon  
 123 (C) in the coarse silt C pool,  $\Delta C = C_{t37} - C_{t0}$ , after 37 weeks), and a) root biomass, b) diameter of absorptive  
 124 roots, c) lignin content, d) hemicelluloses + water soluble compounds, e) C:N ratio and f) substrate induced  
 125 respiration rate (SIR).

126 The black symbols are the  $N_2$ -fixing Fabaceae species, the white symbols the non  $N_2$ -fixing Poaceae  
 127 species, the red dots are *S. minor* and the green dots are *P. lanceolata*. The red line is the linear model  
 128 function of the variables and  $R^2$ , F and  $p$  of the linear model are shown. For the legend refer to figure S9.



129

130 **Fig. S11:** Linear regression at individual level (n = 34 samples) between substrate induced respiration rate  
 131 (SIR) and a) root biomass, b) lignin content, c) hemicelluloses + water soluble compounds, d) C:N ratio.  
 132 The black symbols are the N<sub>2</sub>-fixing Fabaceae species, the white symbols the non N<sub>2</sub>-fixing Poaceae  
 133 species, the red dots *S. minor* and the green dots *P. lanceolata*. The red line is the linear model function  
 134 of the variables and R<sup>2</sup>, F and p of the linear model are shown. For the legend refer to figure S9.



135